# $H^{2}(\mu)$ SPACES AND BOUNDED POINT EVALUATIONS 

Tavan T. Trent

Let $H^{2}(\mu)$ denote the closure of the polynomials in $L^{2}(\mu)$, where $\mu$ is a positive finite compactly supported Borel measure carried by the closed unit disc $\bar{D}$. For $\lambda \in \bar{D}$, define $E(\lambda)=\sup \left\{|p(\lambda)| /\|p\|_{\mu}\right\}$, where the suprenum is taken over all polynomials whose $L^{2}(\mu)$ norm is not zero. If $E(\lambda)<\infty$ we say that $\mu$ has a bounded point evaluation at $\lambda$, abbreviated b.p.e. at $\lambda$. Whenever $E(\lambda)<\infty$ we may fix the value of $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$ at $\lambda$. We determine the set on which all functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$ have (fixed) analytic values in terms of the parts of the spectrum of a certain operator.

In the case that the support of $\mu$ has a hole $H$ bounded by an exposed arc $\Gamma$ contained in $\partial D$ and $E(z)$ is finite in $H$, we show how to recover the absolutely continuous part (with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\partial D$ ) of $\left.d \mu\right|_{\Gamma}$ from a knowledge of the $E(z)$ 's in $H$. A corollary of this is that for such measures $\mu$ the functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$ behave locally near $\Gamma$ like those of classical Hardy space. That is, they have boundary values and their zero sets near $\Gamma$ satisfy a Blaschke type growth condition. We apply this corollary to measures of the form $d \nu=G d A+w d \sigma$ to study the local behavior of functions in $H^{2}(\nu)$ near $\Gamma(A$ denotes planar measure on $\bar{D}$, $d \sigma$ denotes linear Lebesgue measure on $\partial D$, and $G$ and $w$ are in an appropriate sense not too small on $D$ and $\Gamma$ respectively).

1. Bounded evaluations and analytic extensions of functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$. Let $\mu$ be a finite positive compactly supported Borel measure carried by the closed unit disc $\bar{D}$. We note that for $\lambda$ a complex number, the point evaluation functional defined on polynomials by

$$
p \longrightarrow p(\lambda)
$$

is bounded with respect to the $L^{2}(\mu)$ norm if and only if $E(\lambda)<\infty$. In this latter case, by the Riesz representation theorem there is a unique element of $H^{2}(\mu)$, denoted by $k_{\lambda}$, satisfying

$$
p(\lambda)=\left\langle p, k_{\lambda}\right\rangle
$$

for all polynomials $p$ and $\left\|k_{i}\right\|=E(\lambda)$. We call $k_{\lambda}$ the bounded evaluation functional for $\mu$ at $\lambda$, abbreviated b.e.f. for $\mu$ at $\lambda$.

If $\mu$ has a b.p.e. at $\lambda$ with b.e.f. $k_{\lambda}$ and $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$, then we fix the value of $f$ at $\lambda$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(\lambda)=\left\langle f, k_{k_{\lambda}}\right\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that if $\mu$ has b.p.e's on a set of positive $\mu$ measure then the values $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ fixed by (1) agree $\mu$-a.e. with any representative of $f$. Also the "filling in holes" theorem due to Bram [1], interpreted in this context, says that if $H$ is a hole of the support of $\mu$ then either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \text { has b.p.e.'s at every } \lambda \in H \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or else

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \text { has no b.p.e.'s in } H \text {. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whenever (2) occurs the functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$ can be extended into the hole $H$.

It is well known that if $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$ then $\tilde{f}$ is analytic in any holes satisfying (2). We specify the largest open set on which all extensions of functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$ are analytic.

Let $M_{\mu}$ denote the bounded linear operator multiplication by $z$ on $H^{2}(\mu) . \quad \Lambda\left(M_{k}\right), \Gamma\left(M_{k}\right)$, and $\Pi\left(M_{k}\right)$ will designate the spectrum, the compression spectrum, and the approximate point spectrum of $M_{\mu}$, respectively [see 12]. If $O$ is an open set on which all extensions of functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$ are analytic, then we call $O$ an analytic set for $\mu$. If $G \subset C$ then we denote the interior of $G$ by int $G$.

Theorem 1.1. The largest analytic set for $\mu$ is $\operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma\left(M_{\mu}\right)-\right.$ $\left.I I\left(M_{\mu}\right)\right)$.

Proof. If $O$ is any analytic set for $\mu$ and $F \subset O$ is compact, then using the Banach Steinhaus theorem [16] we see that

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|k_{k}\right\|: \lambda \in F\right\}<\infty .
$$

Also if $O$ is an open set and $\lambda \rightarrow\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|$ is bounded on compact subsets of $O$, then using (1) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality it follows that $O$ is an analytic set for $\mu$.

Assume that $O$ is an analytic set for $\mu$. It is well known that $O \subset \Gamma\left(M_{\mu}\right)$. (This is just the statement that $M_{\mu}^{*} k_{\lambda}=\bar{\lambda} k_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in O$.) We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
O \cap \Pi\left(M_{n}\right)=\varnothing . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (4) fails then there exists a $\lambda$ in $O$ and a sequence of polynomials $p_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(z-\lambda) p_{n}(z)\right\|^{2}<\frac{1}{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n}\right\|^{2} \geqq \frac{1}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B$ be the closed disc of radius $r$ centered at $\lambda$ and contained in $O$. Since $O$ is an analytic set for $\mu$,

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|k_{z}\right\|: z \in B\right\}=C<\infty
$$

For $w$ with $|w-\lambda|=r$,

$$
\frac{1}{n}>\left\|(z-\lambda) p_{n}(z)\right\|^{2} \geqq \frac{|w-\lambda|^{2}\left|p_{n}(w)\right|^{2}}{\left\|k_{w}\right\|^{2}} \geqq \frac{r^{2}}{C^{2}}\left|p_{n}(w)\right|^{2}
$$

So by the maximum modulus principle,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}(u)\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{C^{2}}{n r^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in B$. But using (5) and (7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|p_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{\bar{D}-B}\left|p_{n}\right|^{2} d \mu+\int_{B}\left|p_{n}\right|^{2} d \mu \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{r^{2} n}+\frac{C^{2}}{n r^{2}} \mu(B)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that (6) is contradicted so (4) holds.
Conversely, assume that $O$ is an open set satisfying $O \cap \Pi\left(M_{\mu}\right)=\varnothing$ and $O \subset \Gamma\left(M_{\mu}\right)$. By our opening remark in the proof, it will be sufficient to show that $\lambda \rightarrow\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|$ is bounded in a neighborhood of $\lambda$. Fix $a \in O$. Since $a \notin \Pi\left(M_{r}\right)$ there is a $C<\infty$ so that

$$
\|f\| \leqq C\|(z-a) f(z)\|
$$

for all $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$. A computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\| \leqq 2 C\|(z-w) f(z)\| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $|w-a| \leqq 1 / 2 C$.
Let $q(z)=(p(z)-p(\lambda)) /(z-\lambda)$ for $p$ a polynomial and let $C_{1}=$ $\min \left\{1 / 2 C, 1 /\left(4 C\left\|k_{a}\right\|\right)\right\}$. By (8), for $|\lambda-a|<C_{1} \leqq 1 / 2 C$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|q(a)| & \leqq\left\|k_{a}\right\|\|q\| \leqq\left\|k_{a}\right\| 2 C\|(z-\lambda) q(z)\| \\
& \leqq 2 C\left\|k_{a}\right\|[\|p\|+|p(\lambda)|]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
|p(\lambda)| \leqq|p(a)|+|\lambda-a| 2 C\left\|k_{a} \mid\right\|[\|p\|+|p(\lambda)|]
$$

So for $|\lambda-a|<C_{1}$,

$$
|p(\lambda)| \leqq\left\|\hat{k}_{a}\right\|\|p\|+\frac{1}{2}[\|p\|+|p(\lambda)|]
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\| \leqq 2\left\|k_{a}\right\|+1
$$

so we are done.
Corollary 1.1. If $H$ is a hole of the support of $\mu$ and $H \subset \Lambda\left(M_{r}\right)$ then $H$ is an analytic set for $\mu$.

Proof. $\Lambda\left(M_{\mu}\right)=\Pi\left(M_{r}\right) \cup \Gamma\left(M_{\mu}\right)$. If $\lambda \in H$ then $1 /(z-\lambda) \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ and hence $\lambda \notin \Pi\left(M_{\mu}\right)$.

Denote the essential spectrum of $M_{\mu}$ by $\Lambda_{e}\left(M_{\mu}\right)$ [9].

Corollary 1.2. If $M_{\mu}$ has no point spectrum, then the maximal analytic set for $\mu$ is $\Lambda\left(M_{\mu}\right)-\Lambda_{e}\left(M_{\mu}\right)$.

Proof. If $M_{\mu}$ has no point spectrum then [9] says that $\operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma\left(M_{\mu}\right)-\Pi\left(M_{\mu}\right)\right)=\Lambda\left(M_{\mu}\right)-\Lambda_{e}\left(M_{\mu}\right)$. Now apply Theorem 1.1.

Let $M_{\mu}^{\prime}$ denote the pure subnormal part of $M_{\mu}$ [7].

Corollary 1.3. The maximal analytic set for $\mu$ is $\Lambda\left(M_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)-$ $\Lambda_{e}\left(M_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the maximal analytic sets of $M_{\mu}$ and $M_{\mu}^{\prime}$ are equal. If $M_{\mu}^{\prime}$ is a pure subnormal operator, then $M_{\mu}^{\prime}$ has empty point spectrum so Corollary 1.2 applies.

If $\mathscr{B}$ denotes the set of b.p.e.'s for $\mu$, the obvious question is whether int $\mathscr{B}$ is the largest analytic set for $\mu$. While we cannot answer this, we have the following partial result.

Theorem 1.2. There exists a dense open subset $\mathscr{S}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ so that $\mathscr{S}$ is an analytic set for $\mu$.

Proof. We show that if $\mathscr{S}=\{z \in \mathscr{B}$ : there is some neighborhood $U$ of $z$ with $\bar{U} \subset \mathscr{B}$ and $\left.\left.\sup \left\{\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|: \lambda \in U\right\}<\infty\right\}\right\}$ then $\mathscr{S}$ is a dense subset of $\mathscr{B}$. Let $V$ be any open subset of $\mathscr{B}$ with $\bar{V} \subset \mathscr{B}$. We are done if we show that $\bar{V} \cap \mathscr{S} \neq \varnothing$. Define

$$
E_{N}=\left\{z \in \bar{V}:\left\|k_{z}\right\| \leqq N\right\}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_{y}=\bar{V} .
$$

Now

$$
\left\|k_{z}\right\|=E(z)=\sup \{|p(z)| /\|p\|\}
$$

where the suprenum is taken over polynomials $p$ with rational complex coefficients and $\|p\| \neq 0$. Thus $z \rightarrow\left\|k_{z}\right\|$ is a lower semicontinuous function on $\mathscr{B}$, so $E_{x}$ is a closed set. An application of the Baire category theorem completes the proof.

It may be useful to note that by Corollary 1.3. $\mathscr{P}=\{z \in D$ : $z-M_{!}$is a Fredholm operator and $\left.\operatorname{ind}\left(z-M_{r}\right)=-1\right\}$.
2. Recovering a part of the measure $\mu$ from $E(z)$. It is a well known result of Bram [1] that the operator $M_{\mu}$, multiplication by $z$ on $H^{2}(\mu)$, is a model for a general contractive cyclic subnormal operator. Some subnormal operators have been shown to have (nontrivial, closed) invariant subspaces by establishing that if $H^{2}(\mu) \neq L^{2}(\mu)$ then $\mu$ has a bounded point evaluation [2], [3], [4]. This provides a basic motivation for the study of the relationship of the measure $\mu$ to the possible existence of b.p.e.'s.

Let $d \sigma$ denote normalized Lebesgue measure on $\partial D$. For a measure $\nu$ carried by $\partial D$, it is a classical result of Szegö and Kolomogorov [see 13] that $H^{2}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \neq L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ if and only if $\log h \in L^{1}(d \sigma)$, where $h$ denotes the absolutely continuous part of $\nu$ with respect to $\sigma$. Whenever $H^{2}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \neq L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$, then $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ has b.p.e.'s in $D$ with b.e.f.'s $k_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in D$. It was observed in [14] that $h$ can be recovered from $\left\|k_{i}\right\|$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \|}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right) \|\left. k_{\lambda}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} \text { for } \sigma \text { - a.e. } e^{i \|} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially. Suppose that $\ell$ is a measure carried by $\bar{D}$. Let

$$
d_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left.d \mu\right|_{D}+\left(\frac{d \mu}{d \sigma}\right) d \sigma+d \mu_{s}
$$

where $d \mu_{s}$ is carried by $\partial D$ and is singular to $d \sigma$. Just as in the classical case ( $\nu$ as above) a result of Clary [6] says that $\mu$ has a b.p.e. at $\lambda \in D$ if and only if $d \mu-d \mu_{s}$ does. Since $d \mu_{s}$ is not involved in the existence of b.p.e.'s, it is clear that there is no hope of recovering $d \mu_{s}$ from a knowledge of the norms of b.e.f.'s for
$d \mu$ (in fact, $E^{\mu}(\lambda) \equiv E^{\mu-\mu_{s}}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda$ ).
We are interested in the interplay between $\left.\mu\right|_{D}$ and $\left.\mu\right|_{\partial D}$ and the existence of b.p.e.'s in $D$. By the previous discussion $\mu_{s}$ has no bearing on this problem. We investigate a class of measures $\mu$ for which the absolutely continuous part of $\mu$ with respect to $\sigma$ can be recovered on an arc of $\partial D$ in an analogous fashion to (9).

Definition. Let $K$ be a compact set. Then $K$ contains an exposed arc $J$ if there exists a simply connected open set $\mathscr{D}$ such that $\mathscr{D} \cap K=J$ and $J$ is the range of a smooth Jordan curve.

Let $\mu$ be a measure carried by $\bar{D}$ satisfying:
(A) there is a hole $H$ of the support of $\mu$ so that $\bar{H}$ has an exposed arc $\Gamma$ with $\Gamma \subset \partial D$.
(B) $\mu$ has b.p.e.'s in the hole $H$.

We remark that by a result of Brown, Shields, and Zellar [5], it is possible to construct a measure $\mu$ carried by $D$ whose support has a hole $H$ for which (B) holds, $\mu(\partial D)=0$, and $\partial H \supset \partial D$. For such a measure, it is clear that $\left.\mu\right|_{\partial D}$ is not involved in the existence of b.p.e.'s in $H$. Thus condition (A) is a guarantee that if (B) is to hold, then $\mu_{\Gamma}$ and $\mu_{D}$ must interrelate in some way. Hence if $\mu$ satisfies (A) and (B), it is plausible that a knowledge of the norms of b.e.f.'s in $H$ would lead to a recovery of the absolutely continuous part of $\mu$ with respect to $\sigma$ restricted to $\Gamma$. This is indeed the case. Before proving this result, we will need a few lemmas.

Suppose that $\alpha$ is any measure whose support contains a hole $H$. Assume, furthermore, that $\alpha$ has b.p.e.'s in $H$. For $\lambda \in H, k_{\lambda}$ is the b.e.f. of $\alpha$ at $\lambda$. Denote the orthogonal projections of $L^{2}(\alpha)$ onto $H^{2}(\alpha)$ and $H^{2}(\alpha)^{\perp}$ by $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. (i) Let $a \in H$. If $g \in H^{2}(\alpha)$ and $\langle 1 /(z-a), g\rangle \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{a}=P_{1}\left(\frac{g(z)}{\bar{z}-\bar{a}}+f\right) /\left\langle g, \frac{1}{z-a}\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is any element of $H^{2}(\alpha)^{\perp}$.
(ii) If $g=P_{2}(1 /(z-a))$ then $\langle 1 /(z-\lambda), g(z)\rangle=0$ for at most a countable number of $\lambda$ 's in $H$.

Proof. Let $\hat{g}(a)$ denote $\langle 1 /(z-a), g(z)\rangle$. If $p$ is a polynomial then $(p(z)-p(a)) /(z-a)$ is a polynomial so

$$
0=\left\langle\frac{p(z)-p(a)}{z-a}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle p, \frac{g(z)}{\bar{z}-\bar{a}}\right\rangle-p(a) \hat{g}(a) .
$$

Hence

$$
p(a)=\left\langle p,\left(\frac{g}{\bar{z}-\bar{a}}\right) / \overline{\hat{g}(a)}\right\rangle
$$

for all polynomials $p$. Now $1 /(z-a)$ is in $L^{\infty}(\alpha)$ since $a \in H$, so $g /(\bar{z}-\bar{a}) \in L^{2}(\alpha)$. Thus (10) follows by the uniqueness of the b.e.f. at $a$.

Let $g=P_{2}(1 /(z-\alpha))$. Since $\alpha$ has a b.p.e. at $a, 1 /(z-\alpha) \notin H^{2}(\alpha)$. (Else we would have $1=\left\langle 1, k_{a}\right\rangle=\left\langle(z-a)(1 /(z-a)), k_{a}\right\rangle=(a-a)$ $\left\langle 1 /(z-a), k_{a}\right\rangle=0$.) Thus

$$
\left\langle\frac{1}{z-a}, g\right\rangle=\left\|P_{2}\left(\frac{1}{z-a}\right)\right\|^{2}>0 .
$$

Now we need only notice that $\lambda \rightarrow\langle 1 /(z-\lambda), g(z)\rangle$ is analytic and not identically zero in $H$ to complete the proof of (ii).

Suppose that $\mu$ is a measure supported on $\bar{D}$ satisfying (A) and (B) for a hole $H$ of the support of $\mu$ with exposed arc $\Gamma$. Let $a \in H$ and denote $P_{2}(1 /(z-a))$ by $g$ and $\langle 1 /(z-a), g\rangle$ by $\widehat{g}(a)$.

Lemma 2.2. $g$ vanishes on no subset of $\Gamma$ with positive Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Define

$$
d \beta=\frac{\overline{g(z)}}{(z-a) \hat{g}(a)} d \mu
$$

Then $d \beta$ is a complex representing measure for evaluation at $a$ on the space of the polynomials with respect to sup norm on the support of $\mu$ [see 10]. It follows from Theorem 2.2 of [10] that there exists a positive representing measure $d \nu$ for evaluation at $a$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to $|d \beta|$. It is easy to see that $\nu$ has a b.p.e. at $a$. Applying Lemma 2 of [17] shows that

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \log \frac{d \nu}{d \sigma} d \sigma>-\infty
$$

for every closed subarc $\Gamma_{1}$ of $\Gamma$. This completes the proof.
We are now ready for the main result of this section. Assume that $\mu$ is a measure supported on $\bar{D}$ satisfying (A) and (B) for a hole $H$ of the support of $\mu$ with exposed arc $\Gamma$. Let $w$ denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the absolutely continuous part of $\left.\mu\right|_{\partial D}$ with respect to $\sigma$. Fix a point $a \in H$ and again denote
$P_{2}(1 /(z-a))$ by $g$ and $\langle 1 /(z-a), g\rangle$ by $\hat{g}(a)$.
Theorem 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{i \rightarrow e^{i \theta}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} \text { for } \sigma \text {-a.e. } e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially.
Proof. By a theorem of [14] it is shown that for any measure $\beta$ on $\bar{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(E^{\beta}(\lambda)\right)^{2} \geqq 1 / \frac{d \beta}{d \sigma}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \text { for } \sigma \text {-a.e. } e^{i \theta} \in \partial D \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i 0}$ nontangentially. Thus we need only show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} \text { for } \sigma \text {-a.e. } e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially. From Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{k}_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leqq\left\|\frac{g}{z-\lambda}\right\|^{2} /|\hat{g}(\lambda)|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that from Lemma 2.1, $\hat{g}(\lambda)$ can vanish on at most a countable set of $H$. If for some $\lambda \in H, \hat{g}(\lambda)=0$, then the right hand side of (14) is to be interpreted as $\infty$.) Denote ( $\left.1-|\lambda|^{2}\right) /\left|1-\lambda e^{-i \theta}\right|^{2}$ by $P\left(\lambda, e^{i \theta}\right)$. Define $\Omega$ to be the support of $\mu$ minus $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left\|\frac{g(z)}{z-\lambda}\right\|^{2}= & \int_{\Gamma} P\left(\lambda, e^{i t}\right)\left|g\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2} w\left(e^{i t}\right) d \sigma(t)  \tag{15}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} \frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{|\lambda-z|^{2}}|g(z)|^{2} d \mu(z)
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\hat{g}(\lambda)=\left\langle\frac{1}{z-\lambda}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{1}{z-\lambda}+\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1-\bar{\lambda} z}, g\right\rangle
$$

since $z \rightarrow \bar{\lambda} /(1-\bar{\lambda} z)$ is analytic in $\bar{D}$ and $g=P_{2}(1 /(z-a))$ is in $H^{2}(\mu)^{\perp}$. Writing this out, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{g}(\lambda)=\int_{\Gamma} & P\left(\lambda, e^{i t}\right) e^{-i t} \overline{g\left(e^{i t}\right)} w\left(e^{i t}\right) d \sigma(t)  \tag{16}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{(z-\lambda)(1-\bar{\lambda} z)} g(z) d \mu(z) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $e^{i \theta} \notin \bar{\Omega}$ it is easy to see that the second integrals of (15)
and (16) converge to 0 as $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$. Hence by a theorem of Fatou [see 13], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow i^{i \theta}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left\|\frac{g(z)}{z-\lambda}\right\|^{2}=\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} w\left(e^{i \theta}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}} \hat{g}(\lambda)=e^{-i \theta} \overline{g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} w\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \text { for } \sigma \text {-a.e. } e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially. Recall that by Lemma 2.2, $g$ cannot vanish on a subset of $\Gamma$ with positive Lebesgue measure. Thus, combining (14), (17), and (18), we establish (13) to complete the proof.

Suppose that $\mu$ is a measure on $\bar{D}$ satisfying (A) and (B) for a hole $H$ of the support of $\mu$ with exposed arc $\Gamma$. Assume that $\left.d \mu\right|_{\Gamma}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $d \sigma$. In [17] it was shown that if $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$ and $f$ does not vanish identically on $\Gamma$ then

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \log |f| d \sigma>-\infty
$$

for $\Gamma_{1}$ any closed subarc of $\Gamma$. Thus the functions of $H^{2}(\mu)$ exhibit one of the properties of Hardy space functions locally on $\Gamma$. Thus if $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$ the question is raised as to whether $f$ can be recovered as the boundary values of $\widetilde{f}$ on $\Gamma$. J. Thompson and R. Olin have informed us that the answer to this question is yes. Subsequently, we have established this result together with a Blaschke type growth condition based on Theorem 2.1 and a result of Kriete and Trutt [15].

Let $\mu$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1. Also assume that $\left.d \mu\right|_{\Gamma}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. We have the following regularity theorem for extensions of functions in $H^{2}(\mu)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$.
(i) $\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i}} \widetilde{f}(\lambda)=f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $\sigma$-a.e. $e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma$ where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially.
(ii) Assume that $f$ is not equal to $0 \quad \sigma$-a.e. on $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma_{1}$ is any proper closed subarc of $\Gamma$ and $\tilde{f}$ vanishes on the set $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ which has no limit points outside of $\Gamma_{1}$ then

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) p_{n}<\infty
$$

where $p_{n}$ is the multiplicity of $z_{n}$ as a zero of $\tilde{f}$.

Proof. The proof will be established by showing that any $f$ in $H^{2}(\mu)$ may be viewed as an element of a space $H^{2}(\beta)$. The corresponding extensions of $f$ as an element of $H^{2}(\mu)$ and $H^{2}(\beta)$ have the same values at the points which are bounded point evaluations of both $\mu$ and $\beta$. Once this is done it will be sufficient to show that extensions of functions in $H^{2}(\beta)$ satisfy (i) and (ii). This will follow from a conformal mapping argument.

Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be any closed subarc of $\Gamma$. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements of $\Gamma-\Gamma_{1}$, one on each side of $\Gamma_{1}$, for which equality holds in (11). Let $M$ denote the arc connecting $a$ with $b$ and containing $\Gamma_{1}$. By hypothesis (B) on the support of $\mu$, we can find a polar rectangle $R$ with int $R \subset H$, and $\partial R \cap \partial D=M$. Let $L$ denote $\partial R \cap D$.

Define a finite Borel measure, $d \beta$, with support $\partial R$ by

$$
d \beta=\left.\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|d z|\right|_{L}+\left.\frac{w(z)}{2 \pi}|d z|\right|_{M}
$$

where $|d z|$ denotes arc length measure.
Let $p$ be a polynomial. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|p\|_{\beta}^{2} & =\int_{L}|p|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|d z|+\int_{M}|p|^{2} w d \sigma \\
& \leqq\|p\|_{\mu}^{2} \int_{L}\left\|k_{z}^{\mu}\right\|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|d z|+\|p\|_{\mu}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the hypothesis that $a$ and $b$ satisfy the equality in (11) enables us to find a constant $K<\infty$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p\|_{\beta}^{2} \leqq K\|p\|_{\mu}^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (19), the mapping defined on polynomials by $p \rightarrow p$ extends to a bounded linear map $T$ of $H^{2}(\mu)$ into $H^{2}(\beta)$.

Notice that

$$
\int_{M}|\log w||d z|+\int_{L}\left|\log \left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right||d z|<\infty
$$

The first integral is finite by Lemma 2 of [4] since $\mu$ has b.p.e.'s in $H$ and the second integral is finite by a routine computation. Thus if

$$
W(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w(z) \quad z \in M \\
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)
\end{array} \quad z \in L\right.
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \beta=W(z)|d z| \text { where } \int_{\partial R}|\log W(z)|<\infty \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi$ is a simple conformal mapping of $D$ onto $R$ extended to a mapping of $\bar{D}$ onto $\bar{R}$ then $\psi^{-1}$ is bounded above by a modification of Theorem 9.8 of [18]. Using a theorem of Szegö [see 13] and a conformal mapping argument, it is not hard to show that $\beta$ has b.p.e.'s in $R$ if and only if $\log \left[(W \circ \psi)\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|\right] \in L^{1}(d \sigma)$. By Theorem 3.12 of [8] (since $\psi$ is rectifiable), $\psi^{\prime} \in H^{1}(d \sigma)$ so $\log \left|\psi^{\prime}\right| \in L^{1}(d \sigma)$. Combining (20) and the boundedness of $\psi^{-1}$ we see that

$$
\int_{\partial D}|\log W \circ \psi| d \sigma=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial R}|\log W|\left|\psi^{-1^{\prime}}\right||d z|<\infty .
$$

Fix $f \in H^{2}(\mu)$. By the definition of $T$, a sequence of polynomials converging to $f$ in $H^{2}(\mu)$ will converge to $T f$ in $H^{2}(\beta)$. Also the existence of b.p.e.'s in the hole $R$ implies by Theorem 1.1 that the convergence of polynomials is uniform on compact subsets of int $R$. Hence $\tilde{f}=T \tilde{f}$ in $R$.

To show that extensions of functions in $H^{2}(\beta)$ satisfy (i) and (ii), we refer to the proof of Theorem 8 in [15]. This completes the proof.
3. An application. Let $d A$ denote planar Lebesgue measure on $D$ and let $\Gamma$ be an open subarc of $\partial D$. We shall apply the results of $\S 2$ to finite positive measures of the form

$$
d \nu=G d A+w d \sigma
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log G \text { is in } L^{1}(d A) \text { and } \int_{\Gamma} \log w d \sigma>-\infty \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $d \nu=G d A+w d \sigma$ satisfies (21). Then

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} \text { for } \sigma \text {-a.e. } e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially.
Proof. Remove the open region $S$ from $D$ which is bounded by a proper closed subarc $\Gamma_{1}$ of $\Gamma$ and the chord connecting the endpoints of $\Gamma_{1}$. Define $\tau=\left.\nu\right|_{\bar{D}-s}$. Clearly, $\|p\|_{\tau} \leqq\|p\|_{\nu}$ so by definition

$$
E^{\nu}(z)=\left\|k_{z}^{\nu}\right\| \leqq E^{\tau}(z)
$$

Appealing to (12), it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}}}\left(1-|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(E^{\tau}(\lambda)\right)^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{w\left(e^{i \theta}\right)} \text { for } \sigma \text {-a.e. } e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma_{1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow e^{i \theta}$ nontangentially.
The support of the measure $\tau$ satisfies condition (A) with respect to $S$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ by definition. If we show that $\tau$ satisfies (B), then we may apply Theorem 2.1 to establish (22). The remainder of the proof is a lengthy calculation to show that (B) holds.

First we need some notation. Without loss of generality let us assume that for some $\alpha$ with $-1<\alpha<1, S=\{z \in D: \alpha<\operatorname{Re} z<1\}$. For $-1<x<\alpha$, let $L_{x}$ denote the chord $\{z \in \bar{D}: \operatorname{Re} z=x\}$. Choose $-1<\beta<\alpha$ so that for every $x$ with $\beta \leqq x \leqq \alpha L_{x}$ intersects $\Gamma-\Gamma_{1}$ in two points. (Since $\Gamma$ is an open arc and $\Gamma_{1}$ is a proper closed subarc of $\Gamma$ this can be done.) For $-1<x<\alpha$, let $S_{x}$ denote the open segment of $D$ with chord $L_{x}$ and containing $S$. Denote $\partial S_{x} \cap \partial D$ by $\Gamma_{x}$.

Let $E_{n}=\left\{t \in[\beta, \alpha]: \int_{L_{t}} G(t+i y)|d y|<\infty\right.$ and $\int_{\Gamma_{t}}|\log w / 2 \pi \| d z|+$ $\left.\int_{L_{t}}|\log G(t+i y)||d y|<n\right\}_{t}$. It is clear from the hypotheses on $G$ and $w$ that for some $n<\infty, m\left(E_{n}\right)>0$, where $m$ is linear Lebesgue measure. Let $E$ be any set $E_{n}$ with $m\left(E_{n}\right)>0$. If $t \in E$, define the measures $\nu_{t}$ with support $\partial S_{t}$ by

$$
d \nu_{t}=\left.\frac{w}{2 \pi}|d z|\right|_{\Gamma_{t}}+\left.m(E) G(t+i y)|d y|\right|_{L_{t}}
$$

Let

$$
h_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{w}{2 \pi} \text { on } \Gamma_{t} \\
m(E) G(t+i y) \text { on } L_{t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
d \nu_{t}=\left.h_{t}|d z|\right|_{\partial s_{t}}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\partial S_{t}}\left|\log h_{t}\right||d z| \leqq n<\infty
$$

Notice that $\nu_{t}$ has b.p.e.'s in $S_{t}$ (and hence in $S$ ) by an argument similar to that employed in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Fix any $a \in S$. For any polynomial $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(a)|^{2} \leqq\left\|k_{a}^{\nu t}\right\|^{2}\|p\|_{\nu_{t}}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \in E$. Integrating (23) on $E$ with respect to $d m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(E)|p(a)|^{2} & \leqq \sup _{t \in E}\left\|k_{a}^{\nu_{t}}\right\|^{2}\left[\int_{E} \int_{L_{t}}|p|^{2} G m(E)|d y| d m+m(E) \int_{\Gamma_{t}}|p|^{2} w d \sigma\right] \\
& \leqq \sup _{t \in E}\left\|k_{a}^{\nu_{t}}\right\|^{2} m(E)\|p\|_{\tau}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We need only show that $\sup _{t \in E}\left\|k_{a}^{\nu}\right\|^{2}$ is finite to establish (B). Let $\psi_{t}$ denote the simple conformal map of $D$ onto $S_{t}$ with $\psi_{t}(\alpha)=a$ and $\psi_{t}^{\prime}(a)>0$. Denote $\sup \left\{\left|\psi_{t}^{1^{1}}(z)\right|: \beta \leqq t \leqq \alpha, z \in \bar{S}_{t}\right\}$ by $C$. Let $A$ stand for the set of angles measured in radians of the corners of $S_{t}$ with $t \in[\beta, \alpha]$. Referring to the proof of Theorem 9.8 of [18], we see that $C<\infty$, since $0<\inf A \leqq \sup A<\pi$. (Because these conformal maps can be given explicitly, this also follows by a direct computation.) It follows from a conformal mapping and a theorem of Szegö [see 13] that

$$
\left\|k_{a}^{\nu_{t}}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\exp -\int_{\partial S_{t}} P\left(a, \psi_{t}^{-1}(z)\right) \log h(z)\left|\psi_{t}^{1^{\prime}}(z)\right| \frac{|d z|}{2 \pi}}{2 \pi\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left|\psi_{t}^{\prime}(a)\right|}
$$

so

$$
\sup _{t \in L_{L}} \|{k_{a}^{\nu} t \|^{2} \leqq}_{\frac{\exp \left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|}\right) C n}{2 \pi(1-|a|)^{2}} C . . . . ~ . ~}^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

We remark that functions in $H^{2}(d A)$ do not in general have Hardy space properties. However, if $d \nu=G d A+w d \sigma$ satisfies (21) then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $d \nu=G d A+w d \sigma$ satisfies (21). Let $f \in H^{2}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$.
(i) $\operatorname{Lim}_{\lambda-e^{i \rho}} \tilde{f}(z)=f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ for $\sigma$-a.e. $e^{i \theta} \in \Gamma$.
(ii) Suppose that $f$ is not the zero function. If $\Gamma_{1}$ is any proper closed subarc of $\Gamma$ and $\widetilde{f}$ vanishes on the set $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ which has no limit points not in $\Gamma_{1}$, then

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|\right) p_{n}<\infty
$$

where the $p_{n}$ is the multiplicity of $z_{n}$ as a zero of $\tilde{f}$.
(iii) Suppose $f$ is not the zero function. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be any proper. closed subarc of $\Gamma$, then

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \log |f| d \sigma>-\infty
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that given for Theorem 3.1 and will be omitted.

These results extend a part of the author's dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. degree at the University of Virginia. The author wishes to express his appreciation for the encouragement and guidance of Professor Thomas L. Kriete, III.
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