# A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE MOY-PEREZ GENERALIZATION OF THE SHANNON-MCMILLAN THEOREM

### J. C. KIEFFER

## The Shannon-McMillan Theorem of Information Theory has been generalized by Moy and Perez. The purpose of this paper is to give a simple proof of this generalization.

1. Introduction. Let T be either the semigroup of nonnegative integers N or nonnegative real numbers  $R^+$ . Let  $\mathscr{U} = \{U^t: t \in T\}$  be a semigroup of measurable mappings from a given measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$  to itself. (We suppose  $U^0$  is the identity map.) If  $X_0$  is a measurable mapping from the space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$  to another space, let  $(X_t: t \in T)$  be the process generated by  $\mathscr{U}$ ; that is,  $X_t = X_0 \cdot U^t$ ,  $t \in T$ . If  $a, b \in T, a \leq b$ , let  $\mathscr{F}_{ab}$  denote the sub-sigmafield of  $\mathscr{F}$  generated by the mappings  $\{X_t: t \in T, a \leq t \leq b\}$ .

Let P, Q be probability measures on  $\mathscr{F}$ ; let  $P_{ab}(Q_{ab})$  be the restriction of P(Q) to  $\mathscr{F}_{ab}$ . We suppose that  $P_{0t}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $Q_{0t}, t \in T$ . Then the Radon-Nikodym derivatives  $f_{st} = dP_{st}/dQ_{st}$  exist,  $s \leq t$ . We assume that the entropies  $H_{st} = \int_{a} \log f_{st} dP$ ,  $s \leq t$ , are all finite. (We use natural logarithms.) It is known that (1)  $H_{0t}$  is a nonnegative, nondecreasing function of t ([6], p. 54); and

(2) If  $||\cdot||$  denotes the  $L^1(P)$  norm, then

$$\|\log\left(f_{\scriptscriptstyle ru}/\!f_{\scriptscriptstyle st}
ight)\| \leqq H_{\scriptscriptstyle ru} - H_{\scriptscriptstyle st} + 1$$
,  $r \leqq s \leqq t \leqq u$  ,

([6], inequality (2.4.10), and p. 54).

The Moy-Perez result. The following generalization of the Shannon-McMillan Theorem was proved by Moy [4] for the case T = N, and by Perez [5], for the case  $T = R^+$ .

THEOREM. Let  $(X_t: t \in T)$  be a stationary process with respect to P and a Markov process with stationary transition probabilities with respect to Q. If the sequence  $\{n^{-1}H_{0n}: n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$  is bounded above, then the functions  $\{t^{-1}\log f_{0t}: t > 0, t \in T\}$  converge as  $t \to \infty$  in  $L^1(P)$  to a function h which is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ ; that is,  $h \cdot U^t = h$ ,  $t \in T$ .

To prove this theorem, Moy and Perez embedded the process  $(X_t)$ in a bilateral process  $(X_t: -\infty < t < \infty)$ , stationary with respect to P and Markov with respect to Q; Doob's Martingale Convergence Theorem was then used. We present a simple proof which requires no such embedding and no martingale theory. The method of proof is a generalization of the method used by Gallager ([2], p. 60) to prove the Shannon-McMillan Theorem, and uses the  $L^1$  version of the Mean Ergodic Theorem.

Proof of the Moy-Perez result. The assumptions made on P and Q imply that:

(3) The sequence  $\{H_{0n}: n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$  is convex ([4], Theorem 2); (A sequence  $c_1, c_2, \cdots$  is convex if  $c_{n+2} - 2c_{n+1} + c_n \ge 0, n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .)

(4)  $f_{0t} \cdot U^s = f_{s,s+t}$  a.e. [P], and therefore  $H_{0t} = H_{s,s+t}$  ([4], Theorem 1); and

 $(5) \quad E_{\mathcal{Q}}(f_{rt} \mid \mathscr{F}_{0s}) = f_{rs}, r \leq s \leq t.$ 

Because of (3),  $H_{0n} - H_{0,n-1}$  is an increasing sequence and so has a limit H, possibly infinite. Since

$$n^{-1}H_{0n} = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (H_{0i} - H_{0,i-1}) + n^{-1}H_{00}$$
, and  $\left\{\frac{1}{n}H_{0n}\right\}$ 

is bounded,

$$\lim_{n o\infty}n^{-1}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0n}=\lim_{n o\infty}\left(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0n}\,-\,H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,n-1}
ight)=\,H<\infty$$
 .

From (1), we have

$$[t]^{-1}H_{0[t]}[t]t^{-1} \leq t^{-1}H_{0t} \leq ([t]+1)^{-1}H_{0,[t]+1}([t]+1)t^{-1}$$
 ,

which implies that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{-1} H_{0t} = H$ .

Also, since

$$egin{aligned} &\|t^{-1}\log f_{\mathfrak{o} t}-[t]^{-1}f_{\mathfrak{o} [t]}\| \leq \|t^{-1}\log f_{\mathfrak{o} [t]}-[t]^{-1}\log f_{\mathfrak{o} [t]}\| \ &+\|t^{-1}\log \left(f_{\mathfrak{o} t}/f_{\mathfrak{o} [t]}
ight)\| \ , \end{aligned}$$

and by (2)

$$||t^{-1}\log{(f_{\mathfrak{o}t}/f_{\mathfrak{o}[t]})}|| \leq t^{-1}(H_{\mathfrak{o}t}-H_{\mathfrak{o}[t]}+1)$$
 ,

we see that the convergence of  $n^{-1}\log f_{on}$  in  $L^1(P)$  as  $n \to \infty$  would imply the convergence of  $t^{-1}\log f_{ot}$  in  $L^1(P)$  as  $t \to \infty$  to the same limit.

Now, for fixed  $s \in T$ , we have for  $t \ge s$ ,

$$egin{aligned} &\|t^{-1}\log f_{\mathfrak{o}t} - t^{-1}\log f_{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{s}+t}\| &\leq \|t^{-1}\log\left(f_{\mathfrak{o}t}/f_{\mathfrak{s}t}
ight)\| \ &+ \|t^{-1}\log\left(f_{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{s}+t}/f_{\mathfrak{s}t}
ight)\| &\leq rac{2}{t}\left(H_{\mathfrak{o}t} - H_{\mathfrak{o},t-\mathfrak{s}} + 1
ight) \,, \end{aligned}$$

using (2) and (4). Consequently if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{-1} \log f_{0t} = h$ , then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}t^{-1}\log f_{s,t+s}=h.$$

It follows then that  $h = h \cdot U^s$  because

$$\lim_{t o\infty}t^{-1}\log f_{s,s+t}=\lim_{t o\infty}\left(t^{-1}\log f_{\mathfrak{o}t}
ight)\cdot U^s=h\cdot U^s$$
 ,

where we used (4).

These considerations show that it suffices to prove the  $L^{1}(P)$ convergence as  $n \to \infty$  of  $\{n^{-1} \log f_{0n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . This we now do.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , pick N to be a positive integer so large that  $|N^{-1}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0N}-H|<arepsilon$ , and  $|H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,N+1}-H_{\scriptscriptstyle 0N}-H|<arepsilon$ . Define the sequence of functions  $h_n$ , n = N + 1, N + 2,  $\cdots$ , as follows:

$$h_n = f_{{}_{0N}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-N-1} (f_{i,N+i+1}/f_{i,N+i}) I(f_{i,N+i}) \; ,$$

where for a given function f, I(f) we define to be the function such that I(f) = 1 if f > 0, and I(f) = 0, otherwise.

Now, using (5), we have

$$E_{Q}(h_{n} \mid \mathscr{F}_{0,n-1}) = h_{n-1}[I(f_{n-N-1,n-1})/f_{n-N-1,n-1}]E_{Q}(f_{n-N-1,n} \mid \mathscr{F}_{0,n-1}) \leq h_{n-1}.$$

Since  $h_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{0n}$ -measurable, it follows that

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle P}(h_{\scriptscriptstyle n}/f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0n}) \leq E_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(h_{\scriptscriptstyle n}) \leq E_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(h_{\scriptscriptstyle N+1}) \leq E_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,N+1}) = 1 \; .$$

Now

$$|\log x| = 2\log x - \log x \leq 2x - \log x;$$

therefore,

$$||n^{-1}\log{(h_n/f_{_{0n}})}| \leq 2n^{-1}(h_n/f_{_{0n}}) - n^{-1}\log{(h_n/f_{_{0n}})}$$
 ,

a.e. [P]. Integrating with respect to P, we obtain

$$\| \, n^{-1} \log f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0n} - n^{-1} \log \, h_n \, \| \leq 2n^{-1} - n^{-1} E_{\scriptscriptstyle P} \left[ \log \left( h_n / f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0n} 
ight) 
ight]$$

However,

$$egin{aligned} &-E_P[\log{(h_n/f_{0n})}] = -H_{0N} - (n-N)(H_{0,N+1} - H_{0N}) + H_{0n} \ &\leq -N(H-arepsilon) - (n-N)(H-arepsilon) \ &+ H_{0n} = -n(H-arepsilon) + H_{0n} \ , \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} || n^{-1} \log f_{0n} - n^{-1} \log h_n || \leq \varepsilon$ . Using (4), we have a  $e^{\lceil P \rceil}$ 

Using (4), we have, a.e. 
$$[P]$$
,

$$n^{-1}\log h_n = n^{-1}\log f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0N} + n^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{N-n-1}\log \left(f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,N+1}/f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0N}
ight)\cdot U^i$$
 ,

which converges as  $n \to \infty$  in  $L^1(P)$  to a function  $h_{\varepsilon}$  by the Mean Ergodic Theorem ([1], p. 667). This gives

$$\varlimsup_{n o\infty} ||\, n^{-1}\log f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0n} - h_{\scriptscriptstyle arepsilon} || \leq arepsilon$$
 , for every  $arepsilon > 0$  ,

#### J. C. KIEFFER

which makes  $n^{-1} \log f_{on}$  a Cauchy sequence in  $L^{1}(P)$ , and therefore a convergent sequence.

Final Remark. For the reader who may wish to consult [5], we point out that the proof of the Moy-Perez Theorem given in [5] is erroneous. The Theorem 2.3 of [5] states that the Moy-Perez result holds as well for the case when  $(X_t: t \in T)$  is stationary with respect to P and Q, with no Markov assumption made. This is false; a counterexample is given in [3].

#### References

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators Part I, 1958.

2. R. G. Gallager, Information Theory and Reliable Communication, Wiley, 1968.

3. J. C. Kieffer, A counterexample to Perez's generalization of the Shannon-McMillan theorem, Annals of Probability, Vol. 1, No. 2, April, 1973.

4. Shu-Teh C. Moy, Generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961), 705-714.

5. A. Perez, Extensions of Shannon-McMillan's Limit Theorem to More General Stochastic Processes, Transactions of the Third Prague Conference on Information Theory, Prague, (1964), 545-574.

6. M. S. Pinsker, Information and Information Stability of Random Variables and Processes, Holden-Day, 1964.

Received October 3, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ROLLA

206