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Let $X$ be a Banach space (real or complex) and $A_{n}$ and $B$ be linear operators in $X$ with $D(B) \subseteq D\left(A_{n}\right), n=1,2, \cdots$. The following note is concerned with existence and uniqueness of solutions of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(I-A_{n}\right) u(t)\right]-B u(t)=0, \quad(t>0), u(0)=u_{0}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the limiting behavior of these solutions as the operators $A_{n}$ tend to zero in a sense to be specified. We will show that for a large class of operators the problem (1.1) is well posed and that its solutions tend to the solution of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u(t)}{d t}-B u(t)=0, \quad(t>0), u(0)=u_{0} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we obtain an extension to Banach spaces of a result of R. E. Showalter [5] to the effect that (1.1) is well posed when $X$ is a Hilbert space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ are maximal dissipative operators in $X$ which satisfy the algebraic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(I-A_{n}\right) x, B x\right) \leqq 0, \quad x \in D(B) \leqq D\left(A_{n}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we give sufficient conditions for (1.1) to be well posed. We note that these conditions do not guarantee that (1.2) is well posed. In $\S 3$ we show that if, in addition, $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ tends to zero in a certain sense, then (1.2) is well posed and the solutions $u_{n}$ of (1.1) tend to the solution of (1.2). In particular, it will follow that if $A$ and $B$ are densely defined maximal dissipative operators in a Hilbert space and if (1.3) is satisfied with $A_{n}=n^{-1} A$, then

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(I-n^{-1} A\right) u_{n}(t)\right]-B u_{n}(t)=0, \quad(t>0), \quad u_{n}(0)=u_{n} \in D(B)
$$

is well posed and as $n \rightarrow \infty, u_{n}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of (1.2). Two examples are discussed in § 4.

We emphasize that throughout this paper it is assumed that $D(B) \subseteq D\left(A_{n}\right)$. The question of limiting behavior of solutions of (1.1) when $X$ is a Hilbert space, $A_{n}=n^{-1} A$ and $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ has been considered previously [2], and it is interesting to compare the results of [2] with those of the present note in the case $D(A)=D(B)$. In [2] it was assumed that $A$ and $B$ were maximal dissipative operators
arising from certain densely defined, strongly coercive sesequilinear forms and that $A$ was self-adjoint. On the other hand the algebraic condition (1.3) which is the most restrictive assumption of the present note, was not assumed in [2] and the convergence results are somewhat stronger than those obtained here. Thus while the results of [2] do not apply to perturbations of hyperbolic problems, they are in some respects more satisfactory as far as perturbations of parabolic problems are concerned when $D(A)=D(B)$. We note that the methods used here are completely different from those of [2].
2. Existence and uniqueness of solutions. A solution of the problem (1.1) is a function $u:[0, \infty) \rightarrow D(B)$ such that $\left(I-A_{n}\right) u \in$ $C([0, \infty) ; X) \cap C^{\prime}((0, \infty), X)$ and (1.1) is satisfied. The initial condition in (1.1) is supposed to hold in the sense that $\left(\mathrm{I}-A_{n}\right) u(t) \rightarrow\left(I-A_{n}\right) u_{0}$ strongly in $X$ as $t \rightarrow 0_{+}$. While we will always assume that $I-A_{n}$ in invertible, the inverse need not be bounded and so we do not know in general that $u(t) \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $X$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ linear operators in $X$ which satisfy the following

$$
\begin{gather*}
I-A_{n} \text { is one-to-one }  \tag{2.1}\\
D(B) \subseteq D\left(A_{n}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For some } \zeta_{n}>0, \operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}-\zeta_{n} B\right)=X \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $u_{0} \in D(B)$ the problem (1.1) has a unique solution $u(t)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right) u(t)\right\| \leqq\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right) u_{0}\right\|, t \geqq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $\widetilde{A}_{n}=A_{n \mid D(B)}$ and $B_{n}=B\left(I-\widetilde{A}_{n}\right)^{-1}$ with $D\left(B_{n}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-\widetilde{A}_{n}\right)$. A function $u$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if $\left(I-A_{n}\right) u=v \in C([0, \infty) ; X) \cap C^{\prime}((0, \infty) ; X)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d v(t)}{d t}-B_{n} v(t)=0,(t>0), v(0)=v_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{0}=\left(I-A_{n}\right) u_{0} \in D\left(B_{n}\right)$. From (2.3) we obtain

$$
\left\|y-\zeta B_{n} y\right\| \geqq\|y\|, y \in D\left(B_{n}\right), \zeta>0
$$

which means that $B_{n}$ is a dissipative operator in $X$, and from (2.4) we have $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-\zeta B_{n}\right)=X$ from some $\zeta>0$ (hence for all $\zeta>0$ ). From these facts it follows that $D\left(B_{n}\right)$ is dense in $X$ (Goldstein [1]; c.f. [4]). We may now apply the Lumer-Phillips theorem [3] to the
effect that $B_{n}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $\left(C_{0}\right)$-semigroup $\left\{e^{t B_{n}}: t \geqq 0\right\}$ of contractions on $X$. Thus for any $v_{0} \in D\left(B_{n}\right)$, (2.6) has a unique solution given by $v(t)=e^{t B_{n}} v_{0}$ and $|v(t)| \leqq\left|v_{0}\right|$. The conclusions of the theorem now follow by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1} e^{t B_{n}}\left(I-A_{n}\right) u_{0} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.1. Let $X$ be a Hilbert space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ be densely defined, maximal dissipative linear operators in $X$ such that $D(B) \subseteq D\left(A_{n}\right)$ and which satisfy (1.3). Then the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Moreover, $B \in C([0, \infty), X) \cap C^{\prime}((0, \infty) ; X)$ and $u(t) \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $X$ as $t \rightarrow 0_{+}$.

Proof. Since $A_{n}$ is densely defined and maximal dissipative, $\left(I-A_{n}\right)$ is a bijection of $D\left(A_{n}\right)$ onto $X$ and $\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqq 1$. Also, R. E. Showalter proved [5] that under the stated hypotheses, $A_{n}+B$ is a densely defined, maximal dissipative operator in $X$. From this fact follows that $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}-B\right)=X$. For a Hilbert space, conditions (1.3) and (2.3) are equivalent. The conclusions of the corollary now follow from (2.7) and Theorem 2.1.

Remark. Suppose (2.1)-(2.4) hold and that in addition there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x-A_{n} x-\zeta B x\right\| \geqq C\left\|x-A_{n} x\right\| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in D(B)$ and all $\zeta$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>0$. Then the semigroup $\left\{e^{t B_{n}}: t \geqq 0\right\}$ has a strong holomorphic extension into some sector $|\arg t|<\alpha$, and therefore (2.6) (respectively, (1.1)) is uniquely solvable for any $v_{0} \in X$ (respectively, $u_{0} \in D\left(A_{n}\right)$ ). In fact, since $B_{n}$ generates a ( $C_{0}$ )-semigroup of contractions, the open right half-plane lies in the resolvent set of $B_{n}$ and from (2.8) we obtain $\left\|\left(\lambda-B_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqq(C|\lambda|)^{-1}$ whenever $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>0$, which implies the desired conclusion. When $X$ is a Hilbert space, a sufficient condition for (2.8) is that all of the values of $z=\left(x-A_{n} x, B x\right)$ lie in some fixed sector

$$
|\arg z-\pi| \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

To prove this, write $z=|z| e^{i \theta}$ and $\zeta=|\zeta| e^{i \phi}$. (2.8) is equivalent to

$$
\left(1-C^{2}\right)\left\|x-A_{n} x\right\|^{2}-2\left|\zeta \left\|z \left|\cos (\phi-\theta)+|\zeta|^{2}\|B x\|^{2} \geqq 0\right.\right.\right.
$$

If $|\theta-\pi| \leqq \pi / 2-\varepsilon$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\cos (\phi-\theta) \leqq 1-\delta$ for all $\phi \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\delta)^{2}\left\|x-A_{n} x\right\|^{2}-2\left|\zeta \left\|z \left|\cos (\phi-\theta)+|\zeta|^{2}\|B x\|^{2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \quad \geqq(1-\delta)^{2}\left\|x-A_{n} x\right\|^{2}-2|\zeta|(1-\delta)\left\|x-A_{n} x \mid\right\| B x \| \\
& \quad+|\zeta|^{2}\|B x\|^{2}=\left[(1-\delta)\left\|x-A_{n} x\right\|-\mid \zeta\|B x\|\right]^{2} \geqq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.8) holds with $C^{2}=2 \delta-\delta^{2}$.
3. Limiting behavior of solutions. We first prove that if $B$ is closed and $A_{n}$ tends to zero in a certain way then (1.2) is well posed.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ be linear operators in $X$ which satisfy (2.1)-(2.4). Suppose in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \text { is closed. } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\substack{x \in D(B) \\ x \neq 0}}\left\|A_{n} x\right\| /(\|B x\|+\|x\|)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $B$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $\left(C_{0}\right)$-semigroup of contractions on $X$.

Proof. We have to show that $B$ is a dissipative operator such that $\operatorname{Rg}(I-B)=X$. From (2.3) and (3.2) we obtain, upon letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-\zeta B x\| \geqq\|x\|, x \in D(B), \zeta>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $B$ is dissipative. For each $n$ and $\zeta>0, B_{n}$ is dissipative and $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-\zeta B_{n}\right)=X$. Let $y \in X$ and $x_{n} \in D(B)$ such that

$$
x_{n}-A_{n} x_{n}-B x_{n}=y, \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

By (2.3), $\left\|x_{n}-A_{n} x_{n}\right\| \leqq\|y\|$ and therefore $\left\{B x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Let

$$
C_{n}=\sup _{\substack{x \in D \in B\rangle \\ x \neq 0}}\left\|A_{n} x\right\| /(\|B x\|+\|x\|)
$$

$C_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ according to (3.2). From (3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}\right\| & \leqq\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|=\left\|y+A_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leqq\|y\|+C_{n}\left(\left\|B x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left(1-C_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leqq\|y\|+C_{n}\left\|B x_{n}\right\|
$$

Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded. It follows from (3.2) that $A_{n} x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| & \leqq\left\|\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)-B\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\| \\
& \leqq\left\|A_{n} x_{n}-A_{m} x_{m}\right\| \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x=\lim x_{n}$. We have that $x_{n} \rightarrow x, B x_{n} \rightarrow x-y$. Since $B$ is closed, $x \in D(B)$ and $x-B x=y$, that is, $\operatorname{Rg}(I-B)=X$. This fact, together with the dissipativity of $B$, implies $D(B)$ is dense
in $X$. The conclusion of the theorem now follows from the LumerPhillips theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ be linear operators in $X$ which satisfy (2.1)-(2.4), (3.1) and (3.2). Then as $n \rightarrow \infty, e^{t B_{n}} \rightarrow e^{t B}$ strongly and uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. We apply the Trotter convergence theorem [6]. To do this we show that for each $\zeta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I-\zeta B_{n}\right)^{-1}=(I-\zeta B)^{-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the uniform operator topology of $\mathscr{L}(X)(=$ the linear space of bounded linear operators on $X$ ).

We may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(I-\zeta B_{n}\right)^{-1} & =\left(I-\zeta B\left(I-\widetilde{A}_{n}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\left(I-A_{n}\right)(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\left(I-A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

For each $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1} x\right\| & \leqq C_{n}\left(\left\|B(I-\zeta B)^{-1} x\right\|+\left\|(I-\zeta B)^{-1} x\right\|\right) \\
& \leqq C_{n}\left(1+\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)\|x\| \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for all sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\left(I-A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{k}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left(I-A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{-1}-I\right\| \leqq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{n}^{k+1}\left(1+\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)^{k+1}
$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\left(I-A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{-1}=(I-\zeta B)^{-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the uniform operator topology of $\mathscr{C}(X)$. From (3.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\left(I-A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right\| \\
& \quad \leqq C_{n}\left(1+\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)\left\|\left(I-A_{n}(I-\zeta B)^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right\|  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad \leqq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{n}^{k+1}\left(1+\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)^{k+1} \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (3.4) now follows from (3.5), (3.7), and (3.8).
Theorem 3.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ be linear operators in $X$ which satisfy (2.1)-(2.4), (3.1) and (3.2). Suppose in
addition that $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}\right)=X, n=1,2, \cdots$, and $\sup _{n}\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|<$ $\infty$. Let $u_{0} \in D(B)$ and $u_{n}(t)$ be the unique solution of (1.1). Then as $n \rightarrow \infty, u_{n}(t) \rightarrow e^{t B} u_{0}$ strongly in $X$, uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. From (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{n}(t)-e^{t B} u_{0}\right\| \leqq\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(e^{t B_{n}}-e^{t B}\right) u_{0}\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1} A_{n} e^{t B} u_{0}\right\|+\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1} e^{t B_{n}} A_{n} u_{0}\right\| \\
& \quad \leqq \text { (const.) }\left[\left\|e^{t B_{n}} u_{0}-e^{t B} u_{0}\right\|+C_{n}\left(\left\|B u_{0}\right\|+\left\|u_{0}\right\|\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the right side tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $X$ be a Hilbert space and $A_{n}$ and $B$ be densely defined, maximal dissipative operators in $X$ such that $D(B) \subseteq$ $D\left(A_{n}\right)$ and which satisfy (1.3) and (3.2). Then for each $u_{0} \in D(B)$ the problem (1.1) has a unique solution $u_{n}$ and $u_{n}(t) \rightarrow e^{t B} u_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow$ $\infty$, uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. As noted in the proof of Corollary 2.1, $A_{n}$ and $B$ satisfy (2.1)-(2.4) and moreover, $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}\right)=X$ with $\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqq 1$. In addition $B$, being a densely defined, maximal dissipative operator in a Hilbert space, is closed. The corollary now follows from Theorem 3.3.

Remark. When $A_{n}=n^{-1} A$, (3.2) is automatically satisfied provided $A$ and $B$ are closed operators with $D(B) \subseteq D(A)$. Thus in this case hypothesis (3.2) may be omitted in Corollary 3.1. In fact, as a rather well-known consequence of the closed graph theorem we have

$$
\|A x\| \leqq C(\|B x\|+\|x\|), \quad x \in D(B)
$$

where the constant $C$ does not depend on $x$. Therefore

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in D(B) \\ u \neq 0}}\left\|A_{n} x\right\| /(\|B x\|+\|x\|) \leqq C n^{-1}
$$

4. Examples. As a first example we consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u-a_{n}^{1}(x) u-a_{n}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)-\left(b^{1}(x) u+b(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)=0 \\
0<x<1, \quad t>0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad 0<x<1 ; \quad u(0, t)=c u(1, t), \quad t>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{array}
$$

where $c$ is a complex constant satisfying certain conditions and the coefficients in (4.1) are real-valued and of class $C^{\prime \prime}([0,1])$. Let $X$ be the complex Hilbert space $L_{2}(0,1)$ and $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ be the subclass of
$L_{2}(0,1)$ consisting of those functions whose first derivative in the sense of distributions is again in $L_{2}(0,1)$. The norms in $X$ and in $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ respectively and the inner product in $X$ by $(\cdot, \cdot)$; we have

$$
\|u\|_{1}=\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2}+\left\|\frac{d u}{d x}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad u \in H_{2}^{1}(0,1)
$$

Each function in $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ is continuous, i.e., coincides with a function in $C([0,1])$ up to a set of Lebesgue measure zero, and the injection of $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ into $C([0,1])$ is continuous.

We define operators $A_{n}$ and $B$ in $X$ as follows:

$$
D\left(A_{n}\right)=D(B)=\left\{u \in H_{2}^{1}(0,1): u(0)=c u(1)\right\}
$$

and for $u \in D\left(A_{n}\right)=D(B)$,

$$
A_{n} u=a_{n}^{1} u+a_{n} \frac{d u}{d x}, \quad B u=b^{1} u+b \frac{d u}{d x} .
$$

From our preceding remarks it is easy to see that $D(B)$ is a closed subspace of $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ and $D(B)$ is dense in $L_{2}(0,1)$.

By a solution of (4.1), (4.2) we mean a solution of (1.1) in which $A_{n}$ and $B$ are the operators defined above. In order to apply the theory developed in $\S \S 2$ and 3 to the problem (4.1) and (4.2) we shall have to verify in particular condition (1.3). Concerning this we have

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $a_{n} b \geqq 0$ and that

$$
\begin{gather*}
b^{1}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d b}{d x}-a_{n}^{1} b^{1}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(a_{n} b^{1}+a_{n}^{1} b\right) \leqq 0 ;  \tag{4.3}\\
\alpha_{n}(1)-|c|^{2} \alpha_{n}(0) \leqq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}=b-a_{n} b^{1}-a_{n}^{1} b$. Then (1.3) is satisfied.
Proof. For $u \in D(B)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(u-A_{n} u, B u\right)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(b^{1}-a_{n}^{1} b^{1}\right)|u|^{2} d x-\int_{0}^{1} a_{n} b\left|\frac{d u}{d x}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1}\left(b-a_{n}^{1} b-a_{n} b^{1}\right) \bar{u} \frac{d u}{d x} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following identity is easily obtained by an integration by parts: For $u \in D(B)$ and $f \in C^{\prime}([0,1])$,

$$
\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} f \bar{u} \frac{d u}{d u} d x=\frac{1}{2}\left(f(1)-|c|^{2} f(0)\right)|u(1)|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d f}{d x}|u|^{2} d x
$$

From this identity we obtain for $u \in D(B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(u- & \left.A_{n} u, B u\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{n}(1)-|c \cdot|^{2} \alpha_{n}(0)\right)|u(1)|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} a_{n} b\left|\frac{d u}{d x}\right|^{2} d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left[b^{1}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d b}{d x}-a_{n}^{1} b^{1}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(a_{n}^{1} b+a_{n} b^{1}\right)\right]|u|^{2} d x \leqq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. If $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}^{1}\right\}$ tend to zero in the topology of $C^{\prime}([0,1])$ and if for some $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
b^{1}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d b}{d x} \leqq-\varepsilon, \quad b(1)-|c|^{2} b(0) \leqq-\varepsilon
$$

then (4.3) and (4.4) are easily seen to be satisfied for all sufficiently large $n$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume (4.3) and (4.4), that $a_{n} b \geqq 0$ and $a_{n}^{2}+$ $b^{2}>0$. In addition suppose

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}(1)-|c|^{2} a_{n}(0) \leqq 0 ;  \tag{4.5}\\
& a_{n}^{1}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d a_{n}}{d x}<1, \quad 0 \leqq x \leqq 1 ; \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.7) There exists $\zeta_{n}>0$ such that

$$
c \exp \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-a_{n}^{1}(\xi)-\zeta_{n} b^{1}(\xi)}{a_{n}(\xi)+\zeta_{n} b(\xi)} d \xi \neq 1\right.
$$

Then the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.
Thus, in particular, for each $u_{0} \in D(B)$ the problem (4.1), (4.2) has a unique solution.

Theorem 4.2. Assume (4.3)-(4.5), that $a_{n} b \geqq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. In addition suppose
(4.8) $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}^{1}\right\}$ tend to zero in the topology of $C^{\prime}([0,1])$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied for all sufficiently large $n$.

Theorem 4.3. Assume (4.3)-(4.5), (4.8) and that $a_{n} b>0$. Then the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied for all sufficiently large $n$.

Thus if $u_{n}$ is the unique solution of (4.1), (4.2), as $n \rightarrow \infty u_{n}(t)$ converges in $L_{2}(0,1)$ to the unique solution of

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-b(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-b^{1}(x) u=0, \quad 0<x<1, \quad t>0
$$

$$
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad 0<x<1 ; \quad u(0, t)=c u(1, t), \quad t>0,
$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. We have already verified (2.3). To check (2.1) consider the equation

$$
u-A_{n} u=f \in X .
$$

Multiplying by $\bar{u}$ and integrating gives

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-a_{n}^{1}\right)|u|^{2} d x-\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} a_{n} \bar{u} \frac{d u}{d x} d x=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \bar{u} f d x
$$

and this may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-a_{n}^{1}\right. & \left.+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d a_{n}}{d x}\right)|u|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{n}(1)-|c|^{2} a_{n}(0)\right)|u(1)|^{2}  \tag{4.9}\\
& +\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \bar{u} f d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.5), (4.6) and (4.9) follows that $u=0$ if $f=0$.
We next verify (2.4). Let $f \in L_{2}(0,1)$. We have to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-A_{n} u-\zeta_{n} B u=\left(1-a_{n}^{1}-\zeta_{n} b^{1}\right) u-\left(a_{n}+\zeta_{n} b\right) \frac{d u}{d x}=f \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{n}>0$ is to be determined.
Since $a_{n} b \geqq 0$ and $a_{n}^{2}+b^{2}>0$, we have $a_{n}+\zeta b \neq 0$ for every $\zeta>0$ and therefore (4.10) is equivalent to

$$
u(x)=k_{n} \exp \int_{0}^{x} K_{n}(\xi) d \xi+\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{K}_{n}(x, \xi) f(\xi) d \xi
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{n}(\xi)=\left(1-a_{n}^{1}(\xi)-\zeta_{n} b^{1}(\xi)\right) /\left(a_{n}(\xi)+\zeta_{n} b(\xi)\right), \\
\widetilde{K}_{n}(x, \xi)=-\left[\exp \int_{\xi}^{x} K_{n}(\eta) d \eta\right] /\left(a_{n}(\xi)+\zeta_{n} b(\xi)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The constant $k_{n}$ must be such that $u(0)=c u(1)$. This condition leads to

$$
k_{n}=k_{n} c \exp \int_{0}^{1} K_{n}(\xi) d \xi+c \int_{0}^{1} \widetilde{K}_{n}(1, \xi) f(\xi) d \xi
$$

and this equation is solvable for $k_{n}$ for arbitrary $f \in L_{2}(0,1)$ if and only if

$$
c \exp \int_{0}^{1} K_{n}(\xi) d \xi \neq 1
$$

This last condition is satisfied provided $\zeta_{n}$ is chosen according to condition (4.7). Thus (2.4) is satisfied.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We first note that (4.8) implies (4.6) for all sufficiently large $n$. Moreover, (4.7) is also satisfied for all large $n$ if $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}$ is any sequence of positive numbers which tends to zero. Thus conditions (2.1)-(2.4) are satisfied. That (3.1) and (3.2) also hold is a consequence of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1} \leqq K\left(\|B u\|_{0}+\|u\|_{0}\right), \quad u \in D(B) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $K$ is independent of $u$. In fact, suppose (4.11) holds and $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset D(B), u_{n} \rightarrow u, B u_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L_{2}(0,1)$. By (4.11), $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$. Since $D(B)$ is a closed subspace of $H_{2}^{1}(0,1)$ and $\left\|B u_{n}\right\|_{0} \leqq$ (const.) $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1}$ it follows that $u \in D(B)$ and $B u=v$, i.e., $B$ is closed. Moreover, we have

$$
\left\|A_{n} u\right\|_{0} \leqq \sup _{0 \leqq x \leqq 1}\left(\left|a_{n}(x)\right|+\left|a_{n}^{1}(x)\right|\right)\|u\|_{1}
$$

and therefore

$$
\sup _{\substack{u \in D(B) \\ u \neq 0}}\left\|A_{n} u\right\|_{0} /\left(\|B u\|_{0}+\|u\|_{0}\right) \leqq K \sup _{0 \leqq x \leqq 1}\left(\left|a_{n}(x)\right|+\left|a_{n}^{1}(x)\right|\right)
$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (3.2) is satisfied.
It only remains to prove (4.11). We have

$$
\|B u\|_{0}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(b \frac{d u}{d x}+b^{1} u\right)\left(b \frac{d \bar{u}}{d x}+b^{1} \bar{u}\right) d x
$$

Using the inequality

$$
2|y z| \leqq \delta|y|^{2}+\frac{1}{\delta}|z|^{2}, \quad \delta>0
$$

we obtain

$$
\|B u\|_{0}^{2} \geqq \inf _{0 \leqq x \leqq 1}|b(x)|^{2}\left\|\frac{d u}{d x}\right\|_{0}^{2}-\varepsilon\left\|\frac{d u}{d x}\right\|_{0}^{2}-K_{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{0}^{2}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon=1 / 2 \inf _{0 \leqq x \leqq 1}|b(x)|^{2}$ leads to (4.11).
Proof of Theorem 4.3. We have only to verify that $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}\right)=$ $L_{2}(0,1), n \geqq N$, and

$$
\sup _{n \geqq N}\left\|\left(I-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty .
$$

From (4.9) and the present hypotheses it follows that for all sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{0}^{2} \leqq\left\|u-A_{n} u\right\|, \quad u \in D\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

Let $f \in L_{2}(0,1)$. Since $a_{n} \neq 0$, the equation

$$
u-A_{n} u=\left(1-a_{n}^{1}\right) u-a_{n} \frac{d u}{d x}=f
$$

is equivalent to

$$
u(x)=k_{n} \exp \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1-a_{n}^{1}}{a_{n}}(\xi) d \xi+F_{n}(x)
$$

where $F_{n}(x)$ is a known function and the constant $k_{n}$ must be such that $u(0)=c u(1)$. This is possible for arbitrary $f \in L_{2}(0,1)$ if and only if

$$
c \exp \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-a_{n}^{1}(\xi)}{a_{n}(\xi)} d \xi \neq 1
$$

and this last condition is obviously satisfied for all sufficiently large $n$ in view of (4.8). Thus $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}\right)=X, n \geqq N$, and the proof is complete.

Example 2. We consider, for $n=1,2, \cdots$, the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u-\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)-\left(b(x) u+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right)=0, \quad 0<x<1, \quad t>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad 0<x<1  \tag{4.13}\\
u(0, t)=c u(1, t), \quad \bar{c} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, t)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(1, t), \quad t>0 \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

The function $b$ is real-valued and of class $C^{\prime}([0,1])$ and $c$ is a complex constant. Let $X=L_{2}(0,1), D\left(A_{n}\right)$ be as in the first example and $A_{n}=1 / n d / d x$. Let $H_{2}^{2}(0,1)$ be the set of functions in $L_{2}(0,1)$ whose first and second distributional derivatives are in $L_{2}(0,1)$ and set

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(B)=\left\{u \in H_{2}^{2}(0,1): u(0)=c u(1), \bar{c} \frac{d u}{d x}(0)=\frac{d u}{d x}(1)\right\}, \\
B u=b u+\frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}, \quad u \in D(B) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The norm in $H_{2}^{2}(0,1)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ and defined by

$$
\|u\|_{2}=\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2}+\left\lvert\, \frac{d u}{d x}\left\|_{0}^{2}+\right\| \frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}\right. \|_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Each function in $H_{2}^{2}(0,1)$ is of class $C^{\prime}([0,1])$ and the injection of $H_{2}^{2}(0,1)$ into $C^{\prime}[(0,1)]$ is continuous. It follows that $D(B)$ is a closed subspace of $H_{2}^{2}(0,1)$, is dense in $L_{2}(0,1)$ and as in the first example it is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2} \leqq K\left(\|B u\|_{0}+\|u\|_{0}\right), \quad u \in D(B) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B^{*}$ be the adjoint of $B$. As is well-known, $D\left(B^{*}\right) \subset H_{2}^{2}(0,1)$
and, since $b+d^{2} / d x^{2}$ is a formally self-adjoint differential operator,

$$
B^{*} v=b v+\frac{d^{2} v}{d x^{2}}, \quad v \in D\left(B^{*}\right)
$$

We show that $B^{*}=B$. If $v \in D\left(B^{*}\right)$ then for all $u \in D(B)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(B u, v)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left(b u+\frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}\right) \bar{v} d x=\frac{d u}{d x}(0)(\bar{c} \bar{v}(1)-\bar{v}(0)) \\
& -u(1)\left(\frac{d \bar{v}}{d x}(1)-c \frac{d \bar{v}}{d x}(0)\right)+\left(u, B^{*} v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the first two terms on the right must vanish for all $u \in D(B)$ we have $v(0)=c v(1), \bar{c}(d v / d x)(0)=(d v / d x)(1)$, that is, $v \in D(B)$. Thus $B^{*} \cong B$. On the other hand, $(B u, v)=(u, B v)$ for all $u$ and $v$ in $D(B)$ so that $B$ is symmetric. Hence $B$ is self-adjoint.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose $b \leqq 0, d b / d x \leqq 0$ and

$$
b(1)-|c|^{2} b(0) \geqq 0 .
$$

Then the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1 are satisfied.
Thus for each $n$ and $u_{0} \in D(B)$ the problem (4.12)-(4.14) has a unique solution $u_{n}$ and, as $n \rightarrow \infty, u_{n}(t)$ converges in $L_{2}(0,1)$ to the solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-b(x) u=0, \quad 0<x<1, \quad t>0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad 0<x<1 \\
u(0, t)=c u(1, t), \quad \bar{c} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, t)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(1, t), \quad t>0
\end{gathered}
$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0, \infty)$.
Proof of Theorem 4.4. We have for $u \in D(B)$

$$
(B u, u)=\int_{0}^{1} b|u|^{2} d x-\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{d u}{d x}\right|^{2} d x \leqq 0
$$

$B$ is therefore a self-adjoint, dissipative operator and, consequently, maximal dissipative. We also have

$$
n \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, u\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-|c|^{2}\right)|u(1)|^{2}, \quad u \in D\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

Since $b \leqq 0$ and $d b / d x \leqq 0$ we have $b(1) \leqq b(0) \leqq 0$. Since also $b(1) \geqq$
$|c|^{2} b(0)$ it follows that $|c|^{2} \geqq 1$. Thus $A_{n}$ is dissipative and one easily proves as in the first example that $\operatorname{Rg}\left(I-A_{n}\right)=X$.

Next we verify (1.3). We have for $u \in D(B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, B u\right)= & \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d u}{d x}\left(b \bar{u}+\frac{d^{2} \bar{u}}{d x^{2}}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(b(1)-|c|^{2} b(0)\right)|u(1)|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d b}{d x}|u|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{d u}{d x}(0)\right|^{2}\left(|\bar{c}|^{2}-1\right) \geqq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(1.3) follows from this inequality and the fact that $B$ is dissipative. Finally, (3.2) is an immediate consequence of (4.15).
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