

## PACKING SPHERES IN ORLICZ SPACES

CHARLES E. CLEAVER

**A collection of open balls of radius  $r$  can be packed in the unit ball  $U$  of a Banach space provided each ball is a subset of  $U$  and the intersection of any two is empty. In an infinite dimensional Banach space, it is possible to find a largest number  $\lambda$  so that if  $r \leq \lambda$  then an infinite number of spheres of radius  $r$  can be packed in  $U$ . In this paper, upper and lower bounds are found for this number in Orlicz spaces.**

For the space  $l_2$ , this number was found by Rankin [7] to be  $1/(1 + \sqrt{2})$  and this result was extended in [1] to show that the number in  $l_p (1 \leq p < \infty)$  is  $1/(1 + 2^{1-1/p})$ . In 1970 Kottman [4] showed that  $1/3 \leq \lambda \leq 1/2$  for any Banach space. More recently, Wells and Williams [10] used a generalized Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem to obtain the exact value of  $\lambda$  in the  $L^p(\mu)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) spaces with some restrictions on the measure space when  $2 < p < \infty$ . The results in this paper include all the above and also show that all restrictions can be removed in the  $L^p$  case. Recent results have demonstrated that the structure of Orlicz spaces is quite different from  $L^p$  spaces and very little seems to be known in the Orlicz case. The packing criteria lead to some results on isometric embeddings of subspaces and to notions of noncompactness.

**2. Preliminaries.** An Orlicz function  $M$  will be a continuous convex nondecreasing function defined for  $x \geq 0$  and such that  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(\infty) = \infty$  and  $M(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ . The Orlicz space  $L_M(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) (= L_M)$  is the set of measurable scalar-valued functions defined on the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  such that  $f \in L_M$  if and only if  $\|f\|' < \infty$  where

$$\|f\|'_M = \inf \left\{ k > 0: \int_X M\left(\frac{|f|}{k}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

For each Orlicz function  $M$ , a complementary function  $N$  is defined by

$$N(x) = \sup \{xy - M(y): 0 < y < \infty\}.$$

If  $M(x) = \int_0^x p(t)dt$  where  $p$  is a right continuous nondecreasing function, then  $N(p(x)) = xp(x) - M(x)$  (cf [5]). Using this function, another norm can be defined on  $L_M$

$$\|f\|_M = \sup \left\{ \int_X |fg| d\mu : \|g\|'_N \leq 1 \right\}.$$

These norms are equivalent if every set of positive  $\mu$ -measure contains a subset of positive finite  $\mu$ -measure and in this paper the latter will be used. In the case of  $M(x) = x^p$ ,  $p > 1$ , it follows that  $\|f\|_p = \|f\|'_M = K \|f\|_M$  where  $K$  is independent of  $f$  (cf [11]). It will be assumed in the remainder of the paper that  $M$  is chosen so that the simple functions are dense in  $L_M$ .

If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are two Orlicz functions then  $M_s$  will denote the inverse of  $M_s^{-1} = (M_1^{-1})^{1-s}(M_2^{-1})^s$  for  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , where  $M^{-1}$  is the unique inverse of the Orlicz function  $M$ . The function  $M_s$  is an Orlicz function and satisfies most of properties of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  including the fact that the simple functions are dense in  $L_{M_s}$  if the same is true in  $L_{M_1}$  and  $L_{M_2}$ . The complementary function to  $M_s$  is not always the same as the inverse of  $N_s^{-1} = (N_1^{-1})^{1-s}(N_2^{-1})^s$  where  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are the respective complements of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . However, the complement of  $M_s$  and the inverse of  $N_s^{-1}$  generate the same Orlicz space with equivalent norms (cf [8]). Since the complementary function is the one of interest in this paper,  $N_s$  will denote the complement of  $M_s$ .

One condition which guarantees the separability of  $L_M$  is the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. An Orlicz function is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at  $\infty$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup M(2x)/M(x) < \infty$ . In the case of sequence spaces, separability occurs if and only if the  $\Delta_2$ -condition holds at 0. A necessary and sufficient condition that  $M$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition is that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup xM'(x)/M(x) = \alpha < \infty$  where  $M'(x)$  is the derivative of  $M$  (cf [5], p. 24). If  $M'$  and  $N'$  are both continuous where  $N$  is the complement of  $M$ , then this condition is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xN'(x)}{N(x)} > \alpha/\alpha - 1.$$

This and elementary calculus lead to a lemma that will be useful in later sections.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complementary functions with  $M'$  and  $N'$  continuous. If*

$$\alpha = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xM'(x)}{M(x)}$$

then

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^{-1}(x)}{N^{-1}(2x)} \geq \frac{1}{2^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}}.$$

**3. Interpolation.** In this section a generalized interpolation theorem is described and then applied to obtain inequalities that will be useful in next section. This theorem generalizes Theorem 1 in [8] and follows the development in [10] of the  $L_p$  case.

Let  $(X_1, \mu_1), (X_2, \mu_2), \dots, (X_n, \mu_n)$  be measure spaces and  $M = (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of Orlicz functions. Define the direct sum  $\bigoplus L_{M_k}(\mu_k)$  by

$\bigoplus L_{M_k}(\mu_k) = \{f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \mid f_k \in L_{M_k}(\mu_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with usual addition and scalar multiplication. For each  $r, 1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and each  $n$ -tuple  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of positive weights, introduce the following norm on  $\bigoplus L_{M_k}(\mu_k)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{M,r} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|_{M_k}^r \lambda_k \right\}^{1/r} & 1 \leq r < \infty \\ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|f_k\|_{M_k} & r = \infty . \end{cases}$$

The space of all  $f$  such that  $\|f\|_{M,r} < \infty$  is a Banach space and will be denoted by  $L_M^r(\lambda)$ .

For two  $n$ -tuples  $M_1 = (M_{11}, M_{12}, \dots, M_{1n})$  and  $M_2 = (M_{21}, M_{22}, \dots, M_{2n})$  define  $M_s = (M_{s1}, M_{s2}, \dots, M_{sn}), 0 \leq s \leq 1$ , where  $M_{sk}$  is the inverse of the function  $M_{sk}^{-1} = (M_{1k}^{-1})^{1-s} (M_{2k}^{-1})^s, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now let  $(Y_1, \nu_1), (Y_2, \nu_2), \dots, (Y_m, \nu_m)$  be another collection of measure spaces,  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m)$  and define  $m$ -tuples  $Q_1, Q_2$  in the same manner as  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Letting  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  and  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m)$ , the following interpolation theorem was proved in [2].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $1 \leq r_i, t_i \leq \infty, i = 1, 2, 0 \leq s \leq 1$  with  $1/r = 1 - s/r_1 + s/r_2, 1/t = 1 - s/t_1 + s/t_2$  and suppose  $M_i$  and  $Q_i, i = 1, 2$ , are defined on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. If  $T$  is a linear transformation from  $L_{M_i}^{r_i}(\lambda)$  into  $L_{Q_i}^{t_i}(\eta), i = 1, 2$ , with bounds  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively, then  $T$  takes  $L_{M_s}^1$  into  $L_{Q_s}^t$  and*

$$\|Tf\|_{Q_s,t} \leq K_1^{1-s} K_2^s \|f\|_{M_s,1} .$$

This result is quite useful in establishing inequalities as the following theorem demonstrates.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function,  $M_0(x) = x^2$  and  $M_s^{-1} = (M^{-1})^{1-s} (M_0^{-1})^s, 0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Then for any collection of positive numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$ , the inequality*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j c_j \|f_i - f_j\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)} \leq 2\gamma^{2(1-s)/(2-s)} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|f_i\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)}$$

holds wherever  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in L_{M_s}$  and  $\gamma = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - c_i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M_i, i = 1, 2$  be the constant  $n$ -tuple with each component  $M$  and  $Q_i, i = 1, 2$ , the constant  $n^2$ -tuple with each component  $M$ . Setting  $t_1 = r_1 = 1, t_2 = r_2 = 2, c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$  and  $c^2 = (c_i c_j)_{i,j=1}^n$  define  $T$  from  $L_{M_s}^{t_i}(c)$  into  $L_{Q_i}^{r_i}(c^2)$  by  $T(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) = (f_i - f_j)_{i,j=1}^n$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{M,1} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \|f_i - f_j\|_M \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j (\|f_i\|_M + \|f_j\|_M) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \|f_i\|_M \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_M (1 - c_i) c_i \leq 2\gamma \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|f_i\|_M = 2\gamma \|f\|_{M,1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from properties of Hilbert space that  $\|Tf\|_{M_0,2} \leq \sqrt{2} \|f\|_{M_0,2}$ . According to Theorem 3.1,  $T$  takes  $L_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)}(c^2)$  into  $L_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)}(c^2)$  and

$$\|Tf\|_{M_s,2/(2-s)} \leq (2\gamma)^{1-s} (\sqrt{2})^s \|f\|_{M_s,2/(2-s)}.$$

This says

$$\left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \|f_i - f_j\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)} \right\}^{(2-s)/2} \leq (2\gamma)^{1-s} (\sqrt{2})^s \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|f_i\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)} \right\}^{(2-s)/2}.$$

Raising both sides to the  $2/(2 - s)$  power, the desired inequality is obtained.

The above theorem reduces to the results found in [10] for the  $L_p$  case.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  be any collection of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$ . Then for any  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  in  $L_p$ ,*

(i)  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \|f_i - f_j\|_p^p \leq 2\gamma^{2-p} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|f_i\|_p^p, 1 \leq p \leq 2$

and

(ii)  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \|f_i - f_j\|_{p'}^{p'} \leq 2\gamma^{2-p'} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|f_i\|_{p'}^{p'}, 2 < p < \infty$

where  $p' = p/p - 1$ .

*Proof.* To prove (i), choose  $l$  so that  $1 < l < p \leq 2$  and let  $M(x) = x^l$ . If we set  $s = 2/p((p - l)/(p - 2)), M_s(x) = x^p$  and let  $l \rightarrow 1$ , then  $2/(2 - s)$  approaches  $p$ . Similarly one can show (ii) by choosing  $l > p$  and allowing  $l \rightarrow \infty$ .

**4. Packing.** The main object of this section is to find bounds on the number  $A_M$  where  $A_M$  satisfies the property that for  $r \leq A_M$ , infinite packing is possible and for  $r > A_M$ , only a finite number of balls of radius  $r$  can be packed in the unit ball of the Orlicz space  $L_M$ . It has been shown by Kottman [4] that  $1/3 \leq A_M \leq 1/2$ . These bounds are improved below in the spaces  $L_M[0, 1]$  but it is clear that the techniques apply to a wider class of spaces.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** A family of balls  $\{B_r(f_j)\}_{j \in I}$  of radius  $r$  and centers  $\{f_j\}_{j \in I}$  can be packed in the unit ball  $B_1$  of  $L_M$  provided

- (i)  $B_r(f_j) \subset B_1$  for each  $j \in I$
- (ii)  $\text{int}(B_r(f_j)) \cap \text{int}(B_r(f_k)) = \emptyset, j \neq k$ .

If a family of balls  $\{B_r(f_j)\}_{j \in I}$  can be packed in  $B_1$  then it is clear that

$$(4.1) \quad \|f_j\| \leq 1 - r, \quad j \in I$$

$$(4.2) \quad \|f_j - f_k\| \geq 2r, \quad j \neq k$$

must be satisfied. Thus to find an example to serve as lower bound one needs to find vectors  $f_1, f_2, \dots$ , satisfying these inequalities.

Given an Orlicz function  $M$  with complement  $N$ , choose a sequence of disjoint measurable sets  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, 1]$  and define

$$g_k = \frac{1}{N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(E_k)}\right)} \chi_{E_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Each  $g_k$  has the property that  $\|g_k\|_M = 1$  (cf [5]). To compute the norm of the difference of two of these, consider the function

$$h \equiv N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\mu(E_k)}\right) \chi_{E_k} + N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\mu(E_n)}\right) \chi_{E_n}.$$

Then

$$\int_X N(h) = \int_{E_k} \frac{1}{2\mu(E_k)} \chi_{E_k} + \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{2\mu(E_n)} \chi_{E_n} = 1$$

and hence  $\|h\|'_N \leq 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k - g_n\|_M &= \sup_{\|f\|'_N \leq 1} \int_X |g_k - g_n| |f| d\mu \\ &\geq \int_X |g_k - g_n| |h| = \frac{N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\mu(E_k)}\right)}{N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(E_k)}\right)} + \frac{N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\mu(E_n)}\right)}{N^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(E_n)}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing a subsequence we obtain

$$\|g_k - g_n\|_M \geq 2 \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^{-1}(x)}{N^{-1}(2x)}.$$

Putting  $f_k = (1 - r)g_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , it follows that  $\|f_k\| = 1 - r$  and

$$\|f_k - f_n\| \geq (1 - r)2 \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^{-1}(x)}{N^{-1}(2x)}.$$

Setting

$$\beta = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^{-1}(x)}{N^{-1}(2x)},$$

the inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) will be satisfied provided  $(1 - r)2\beta \geq 2r$  or  $r \leq 1/(1 + 1/\beta)$ . This example shows that  $A_M \geq 1/(1 + 1/\beta)$  and leads to the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.2.**  $L_M[0, 1]$  be an Orlicz space with  $N$  the complement of  $M$  and set  $M_s^{-1} = (M^{-1})^{1-s}(M_0^{-1})^s$  where  $M_0(x) = x^2$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Then with

$$\beta = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_s^{-1}(x)}{N_s^{-1}(2x)},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{1 + 1/\beta} \leq A_{M_s} \leq \frac{1}{1 + 2^{s/2}}.$$

Furthermore, if  $1/(1 + 2^{s/2}) < r < 1$  then at most a finite number  $\Gamma_{M_s}(r)$  of balls of radius  $r$  can be packed in  $B_1$  and that number satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad \Gamma_{M_s}(r) \leq \left[ 1 - 1/2 \left( \frac{1 - r}{r} \right)^{2/s} \right]^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* It remains to show (4.4) and the right hand side of (4.3). Suppose there are  $n$  disjoint balls of radius  $r$  with centers  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  packed in  $B_1$ . Then by Theorem 3.2,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \|f_i - f_j\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)} \leq 2\gamma \frac{2(1-s)}{2-s} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \|f_i\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)}$$

for any collection  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$ . In particular, if  $c_i = 1/n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  then  $\gamma = 1 - 1/n$  and (4.5) reduces to

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{n^2} \|f_i - f_j\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)} \leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2(1-s)/(2-s)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \|f_i\|_{M_s}^{2/(2-s)}$$

since the balls are disjoint,  $\|f_i - f_j\| \geq 2r$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $\|f_i\| \leq 1 - r$ . Hence (4.6) implies

$$\frac{1}{n^2} n(n-1)(2r)^{2/(2-s)} \leq 2 \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2(1-s)/(2-s)} \frac{1}{n} \cdot n(1-r)^{2/(2-s)}.$$

This inequality then reduces to

$$(4.7) \quad r \leq \frac{1}{1 + 2^{s/2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{s/2}}.$$

If we allow  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the right hand side of (4.3) is obtained. The inequality (4.4) follows by solving (4.7) for  $n$ .

In the case when  $M$  and  $N$  have continuous derivatives, proposition 2.1 gives a lower bound in terms of  $M_s$ .

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complementary Orlicz functions with  $M$  satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. If  $M$  and  $N$  have continuous derivatives and  $M_s^{-1} = (M^{-1})^{1-s}(M_0^{-1})^s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , then*

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{1 + 2^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}} \leq A_{M_s} \leq \frac{1}{1 + 2^{s/2}}$$

where

$$\alpha = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xM'_s(x)}{M_s(x)}.$$

If we set  $M(x) = x^p$  and use a proof similar to Corollary 3.3, the exact value  $A_M \equiv A_p$  is obtained for  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ .

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Then  $A_p = 1/(1 + 2^{1-1/p})$  for the space  $L_p(\mu)$ .*

This holds for any measure space because, for  $M(x) = x^p$  in the example preceding Theorem 4.2,  $N^{-1}(2x)/N^{-1}(x) = 2^{1-1/p}$  for all  $x$ .

The upper bounds are independent of the measure space but not the lower. Corollary 4.3 does not give the exact number for  $2 < p < \infty$  but gives a lower bound which was shown in [1] to be exact for  $l_p$ . However, it is demonstrated in [10] that the number in  $L_p[0, 1]$ ,  $2 < p < \infty$  is  $1/(1 + 2^{1/p})$ . A simple generalization of this gives us new lower bounds in Orlicz spaces.

For each positive integer  $n$  and each integer  $j$ ,  $0 < j \leq 2^n$ , define  $E_{nj} = ((j-1)/2^n, j/2^n)$ . Now for each integer  $n$ , define the function  $g_n$  by

$$g_n = \frac{1}{N^{-1}(1)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} (-1)^{k+1} \chi_{E_{nk}}$$

where  $N$  is the complementary function of the Orlicz function  $M$  and  $\chi_{E_{nk}}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $E_{nk}$ . Then  $\|g_n\|_M = 1$  for each  $n$  and  $\|g_n - g_m\| = N^{-1}(2)/N^{-1}(1)$ ,  $n \neq m$ . Consider the spheres  $S_r(f_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  with centers  $f_j = (1-r)g_j$ . Thus  $\|f_i\| = 1-r$  and  $\|f_j - f_k\| = (1-r)N^{-1}(2)/N^{-1}(1)$ . The inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) will be satisfied provided  $(1-r)N^{-1}(2)/N^{-1}(1) \geq 2r$  or  $r \leq 1/(1 + 2N^{-1}(1)/N^{-1}(2))$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $L_M[0, 1]$  be an Orlicz space and set  $M_s^{-1} = (M^{-1})^{1-s}(M_s^{-1})^s$  where  $\phi_0(x) = x^2$  and  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . If  $N_s$  is the complementary function to  $M_s$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2N_s^{-1}(1)}{N_s^{-1}(2)}} \leq A_{M_s} \leq \frac{1}{1 + 2^{s/2}}.$$

The example constructed above does not depend on  $[0, 1]$  but rather on being able to find sets  $E_{nj}$  with the same properties. However, for the  $L_p$  spaces the construction on  $[0, 1]$  is enough and theorem 16.2 in [10] generalizes to the following.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mu$  be any measure which is not purely atomic. Then for  $L_p(\mu)$ ,*

$$A_p(\mu) = \frac{1}{1 + 2^{1/p}}.$$

*Proof.* For the space  $L_p[0, 1]$ , the usual argument gives the result. It is known ([3] or [9]) that if  $\mu$  is not purely atomic,  $L_p(\mu)$  has a subspace isometric to  $L_p[0, 1]$ . Suppose there are infinitely many balls of radius  $r$  in  $L_p[0, 1]$  then there is a sequence of points satisfying inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) in the subspace and hence in  $L_p(\mu)$ . Thus the lower bound for  $A$  in  $L_p(\mu)$  is greater than or equal to  $A_p$  and since the upper bound is independent of the measure, the result follows.

The problem of embedding  $L_p[0, 1]$  into  $L_r[0, 1]$  has been studied extensively and it has been shown [cf 3] that for  $1 \leq r \leq p < 2$ ,  $L_p[0, 1]$  is isometric to a subspace of  $L_r[0, 1]$ . More recently Nielsen [6] has given conditions under which  $L_M(0, \infty)$  is isomorphic to a

subspace  $L_p[0, 1]$ . Also the Khintchin inequality implies  $l_2$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $L_M[0, 1]$  for every Orlicz function  $M$  and furthermore  $l_2$  is actually isometric to a subspace of  $L_p[0, 1]$  for every  $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ . Consistent with these results is the following.

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be Orlicz functions and suppose  $L_{M_2}$  is isometric to a subspace of  $L_{M_1}$ . Then  $\Lambda_{M_2} \geq \Lambda_{M_1}$ . In particular if  $l_2$  is isometric to a subspace of  $L_M$  then  $1/(1 + \sqrt{2}) \leq \Lambda_M \leq 1/2$ .*

A converse to this theorem would be of interest. A reasonable conjecture might be to try to show that if  $[\alpha_{M_1}, \alpha_{M_2}] < [\alpha_{M_1}, \alpha_{M_2}] < 2$  (see [3] for definitions) and  $\Lambda_{M_2} \geq \Lambda_{M_1}$  then  $L_{M_1}[0, 1]$  is isometric to a subspace of  $L_{M_2}[0, 1]$ .

For the sequence case, the situation is different. Using the example preceding Theorem 4.2 with each  $E_k$  a singleton, it follows that  $\lambda_M \geq 1/(1 + (N^{-1}(1)/N^{-1}(1/2)))$ . The proof in [1] for  $l_p$  depends on the strong property

$$(4.9) \quad M^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M(x_j)\right) = \|X\|_M$$

where  $M(x) = x^p/p$ . If we mimic their proof the following is obtained.

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complementary functions both satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition at 0, and  $M$  satisfies (4.9). Then for the space  $l_M$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{N^{-1}(1)}{N^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}} \leq \lambda_M \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{M^{-1}(2M(1))}} .$$

Furthermore, if  $N^{-1}(1/2) \leq 1/2 N^{-1}(1)M^{-1}(2M(1))$ , then for

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{M^{-1}(2M(1))}} < r \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2N^{-1}(1)}{N^{-1}(2)}} ,$$

any finite number of spheres of radius  $r$  can be packed in the unit ball of  $l_M$  but not an infinite number.

*Proof.* The  $\Delta_2$ -condition on both  $M$  and  $N$  is equivalent to reflexivity. Now suppose there are an infinite number of balls of radius  $r$  in  $l_M$  with centers  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  satisfying inequalities (4.1)

and (4.2). Assume  $y$  is the weak limit point of  $\{y_j\}$ , then  $y \in l_M$  and  $\|y\| \leq 1 - r$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and fix a positive integer  $n$ . Then there exists  $N$  such that  $\|\tilde{y}_k\| < \varepsilon(1 - r)$  where

$$\tilde{y}_{kj} = \begin{cases} 0 & j \leq N \\ y_{kj} & j > N \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\frac{2r}{1-r}\right) &\leq M\left(\frac{\|y_n - y_m\|}{1-r}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_{mj}|}{1-r}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_{mj}|}{1-r}\right) + \sum_{j>N} M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_{mj}|}{1-r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-1}\left(\sum_{j>N} M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_{mj}|}{1-r}\right)\right) &= \left\| \frac{y_n - \tilde{y}_m}{1-r} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|y_m\|}{1-r} + \frac{\|\tilde{y}_n\|}{1-r} \leq 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$M\left(\frac{2r}{1-r}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^N M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_{mj}|}{1-r}\right) + M(1 + \varepsilon).$$

This argument is independent of  $m$  and hence

$$M\left(\frac{2r}{1-r}\right) - M(1 + \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{j=1}^N M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_j|}{1-r}\right).$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , this becomes

$$M\left(\frac{2r}{1-r}\right) - M(1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_j|}{1-r}\right).$$

Repeat the argument using  $y$  in place of  $y_n$  obtaining

$$M\left(\frac{2r}{1-r}\right) - M(1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N'} M\left(\frac{|y_{nj} - y_j|}{1-r}\right) + M(1 + \varepsilon).$$

Now, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that

$$M\left(\frac{2r}{1-r}\right) \leq 2M(1)$$

and

$$r \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{M^{-1}(2M(1))}} .$$

The last statement follows by constructing the example preceding Theorem 4.4 on the set  $(1, 2, \dots, 2^n)$  in place of  $[0, 1]$ .

**COROLLARY 4.8.** *For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\lambda_p = 1/(1 + 2^{1-1/p})$  for the spaces  $l_p$ . Furthermore if  $2 \leq p < \infty$  then for*

$$\frac{1}{1 + 2^{1-1/p}} < r \leq \frac{1}{1 + 2^{1/p}} ,$$

*any finite number of spheres of radius  $r$  can be packed in  $l_p$  but not an infinite number.*

#### REFERENCES

1. J. A. C. Burlack, R. A. Rankin, A. P. Robertson, *The packing of spheres in the space  $l_p$* , Proc. M. A. Glasgow, **4** (1958), 145-146.
2. C. E. Cleaver, *On the Extension of Lipschitz-Holder maps on Orlicz Spaces*, Studia Mathematica, **27** (1972), 195-204.
3. J. Lindenstrauss, and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 338, Springer-Verlag 1973.
4. C. A. Kottman, *Packing and reflexivity in Banach spaces*, TAMS, **150** (1970), 565-576.
5. M. A. Kransnosel'skii, and Ya. B., Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, (Translation), 1961.
6. N. J. Nielsen, *On the Orlicz Function Spaces  $L_M(0, \infty)$* , Preprint Series, ISBN 82-553-0166-6, No. 4, (1974), University of Oslo.
7. R. A. Rankin, *On packings of spheres in Hilbert space*, Proc. M. A. Glasgow, **2** (1955), 139-144.
8. M. M. Rao, *Interpolation, ergodicity, and Martingales*, J. of Math. and Mech., **16** (1966), 543-568.
9. H. P. Rosenthal, *On the subspaces of  $L_p(p > 2)$  spanned by sequences of independent random variables*, Israel J. Math., **8** (1970), 273-303.
10. J. H. Wells and Lynn R. Williams. *Imbedding and Extension Problems in Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
11. A. C. Zaanen, *Linear Analysis*, Amsterdam, 1953.

Received November 19, 1974 and in revised form April 12, 1976. The results in this paper were obtained while the author was a visiting professor at Georgia Institute of Technology.

KENT STATE UNIVERSITY

