## PRERADICALS AND INJECTIVITY

## LADISLAV BICAN

Recently, the  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivity of modules with respect to a couple of preradicals has been investigated. In the general case, the study of all the  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivities reduces to that with  $\sigma$  a torsion preradical. For a special class of rings the  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivities are completely described. The description of all quasi-injective modules over a Dedekind domain appears as a simple corollary.

J. A. Beachy [1] has introduced a new concept of  $\rho$ -density of a submodule N of a module M and he has investigated the  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivity of modules with respect to a couple of preradicals. In this paper we shall show that to any couple  $(\rho, \sigma)$  of preradicals there exists a torsion preradical  $\sigma'$  such that the  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivity and  $(\rho, \sigma')$ -injectivity have the same meanings. Further, in the study of  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivity, where  $\rho$  is a torsion preradical,  $\rho$  can be replaced by a torsion radical. Finally, the  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injectivities are completely determined for a class of subcommutative rings (containing all Dedekind domains) and this yields a characterization of all quasi-injective modules generalizing a result of Harada [7] (the methods are quite different).

We start with some basic definitions. A preradical  $\rho$  for the category  $_{R}M$  of left R-modules over an associative ring R with unity is any subfunctor of the identity, i.e.  $\rho$  assigns to each module M a submodule  $\rho(M)$  in such a way that every homomorphism  $M \rightarrow N$ induces  $\rho(M) \rightarrow \rho(N)$  by restriction. A preradical  $\rho$  is said to be idempotent if  $\rho^2 = \rho$ , torsion if  $\rho$  is left exact and it is called a radical if  $\rho(M/\rho(M)) = 0$ . It is well-known that  $\rho$  is torsion iff  $L \subset M$  implies  $\rho(L) = L \cap \rho(M)$  (see e.g. [10], Prop. 1.4). For a preradical  $\rho$ , a module M is called  $\rho$ -torsion if  $\rho(M) = M$  and  $\rho$ -torsion-free if  $\rho(M) = 0$ . Following J. A. Beachy [1] a submodule N of a module M is called  $\rho$ -dense in M if  $M/N \subseteq \rho(K/N)$  for some module K containing M, or, equivalently, if  $M/N \subset \rho(\hat{M}/N)$  where  $\hat{M}$  denotes the injective hull of M. Finally, for a couple  $(\rho, \sigma)$  of preradicals a module Q is said to be  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective if for every diagram  $f \downarrow_{\rho}^{N_0 \to N}$  with  $N_0$  $\rho$ -dense in N and Ker  $f \sigma$ -dense in N there is  $g: N \to Q$  making this diagram commutative. If  $\rho$  is a preradical and M a module then the module Q is said to be  $(\rho, M)$ -injective if every diagram  $f \downarrow \rho^{M \to M}$  with  $M_0$  $\rho$ -dense in M can be made commutative by some homomorphism  $M \rightarrow O$ .

## LADISLAV BICAN

For a preradical  $\sigma$  let  $\sigma'$  be the smallest torsion preradical which contain  $\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma'(M) = M \cap \sigma(\hat{M})$ , so  $\sigma'(M) = \sigma(M)$  if M is injective, and M is  $\sigma$ -torsion iff 0 is  $\sigma$ -dense in M. For a preradical  $\rho$  one can construct an ordinal sequence of preradicals in the following way:

$$\rho'(A) = \rho(A),$$

$$\rho^{\alpha+1}(A)/\rho^{\alpha}(A) = \rho(A/\rho^{\alpha}(A)),$$

$$\rho^{\alpha}(A) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \rho^{\beta}(A), \alpha \text{ a limit ordinal.}$$

As it is well-known (see [10]), the preradical  $\rho^*$  defined by  $\rho^*(A) = \rho^{\alpha}(A)$  whenever  $\rho^{\alpha}(A) = \rho^{\alpha+1}(A)$  is a radical and, in fact, the smallest radical containing  $\rho$  (we put  $\rho \leq \sigma$  whenever  $\rho(M) \subseteq \sigma(M)$  for all modules M).

LEMMA 1. Let  $\rho, \sigma$  be preradicals for <sub>R</sub>M. A module Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ injective iff it is  $(\rho, M)$ -injective for all modules M having 0 as a  $\sigma$ -dense submodule.

Proof. See [1], Theorem 23.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  be preradicals for <sub>R</sub>M and let  $Q \in _{R}M$ . Then (a) Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective iff it is  $(\rho, \sigma')$ -injective,

(b) if  $\rho$  is a torsion preradical, then Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective iff it is  $(\rho^*, \sigma)$ -injective.

**Proof.** For to prove (a) it suffices to use Lemma 1, since 0 is  $\sigma$ -dense in M iff 0 is  $\sigma'$ -dense in M.

If Q is  $(\rho^*, \sigma)$ -injective then it is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective since  $\rho \leq \rho^*$ . Assume that Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective, and let  $N_0 \subseteq N$  be  $\rho^*$ -dense, with  $f: N_0 \to Q$  and Ker  $f \sigma$ -dense in N. By a well-known argument using Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal extension  $f_1: N_1 \to Q$ . Then  $\rho(N/N_1) = 0$ , since otherwise  $f_1$  could be extended to the  $\rho$ -closure of  $N_1$  in N (by (a),  $\sigma$  can be assumed to be a torsion preradical), and so therefore  $\rho^*(N/N_1) = \rho(N/N_1) = 0$ , which implies  $N_1 = N$ .

**THEOREM 3.** If R is left hereditary, then the following equivalent conditions hold for each preradical  $\rho$  for <sub>R</sub>M.

(1)  $M_0 \subseteq M$  is  $\rho$ -dense iff it is  $\rho'$ -dense,

(2) if  $M_0 \subseteq M$  is  $\rho$ -dense and  $M/M_0 \cong N/N_0$ , then  $N_0 \subseteq N$  is  $\rho$ -dense.

**Proof.** The equivalence of conditions (1) and (2) is obvious. If R is left hereditary, then if  $M_0 \subseteq M$ ,  $\hat{M}/M_0$  is injective, and so  $M_0$  is  $\rho$ -dense in M iff  $M/M_0 \subseteq \rho(\hat{M}/M_0) = \rho'(\hat{M}/M_0)$  iff  $M_0$  is  $\rho'$ -dense in M.

Before proceeding we recall some basic definitions (see e.g. [2]). Let  $\pi$  be the set of all pair-wise non-isomorphic simple left **R**-modules. For every module M and every subset  $\pi' \subseteq \pi$  let us define  $S_{-}(M)$  as the submodule of M generated by all simple submodules of M isomorphic to some module from  $\pi'$ . It is easy to see that S<sub>r</sub> is a torsion preradical. The smallest radical  $S_{\pi'}^*$  containing  $S_{\pi'}$ (defined above) is torsion and is said to be the fundamental torsion radical. A ring R is said to have primary decompositions (PD) if  $S^*_{\pi}(M) = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\circ} S^*_{U}(M)$  for every module M. It is well-known that for a subcommutative ring with (PD) for which  $M/M^2$  is either 0 or a simple module for every maximal ideal M there is  $S_{\pi}^* = S_{\pi}^{\omega}$  where  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal (see e.g. [9]). Recall ([9], Def. 7.1) that a  $S_{\pi}^*$ -torsion module M is said to be quasicyclic if  $S_{\pi}^{\alpha+1}(M)/S_{\pi}^{\alpha}(M)$  is either 0 or simple for all ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $S_{\pi}^{n}(M) \neq M$  for all natural integers n. For further purposes we denote by 0 the zero functor and by  $\infty$  the identity functor. In the rest of this paper we shall deal with a subcommutative ring R having (PD) such that  $M/M^2$  is either 0 or a simple module for every maximal ideal M, every proper homomorphic image of R is  $S_{\pi}^{*}$ -torsion and every preradical for  $_{R}\mathcal{M}$  satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 3. For easy references we shall call such a ring a BSring. The last condition is independent from all others as shows the following example: Taking as  $R = Z/(p^3)$  the factor-ring of integers modulo  $p^3$  and  $\rho(M) = JM$  where J is the Jacobson radical of R, we obtain a preradical which does not satisfy the condition (2) from Theorem 3, since  $J \cdot C(p^3) = C(p)$  so that 0 is  $\rho$ -dense in  $C(p^2)$ . On the other hand,  $C(p^3)/C(p^2)$  is not  $\rho$ -torsion, so that C(p) is not  $\rho$ -dense in  $C(p^3)$ ,  $C(p^3)$  being injective. The idempotent radical  $\sigma$  on the abelian groups category assigning to each group its greatest divisible subgroup provides an example of a preradical which is not torsion and satisfies the condition (2) from Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. Let R be a BS-ring. Then  $\rho \neq \infty$  is a torsion preradical for <sub>R</sub>M iff to every  $U \in \pi$  there is  $n(U) \in \{N \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}, N$  the set of natural integers} such that  $\rho(M) = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{n} S_{U}^{n(U)}(M)$  for all  $M \in {}_{R}M$ .

**Proof.** We can obviously restrict ourselves to the proof of the necessity. It is well known that the smallest radical  $\rho^*$  containing  $\rho$  is torsion. Now from the correspondence between torsion radicals and radical filters (see e.g. [8]) and from the fact every proper homomorphic image of R is  $S^*_{\pi}$ -torsion it easily follows  $\rho^*$  is fundamental,  $\rho^* = S^*_{\pi}$ .

for some  $\pi' \subseteq \pi$ . Thus for every  $M \in {}_{R}\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\rho(M) = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\circ} M_{U} = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\circ} \rho_{U}(M)$  where  $\rho_{U} = S_{U}^{*}\rho$ . Now it suffices to describe  $\rho_{U}$ . Let *I* be a maximal ideal of *R* such that  $R/I \cong U$ . Two cases can arise:

(1) All the cyclic modules  $R/I^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$  are  $\rho$ -torsion. If  $I^n = I^{n+1}$  for some natural integer n, then  $S_U^n = S_U^{n+1}$  and  $\rho_U = S_U^n = S_U^*$ . If  $I^n \neq I^{n+1}$  for all n then as in the case of abelian groups the U-quasicyclic module (i.e. quasicyclic module M with  $S_{\pi}^{n+1}(M)/S_{\pi}^n(M) \cong U$ ) is a direct limit of  $R/I^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$  and thus  $\rho_U = S_U^*$ , since by [2], Theorem 3.3 every  $S_U^*$ -torsion module can be embedded in a direct sum of quasicyclic modules.

(2) There exists a nonnegative integer *n* such that  $R/I^n$  is  $\rho$ -torsion and  $R/I^k$ , k > n is not  $\rho$ -torsion. Now by [2], Theorem 4.2 for every  $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M} S^n_U(M)$  is a direct sum of cyclic submodules each of which is isomorphic to some  $R/I^l$ ,  $l \leq n$  and hence  $S^n_U \leq \rho_U$ . On the other hand, for any  $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$  every cyclic submodule of  $\rho_U(M)$  is isomorphic to some  $R/I^l$ ,  $l \leq n$ , so that  $I^n \rho_U(M) = 0$  and  $S^n_U = \rho_U$ .

THEOREM 5. Let R be a BS-ring and M a module. Then the following hold:

(i) If M is not  $S_{\pi}^*$ -torsion then a module Q is M-injective iff it is injective,

(ii) if M is  $S_{\pi}^{*}$ -torsion then a module Q is M-injective iff  $S_{U}^{n(U)}(Q) = S_{U}^{n(U)}(\hat{Q})$  for all  $U \in \pi$ , where n(U) is the smallest ordinal for which  $S_{U}^{n(U)}(M) = S_{U}^{n(U)+1}(M)$ .

**Proof.** By [1], Corollary 2.9 Q is M-injective iff it is  $(\infty, \rho)$ -injective where  $\rho$  is the smallest torsion preradical for which M is torsion.

(i) Taking an element  $x \in M - S_{\pi}^{*}(M)$  we have  $Rx \cong R$ , R being a BS-ring. Thus  $\rho(R) = R$  and  $\rho = \infty$ . It is now obvious that Q is  $(\infty, \infty)$ -injective iff it is injective.

(ii) By Theorem 4,  $\rho(N) = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\infty} S_U^{n(U)}(N)$  for every  $N \in {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}$ . As it is easily seen the numbers n(U) are just the smallest ordinals for which  $S_U^{n(U)}(M) = S_U^{n(U)+1}(M)$ . By [1], Theorem 2.5 a module Q is  $(\infty, S_U^{n(U)})$ -injective iff  $S_U^{n(U)}(Q) = S_U^{n(U)}(\hat{Q})$  and the assertion follows.

COROLLARY 6. Let R be a BS-ring and Q a module. Then Q is quasi-injective iff it is either injective or of the form  $Q = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\circ} S_{U}^{*}(Q)$  where every  $S_{U}^{*}(Q)$ ,  $U \in \pi$  is a direct sum of pair-wise isomorphic cyclic or quasi-cyclic modules.

**Proof.** We proceed to the necessity, the sufficiency being obvious by Theorem 5. Suppose that Q is quasi-injective. By the preceding

Theorem Q is either injective or of the form  $Q = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\circ} S_U^*(Q)$ . By [2], Theorems 3.2 and 4.2 every  $S_U^*(Q)$  is a direct sum of quasicyclic and cyclic modules, so that it suffices to use Theorem 5 (ii).

THEOREM 7. Let R be a BS-ring and  $\rho, \sigma \neq \infty$  be two preradicals for <sub>R</sub>M. Then there exists a module M such that a module Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ injective iff it is M-injective. Moreover, M can be chosen quasiinjective.

*Proof.* We shall divide this proof into three steps.

(1) We show that if  $\rho, \sigma$  are torsion preradicals such that  $\rho^* \cap \sigma$ ,  $\sigma \neq \infty$  and Q is a  $(\infty, \rho)$ -injective module, then Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective. So, let Q be a  $(\infty, \rho)$ -injective module and let us consider the diagram

$$K \\ \downarrow \\ N_0 \rightarrow N \\ f \downarrow \\ Q$$

with  $N/N_0 \rho$ -torsion,  $N/K \sigma$ -torsion, K = Ker f. It follows from  $\rho = \rho^* \cap \sigma$  and Theorem 4 that  $\sigma(M) = \rho(M) \oplus \tau(M)$  for every  $M \in {}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{M}$  and a suitable torsion preradical  $\tau$ . For  $N'/K = \tau(N/K)$  the module  $(N' + N_0)/N_0 \cong N'/N_0 \cap N'$  is  $\rho$ -torsion and  $\tau$ -torsion so that  $N' \subseteq N_0$ . Thus f induces

$$N_0/K = \tau(N/K) \oplus (\rho(N/K) \cap \tilde{N}_0/K) \to \tau(N/K) \oplus \rho(N/K)$$
  
$$\bar{f} \downarrow Q$$

By hypothesis, the restriction of  $\overline{f}$  to  $\rho(N/K) \cap N_0/K$  extends to a homomorphism  $\rho(N/K) \rightarrow Q$  and the assertion follows easily.

(2) It follows from Theorems 2 and 3 that Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective iff it is  $((\rho')^*, \sigma')$ -injective. Now by the definition Q is  $((\rho')^*, \sigma')$ -injective iff it is  $((\rho')^* \cap \sigma', \sigma')$ -injective and the preceding part results that Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective iff it is  $(\infty, \tau)$ -injective, where  $\tau = (\rho')^* \cap \sigma'$ .

(3) From (2) and Theorem 4 it easily follows that a module Q is  $(\infty, \tau)$ -injective iff it is  $(\infty, S_U^{n(U)})$ -injective for all  $U \in \pi$  where  $\tau(M) = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\infty} S_U^{n(U)}(M)$ . By [1], Theorem 2.5 Q is  $(\infty, S_U^{n(U)})$ -injective iff  $S_U^{n(U)}(\hat{Q}) \subseteq Q$  and the idempotence of  $S_U^{n(U)}$  yields that Q is  $(\rho, \sigma)$ -injective iff  $S_U^{n(U)}(Q) = S_U^{n(U)}(\hat{Q})$ . For  $U \in \pi$ ,  $U \cong R/I$ , I a maximal ideal of R, we put  $M_U = R/I^{n(U)}$  if  $n(U) \in N \cup \{0\}$  and  $M_U$  is an

*U*-quasicyclic module if  $n(U) = \infty$ . Taking  $M = \sum_{U \in \pi}^{\infty} M_U$  it suffices to use Theorem 5 (ii). *M* is quasi-injective by Corollary 6.

**REMARK.** M. Harada ([7], Corollary to Proposition 2.6) has described the structure of quasi-injective modules over a Dedekind domain. This description follows from our Corollary 6 immediately.

## REFERENCES

1. J. A. Beachy, A generalization of injectivity, Pacific J. Math., 41 (1972), 313-328.

2. L. Bican, Kulikov's criterion for modules (to appear).

3. \_\_\_\_, QF-3' modules and rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., 14 (1973), 295-303.

4. S. E. Dickson, A torsion theory for abelian categories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 121 (1966), 223-235.

5. V. Dlab, A characterization of perfect rings, Pacific J. Math., 33 (1970), 79-88.

6. C. Faith, *Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 49, Springer Verlag, 1967.

7. M. Harada, Note on quasi-injective modules, Osaka J. Math., 2 (1965), 351-356.

8. A. P. Mišina, L. A. Skornjakov, Abelevy gruppy i moduli, Moskva 1968.

9. T. S. Shores, *The structure of Loewy modules*, J. reine angew. Math., **254** (1972), 204–220. 10. B. Stenström, *Rings and modules of quotients*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 237, Springer Verlag, 1971.

Received September 11, 1973, and in revised form July 11, 1974.

KARLOVY UNIVERSITY