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Let $X$ be a completely regular space and $E, F$ locally convex spaces. Denote by $C_{r c}=C_{r c}(X, E)$ the space of all continuous functions $f$ from $X$ into $E$ for which $f(X)$ is relatively compact. Uniformly continuous weakly compact operators from $C_{r c}$ into $F$ are repreesented by integrals with respect to $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$ valued measures on the algebra generated by the zero sets. Necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator to be continuous, with respect to certain topologies, are obtained. A sufficient condition for extending a measure to all Baire sets is given.

Introduction. In [5] D. Lewis represented weakly compact operators from the space $C(S)$ of all scalar-valued continuous functions on a compact space into a locally convex space. The representation was given by means of integrals with respect to vector-valued measures on the Borel field. In [1] Bartle, Dunford, and Schwartz gave a similar representation for operators from $C(S)$ into a Banach space. Also Grothendieck [2] noted that the family of all weakly compact operators fron $C(S)$ into a locally convex space $E$ corresponds exactly to the family of $E$-valued measures on the Baire algebra. In this paper we will give integral representations for weakly compact operators from $C_{r c}$ into $F$ by means of integrals with respect to $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$ valued measures on the algebra generated by the zero sets. Necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator to be continuous with respect to certain locally convex topologies are given. Also a result is obtained on the extension of measures to all Baire sets.

1. Definitions and preliminaries. Let $X$ be a completely regular Hausdorff space and let $B=B(X)$ denote the algebra of subsets of $X$ generated by the zero sets. By $B a=B a(X)$ and $B o=B o(X)$ we will denote the $\sigma$-algebras of Baire and Borel sets respectively. Let $M(X)$ denote the space of all bounded finitely-additive regular (with respect to the zero sets) measures on $B$ (see Varadarajan [8]). The spaces of all $\sigma$-additive and all $\tau$-additive members of $M(X)$ will be denoted by $M_{\sigma}(X)$ and $M_{\tau}(X)$ respectively. The set $M_{\sigma}(B a)$ is the space of all real-valued Baire measures while $M_{\tau}(B o)$ denotes the space of all bounded real-valued regular Borel measures $m$ with the property
that $m(G \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ for every net $\left\{G_{\alpha}\right\}$ of closed sets which decreases to the empty set.

Let $E$ be a real locally convex Hausdorff space. For $p$ a continuous seminorm on $E$, we define $M_{p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ as the set of all $E^{\prime}$-valued ( $E^{\prime}$ is the dual of $E$ ) finitely-additive measures $m$ on $B$ with the following two properties:
(1) For every $s \in E$, the function $m s$, from $B$ into the reals $R, G \rightarrow m(G) s$, is in $M(X)$.
(2) $\|m\|_{p}=m_{p}(X)<\infty$, where for $G$ in $B$ the $m_{p}(G)$ is defined to be the supremum of all $\left|\Sigma m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}\right|$ for all finite $B$-partitions $\left\{G_{i}\right\}$ of $G$, i.e., $G_{i} \in B$, and all finite collections $s_{i} \in B_{p}=\{s \in E: p(s) \leqq 1\}$. The set $M_{\sigma, p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ consists of those $m$ in $M_{p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ for which $m s \in M_{\sigma}(X)$ for all $s$ in $E$. The spaces $M_{\tau, p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\sigma, p}\left(B a, E^{\prime}\right)$, and $M_{\tau, p}\left(B o, E^{\prime}\right)$ are defined similarly. As shown in [3] if $m$ is in any one of the spaces $M_{p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\sigma, p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\tau, p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\sigma, p}\left(B a, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\tau, p}\left(B o, E^{\prime}\right)$, then $m_{p}$ belongs to $\quad M(X), \quad M_{\sigma}(X), \quad M_{\tau}(X), \quad M_{\sigma}(B a), \quad M_{\tau}(B o)$ respectively. Every $m \in M_{\sigma, p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)\left[m \in M_{\tau, p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)\right]$ has a unique extension $\mu$ to a member of $M_{\sigma, p}\left(B a, E^{\prime}\right)$ [to a member of $\left.M_{\tau, p}\left(B o, E^{\prime}\right)\right]$. Moreover, the restriction of $\mu_{p}$ to $B$ coincides with $m_{p}$. Let $\{p: p \in I\}$ be a generating family of continuous seminorms on $E$ which is directed, i.e., given $p_{1}, p_{2}$ in $I$ there exists $p \in I$ with $p \geqq p_{1}, p_{2}$. Let $M\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)=\cup\left\{M_{p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right) \cdot p \in I\right\}$ with analogous definitions for $M_{\sigma}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\tau}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right), M_{\sigma}\left(B a, E^{\prime}\right)$ and $M_{\tau}\left(B o, E^{\prime}\right)$.

Denote by $C_{r c}=C_{r c}(X, E)$ the space of all continuous functions $f$ from $X$ into $E$ for which $f(X)$ is relatively compact. Every $f$ in $C_{r c}$ has a unique continuous extension $\hat{f}$ to all of the Stone Cěch compactification $\beta X$. By $C^{b}(X)$ we denote the space of all bounded continuous real-valued functions on $X$. Let $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{1}$ be, respectively, the class of all compact and all zero sets in $\beta X$ disjoint from $X$. Let $Q \in \Omega$. We define $\beta_{Q}$ to be the locally convex topology generated by the family of seminorms $f \rightarrow\|f g\|_{p}=\sup \{p(f(x) g(x)): x \in X\}$ where $p \in I$ and $g \in$ $B_{Q}=\left\{h \cong C^{b}: \hat{h}(x)=0\right.$ for $x$ in $\left.Q\right\}$. The topologies $\beta$ and $\beta_{1}$ on $C_{r c}$ are defined to be the inductive limits of the topologies $\beta_{Q}$ as $Q$ ranges over $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{1}$ respectively. For a fixed $p, \beta_{p, Q}$ is the locally convex topology on $C_{r c}$ generated by the seminorms $f \rightarrow\|g f\|_{p}, g \in B_{Q}$. As shown in [3], $\beta_{p . Q}$ is the finest locally convex topology on $C_{r c}$ which agrees with $\beta_{p, Q}$ on $p$-bounded sets. Let $\beta_{p}$ and $\beta_{1, p}$ denote the inductive limits of the topologies $\beta_{p, Q}$ as $Q$ ranges over $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{1}$ respectively. The topologies $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ are the projective limits of the topologies $\beta_{p}$ and $\beta_{1, p}$, respectively, as $p$ ranges over I. If $u$ is the uniform topology, then $\beta^{\prime} \leqq \beta \leqq \beta_{1} \leqq u$ and $\beta_{1}^{\prime} \leqq \beta_{1}$.

For $G$ in $B$ and $m \in M_{p}\left(B, E_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ we define $\int_{G} f d m=$ $\lim \sum m\left(G_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right)$ where the limit is taken over the directed set of all
finite $B$-partitions $\left\{G_{i}\right\}$ of $G$ and $x_{i} \in G_{i}$. The map $f \rightarrow T_{m}(f)=\int_{X} f d m$ is a uniformly continuous linear functional on $C_{r c}$. Moreover, $\|m\|_{p}=$ $\sup \left\{\left|T_{m}(f)\right|:\|f\|_{p} \leqq 1\right\}$. The mapping $m \rightarrow T_{m}$ is a one-to-one linear map from $M\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ into $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime}$. The space $M_{\sigma}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ is the dual space of each of the topologies $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ while $M_{\tau}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ is the dual space of each of the topologies $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$. Given any $m \in M_{p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ there exists a unique $\hat{m}$ in $M_{\tau, p}\left(B o(\beta X), E^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\int_{X} f d m=$ $\int_{\beta X} \hat{f} d \hat{m}$ for all $f$ in $C_{r c}$. As shown in [3], $m$ is $\sigma$-additive iff $\hat{m}_{p}(Z)=0$ for all $Z$ in $\Omega_{1}$. Similarly $m$ is $\tau$-additive iff $\hat{m}_{p}(Q)=0$ for all $Q$ in $\Omega$. Moreover, if $m$ is $\sigma$-additive or $\tau$-additive, then $\hat{m}(Q)=$ $m(Q \cap X)$ and $\hat{m}_{p}(Q)=\hat{m}_{p}(Q \cap X)$ for all $Q$ in $B(\beta X)$.

Let now $F$ be another real locally convex Hausdorff space and let $\{q: q \in J\}$ be a generating directed family of continuous seminorms on $F$. Let $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$ denote the space of all continuous operators from $E$ into $F$. We define $M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ to be the space of all finitely-additive $\mathscr{L}(E, F)$ valued measures $m$ on $B$ with the following two properties:
(1) For each $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ the set function $x^{\prime} m: B \rightarrow E^{\prime},\left(x^{\prime} m\right)(G) s=$ $x^{\prime}(m(G) s), s \in E$, is in $M\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) Given $q \in J$ there exists $p$ in $I$ such that for all $x^{\prime}$ in the polar $B_{q}^{0}$ of $B_{q}$ in $F^{\prime}$ the $x^{\prime} m$ is in $M_{p}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\|m\|_{p, q}=m_{p, q}(X)<\infty$ where for $\quad Q \quad$ in $B, m_{p, q}(Q)=\sup \left\{\left(x^{\prime} m\right)_{p}(Q): x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}\right\}$. We define $M_{\sigma}(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F)), M_{\tau}(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F)), M_{\sigma}(B a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ and $M_{\tau}(B o, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ analogously. Let $m \in M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ and $f$ a function from $X$ into $E$. We say that $f$ is $m$-integrable over $G$ in $B$ if
(i) For each $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$, the integral $\int_{G} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)$ exists
(ii) there exists a vector in $F$ denoted by $\int_{G} f d m$ such that for all $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we have $x^{\prime}\left(\int_{G} f d m\right)=\int_{G} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)$.
Since $F$ is a locally convex Hausdorff space, the $\int_{G} f d m$ is unique whenever it exists. If $f$ is $m$-integrable over all $G$ in $B$, we say that $f$ is $m$-integrable.
2. Continuous linear operators from $C_{r c}$ into $\boldsymbol{F}$. Let $E, F,\{p: p \in I\},\{q: q \in J\}$ be as in paragraph 1. Recall that a linear operator $T$ from a topological vector space $A$ into another $B$ is weakly compact if it maps bounded subsets of $A$ into weakly relatively compact subsets of $B$. We need the following lemma due to Grothendieck [2].

Lemma 1. Let T be an operator from a topological vector space $A$ into another $B$ and let $T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ denote, respectively, the transpose and the second transpose of $T$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is weakly compact
(2) $T^{\prime \prime}$ maps $A^{\prime \prime}$ into $B$
(3) If $B^{\prime}$ is equipped with the Mackey topology $m\left(B^{\prime}, B\right)$ and $A^{\prime}$ with the strong topology $\beta\left(A^{\prime}, A\right)$, then $T^{\prime}$ is continuous.

Lemma 2. Let $f_{0}$ be in $C_{r c}$ and $G$ in $B$. Define $\phi$ on $M\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ by $\phi(m)=\int_{G} f_{0} d m$. Then $\phi$ belongs to the $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Let $A=\left\{f \in C_{r c}:\|f\|_{p} \leqq\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}\right.$ for all $p$ in $\left.I\right\}$. Then $A$ is $u$-bounded and hence the polar $A^{0}$ in $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime}$ is a strong neighborhood of zero. We will finish the proof by showing that $\phi$ is bounded on $A^{0}$. To this end consider an arbitrary $m$ in $A^{0}$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. There exists a $B$-partition $G_{1}, G_{2}, \cdots, G_{n}$ of $G$ and $x_{i} \in G_{i}$ such that $\left|\int_{G} f_{0} d m\right| \leqq\left|\Sigma m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}\right|+\epsilon, s_{t}=f_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)$. By the regularity of $m s_{i}$ we can find zero sets $Z_{i} \subset G_{i}$ such that $\left|\Sigma m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}\right| \leqq$ $\left|\sum m\left(Z_{i}\right) s_{i}\right|+\epsilon . \quad$ Again by the regularity of $\left|m s_{i}\right|\left(\left|m s_{i}\right|\right.$ is the absolute variation of $m s_{i}$ ) we can find pairwise disjoint cozero sets $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{n}$, $Z_{i} \subset U_{i}$ such that $\Sigma\left|m s_{i}\right|\left(U_{i}-Z_{i}\right)<\epsilon$. For each $i$ choose $h_{i} \in C^{b}$, with $0 \leqq h_{i} \leqq 1$, such that $h_{i}=1$ on $Z_{i}$ and $h_{i}=0$ on $X-U_{i}$. Set $h=$ $\sum h_{i} s_{i}$. Then $h \in A$ and hence $\left|\int_{X} h d m\right| \leqq 1$. But

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left|\int_{X} h d m\right| \geqq\left|\sum \int_{Z_{i}} h_{i} s_{i} d m\right|-\mid \sum \int_{U_{i}-z_{i}} h_{i} d m s_{i}\right) \mid \\
\geqq\left|\sum m\left(Z_{i}\right) s_{i}\right|-\epsilon \geqq\left|\int f_{0} d m\right|-3 \epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $\left|\int_{G} f_{0} d m\right| \leqq 1$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 3. If $T$ is a continuous weakly compact operator from $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)$ into $F$, then there exists a unique $m \in M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ such that:
(1) Every $f$ in $C_{r c}$ is m-integrable and $\int_{X} f d m=T(f)$
(2) If $p \in I$ and $q \in J$ are such that $\|T\|_{p, q}=\sup \{q(T(f))$ : $\left.\|f\|_{p} \leqq 1\right\} \leqq \infty$, then $\|m\|_{p, q}=\|T\|_{p, q \cdot}$.
(3) For every $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$, we have $T^{\prime} x^{\prime}=x^{\prime} m$
(4) For every bounded set $S$ in $E$ the set $V_{m, s}=\left\{\Sigma m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}:\left\{G_{i}\right\}\right.$ is a finite $B$-partition of $\left.X, s_{i} \in S\right\}$ is weakly relatively compact. Conversely, if $m \in M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ is such that
(5) holds, then every $f$ in $C_{r c}$ is m-integrable and the operator $T(f)=\int_{X} f d m$ is u-continuous and weakly compact.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is $u$-continuous and weakly compact. By Lemma 1, $T^{\prime \prime}$ maps $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime \prime}$ into $F$. If $f \in C_{r c}$ and $G$ in $B$, the function $f \chi_{G}\left(\chi_{G}\right.$ is the characteristic function of $\left.G\right)$ defines an element of $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime \prime}$ by $\left\langle\mu, f \chi_{G}\right\rangle=\int_{G} f d \mu, \mu \in M\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)=\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime}$. Thus we may consider $f \chi_{G}$ as an element of $\left(C_{r c}, u\right)^{\prime \prime}$. Define $m(G): E \rightarrow F$ by $m(G) s=$ $T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} s\right), G$ in $B$. It is easy to see that $m(G) \in \mathscr{L}(E, F)$. In this way we define a map $m: B \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E, F)$ which is clearly finitely additive. If $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ and $s$ in $E$, then $\left(x^{\prime} m\right)(G) s=x^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} s\right)\right)=\left\langle T^{\prime} x^{\prime}, \chi_{G} s\right\rangle=$ $T^{\prime} x^{\prime}(G) s$. Thus $x^{\prime} m=T^{\prime} x^{\prime} \in M\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$. Let $q \in J$. Since $T$ is $u$ continuous there exists $p \in I$ such that $\|T\|_{p, q}<\infty$. Let $x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}$. Then for $f$ in $C_{r c}$ with $\|f\|_{p} \leqq 1$ we have $\left|\left\langle f, x^{\prime} m\right\rangle\right|=$ $\left|\left\langle f, T^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \leqq\left|\left\langle T f, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \leqq\|T\|_{p, q}$. Thus $\left\|x^{\prime} m\right\|_{p} \leqq\|T\|_{p, q}$ which proves that $\|m\|_{p . q} \leqq\|T\|_{p, q}$ and so $m$ is in $M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$. Let $G$ be in $B$ and $f \in C_{r c}$. For $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we have $x^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} f\right)\right)=\left\langle T^{\prime} x^{\prime}, \chi_{G} f\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime} m, \chi_{G} f\right\rangle=$ $\int_{G} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)$. This shows that $\int_{G} f d m=T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} f\right) \in F . \quad$ Taking $G=X$ we get $\int_{X} f d m=T^{\prime \prime}(f)=T(f)$. For $f \in C_{r c}$ with $\|f\|_{p} \leqq 1$ and $x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}$ we have $\left|x^{\prime}(T(f))\right|=\left|\int f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)\right| \leqq\left\|x^{\prime} m\right\|_{p} \leqq\|m\|_{p, q}$. This proves that $\|T\|_{p, q} \leqq\|m\|_{p, q}$. For the uniqueness of $m$, suppose $m_{1}$ is another element in $M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ such that $\int_{X} f d m_{1}=T(f)$ for all $f \in C_{r c}$. Then for $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we have $\int_{X} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)=\int_{X} f d\left(x^{\prime} m_{1}\right)$ for all $f$ in $C_{r c}$. This implies that $x^{\prime} m=x^{\prime} m_{1}$ and hence $m=m_{1}$ since $F$ is a locally convex Hausdorff space. Finally, let $S$ be a bounded subset of $E$ and $W=V_{m, s}$. Let $A=\left\{f \in C_{r c}: f(X) \subset S\right\}$. Then $A$ is $u$-bounded and therefore $T(A)$ is weakly relatively compact. We will finish the proof of (4) by showing that $E$ is contained in the weak closure of $T(A)$. Let $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n}$ be a $B$-partition of $X$ and $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ in $S$. Let $x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{N}^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. There exist $q \in J$ and $M>0$ such that $x_{i}^{\prime} \in M B_{q}^{0}$. Let $p \in I$ be such that $\|T\|_{p, q}<\infty$. Since $S$ is bounded, $d=\sup \{p(s): s \in E\}<\infty$. By the regularity of $\left(x_{j}^{\prime} m\right)_{p}$ we can find zero sets $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{\prime} m\right)_{p}\left(G_{i}-Z_{i}\right)<\epsilon / 2 d$ (where $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary) for $j=1, \cdots, N$. Next, again by regularity, we can find
pairwise disjoint cozero sets $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{n}$ with $Z_{i} \subset U_{1}$ such that for each $j, 1 \leqq j \leqq N$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{\prime} m\right)_{p}\left(U_{i}-Z_{i}\right)<\epsilon / 2 d$. For each $i$ between 1 and $n$ we pick a function $h_{t} \in C^{b}$ mith $0 \leqq h_{i} \leqq 1$, such that $h_{i}=1$ on $Z_{i}$ and $h_{i}=0$ on the complement of $U_{l}$. The function $h=\sum_{1}^{n} h_{i} s_{i}$ is in $A$ and hence $T(h) \in T(A$. Moreover

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mid x_{\jmath}^{\prime}\left(T(h)-\sum m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i} \mid\right. \\
=\left|x_{l}^{\prime}\left(\sum m\left(Z_{t}\right) s_{t}-\sum m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}+\sum \int_{U_{i}-Z_{i}} h_{t} s_{t} d m\right)\right|<\epsilon / 2+\epsilon / 2=\epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

This shows that $\Sigma m\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}$ is in the weak closure of $T(A)$ and the proof of (4) is complete. Conversely, suppose that $m \in M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ satisfies (4). Let $G \in B$ and $f \in C_{r}$. Denote by $D_{G}$ the set of all $\alpha=\left\{G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n} ; x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ where $\left\{G_{i}\right\}$ is a $B$-partition of $G$ and $x_{t} \in$ $G_{l}$. For $\alpha, \gamma$ in $D_{G}$ we write $\alpha \geqq \gamma$ if the $B$-partition of $G$ for $\alpha$ is a refinement of the one in $\gamma$. Then $D_{G}$ becomes a directed set.

For $\alpha=\left\{G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n} ; x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\} \quad$ in $D_{G}$ we define $z_{\alpha}=$ $\sum m\left(G_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right)$. By (4) the net $\left\{z_{\alpha}\right\}$ is contained in a weakly compact set. Hence there exists a subnet which converges weakly to a vector $z$ in $F$. But for each $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ we have $x^{\prime}\left(z_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \int_{G} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)$. Thus $x^{\prime}(z)=\int_{G} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)$ which shows that $\int_{G} f d m=z$. Define $T: C_{r c} \rightarrow F$, $T(f)=\int_{X} f d m$. Then $T$ is $u$-continuous and weakly compact. For the continuity, let $q \in J$. Choose $p \in I$ such that $\|m\|_{p, q}<\infty$. If $x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}$ and $\|f\|_{p} \leqq 1$, we have $\left|x^{\prime}(T(f))\right|=\mid \int_{X} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right) \leqq\left\|x^{\prime} m\right\|_{p} \leqq$ $\|m\|_{p . q}$. It follows that $\|T\|_{p . q} \leqq\|m\|_{p, q}$ and the continuity of $T$ is established. To prove the weak compactness consider an arbitrary bounded set $A$ in $C_{r c}$ and let $S$ denote the convex circled hull of $\cup\{f(X): f \in A\}$. Then $S$ is bounded in $E$. Let $W=V_{m . s}$. Clearly $W$ is convex and circled. By hypothesis $W$ is also weakly relatively compact. It follows that the polar $W^{0}$ of $W$ in $F^{\prime}$ is a $m\left(F^{\prime}, F\right)$ neighborhood of zero. We will show that $T^{\prime}\left(W^{0}\right) \subset A^{0}$. Let $x^{\prime} \in W^{0}$ and $f \in A$. If $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n}$ is a $B$-partition of $X$ and $x_{i} \in G_{i}$, then $\mid x^{\prime}\left(\sum_{1}^{n} m\left(G_{t}\right) f\left(x_{t}\right) \mid \leqq 1\right.$. This implies that $\left|x^{\prime}\left(\int f d m\right)\right| \leqq 1$. Thus $\left|\left\langle T^{\prime} x^{\prime}, f\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle x^{\prime}, T(f)\right\rangle\right| \leqq 1$ which proves that $T^{\prime} x^{\prime} \in A^{0}$. Now the result follows Lemma 1.

By the preceding theorem, given a continuous weakly compact operator $T$ from $C_{r c}$ into $F$ there exists $m \in M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ which represents $T$. Since the operator $\hat{T}: C(\beta X, E) \rightarrow F, \hat{T}(\hat{f})=T(f)$, is also
weakly compact and since the dual of $C(\beta X, E)$ (with the uniform topology) is $M_{\tau}\left(B_{0}(\beta X), E^{\prime}\right)$ we can find, using an argument analogous to that of Theorem 2 , an $\hat{m} \in M_{\tau}\left(B_{0}(\beta X), \mathscr{L}(E, F)\right)$ representing $\hat{m}$. The next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions on $m$ and $\hat{m}$ so that $T$ is $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ continuous.

Theorem 4. Let $T$ be a u-continuous and weakly compact operator from $C_{r c}$ into $F$ and let $m$ and $\hat{m}$ be as above. The following are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ continuous
(2) Given $q \in J$ there exists $p$ in $I$ with $\|T\|_{p, q}<\infty$ such that $m_{p, q}\left(Z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of zero sets decreasing to the empty set.
(3) Given $q \in J$ there exists $p \in I$ with $\|T\|_{p, q}<\infty$ such that for each $Z$ in $\Omega_{1}$ we have $\inf \left\{\hat{m}_{p, q}(V): V\right.$ cozero set, $\left.V \supset Z\right\}=0$.

Proof. $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$. Since $T$ is $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$-continuous there exists $p \in I$ such that $T^{-1}\left(B_{q}\right)$ is a $\beta_{1, P}$ neighborhood of zero. Let now $Z$ be in $\Omega_{1}$. Then there exists $g \in C^{b}(X)$ with $\hat{g}(Z)=0$ such that $W=$ $\left\{f \in C_{r c}:\|g f\|_{p} \leqq 1\right\} \subset T^{-1}\left(B_{q}\right)$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given and set $V=$ $\{x \in \beta X:|\hat{g}(x)|<\epsilon\}$. Then $V$ is a cozero set containing $Z$. For a given $\delta>0$ there exist $x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}$, a $B_{0}(\beta X)$ partition $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{N}$ of $V$ and $s_{i}$ in $E$ with $p\left(s_{i}\right) \leqq 1$ such that $\left|\Sigma x^{\prime} \hat{m}\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}\right|>\hat{m}_{p, q}(V)-\delta$. Next we choose compact sets $Z_{\imath} \subset G_{i}$ and pairwise disjoint open sets $0_{i}$ with $Z_{i} \subset 0_{i} \subset V$ such that $\left|\Sigma x^{\prime} \hat{m}\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}-\Sigma x^{\prime} \hat{m}\left(Z_{i}\right) s_{i}\right|<\delta \quad$ and $\Sigma\left(x^{\prime} \hat{m}\right)_{p}\left(0_{i}-Z_{i}\right)<\delta$. For each $i, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, we pick $h_{i} \in C^{b}(X)$ with $0 \leqq h_{i} \leqq 1, \hat{h_{i}}=1$ on $Z_{i}$ and $\hat{h}_{i}=0$ in the complement of $0_{i}$ in $\beta X$. Set $h=\sum h_{i} s_{i}$. Then $1 / \epsilon h \in W$ and so $\left.q(T h)\right) \leqq \epsilon$. Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{m}_{p, q}(V)<\delta+\Sigma\left|x^{\prime} \hat{m}\left(G_{i}\right) s_{i}\right| \leqq \delta+\delta+\Sigma\left|\int_{0_{i}-z_{i}} \hat{h}_{i} s_{l} d\left(x^{\prime} \hat{m}\right)\right| \\
+\left|x^{\prime}(T(h))\right| \leqq 3 \delta+\epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\delta>0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $\hat{m}_{p, q}(V) \leqq \epsilon . \quad(3 \Rightarrow 2)$. Let $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. If $x^{\prime} \in M B_{q}^{0}$ for some $q \in J$ and if $p \in I$ is as in (2), then from the fact that $\left(x^{\prime} \hat{m}\right)_{p}(Z)=0$ for all $Z$ in $\Omega_{1}$ and from $\int_{X} f d\left(x^{\prime} m\right)=$ $\int_{\beta X} \hat{f} d\left(x^{\prime} \hat{m}\right)$, which holds for all $f$ in $C_{r c}$, it follows that $x^{\prime} m$ is $\sigma$-additive and hence $\left(x^{\prime} \hat{m}\right)_{p}(A)=\left(x^{\prime} m\right)_{p}(A \cap X)$ for each $A$ in $B(\beta X)$. Let now $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of zero sets in $X$ which decreases to the empty set. For each $n$ there exists a zero set $F_{n}$ in $\beta X$ such that $F_{n} \cap X=Z_{n}$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. By (3) there exists a cozero set $V$ in
$\beta X$ containing $\cap F_{n}$ such that $\hat{m}_{p, q}(V)<\epsilon$. Since $\left(\cap F_{n}\right) \cap(\beta x-V)=$ $\varnothing$ there exists $N$ such that $F_{1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{N} \subset V$.

Now it follows that for $n \geqq N$ we have

$$
m_{p, q}\left(Z_{n}\right) \leqq m_{p, q}\left(Z_{N}\right)=\hat{m}_{p, q}\left(F_{1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{N}\right)<\epsilon .
$$

( $2 \Rightarrow 1$ ). Let $q \in J$ and choose $p \in I$ satisfying (2). For $x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}$ and $Z_{n} \downarrow \varnothing$ we have $\left(x^{\prime} m\right)_{p}\left(Z_{n}\right) \leqq m_{p, q}\left(Z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ which implies that $x^{\prime} m$ is $\sigma$-additive and so $\left(x^{\prime} \hat{m}\right)_{p}(A)=\left(x^{\prime} m\right)_{p}(A \cap X)$ for each $A$ in $B(\beta X)$. Let $Z$ be in $\Omega_{1}$. There exists $h \geqq 0$ in $C^{b}$ such that $Z=$ $\{x \in \beta X: \hat{h}(x)=0\}$. For each $n$ set $F_{n}=\{x \in \beta X: \hat{h}(x) \leqq 1 / n\}$. Then $Z_{n}=F_{n} \cap X$ is a zero set in $X$ and $Z_{n} \downarrow \varnothing$. Given $r>0$ there exists $n$ such that $m_{p, q}\left(Z_{n}\right)<1 / 2 r$. Choose $g \in C^{b}, 0 \leqq g \leqq 1$ with $\hat{g}=0$ on $Z$ and $\hat{g}=1$ on the complement of $V$ in $\beta X$, where $V=$ $\{x \in \beta X: \hat{h}(x)<1 / n\}$. Let now $f \in C_{r c}$ with $\|f\|_{p} \leqq r$ and $\|f g\|_{p} \leqq \delta=$ $1 / 2\|m\|_{p, q .}$ If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0},\left|x^{\prime} \int f d m\right|=\left|x^{\prime} \int \hat{f} d \hat{m} \leqq\left|x^{\prime} \int_{V} \hat{f} d m\right|\right. \\
& \quad+\left|x^{\prime} \int_{\beta X-V} \hat{g} \hat{f} d \hat{m}\right| \leqq r \cdot 1 / 2 r+\delta\|m\|_{p, q} \leqq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $q\left(\int f d m\right) \leqq 1$. Thus $\left\{f \in C_{r c}:\|f\|_{p} \leqq r,\|f g\|_{p} \leqq \delta\right\} \subset$ $T^{-1}\left(B_{q}\right)$ and $s_{0} T^{-1}\left(B_{q}\right)$ is a $\beta_{p, z}$ neighborhood of zero. Since this is true for all $Z$ in $\Omega_{1}$ it follows that $T^{-1}\left(B_{q}\right)$ is a $\beta_{1, p}$ neighborhood of zero which proves that $T$ is $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ continuous.

We have an analogous theorem for $\beta^{\prime}$ with a similar proof.

Theorem 5. Let $T, m$ and $\hat{m}$ be as in Theorem 3. The following are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is $\beta^{\prime}$-continuous
(2) Given $g \in J$ there exists $p \in I,\|T\|_{p, q}<\infty$ such that for each $G$ in $\Omega$ we have $\inf \left\{\hat{m}_{p, q}(V): V\right.$ cozero set, $\left.G \subset V\right\}=0$.
(3) Given $g \in J$ there exists $p \in I$ with $\|T\|_{p, q}<\infty$ that $m_{p, q}\left(Z_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for each net $\left\{Z_{\alpha}\right\}$ of zero sets in $X$ which decreases to the empty set.

Theorem 6. Suppose $T$ is a linear operator from $C_{r c}$ into $F$ which is $\beta_{1}$-continuous and that every weakly closed bounded subset of $F$ is weakly sequentially complete. Then there exists $m \in M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$, with respect to which each $f$ in $C_{r c}$ is integrable, such that $T(f)=\int f d m$ for all $f$ in $C_{r c} . \quad$ Moreover, if $T$ is $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ continuous, given $q \in J$ there exists $p \in I$ with $\|m\|_{p, q}<\infty$ such that $m_{p, q}\left(Z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of zero sets which decreases to the empty set.

Proof. Since $T$ is $\beta_{1}$-continuous, $T^{\prime}$ maps $F^{\prime}$ into the space $M_{\sigma}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)=\left(C_{r c}, \beta_{1}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $Z$ be a zero set in $X$. There exists $g \in C^{b}$ such that $Z=\{x: g(x)=0\}$. For each $n$ let

$$
V_{n}=\{x \in X:|g(x)|<1 / n\}
$$

Choose $f_{n}$ in $C^{b}, 0 \leqq f_{n} \leqq 1$ with $f_{n}=1$ on $Z$ and $f_{n}=0$ on $X-V_{n}$. Then $f_{n} \rightarrow X_{Z}$ pointwise. An arbitrary element of $B(X)$ can be written as a finite disjoint union of sets of the form $Z-F$ where $F \subset Z$ and $F, Z$ are zero sets. It follows that for $G$ in $B(X)$ there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in $C^{b}$ which converges pointwise to $\chi_{G}$. For $\mu$ in $M_{G}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)$ and $s \in E$ we have $\left\langle\mu, f_{n} s\right\rangle=\int f_{n} d(\mu s) \rightarrow \int \chi_{G} d(\mu s)=$ $\left\langle\mu, \chi_{G} s\right\rangle$. Thus $f_{n} s \rightarrow \chi_{G} s$ in the $\sigma\left(\left(C_{r c}, \beta_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}, M_{\sigma}\left(B, E^{\prime}\right)\right)$ topology and hence $T^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{n} s\right) \rightarrow T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} s\right)$ in the $\sigma\left(F^{\prime \prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ sense. But $T^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{n} s\right)=T\left(f_{n} s\right)$ and the set $\left\{T\left(f_{n} s\right): n=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ is $\sigma\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ bounded. Also the sequence $\left\{T^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{n} s\right)\right\}$ is weakly Cauchy. By hypothesis there exists $a \in F$ that $T^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{n} s\right) \rightarrow a$ in the $\sigma\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ topology. This implies that $T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} s\right)=$ $a \in F$. Define $m(G) s=T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{G} s\right)$. It is easy to see that $m \in$ $M(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ and that $T(f)=\int f d m$ for all $f$ in $C_{r c}$. Assume next that $T$ is $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$-continuous. Let $\hat{T}: C(\beta X, E) \rightarrow F, \hat{T}(\hat{f})=T(f)$. As in the case of $T$ we can find $\bar{m} \in M(B(\beta X), \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ such that $\hat{T}(\hat{f})=\int \hat{f} d \bar{m}$ for all $f$ in $C_{r c}$. Now to complete the proof we use an argument similar to that of Theorem 4.

If $m \in M_{\sigma}(B a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$, then the restriction of $m$ to $B$ is in $M_{\sigma}(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$. The following result is a partial converse.

Theorem 7. Let $m \in M_{\sigma}(B, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ be such that for any $s \in E$ the set $(m s)(B)$ is weakly relatively compact in $F$. Then there exists a unique $\bar{m}$ in $M_{\sigma}(B a, \mathscr{L}(E, F))$ whose restriction to $B$ coincides with $m$. Moreover, if $\|m\|_{p . q}<\infty$, then $\|\bar{m}\|_{p . a}=\|m\|_{p . q}$.

Proof. Let $G \in B a$ and set $W=\{Z: Z \subset G, Z$ a zero set $\}$. If we order $W$ by inclusion, it becomes a directed set. For $s \in E$, $\{m(Z) s: Z \in W\}$ is a net in $F$. By hypothesis there exists a subnet which converges weakly to some $a$ in $F$. For $x^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}, x^{\prime} m$ is $\sigma$ additive and thus has a unique extension to a member $\mu_{x^{\prime}}$ of $M_{\sigma}\left(B a, E^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover $\quad x^{\prime} m(Z) s \rightarrow \mu_{x^{\prime}}(G) s$. Thus $\quad x^{\prime}(a)=\mu_{x^{\prime}}(G) s$. Define $\bar{m}(G) s=x^{\prime}(a)$. Then $x^{\prime} \bar{m}=\mu_{x^{\prime}} \in M_{\sigma}\left(B a, E^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore $\bar{m}(G) \in \mathscr{L}(E, F)$. Indeed if $\|m\|_{p, q}<\infty$, then for $x^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}$ we have $\left|x^{\prime} \bar{m}(G) s\right|=\left|\mu_{x^{\prime}}(G) s\right| \leqq p(s)\left\|\mu_{x^{\prime}}\right\|_{p}=p(s)\left\|x^{\prime} m\right\|_{p} \leqq p(s)\|m\|_{p . q}$. Thus $q(\bar{m}(G) s) \leqq p(s)\|m\|_{p . q}$ which proves that $\bar{m}(G) \in \mathscr{L}(E, F)$. Also $\left\|x^{\prime} \bar{m}\right\|_{p}=\left\|\mu_{x^{\prime}}\right\|=\left\|x^{\prime} m\right\|_{p}$ implies that $\|\bar{m}\|_{p, q}=\|m\|_{p, q}$. Finally suppose $\lambda$ is another extension. Then for each $x^{\prime}$ in $F^{\prime}$ both $x^{\prime} \lambda$ and $x^{\prime} \bar{m}$ are extensions of $x^{\prime} m$ and hence they are equal. This implies that $\lambda=\bar{m}$.
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