## (hnp)-RINGS OVER WHICH EVERY MODULE ADMITS A BASIC SUBMODULE

## SURJEET SINGH

The structure of those bounded (hnp)-rings over which every module admits a basic submodule, is determined. It is shown that such rings are precisely the block lower triangular matrix rings over  $D\backslash M$  where D is a discrete valuation ring with M as its maximal ideal.

In [12], the author generalized some well known results on decomposability of torsion abelian groups to torsion modules over bounded (hnp)-rings. Let R be a bounded (hnp)-ring and M be a (right) R-module. A submodule N of M is called a basic submodule of M if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) N is decomposable in the sense that it is a direct sum of uniserial modules and finitely generated uniform torsion free modules.
  - (ii) N is a pure submodule of M.
  - (iii) M/N is a divisible module.

The following result has been proved by the author (see [9] for details):

THEOREM 1. Any torsion module M over a bounded (hnp)-ring has a basic submodule and any two basic submodules of M are isomorphic.

In general an R-module need not have a basic submodule. However Marubayashi [8, Theorem (3.6)] showed that every module over a g-discrete valuation ring has a basic submodule. In this paper we determine the structure of those bounded (hnp)-rings, over which every (right) module admits a basic submodule (Theorems 3 and 4).

As defined by Marubayashi [8, p. 432], a prime, right as well as left principal ideal ring R, such that its Jacobson radical J(R) is the only maximal ideal, and idempotents modules J(R) can be lifted, is called a g-discrete valuation ring; further if R/J(R) is a division ring, then R is called a discrete valuation ring. In view of [8, Lemma (3.1)] and [7, Lemma (2.1)], g-discrete valuation rings are precisely the matrix rings over discrete valuation rings. Modules considered will be unital right modules and the notations and terminology of [12, 13] will be used without comment.

Henceforth in all lemmas, R is a bounded (hnp)-ring over which every module admits a basic submodule. Further Q stands for the classical quotient ring of R.

LEMMA 1. A submodule N of a torsion free module M over an (hnp)-ring S is pure if and only if M/N is torsion free.

*Proof.* Necessity. Let for some  $x \in M$  and a regular element b in S,  $xb = y \in N$ . As N is pure, for some  $z \in N$ , xb = zb. This in turn gives  $x = z \in N$ . This proves that M/N is torsion free.

SUFFICIENCY. Let M/N be torsion-free. Consider a finite system of equations  $\sum_i x_i r_{ij} = s_j$ ,  $s_j \in N$ , having a solution  $\{x_i\}$  in M. If  $K = \sum x_i S + N$ , then K/N being finitely generated and torsion free, is projective. Hence  $K = K_1 \oplus N$ . This gives that the above system of equations have a solution in N. Hence N is pure in M.

LEMMA 2. If U is a uniform torsion free right R-module, then either U is finitely generated, or divisible.

*Proof.* Since by Lemma 1, 0 and U are only pure submodules of U, so 0 or U is the basic submodule of U. Hence U is divisible or finitely generated.

LEMMA 3. Every over-ring of R different from Q is finitely generated as an R-module.

*Proof.* Consider an over ring S of R such that  $S \neq Q$ . Now  $S = \bigoplus \sum U_i$ ,  $U_i$  are uniform as right S-modules, since S is an (hnp)-ring [6]. If any  $U_i$  is divisible as a right R-module, then S = Q, otherwise by Lemma 2,  $S_R$  is finitely generated.

Let L be any ring and J be an ideal of L. Let n be a positive integer and  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$  be an ordered r-tuple of positive integers such that  $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = n$ . In the notations of Reiner [10, Chapter 8], we can form a block matrix ring of the type:

$$egin{bmatrix} (L) & (J) \cdots (J) \ (L) & (L) \cdots (J) \ (L) & (L) \cdots (L) \end{bmatrix} (k_1,\,k_2,\,\cdots,\,k_r) \;.$$

In the terminology of Robson [11], any such matrix ring is said to be a block lower triangular matrix ring over  $L\backslash J$ .

THEOREM 2. Let R be a bounded (hnp)-ring over which every module admits a basic submodule. Then there exists a discrete valuation ring D with maximal ideal M such that R is a block lower triangular matrix ring over  $D\backslash M$ .

*Proof.* First of all we show that R has only one maximal invertible ideal. Let A be a maximal invertible ideal of R. If A is not the only maximal invertible ideal, then in the notations of [13]  $R < R_A < Q$ . There exists a non unit regular element a in R such that a is a unit modulo A. Then  $U_n a^{-n} R \subset R_A$  and  $U_n a^{-n} R$  is not finitely generated as a right R-module. This contradicts Lemma 3. Hence A is the only maximal invertible ideal of R and  $R = R_A$ . Then J(R) = A. This then gives R has only finitely many idempotent ideals. Let B be a minimal nonzero idempotent ideal of R.  $O_i(B) = \{x \in Q: xB \subset B\}$  is a Dedekind prime ring [3, Proposition (1.8)]. As for R, every torsion free uniform  $O_l(B)$ -module is either finitely generated or divisible. As a consequence  $O_i(B)$  has only one maximal ideal P and  $O_l(B) = O_l(B)_P$ . So by [7, Lemma (2.1)]  $O_l(B) = D_n$  for some discrete valuation ring D. By Jacobson [5, p. 120], R is equivalent to  $O_l(B)$ . Hence by Robson [11, Theorem (6.3) and Corollary (2.8)], R is a block lower triangular matrix ring over  $D\backslash M$ , where D is a discrete valuation ring with M as its maximal ideal.

It is clear that any non block lower triangular matrix ring over  $D\backslash M$  where D is a discrete valuation ring with M is its maximal ideal, is equivalent to  $D_n$ . So to prove the converse of the above theorem it is enough to prove the following:

THEOREM 3. Let R be a bounded (hnp)-ring such that R is equivalent to S, for some g-discrete valuation ring S, which is an overring of R, then every R-module admits a basic submodule.

*Proof.* First of all we show that any uniform torsion free Rmodule U is either divisible or finitely generated. Suppose U is not divisible. Now  $S = D_n$ . There exist regular elements a and b in R such that  $aSb \subset R$ . Since S is bounded there exists a nonzero ideal  $\mathscr{I}$  of S such that  $\mathscr{I} \subset Sb$ . Then  $\mathscr{A} \subseteq R$  and the fact that  $S_{S}$  is embeddable in  $a\mathscr{I}$  gives that  $S_{R}$  is finitely generated. Similarly  $_{R}S$  is finitely generated. So using [3, Theorem (1.6)], we get S= $O_i(A) = A^* = AA^*$  for some idempotent ideal A of R. We can suppose that  $U \subset Q$ , the classical quotient ring of R. If US = eQ, then  $UAA^*A = eQA = eQ$ . However  $UAA^*A \subset U$ . Thus in this case Uis divisible. Hence US is finitely generated as S-module [8, Lemma (3.2)]. This gives  $U_R$  is finitely generated, since as proved above  $S_R$  is finitely generated.

Thus every uniform torsion free right R-module is injective or projective. Consider any right R-module M and let T be its torsion submodule. T admits a basic submodule B by Theorem 1. Then B is a pure submodule of M and T/B is divisible; further T/B is the torsion submodule of M/B. So we can write

## $M/B = L/B \oplus T/B \oplus K/B$

where L/B is torsion free, divisible R-module and K/B is a torsion free reduced R-module. If K/B=0, we get B itself is a basic submodule. So let  $K/B \neq 0$ . We can find a maximal uniform submodule U/B of K/B. By what has been proved above U/B is finitely generated and hence projective. So by Lemma 1, U is a pure submodule of K, and  $U=U_1 \oplus B$ , where  $U_1$  is a finitely generated uniform torsion submodule. By Zorns lemma, we can find a maximal direct sum  $E=B\oplus\sum\bigoplus U_i$ , in K such that E is a pure submodule of K,  $U_i$  are finitely generated uniform, torsion free R-modules. By Lemma 1, K/E is torsion free. If K/E is not divisible, then as before we get a nonzero finitely generated uniform submodule V/E of K/E such that V/E is pure in K/E. Then  $V=V_1 \oplus E$  and V is a pure submodule of K. This contradicts the maximality of E. Hence E/E is divisible. E is clearly decomposable and is a basic submodule of E. This completes the proof.

We remark that any two basic submodules of a module over the ring of the above theorem, can be shown to be isomorphic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author is extremely thankful to the referee for his various suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. Eisenbud and P. Griffith, Serial rings, J. Algebra, 17 (1971), 389-400.
- 2. D. Eisenbud and J. C. Robson, *Modules over Dedekind prime rings*, J. Algebra, **16** (1970), 67-85.
- 3. ——, Hereditary noetherian prime rings, J. Algebra, 16 (1970), 86-104.
- 4. L. Fuchs, Abelian Groups, Pergamon Press, 1960.
- 5. N. Jacobson, The Theory of Rings, Mathematical Survey No. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1943.
- 6. J. Kuzmanovitch, Localizations of Dedekind prime rings, J. Algebra, 21 (1972), 371-395.
- 7. H. Marubayashi, Modules over bounded Dedekind prime rings, Osaka J. Math., 9 (1972), 95-110.
- 8. ——, Modules over bounded Dedekind prime rings II, 9 (1972), 427-445.
- 9. Musharafuddin Khan, Ph. D. Thesis, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 1976.
- 10. I. Reiner, Maximal Orders, Academic Press, 1975.
- 11. J. C. Robson, *Idealizers and hereditary noetherian prime rings*, J. Algebra, **22** (1972), 45-81.
- 12. S. Singh, Modules over hereditary noetherian prime rings, Canad J. Math., 27 (1975), 867-883.
- 13. ——, Modules over hereditary noetherian prime rings II, Canad. J. Math., 28 (1976), 73-82.

Received April 13, 1977. This research was supported by the U.G.C. Grant No. F30-5(6562)/76/(SR-II).

GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY, AMRITSAR-143005, INDIA