## SEMI-GROUPS AND COLLECTIVELY COMPACT SETS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

J. D. DEPREE AND H. S. KLEIN

A set of linear operators from one Banach space to another is collectively compact if and only if the union of the images of the unit ball has compact closure. Semi-groups  $S = \{T(t): t \ge 0\}$  of bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space into itself and in which every operator T(t), t > 0 is compact are considered. Since  $T(t_1 + t_2) = T(t_1)T(t_2)$ for each operator in the semi-group, it would be expected that the theory of collectively compact sets of linear operators could be profitably applied to semi-groups.

1. Introduction. Let X be a complex Banach space with unit ball  $X_1$  and let [X, X] denote the space of all bounded linear operators on X equipped with the uniform operator topology. The semi-group definitions and terminology used are those of Hille and Phillips [6]. Let S be a semi-group of vector-valued functions  $T: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [X, X]$ . It is assumed that T(t) is strongly continuous for  $t \ge 0$ . If  $\lim_{t \to t_0} || T(t)x - T(t_0)x || = 0$  for each  $t_0 \ge 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and if there is a constant M such that the  $|| T(t) || \le M$  for each  $t \ge 0$ , then  $S = \{T(t): t \ge 0\}$  is called an equicontinuous semi-group of class  $C_0$ . The infinitesimal generator A of the semi-group S is defined by

$$Ax = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{S} [T(s)x - x]$$

whenever the limit exists. The domain D(A) of A is a dense subset of X consisting of just those elements x for which this limit exists. A is a closed linear operator having resolvents  $R(\lambda)$ which, for each complex number  $\lambda$  with the real part of  $\lambda$  greater than zero, are given by the absolutely summable Riemann-Stieltjes integral

(1) 
$$R(\lambda)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt, x \in X.$$

It follows from (1) that

(2) 
$$|| R(\lambda) || \leq \frac{M}{re(\lambda)}, re(\lambda) > 0$$
.

In particular, sets of the type  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge \alpha > 0\}$  are equicontinuous subsets of [X, X].

Results yielding the collective compactness of the resolvents of

A have recently been obtained independently by N. E. Joshi and M. V. Deshpande.

2. Semi-groups of compact operators. First, note that (1) states that the resolvents of A are Laplace transforms of the semigroup S. Consequently, there are many other important integral expressions involving the elements of the semi-group and the resolvents. In order to take advantage of these, we prove the following lemma, in which |v| denotes the total variation of a complex measure v.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\Omega$  be a topological space and  $\mathscr{M}$  a collection of complex-valued Borel measures on  $\Omega$ . Suppose there exists a constant  $\alpha$  for which  $|v| \Omega \leq \alpha$  for each  $v \in \mathscr{M}$ . Let  $\mathscr{K}: \Omega \to [X, X]$ be an operator-valued function defined on  $\Omega$  which is strongly measurable with respect to each  $v \in M$  [6, page 74] and suppose  $\mathscr{K} = \{K(w): w \in \Omega\}$  is a bounded subset of [X, X]. For each  $v \in \mathscr{M}$ and  $x \in X$ , let  $F_v(x) = \int_{\Omega} K(w)xdv$ , where the integral exists in the Bochner sense since  $\int_{\Omega} ||K(w)x|| d |v| < \infty$  [6, page 80]. Let  $\mathscr{F} =$  $\{F_v: v \in \mathscr{M}\}$ . Whenever  $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{K}^*)$  is collectively compact,  $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{F}^*)$ is also collectively compact.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathscr{K}$  is collectively compact. Let  $B = \{K(w)x: w \in \Omega, ||x|| \leq 1\}$  and let C denote the balanced convex hull of B. Both B and C are totally bounded subsets of X. It suffices to show that  $F_v(x) \in \alpha \overline{C}$  for any  $F_v \in \mathscr{F}$  and x with  $||x|| \leq 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $\{K(w_1)x_1, \dots, K(w_n)x_n\}$ , an  $\varepsilon/\alpha$ -net for B. For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\Omega_i = \{w: ||K(w)x - K(w_i)x_i|| \leq \varepsilon/\alpha\}$  and let  $\Omega'_i = \Omega_j \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \Omega_j$  be a decomposition of the  $\Omega_i$  into pairwise disjoint sets. Then

$$ig\|F_v(x)-\sum\limits_{i=1}^n K(w_i)x_iv(arOmega'_i)ig\|\leq \sum\limits_{i=1}^n\int_{arOmega'_i}||\ K(w)x-K(w_i)x_i\,||\ d\mid v\mid (w)\ \leq (arepsilon/lpha)\mid v\mid (arOmega)\leq arepsilon$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |v(\Omega'_i)| \leq \alpha$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} K(w_i) x_i v(\Omega'_i)$  is an element of  $\alpha C$ . It follows that  $F_v(x) \in \alpha \overline{C}$  and so  $\mathscr{F}$  is also collectively compact.

Now assume that  $\mathscr{K}^*$  is collectively compact. Let V be any neighborhood of 0 in the norm topology of X. There exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $U = \{x: ||x|| \le \varepsilon\} \subseteq V$ . Since  $\mathscr{K}^*$  is collectively compact, [2, Theorem 2.11, part (c)] implies that there exists a weak neighborhood W of the origin with  $\mathscr{K}(W \cap X_1) \subseteq (1/\alpha)U$ . For  $F_v \in \mathscr{F}$  and  $x \in W \cap X_1$ ,  $||F_v(x)|| \le \int_{\Omega} ||K(w)x|| d |v| \le (\varepsilon/\alpha) |v|(\Omega) \le \varepsilon$ 

 $\varepsilon$ . So  $\mathscr{F}(W \cap X_1) \subseteq V$ . Again using [2, Theorem 2.1, part (c)], we see that  $\mathscr{F}^*$  is also collectively compact.

The following is essentially a result of P. Lax [6, page 304]. Rephrased in the terminology of collectively compact sets of operators, it becomes quite transparent.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose that some  $T(t_0)$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ , is a compact operator. Then  $\mathscr{K} = \{T(t): t \ge t_0\}$  is a totally bounded, collectively compact subset of [X, X]. Consequently, T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for  $t \ge t_0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $T(t) = T(t - t_0)T(t_0) = T(t_0)T(t - t_0)$  for  $t \ge t_0$ , it follows that  $\mathscr{K} = T(t_0)\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}T(t_0)$ .  $T(t_0)$  is a compact operator and the collection  $\mathscr{S}$  is equicontinuous. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 of [2], both  $\mathscr{K}$  and  $\mathscr{K}^*$  are collectively compact. [2, Corollary 2.6] implies that  $\mathscr{K}$  is a totally bounded subset of [X, X]. Since T(t)is continuous in the strong operator topology, T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for  $t \ge t_0$ .

COROLLARY 2.3. Suppose every T(t), t > 0, is a compact operator. Let  $\mathscr{F} = \{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  be the collection of the resolvents of the infinitesimal generator A corresponding to the half-plane  $\{\lambda \in C: re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ . Then  $\mathscr{F}$  is a totally bounded, collectively compact set of operators.

It should be noted that for any  $\alpha > 0$ , the following arguments can be applied to  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge \alpha\}$ . One particular half-plane is chosen simply to keep the notation as uncomplicated as possible.

Proof. It will suffice to show that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a totally bounded, collectively compact set of operators  $\mathscr{K}$  such that for any  $R(\lambda) \in \mathscr{F}$ , there exists a  $K \in \mathscr{K}$  with  $|| R(\lambda) - K|| \leq \varepsilon$ . For this  $\varepsilon$ , choose  $\delta > 0$  with  $\int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-t} dt < \varepsilon/M$ , where M is such that  $|| T(\lambda) || \leq M$  for t > 0. Let  $\lambda$  be any complex number with  $re(\lambda) \geq 1$ and  $x \in X$ . Since  $R(\lambda)x = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)xdt$ ,  $|| R(\lambda)x - \int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)xdt || \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-\lambda t} || T(t)x || dt \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-t} dt M || x || \leq \varepsilon || x ||$ . Consequently,  $|| R(\lambda) - \int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \leq \varepsilon$ . Now  $\mathscr{K} = \{\int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt : re(\lambda) \geq 1\}$  is a totally bounded, collectively compact set of operators. To see this, note that  $\sup \{\int_{\delta}^{\infty} |e^{-\lambda t}| dt : re(\lambda) \geq 1\} \leq 1$  and that both  $\{T(t): t \geq \delta\}$  and  $\{T^{*}(t): t \geq \delta\}$  are collectively compact. Lemma 2.1 implies that both  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}^*$  are collectively compact. As before, [2, Corollary 2.6] implies that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a totally bounded subset of [X, X].

The following lemma will be useful in the next section. Since a quotable reference cannot be found, a brief proof is included.

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be an equicontinuous semi-group of class  $C_0$ . Then  $R(\lambda)$  converges to zero in the strong operator topology as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$ ,  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ . Whenever  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is a totally bounded subset of [X, X], the  $R(\lambda)$  converge to zero in the uniform operator topology as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$ ,  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* The second assertion follows immediately from the first.

Let  $x \in D(A)$ , the domain of the infinitesimal generator A. Since  $R(\lambda)(\lambda - A)x = x$ , we have the identity

$$R(\lambda)x = \frac{1}{\lambda}[x + R(\lambda)Ax]$$
.

By (2) of § 1,  $\{R(\lambda)Ax: re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is a bounded subset of X. It follows that  $||R(\lambda)x|| \to 0$  as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$ ,  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ , for each  $x \in D(A)$ . Since D(A) is dense in X, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem implies that this type of convergence holds for each  $x \in X$ . We see that the first assertion of this lemma holds also.

3. Semi-groups with compact resolvents. Suppose that the domain of the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group can be given a topology  $\tau$  such that the topological space  $\langle D(A), \tau \rangle$  is a Banach space and the natural injection  $i: \langle D(A), \tau \rangle \to X$  is a compact operator. In such cases, it might be possible to prove that certain sets of the resolvents of A are equicontinuous subsets of  $[X, \langle D(A), \tau \rangle]$ , i.e., collectively compact subsets of [X, X]. A specific example is the case in which X is some  $L^p$  space and A is the negative of a uniformly strongly elliptic differential operator defined on a Sobolev space  $H = \langle D(A), \tau \rangle$ . The so-called "a priori inequalities" [4, Theorems 18.2 and 19.2, pages 69 and 77] imply that, after a suitable translation,  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is an equicontinuous subset of  $[L^{p}, H]$ . Since the injection  $i: H \rightarrow L^{p}$  is a compact operator [4, Theorem 11.2, page 31],  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is a collectively compact subset of  $[L^{p}, L^{p}]$ . The obvious question is what are the implications of such assumptions for a general semi-group S.

We first consider the case in which A has one compact resolvent. Of course, the first resolvent equation,

$$R(\lambda_1)-R(\lambda_2)=(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)R(\lambda_1)R(\lambda_2)$$
 ,

then implies that all resolvents of A are compact operators.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose A has one compact resolvent. Let  $\Omega$  be a compact subset of  $\{\lambda: re(\lambda) > 0\}$ . Then  $\{R(\lambda): \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is collectively compact.

*Proof.* Since  $R(\lambda)$  is a holomorphic function in the right halfplane,  $\{R(\lambda): \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is a totally bounded subset of [X, X]. Each element in this collection is a compact operator. So [2, Corollary 2.7] implies that  $\{R(\lambda): \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is collectively compact.

The following is a partial converse of Theorem 2.2.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Suppose A has compact resolvents. Let  $t_0 > 0$ . If T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ , then  $T(t_0)$  is a compact operator.

*Proof.* Since the resolvents are Laplace transforms of  $\{T(t): t \ge 0\}$ , we may use the formula based upon fractional integration of order two [6, page 220] which states that

$$\int_{0}^{s} (s-t)T(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} \frac{e^{\lambda s}}{\lambda^{2}}R(\lambda)d\lambda, \ s>0 \ .$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose N such that

$$\int_{1-i\infty}^{1-iN}+\int_{1+iN}^{1+i\infty}rac{1}{|\lambda^2|}||\,e^{\lambda s}R(\lambda)\,||\,d\mid\lambda\mid .$$

Then

$$\left\|\int_{0}^{s}(s-t)T(t)dt-rac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{1-iN}^{1+iN}rac{e^{\lambda s}}{\lambda^{2}}R(\lambda)d\lambda
ight\| .$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.1, the integral of  $(e^{\lambda s}/\lambda^2)R(\lambda)$  over the finite segment of the vertical line is a compact operator. It follows that for each  $s \ge 0$ ,  $\int_{0}^{s} (s-t)T(t)dt$  is a compact operator.

Consider the function

$$F(s) = \int_0^s (s-t) T(t) dt, \ s \ge 0$$

Each value of F is a compact operator. Elementary calculations show that F is differentiable in the uniform operator topology. Consequently, each

$$F'(s) = \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^s T(t) dt, \ s \ge 0$$
 ,

is the limit in the uniform operator topology of a sequence of compact operators. Hence, each F'(s),  $s \ge 0$ , is a compact operator. In taking derivatives again, we see that for h > 0,

$$\left\|rac{1}{h}\int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} T(t)dt - T(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})
ight\| \leq \sup\left\{ \mid\mid T(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+lpha) - T(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\mid\mid: 0 \leq lpha \leq h
ight\}.$$

If  $T(t_0 + \alpha)$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology for  $\alpha \ge 0$ , then

$$T(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = ext{uniform} - \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{1}{h} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} T(t) dt \; .$$

It follows that  $T(t_0)$  is a compact operator.

See [6, page 537] for a discussion of the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Consider the semi-group  $\mathscr{S}$  of left translations on the space  $C_0[0, 1]$  consisting of continuous functions x(u) vanishing at 1, where the norm  $||x|| = \sup \{|x(u)|: 0 \le u \le 1\}$ . Let [T(t)x](u) = x(u + t), for  $0 \le u \le \max \{0, 1 - t\}$ , and 0 for  $\max \{0, 1 - t\} \le u \le 1$ . The infinitesimal generator of  $\mathscr{S}$  is the operator of differentiation d/(du) with domain

$$D\left(\frac{d}{du}\right) = \left\{x \colon x' \in C_{\mathfrak{d}}[0, 1]\right\}.$$

The compact resolvents are given by

$$[R(\lambda)x](u) = \int_0^{1-u} e^{-\lambda t} x(u+t) dt, \ \lambda \in C.$$

For  $t \ge 1$ , T(t) is the compact operator 0 while for t, s < 1, || T(t) - T(s) || = 2. This can easily be seen by evaluating T(t) - T(s) at a function  $x \in C_0[0, 1]$  with  $|| x || \le 1$  and x(t) = 1, x(s) = -1. So T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology only for  $t \ge 1$ .

Choose a monotonically increasing sequence of positive functions  $\{y_n\} \subseteq C_0[0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_n y_n(u) = 1$  for each u < 1. For t < 1,  $\{T(t)y_n\}$  is a sequence of functions having no subsequence which can converge uniformly. So T(t), t < 1, is not a compact operator.

For  $\lambda = \sigma + i\tau$ , let  $x_n(u) = e^{i\tau u}y_n(u)$  in the definition of  $R(\lambda)$ . We see that

$$[R(\lambda)x_n](0) = \int_0^1 e^{-\sigma t} y_n(t) dt .$$

Since  $||x_n|| = 1$  for each n,

$$|| R(\lambda) || \geq \sup_n | [R(\lambda)x_n](0) | = \int_0^1 e^{-\sigma t} dt$$
 .

It follows immediately from the definition of  $R(\lambda)$  that the reverse inequality holds also. Consequently,  $|| R(\lambda) || = \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\sigma t} dt$ . In particular,  $\lim_{|\tau|\to\infty} || R(\sigma + i\tau) || \neq 0$ . This serves to distinguish this differential operator from the class of infinitesimal generators which we consider next.

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose  $\mathscr{S}$  is a semi-group such that the set of resolvents  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$  corresponding to the vertical line  $re(\lambda) = 1$  is collectively compact. Then  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is also collectively compact.

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X$ ,  $R(\lambda)x$  is a holomorphic and bounded function of  $\lambda$ ,  $re(\lambda) > 1/2$ . So  $R(\lambda)x$  admits Poisson's integral representation [6, page 229]

$$R(\sigma+i au)x=rac{\sigma-1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}rac{R(1+ieta)x}{(\sigma-1)^2+( au-eta)^2}deta$$

for  $\sigma > 1$ ,  $x \in X$ . Since  $\{R(1 + i\beta): -\infty < \beta < \infty\}$  is collectively compact and the integral of the Poisson kernel over  $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ is identically one, Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) > 1\}$  is collectively compact. Taking the union of this set and  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$ , one obtains the desired result.

For  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\langle x^*, R(\sigma + i\tau)x 
angle = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\tau t} (e^{-\sigma t} \langle x^*, T(t)x 
angle) dt$$

This is this Fourier transform of the absolutely summable function  $e^{-\sigma t}\langle x^*, T(t)x \rangle$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . The convergence of

$$|| R(\sigma + i\tau) || = \sup \{| \langle x^*, R(\sigma + i\tau)x \rangle | : || x ||, || x^* || \leq 1 \}$$

to 0 as  $|\sigma|$  and  $|\tau|$  approach infinity can be viewed as a "uniform" Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

THEOREM 3.5. If  $\mathscr{F} = \{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is collectively compact, then  $|| R(\lambda) ||$  converges to 0 as  $|\lambda|$  approaches  $\infty$ ,  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Throughout the following proof, we assume that  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and choose real  $\beta$  so large that  $1 + \beta \ge M/\varepsilon$ , where M is the constant in §1 which bounds the operator norms of elements of  $\mathscr{S}$ . By (2),

$$|| \, R(\lambda \, + \, eta) \, || \leq rac{M}{r e(\lambda) \, + \, eta} \leq rac{M}{1 \, + \, eta} \leq arepsilon \; .$$

In view of Lemma 2.4,  $\mathscr{F}$  is an equicontinuous collection with  $R(\lambda)$  converging to zero as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$  pointwise on the relatively compact set  $\mathscr{F}(X_1)$ . Therefore,  $||R(\lambda)F|| \to 0$  as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$  uniformly for  $F \in \mathscr{F}$ . Choose N such that  $|\lambda| \ge N$  implies that

 $\|R(\lambda)R(\lambda + \beta)\| \leq \varepsilon/\beta$  .

The first resolvent equation states that

$$R(\lambda) - R(\lambda + \beta) = (\lambda + \beta - \lambda)R(\lambda)R(\lambda + \beta)$$
.

So, for  $|\lambda| \geq N$ ,

$$\| \, R(\lambda) \, \| \leqq \| \, eta R(\lambda) R(\lambda + eta) \, \| + \| \, R(\lambda + eta) \, \| \leqq 2 arepsilon \; .$$

Note that we have used the fact that  $\mathscr{F}$  contains those resolvents  $R(\lambda)$  with  $re(\lambda)$  arbitrarily large in an essential way.

COROLLARY 3.6. Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be any semi-group whose infinitesimal generator A has compact resolvents, i.e., each  $R(\lambda)$ ,  $re(\lambda) > 0$ , is a compact operator. Then  $\mathscr{F} = \{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$  is collectively compact if and only if  $||R(\lambda)|| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* The assumption that  $|| R(\lambda) || \to 0$  as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$ ,  $re(\lambda) \ge 1$ , simply implies that  $R(\lambda)$  can be extended to a continuous function on the compactification of the half-plane  $\{\lambda: re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ . Consequently, if A has compact resolvents,  $\mathscr{F}$  is a totally bounded set of compact operators. [2, Corollary 2.7] implies that  $\mathscr{F}$  is collectively compact.

The converse is simply Theorem 3.5.

The behavior of the holomorphic function  $R(\lambda)$  on the vertical line  $re(\lambda) = 1$  is of fundamental importance. For example, if  $d(\lambda)$ denotes the distance of the complex number  $\lambda$  from the spectrum of A, then [3, page 566]

$$d(1+i\tau) \ge \frac{1}{||R(1+i\tau)||}$$

We see that the spectrum of A must be bounded on the right by the curve

$$\gamma( au) = 1 - rac{1}{||R(1+i au)||} + i au, -\infty < au < \infty$$

In particular, it follows from Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.4 that when  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$  is collectively compact, the spectrum of A is severely restricted.

The usual methods of inverting Fourier transforms can be typified by the use of (C, 1) means. In [5, page 350], it is shown that for each t > 0

$$T(t) = \lim_{w \to \infty} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-w}^w \left(1 - rac{|\tau|}{w}
ight) e^{(1+i\tau)t} R(1+i\tau) d au \; .$$

However, the measures involved no longer satisfy the requirements of Lemma 2.1. As this situation is typical, we are not able to prove that if  $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$  is collectively compact, then each  $T(t) \in \mathcal{S}, t > 0$ , is a compact operator.

## References

1. P. M. Anselone and R. H. Moore, Approximate solutions of integral and operator equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 9 (1964), 268-277.

2. J. D. DePree and H. S. Klein, Characterizations of collectively compact set, of linear operators, Pacific J. Math., 55 (1974), 45-54.

3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I, New York: Interscience, 1958.

4. A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1969.

5. A. Grothendieck, Sur les Applications Lineaires Faiblement Compactes D'Epaces Du Type C(K), Canad. J. Math., 5 (1953), 129-173.

6. E. Hille and R. Phillips, Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups, Providence, R. I.: American Mathematical Society, 1957.

Received April 4, 1973.

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY