## ON THE GROUPS OF UNITS IN SEMIGROUPS OF PROBABILITY MEASURES

JOHN YUAN

We generalize Pym's decomposition  $w = \mu_E * w_H * \mu_F$  of idempotent probability measures to the decomposition  $\mu_E * \mathscr{H}(w_H) * \mu_F$  of the maximal groups of units in semigroup of probability measures on a compact semitopological semigroup. We also prove that  $\mathscr{H}(w) \cong \mathscr{H}(w_H) \cong N(H)/H$  algebraically and topologically. With these characterizations, we verify Rosenblatt's necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of a convolution sequence  $(\nu^n)_{n\geq 1}$  of a probability measure  $\nu$  on a compact topological semigroup.

1. Introduction. Let S denote a compact semitopological semigroup (i.e., the multiplication is separately continuous) and  $(C(S), \| \|)$  the Banach space of all bounded real-valued continuous functions on S. Then  $M^b(S)$  which is defined as the norm dual of C(S) is a Banach algebra under  $\|\mu\| = \sup\{|\mu(f)| : \|f\| \le 1\}$  and the convolution \* which is defined via  $\mu * \nu(f) = \int f(xy)\mu(dx)\nu(dy)$  on C(S). Let P(S) be the totality of probability measures on S, which consists of all positive measures with norm 1 in  $M^b(S)$ . Then P(S) is a compact semitopological semigroup under \* and the weak\* topology which is the topology of pointwise convergence on C(S) [4]. If S is topological (i.e., the multiplication is jointly continuous), then P(S) is topological (Prop. 4, [9]).

It is known that every compact semitopological semigroup has a minimal ideal which is not necessarily closed except in the case S is topological [7]. We thus introduce the following definition:

A compact semitopological semigroup is called topologically simple if its minimal ideal is dense in it.

For a subsemigroup T of S, we use E(S) and M(T) to denote the totality of idempotents and the minimal ideal in S respectively. For a subsemigroup A of P(S), we write  $D(A) = \bigcup \{ \text{supp } \mu : \mu \in A \}$  and  $\text{supp } A = \overline{D(A)}$ , where  $\text{supp } \mu$  denotes the support of  $\mu$ .

In the remainder, S will always denote a compact semitopological semigroup except mentioned especially.

## 2. The structure of an idempotent probability measure.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let K be a compact topologically simple subsemigroup in S. Then

1.  $E(M(K)) \neq \emptyset$ 

For  $e \in E(M(K))$ , we have

2. (a) H = eKe is a compact topological subgroup with identity e

(b) E = E(Ke) (resp. F = E(eK)) is a left (resp. right) zero compact topological subsemigroup

(c) eE = Fe = e, FH = HE = H and  $FE \subseteq H$ 

(d) M(K) = EHF = [E, H, F] via

$$(x, g, y)(x', g', y') = (x, gyx'g', y')$$

(e) 
$$Ke = (EHF)e = EH$$
 and  $eK = e(EHF) = HF$ 

3. (a) P(E) (resp. P(F)) is a left (resp. right) zero compact topological subsemigroup. In particular, E(P(E)) = P(E) and E(P(F)) = P(F)

(b)  $\delta_e^* P(E) = P(F)^* \delta_e = \delta_e$ , where  $\delta_e$  is the point-mass at e

(c)  $P(F)^*P(E) \subseteq P(H)$ . In particular,

$$w_H * P(F)^* P(E) = P(F)^* P(E)^* w_H = w_H,$$

where  $w_H^2 = w_H$  is the Haar measure on H (d)  $P(E) * w_H * P(F) \subseteq E(P(S))$ .

*Proof.* 1. (See the proof of 3.4, p. 67, [1]). 2. (See p. 500, [7]; Thm. 2, p. 124, [3]). 3. (a) For  $\mu, \nu \in P(E)$ ,

$$\mu^*\nu(f) = \int f(xy)\mu(dx)\nu(dy) = \int f(x)\mu(dx)\nu(dy) = \mu(f).$$

Hence P(E) is left zero. Furthermore, by 2(b) we see that P(E) is a compact topological subsemigroup in P(S).

(b) This follows from 2(c).

(d) Let  $\mu = \mu_E * w_H * \mu_F \in P(E) * w_H * P(F)$ . Then

 $\mu^{2} = \mu_{E} * (w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{E}) * w_{H} * \mu_{F} = \mu_{E} * w_{H} * \mu_{F}.$ 

LEMMA A.  $\operatorname{supp}(\mu^*\nu) = \overline{(\operatorname{supp} \mu \operatorname{supp} \nu)}$  in P(S).

Proof. [4].

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $w^2 = w \in P(S)$ . Then

1. supp w is a compact topologically simple subsemigroup

2.  $w = \mu_E * w_H * \mu_F$ , where

(a)  $H = e(\operatorname{supp} w)e$ ,  $E = E((\operatorname{supp} w)e)$  and  $F = E(e(\operatorname{supp} w))$  for an  $e \in E(M(\operatorname{supp} w))$ 

(b)  $\mu_E \in P(E)$  with supp  $\mu_E = E$ 

(c)  $\mu_F \in P(E)$  with supp  $\mu_F = F$ 

(d)  $w_H^2 = w_H$  is the Haar measure on H

- 3.  $w_H = w_H * \mu_F * \mu_E = \mu_F * \mu_E * w_H$
- 4.  $w_H = w_{H'} * w * w_H = w_H * \mu_F * w * \mu_E * w_H$ .

*Proof.* 1. We refer it to (p. 500, [7]).

- 2. This is a result of 1 and Proposition 2.1.
- 3. This is a result of 3(c) in Proposition 2.1.
- 4. We prove the first equality only. As  $eEHFe \subseteq H$ ,

$$w_H * w * w_H = w_H * (w_H * \mu_E * w_H * \mu_F * w_H) * w_H = w_H.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3.  $E(P(S)) = \bigcup \{P(E)^* w_H * P(F): K \text{ is a compact topologically simple subsemigroup}\}$ .

3. A characterization of the maximal group of units. For  $e \in E(S)$  we denote by  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  the maximal group of units with identity e in the compact subsemigroup eSe. We will see that  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is in general a locally compact topological subgroup in the relative topology of S and  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is closed and so compact in the case S is topological.

In this section, we maintain that  $w^2 = w = \mu_E * w_H * \mu_F$  is as in Proposition 2.2. In particular, H is a compact subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}(e)$ .

LEMMA B.  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a locally compact topological subgroup in the relative topology of S. Furthermore, if S is topological, then  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a closed and hence compact subgroup.

**Proof.** As  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a topological subgroup in eSe (Cor. 6.3, pp. 282-283, [6]),  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a closed subsemigroup in eSe (3.1, p. 65, [1]). Without losing generality, we may assume that  $S = eSe = \mathcal{H}(e)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is not locally compact. Then  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is not open in S. Thus if 0 is an open neighborhood of e in S, then  $0 \cap (S - \mathcal{H}(e)) \neq \emptyset$ , for translation by an element of  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is a homeomorphism of S. Now, we choose a relatively compact open neighborhood U of e in S. Then  $(U \cap \mathcal{H}(e))^{-1}$  is open in  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  and contains e, so there is an open neighborhood V of e in S so that  $V \cap \mathcal{H}(e) = (U \cap \mathcal{H}(e))^{-1}$ . Then  $U \cap V$  is an open neighborhood of e in S so that  $U \cap V \cap \mathcal{H}(e)$ . Suppose that  $(U \cap V) \cap \mathcal{H}(e)$  is symmetric (i.e.,  $h \in (U \cap V) \cap \mathcal{H}(e)$  iff  $h^{-1} \in (U \cap V) \cap \mathcal{H}(e)$ ). Since  $(U \cap V) \cap (S - \mathcal{H}(e)) \neq \emptyset$ , there is an x

in it. Hence there is a net  $(h_{\alpha})$  in  $\mathscr{H}(\underline{e})$  with  $h_{\alpha} \to x$ . Since  $h_{\alpha}$  is eventually in  $U \cap V \subseteq \overline{U}$ , there is an  $y \in U \cap V$  so that  $h_{\beta}^{-1} \to y$  for some subnet  $(h_{\beta})$ . In particular,

$$xy = \lim h_{\beta} h_{\beta}^{-1} = e$$

and

$$yx = \lim h_{\beta}^{-1} h_{\beta} = e.$$

this contradicts the fact that  $x \in S - \mathcal{H}(e)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}(e)$  is locally compact in the relative topology. For the last statement, we refer it to (2.3, p. 17, [5]).

PROPOSITION 3.1. The following statements hold: 1.  $\mathcal{H}(w_H) = \{w_H * \delta_x : x \in N(H)\}, \text{ where } N(H) \text{ is the normalizer of } H \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(e) \text{ and } \delta_x \text{ are the point-masses}$ 

2. The maps  $\mathcal{H}(w) \rightleftharpoons_{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}^{\alpha} \mathcal{H}(w_H)$  defined via

$$\alpha(\mu) = (w_H * \mu_F) * \mu * (\mu_E * w_H) = w_H * \mu * w_H$$

and

$$\beta(\nu) = \mu_E * \nu * \mu_F$$

are mutually inverse continuous group-morphisms.

*Proof.* 1. We prove it in three steps:

(i) supp  $\mu \subseteq eSe$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(w_H)$ .

(ii) Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(w_H)$ , then there exists a  $\nu \in \mathcal{H}(w_H)$  so that  $\mu * \nu = \nu * \mu = w_H$ . Hence for given  $\underline{a \in} \operatorname{supp} \mu$  and  $b \in \operatorname{supp} \nu$   $\delta_{ab} * w_H = \delta_{ba} * w_H = w_H$  and thus abH = abH = H = baH = baH or ab = bag = h for some  $g, h \in H$ : let  $x = h^{-1}a$  and  $x' = agh^{-1}$ , then xb = bx' = e and so x' = ex' = (xb)x' = x(bx') = x. Furthermore,

$$\mu * \delta_b = (w_H * \mu) * \delta_b = w_H * (\mu * \delta_b) = w_H$$

and so  $\mu = w_H * \delta_x = w_H * \delta_x * w_H$ . By (Thm. 1, p. 124, [3]) and Lemma A, we obtain that Hx = Hx = HxH = HxH. This implies  $x \in N(H)$ .

(iii) The converse of (ii) follows from the fact that  $w_H * \delta_x = \delta_x * w_H = w_H * \delta_x * w_H$ .

2. We prove it in two steps:

306

(i) 
$$\alpha (\mu_{1} \mu_{2}) = w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{1} * u_{2} * \mu_{E} * w_{H}$$
$$= w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{1} * w * \mu_{2} * \mu_{E} * w_{H}$$
$$= w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{1} * \mu_{E} * w_{H}^{2} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{2} * w_{H}$$
$$= \alpha (\mu_{1}) \alpha (\mu_{2}),$$
$$\beta (\nu_{1} \nu_{2}) = \mu_{E} * \nu_{1} * \nu_{2} * \mu_{F}$$
$$= \mu_{E} * \nu_{1} * w_{H} * \nu_{2} * \mu_{F}$$
$$= \mu_{E} * \nu_{1} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{E} * w_{H} * \nu_{2} * \mu_{F}$$
$$= \beta (\nu_{1}) \beta (\nu_{2}).$$
(ii) 
$$\alpha \circ \beta (\nu) = \alpha (\mu_{E} * \nu * \mu_{F})$$
$$= w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu_{E} * \nu * \mu_{F} * \mu_{E} * w_{H}$$
$$= \nu,$$
$$\beta \circ \alpha (\mu) = \beta (w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu * \mu_{E} * w_{H})$$
$$= \mu_{E} * w_{H} * \mu_{F} * \mu * \mu_{E} * w_{H} * \mu_{F}$$
$$= w * \mu * w$$
$$= \mu.$$

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** The following statements hold:

1. 
$$D(\mathcal{H}(w_H)) = N(H)$$
 and  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{H}(w_H)) = N(H)$ 

2. 
$$D(\mathcal{H}(w)) = E(\underline{N(H)})F = [E, N(H), F]$$

3.  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{H}(w)) = \overline{E(N(H))F} = \overline{[E, N(H), F]}.$ 

*Proof.* 1. This follows from Proposition 3.1. 1.

2. This follows from Proposition 3.1.2 and the above statement.

3. This follows from 2.

So far, we have only an algebraic characterization of  $\mathcal{H}(w)$ . In the remainder, we will characterize  $\mathcal{H}(w)$  and its subgroups topologically.

PROPOSITION 3.3. The map  $\eta: N(H)/H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(w_H)$  defined via

$$\eta(xH) = w_H * \delta_x (= \delta_x * w_H)$$

is a topological isomorphism.

*Proof.* We observe first that  $\eta$  is a well-defined algebraic isomorphism. Hence it remains to show that  $\eta$  is an open map. To

each  $f \in C(S)$ ,  $F_f(x) = \int f(xy)w_H(dy)$  is a bounded continuous function constant on each orbit xH in the compact orbit space eSe/H. Without losing generality, we may assume that eSe = S. Suppose that  $a_{\alpha}H \rightarrow aH$ in N(H)/H. Then

$$\delta_{a_{\alpha}} * w_{H}(f) = F_{f}(a_{\alpha}H) \rightarrow F_{f}(aH) = \delta_{a} * w_{H}(f).$$

Hence  $\eta$  is a continuous group-morphism. Suppose that  $a_{\alpha}H \not\rightarrow aH$ . Since N(H)/H is compact, there is a subnet  $(a_{\beta}H)$  which converges to a  $bH \neq aH$ . By Urysohn's Lemma, there is a continuous function  $F: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with F(aH) = 0 and F(bH) = 1. Clearly,

$$\delta_{a_{\alpha}} * w_{H}(fop) = Fop(a_{\alpha}) = F(a_{\alpha}H) \not\rightarrow F(aH)$$
$$= Fop(a) = \delta_{a} * w_{H}(Fop),$$

where  $p: S \rightarrow S/H$  is the orbit map. Hence  $\eta$  is a topological isomorphism.

The following example shows that not all  $\mathcal{H}(w)$  are compact:

EXAMPLE. Let  $S = R \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one-point compactification of the additive group of real numbers. Then S is a compact semitopological semigroup and  $\mathcal{H}(\delta_0) = \{\delta_x : x \in R\}$  which is not compact.

4. On a limit theorem. Rosenblatt has proved a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of a convolution sequence  $(\nu^n)_{n\geq 1}$  of a probability measure  $\nu$  on a compact topological semigroup (Thm. 1, p. 152, [8]). We will see one side of his condition is an immediate result of our characterizations of the groups of units.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let  $\nu \in P(S)$ . Then  $1/n(\nu + \nu^2 + \dots + \nu^n)$  converges to an idempotent probability measure  $L(\nu) \in P(S)$  so that

1.  $\nu^{m*}L(\nu) = L(\nu)^*\nu^n = L(\nu)$  for all  $m, n \ge 1$ 

2. supp  $L(\nu) = \overline{M(T)}$ , where T is a closed subsemigroup generated by  $\nu$ , i.e.,  $T = \bigcup \{ \text{supp } \nu^n : n \ge 1 \}$ .

*Proof.* (See Thm. 3, [2]).

In the remainder, we maintain that  $\Sigma(\nu) = \{\nu^n : n \ge 1\}^-$ ,  $K(\nu) = M(\Sigma(\nu))$  and  $L(\nu) = \lim 1/n(\nu + \nu^2 + \dots + \nu^n) = \mu_X * w_G * \mu_Y$ . Without losing generality, we may assume that S is generated by  $\nu$ , i.e., S = T. Then supp  $L(\nu) = M(S)$ ,  $G = eSe = e(\text{supp } L(\nu))e$ ,  $X = E(Se) = E((\text{supp } L(\nu))e) = \text{supp } \mu_X$  and

308

$$Y = E(eS) = E(e(\operatorname{supp} L(\nu))) = \mu_Y$$

for an  $e \in E(M(\text{supp } L(\nu)))$  (cf. 3.5, p. 67, [1]). In particular,  $\mathcal{H}(L(\nu)) = \mu_X * \{w_G\} * \mu_Y = \{L(\nu)\}.$ 

LEMMA C.  $K(\nu)$  is a compact commutative topological subgroup in P(S).

*Proof.* (See the proof of 3.4, p. 67, [1]).

Let  $w^2 = w = \mu'_E * w_H * \mu'_F \in K(\nu)$ . In particular,  $K(\nu)$  is a compact subgroup of  $\mathscr{H}(w)$ . Then.

LEMMA D. The following statements hold:

1.  $E(M(\operatorname{supp} w)) = E(D(\mathcal{H}(w))) = E(D(K(v)))$ 

2.  $D(K(\nu)) \subseteq M(S) \subseteq \text{supp } K(\nu)$ . In particular,  $\text{supp } K(\nu) = M(S)$ 

3.  $E(M(\text{supp } w)) \subseteq M(S)$ .

*Proof.* 1. This follows from the fact that

$$E([E, H, F]) = [E, \{e\}, F] = E([E, N(H), F]) = E(D(\mathscr{H}(w))).$$

2. As  $K(\nu)$  is an ideal in  $\Sigma(\nu)$ ,  $D(K(\nu))D(\Sigma(\nu)) \subseteq D(K(\nu)) \subseteq$ supp $(K(\nu))$  and so supp $(K(\nu))$  is a closed ideal in S (See 3.1, p. 65, [1]), in particular, supp $(K(\nu)) \supseteq M(S)$ . On the other hand,  $D(K(\nu)) =$  $M(\text{supp}(K(\nu)))$  (See 3.1, p. 65, [1]) and thus  $M(S) \supseteq D(K(\nu))$ .

LEMMA E. The following statements hold:

- 1.  $\nu^* w = w^* \nu \in K(\nu)$
- 2.  $L(\nu)^* w = w^* L(\nu) = L(\nu)$
- 3. There exists an  $e^2 = e \in M(\text{supp } w) \cap M(S)$
- 4.  $H = e(\operatorname{supp} w)e \subseteq eSe = G$
- 5.  $E = E((\sup p w)e) = E(Se) = X$
- 6. F = E(e(supp w)) = E(eS) = Y
- 7.  $YX \subseteq H$
- 8.  $w = \mu'_X * w_H * \mu'_Y$  with supp  $\mu'_X = X$  and supp  $\mu'_Y = Y$ .

*Proof.* 1. This follows from the fact that  $K(\nu) = M(\Sigma(\nu))$ .

- 2. This follows from Proposition 4.1.
- 2. This follows from Lemma D.
- 4. This is trivial.
- 5. Let  $w = \mu'_E * w_H * \mu'_F$ . That  $L(\nu) = w * L(\nu) = L(\nu) * w$  implies

JOHN YUAN

$$\mu_X * w_G * \mu = (\mu'_E * w_H * \mu'_F) * (\mu_X * w_G * \mu_Y)$$
  
=  $\mu'_E * w_G * \mu_Y \in P(X) * w_G * P(Y).$ 

By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2,  $\overline{EGY} = \overline{XGY}$  and E = X.

- 6. Similarly.
- 7. This follows from 5 and 6.
- 8. This is done in the proof of 2.

LEMMA F. The following statements are equivalent

- 1.  $\nu^* w = w^* \nu \neq w$
- 2.  $K(\nu) \neq \{w\}$
- 3.  $w \neq L(\nu)$

4. <u>H is a proper closed normal subgroup in G</u> (i.e., N(H) = G) so that  $G = \bigcup \{g^n H : n \ge 1\}$  for some  $g \in G - H$ .

*Proof.*  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ . This is trivial.  $2 \Rightarrow 3$ . Suppose that w = L(v). Then  $K(v) = \mathscr{H}(L(v)) = \{L(v)\}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $w \neq L(v)$ .  $3 \Rightarrow 1$ . Suppose that  $w = w^*v = v^*w$ . Then

 $5 \rightarrow 1$ . Suppose that w = w = v = w. Then

$$w = w^* (1/n(\nu + \nu^2 + \dots + \nu^n)) = 1/n(\nu + \nu^2 + \dots + \nu^n)^* w$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ . In particular,  $w = w^*L(v) = L(v)^*w = L(v)$ .  $1 \Rightarrow 4$ . There is a  $g \in N(H) - H$  so that  $w * v = \mu'_X * (w_H * \delta_g) * \mu'_Y$ .

Let  $\mathscr{H}(w) \underset{\beta}{\stackrel{\alpha}{\rightleftharpoons}} \mathscr{H}(w_H)$  be the mutually inverse continuous morphisms of Proposition 3.2. Then

$$w^* \nu^n = (w^* \nu)^n = (\beta \circ \alpha (w^* \nu))^n$$
$$= \beta ((\alpha (w^* \nu))^n)$$
$$= \beta ((w_H * \delta_g)^n)$$
$$= \beta (w_H * \delta_g^n)$$
$$= \mu'_X * (w_H * \delta_g^n) * \mu'_Y.$$

Furthermore,  $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} (\text{supp } \nu^n \text{ supp } w) = (\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \text{supp } \nu^n) (\text{supp } w)$  and

$$\overline{(\cup \text{ supp } \nu^n)(\text{supp } w)} \supseteq \overline{(\cup \text{ supp } \nu^n)}(\text{supp } w)$$
$$= S(\text{supp } w) = \overline{(XGY)}(\overline{XHY}) = \overline{XGY}$$

(cf. 3.1, p. 55, [1] for the inclusion). This implies  $w^* v$  generates XGY and thus  $\alpha(w * v) = w_H * \delta_g$  generates G, i.e.,  $G = \bigcup \{g^n H : n \ge 1\}$ . That N(H) = G follows easily.

 $4 \Rightarrow 2$ . Suppose  $K(\nu) = \{w\}$ . Then  $w = L(\nu)$ , in particular, H = G.

PROPOSITION 4.2. The following statements are equivalent: 1. H = G. 2.  $L(\nu) = w$ . 3.  $K(\nu) = \{w\}$ .

 $4. \quad w^*\nu = \nu^*w = w.$ 

PROPOSITION 4.3. If  $(\nu^n)_{n\geq 1}$  converges, then any statement of Proposition 4.2 holds. The converse holds on compact topological semigroups only.

*Proof.* The first statement is trivial. For the converse part, we refer to (p. 380, [2]).

THEOREM (Rosenblatt). Let S be a compact topological semigroup generated by  $\nu$ . Then  $(\nu^n)_{n\geq 1}$  does not converge iff there is a proper closed normal subgroup H of G such that

[X, H, Y] supp  $\nu = [X, Hg, Y]$ 

for some  $g \in G - H$  with  $G = \bigcup \{g^n H : n \ge 1\}$ .

*Proof.* It remains to show the "if" part which we refer to (Thm. 1, p. 152, [8]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author wishes to thank Drs. Karl H. Hofmann, John R. Liukkonen and Michael W. Mislove for many helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

2. H. L. Chow, Limit measures on compact semitopological semigroups, Math. Scand., 33 (1973), 375–381.

3. E. Ellis, Locally compact transformation groups, Duke Math. J., 24 (1957), 119-126.

4. I. Glicksberg, Weak compactness and separate continuity, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961), 205-214.

5. K. H. Hofmann and P. S. Mostert, *Elements of compact semigroups*, Charles E. Merill Books, Inc., Columbus, Ohio, 1966.

6. J. D. Lawson, Joint continuity in semitopological semigroups, Ill. Jour. of Math., 18 (1974), 275-285.

<sup>1.</sup> J. F. Berglund and K. H. Hofmann, Compact semitopological semigroups and weakly almost periodic functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 42. Springer, 1967.

## JOHN YUAN

7. J. S. Pym, Idempotent probability measures on compact semitopological semigroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 21 (1969), 499-501.

8. M. Rosenblatt, Markov Processes, Structure and Asympotic Behavior. Springer, 1971.

9. A. Tortrat, Lois de probabilité sur un space topologique complètement regulier et produits infinits à termes indépendantes dans groupe topologique, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 1 (1965), 217-237.

Received August 9, 1974 and in revised form March 20, 1975.

National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan 300

312