# ON PUNCTURED BALLS IN MANIFOLDS 

## Wolfgang Heil


#### Abstract

E. Brown showed that for any map $f$ of a punctured disc $B_{n}$ with $n$ holes into a 2 -manifold $M$ that is an embedding of $\partial B_{n}$, there is an embedding $g$ of a punctured disk $B_{k}$ into $M$ such that $g\left(\partial B_{k}\right)$ is a subcollection of $f\left(\partial B_{n}\right)$. In this paper E. Brown's approach is extended to show that a similar result holds for maps of punctured $q$-balls into certain $q$-manifolds ( $q \geqq 3$ ).


Let $P C(q)$ denote the collection of (topological) $q$-manifolds $M^{q}$ with the property that if $h$ is an embedding of $S^{q-1} \times[0,1]$ into $M^{q}$ that is null homotopic, then $h\left(S^{q-1} \times \frac{1}{2}\right)$ bounds a topological $q$-cell in $M^{q}$.

Note that $P C(1)$ and $P C(2)$ consist of all 1-manifolds and 2manifolds, respectively. It is well-known that $P C(3)$ consists of all 3-manifolds provided the Poincaré conjecture is true in dimension 3. Since the generalized Poincaré conjecture holds for dimensions $\geqq 5$, [2] we are led to conjecture that $P C(q)$ consists of all (topological) $q$-manifolds for $q \geqq 5$, particularly since, from the proposition below, if $h: S^{q-1} \rightarrow \partial M^{q}$ is an embedding such that $h\left(S^{q-1}\right)$ is null-homotopic in $M^{q}$, then $M^{q}$ is indeed a $q$-cell $(q \geqq 5)$. However, C. McA. Gordon, whom I would like to thank most sincerely for providing the proof of the following proposition, informs me that C. T. C. Wall and John Morgan have counter examples for $q>4$.

Proposition. Let $C \cong S^{a-1}$ be a boundary component of a compact $q$-manifold $M$. If $[C]=0$ in $\pi_{q-1}(M)$, then $M$ is contractible.

Proof. Let $q \geqq 3$. By the Whitehead and Hurewicz Theorems it suffices to show that $\pi_{1}(M)=1$ and $H_{*}(M)=0$. Now $\partial M=C$ since otherwise $[C] \neq 0$ in $H_{q-1}(M)$. Also, $M$ is orientable since otherwise for the orientation cover $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ we have $\partial M^{\prime}=C^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime \prime}$ (copies over $C$ ) and $\left[C^{\prime}\right]=0$ in $\pi_{q-1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, a contradiction.

There is a map $f:\left(B^{q}, S^{q-1}\right) \rightarrow(M, \partial M)$ such that $f \mid S^{q-1}$ is a homeomorphism. Orient $M$ so that $f$ has degree 1. Then for the fundamental classes $z_{q}, w_{q}$ in $H_{q}\left(B^{q}, S^{q-1}\right), H_{q}(M, \partial M)$, resp., we have $f^{*}\left(z_{q}\right)=w_{q}$ and a commutative diagram


By Lefschetz duality, the vertical maps are isomorphisms. Therefore $f_{*}\left(-\cap z_{q}\right) f^{*}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $f_{*}$ is onto and hence that $H_{*}(M)=0$.

To show that $\pi_{1}(M)=1$, let $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering. Then $f$ lifts to $\tilde{f}:\left(B^{q}, S^{q-1}\right) \rightarrow(\tilde{M}, \partial \tilde{M})$. But $1=\operatorname{deg}(f)=$ $\operatorname{deg}(p \circ \tilde{f})=(\operatorname{deg} p)(\operatorname{deg} \tilde{f})$, hence $\operatorname{deg} p= \pm 1$ and $\pi_{1}(M)=1$.

For $q \geqq 2, n \geqq 1$, let $B_{n}^{q}$ be a punctured $q$-ball with $n-1$ holes, i.e., $B_{n}^{q}$ is obtained from $S^{q}$ by removing the interiors of $n$ mutually disjoint $q$-balls.

For a bicollared $S^{q-1} \subset M^{q}$ let $N \approx S^{q-1} \times I$ be a neighborhood of $S^{q-1}$ and let $M^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}(M-N) \cup B^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime \prime}$, where the boundaries of the $q$-balls $B^{\prime}, B^{\prime \prime}$ are attached to the boundary components $S^{q-1} \times 0$ and $S^{q-1} \times 1$ of $\operatorname{cl}(M-N)$. We say $M^{\prime}$ is obtained from $M$ by surgery along $S^{q-1}$. Let $X$ be the space obtained from $M^{\prime}$ by identifying $B^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}$ under a homeomorphism. Note that $X$ can be obtained from $M^{q}$ by attaching a $q$-ball $B$ to $S^{q-1}$ along its boundary and $X-B=$ $M^{\prime}-\left(B^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime \prime}\right)=M-S^{q-1}$.

Lemma. Let $S$ be a $(q-1)$-sphere in $X-B$. If $S \simeq 0$ in $X$, then $S \simeq 0$ in $M^{\prime}$.

Proof. Suppose $S^{q-1}$ separates $M$ into $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$; then $M^{\prime}=$ $M_{1}^{\prime} \cup M_{2}^{\prime}$, where $M_{1}^{\prime}=M_{1} \cup B^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}=M_{2} \cup B^{\prime \prime}$. Let $X_{1}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $M_{t}$ by collapsing $S^{q-1}$ to a point. The projection $p: X \rightarrow X_{1}^{\prime} \vee X_{2}^{\prime}$ is a homotopy equivalence which sends $S$ into $X_{1}^{\prime}$, say. This can be seen as follows: Identify a neighborhood of $S^{q-1}$ with $N=S^{q-1} \times[-1,1]$, where $S^{q-1}=S^{q-1} \times\{0\}$. Let $w$ be the "centerpoint" of $B$ and for $y \in S^{q-1}$ let $r(y)$ be the "radius" in $B$ from $y$ to $w$. In $X_{1}^{\prime} \vee X_{2}^{\prime}$ we identify $p(N)=\left(S^{q-1} \times I\right) /\left(S^{q-1} \times\{0\}\right)$ with the cones over $S^{q-1} \times\{-1\}$ and $S^{q-1} \times$ $\{1\}$ wedged together at their vertices to a vertex $v$. Let $g: X_{1}^{\prime} \vee X_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the map that is the identity outside $p(N)$ and which sends the join of $x$ and $v$ (for $x \in S^{q-1} \times\{-1\}$, respectively $S^{q-1} \times\{1\}$ ) linearly to $x \times$ $[-1,0] \cup r(x \times\{0\})$, resp. $x \times[0,1] \cup r(x \times 0)$. Then it is clear that $g$ is a homotopy inverse of $p$. But since $X_{1}^{\prime}$ is a retract of $X_{1}^{\prime} \vee X_{2}^{\prime}$ it follows that $S \simeq 0$ in $X_{1}^{\prime}$ already and hence in $M_{1}^{\prime} \simeq X_{1}^{\prime}$.

If $S^{q-1}$ does not separate $M$, let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the infinite cyclic covering of $X$ determined by $B$ : the $q$-ball $B$ lifts to $q$-balls $\cdots B_{-1}, B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots$ and each component of $\tilde{X}-\bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} B_{i}$ maps homeomorphically onto $X-B$. For each $i$, let $X^{\prime}$, be obtained from $M^{\prime}$ by collapsing $B^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}$ to single points. There is a projection $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} X_{1}^{\prime}$ that is a homotopy equivalence and hence $\pi_{q-1}\left(X_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ injects into $\pi_{q-1}(\tilde{X})$, for each $j$. Let $\tilde{S}$ be a lift of $S$ to $\tilde{X}$. Then $\tilde{S}$ lies in a component of $\tilde{X}-\cup B_{i}$ and is mapped into a factor $X_{j}^{\prime}$ of $\vee X_{i}^{\prime}$. It follows that $\tilde{S} \simeq 0$ in $X_{j}^{\prime}$, hence $S \simeq 0$ in $M^{\prime}$.

Theorem. Let $f: B_{n}^{q} \rightarrow M^{q}$ be a map such that $f \mid \partial B_{n}^{q}$ is a bicollared embedding, $f\left(\partial B_{n}^{q}\right)=S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{n} . \quad$ Suppose that the manifold $M^{\prime}$ obtained from $M^{q}$ by surgery along $S_{1}(i=2, \cdots, n)$ belongs to $P C(q)$. Then some subcollection of $\left\{S_{1}, \cdots S_{n}\right\}$ contains $S_{1}$ and bounds an embedded punctured $q$-ball in $M$.

Proof. By Brown's result we can assume that $q \geqq 3$. Let $X$ be obtained from $M$ by attaching $q$-balls $B_{i}$ to $S_{i}(i=2, \cdots, n)$ along their boundaries. Then $X-\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} B_{i}=M^{\prime}-\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} B_{i}^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{n} B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, where $B_{t}^{\prime}, B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are the balls used for surgery on $S_{i}$. Now $S_{1} \simeq 0$ in $X$. By the lemma, $S_{1} \simeq 0$ in $M^{\prime}$. Since $M^{\prime} \in P C(q), S_{1}$ bounds a $q$-ball $B_{*}$ in $M^{\prime}$. Let $E$ be the component of $B_{*}-\bigcup_{i=2}^{n}\left(B_{i}^{\prime} \cup B_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which has $S_{1}$ on its boundary. If for each $i=2, \cdots, n$ only one of $\partial B_{i}^{\prime}, \partial B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset \partial E$, then $E$ is the desired punctured ball in $M$ bounded by $S_{1}$ and some of the $S_{i}$ 's. In fact, this is the only case that can happen. For suppose for some $i, \partial B_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\partial B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset \partial E$. Then let $k$ be a simple arc in $E$ from a point of $\partial B_{i}^{\prime}$ to a point on $\partial B_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $k$ misses the other $\partial B_{j}$ 's and such that $k$ corresponds to a simple closed curve in $M$ that intersects $S_{1}$ in one point and misses the other $S$,'s. In $M$, the intersection numbers $\#\left(k, S_{i}\right)=$ $\pm 1$, but $\#\left(k, \Sigma_{j \pm i} S_{j}\right)=0$, which is impossible since $S_{i} \sim \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_{j}$.
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