## A CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPLETELY REGULAR FIELDS

## WILLIAM YSLAS VÉLEZ

Dedicated to H. B. Mann on the occasion of his Seventieth Birthday

We prove a theorem on tamely ramified extensions and apply this theorem to obtain a characterization of completely regular fields.

Let  $Q_p$  denote the *p*-adic completion of Q, F a finite extension of  $Q_p$ ,  $e(F | Q_p) = a$ , the ramification degree of F over  $Q_p$ , and  $\zeta_p$  a primitive  $p^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

We say that F is regular if  $\zeta_p \notin F$ .

When first studying the extension  $F(\zeta_p)$  over F, it is a common error to assume that the extension  $F(\zeta_p)$  over F is ramified. Or, to put it another way, that if K is an unramified extension of F, then K must also be regular.

On this question, Borevič, [1], has made the following definition: Let  $K \supset F$ ,  $e(K \mid F) = 1$ . If  $\zeta_p \notin K$ , for all such K, then we say that F is completely regular.

Using class field theoretic techniques, Borevič, [1], has given a characterization of completely regular fields. This characterization is a corollary to the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let  $L \cap F = L'$ , where  $e(L'|Q_p) = l$ , degree (L|L') = e(L|L') = f, (f, p) = 1 and d = (a/l, f). Then  $L = L'(\pi^{1/f})$ , where  $\pi$  is some prime element in L' and  $e(F(\pi^{1/f})|F) = f/d$ . Furthermore, if K is an unramified extension of F, then  $e(K(\pi^{1/f})|K) = f/d$ .

*Proof.* Given (a/l, f) = d, we find an x such that (x, f) = 1 and (a/l)x - yf = d. To find x, set  $d = d_1d_2$ , where  $d_2$  is the largest divisor of d such that  $(f_1, d_2) = 1$ , where  $f_1 = f/d$ . Then  $(f_1d_1, d_2) = 1$ . Let  $(a/ld) \cdot x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{f_1}$ . Since  $(f_1d_1, d_2) = 1$ , we can solve the system of congruences  $x \equiv x_1 \pmod{f_1d_1}$ ,  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2}$ .

Since (f, p) = 1, we have that  $L = L'(\pi^{1/f})$  (Weiss, page 89), where  $\pi$  is some prime element in L'. Then  $\pi = \alpha \pi_1^{all}$ , where  $\pi_1$  is some prime element in F and  $\alpha$  is a unit. Since (f, x) = 1, we have that

$$F(\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/f}) = F((\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle x})^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/f}) = F((lpha^{\scriptscriptstyle x}\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle d})^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/f}) = F((\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(lpha^{\scriptscriptstyle x})^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/d})^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/f_1})$$
 .

But  $F((\alpha^x)^{1/d})$  is unramified over F and  $F(\pi^{1/f})$  over  $F((\alpha^x)^{1/d})$  is defined by the polynomial  $x^{f_1} - (\alpha^x)^{1/d}\pi_1$ , which is an Eisenstein polynomial.

Hence  $e(F(\pi^{1/f})|F) = f/d$ .

Let e(K|F) = 1. Then  $e(K(\pi^{1/f})|F(\pi^{1/f})) = 1$ . So we have that  $e(K(\pi^{1/f})|F) = e(K(\pi^{1/f})|K) \cdot e(K|F) = e(K(\pi^{1/f})|K)$ . But also  $e(K(\pi^{1/f})|F) = e(K(\pi^{1/f})|F(\pi^{1/f})) \cdot e(F(\pi^{1/f})|F) = e(F(\pi^{1/f})|F)$ . Hence  $e(K(\pi^{1/f})|F) = e(K(\pi^{1/f})|K) = e(F(\pi^{1/f})|F) = f/d$ .

COROLLARY. The field F is completely regular iff  $p-1 \nmid e(F|Q_p)$ .

*Proof.* Let degree  $(F \cap Q_p(\zeta_p)|Q_p) = l < p-1$ , with fl = p-1, and

degree 
$$(Q_p(\zeta_p)|F\cap Q_p(\zeta_p))=e(Q_p(\zeta_p)|F\cap Q_p(\zeta_p))=f$$
.

Then (f, p) = 1. Let d = (a/l, f). Then d = f iff  $p - 1 \mid a$ . If K is an unramified extension of F, then  $e(K(\zeta_p) \mid K) > 1$  iff  $p - 1 \nmid a$ .

COROLLARY. Let K be an unramified extension of F and p-1|a, then  $\zeta_v \in K$  iff (p-1)/l degree (K|F), where  $l = degree (F \cap Q_v(\zeta_v)|Q_v)$ .

*Proof.* If p-1|a, then d=f=(a/l, f). So  $e(F(\zeta_p)|F)=1$  and degree  $(F(\zeta_p)|F)=(p-1)/l$ .

If  $\zeta_p \in K$ , then  $K \supset F(\zeta_p) \supset F$ . But degree  $(F(\zeta_p)|F) = (p-1)/l$ , so (p-1)/l degree (K/F).

Conversely, if (p-1)/l | degree(K|F), then since K over F has cyclic galois group, we have a field  $F_1$ ,  $K \supset F_1 \supset F$ , where degree  $(F_1|F) = (p-1)/l$  and  $e(F_1|F) = 1$ . But we have shown that  $e(F(\zeta_p)|F) = 1$ , and degree  $(F(\zeta_p)|F) = (p-1)/l$ . Hence  $F_1 = F(\zeta_p)$ , since there is exactly one unramified extension for each degree. So  $\zeta_p \in K$ .

## REFERENCES

- Z. I. Borevič, On regular local fields, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ., 17 (1962), No. 13, 142-145.
- 2. E. Weiss, Algebraic Number Theory, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1963.

Received February 3, 1976. The author was supported in part by a Fellowship from the Ford Foundation and by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA). The author is presently at Sandia Laboratories.

University of Arizona and Sandia Laboratories Albuquerque, NM