# A SELECTION THEOREM FOR GROUP ACTIONS 

John P. Burgess

Let a Polish group $G$ act continuously on a Polish space $X$, inducing an equivalence relation $E$. Let $E_{Y}$ be the restriction of $E$ to an invariant Borel subset $Y$ of $X$. Assume $E_{Y}$ is countably separated. Then it has a Borel transversal.

Throughout, let $\Gamma$ be a continuous action of a Polish group $G$ on a Polish space $X$. Thus $X$ is a separable space admitting a complete metric, while $G$ is a topological group whose topology is separable and admits a complete metric, and $\Gamma$ is a continuous function $G \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\Gamma\left(g^{-1}, \Gamma(g, x)\right)=x$ and $\Gamma(g, \Gamma(h, x))=\Gamma(g h, x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $g, h \in G$. We write $g x$ for $\Gamma(g, x)$, and for subsets of $X$ write $g A$ for $\{g x: x \in A\}$. $\Gamma$ induces an equivalence relation $E$ on $X: x E y$ iff $g x=y$ for some $g \in G$. $W \subset X$ is invariant if $g W=W$ for all $g \in G$. Let $Y \subset X$ be an invariant Borel set, $E_{Y}$ the restriction of $E$ to $Y$. A transversal or selector-set for an equivalence relation is a set composed of exactly one representative from each equivalence class. Let us assume $E_{Y}$ is countably separated, i.e., that there exist invariant Borel $Z_{0}, Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \cdots \subset Y$ such that for all $x, y \in Y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x E y \longleftrightarrow \forall m\left(x \in Z_{m} \longleftrightarrow y \in Z_{m}\right) \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

our goal is to prove the following selection result:
Theorem. Under the above hypotheses, $E_{Y}$ has a Borel transversal. It should be mentioned that a number of special cases and overlapping results have been known to and applied by $C^{*}$-algebraists for some time now. The construction of the required transversal proceeds in four stages.

Stage A. It will prove convenient to reserve the letters $m, n$ plain and with subscripts to range over the set $I$ of natural numbers, and to reserve $s, t$ plain and with subscripts to range over the set $Q$ of finite sequences of natural numbers. We let $s^{*} m$ denote the concatenation of $s$ and $m$, i.e., $s$ with $m$ tacked on at the end. We wish to define Borel sets $A(s)$ for overy $s \in Q$ of even length.

Case 1. $s=$ the empty sequence $\varnothing$. Set $A(\varnothing)=Y$.
Case 2. $s=a$ sequence $(m, n)$ of length two. Set $A((m, n))=Z_{m}$
if $n=0$, and $Y-Z_{m}$ if $n>0$.
Case 3. $s=a$ sequence of form $t^{*} m^{*} n$, where $t$ has length $\geqq 2$, and $A(t)$ is a closed set. For such $t$ we wish to define $A\left(t^{*} m^{*} n\right)$ for all $m$ and $n$ at once. In order to do so, we first fix a complete metric $\rho$ compatible with the topology of $X$. For each $m$ we then let $\left\{A\left(t^{*} m^{*} n\right): n \in I\right\}$ be a family of closed sets of $\rho$-diameter $<1 / m$ whose union is $A(t)$.

Note that in every case so far we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=\bigcap_{m} \bigcup_{n} A\left(t^{*} m^{*} n\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 4. $s=a$ sequence of form $t^{*} m^{*} n$, where $t$ has length $\geqq 2$, and $A(t)$ is not closed. Again, for such $t$ we define all $A\left(t^{*} m^{*} n\right)$ at once.

But first we introduce by induction on countable ordinals $\alpha$ a slight modification of the usual hierarchies of Borel sets. Let $\Theta_{0}$ be the family of all closed subsets of $X$. For a countable ordinal $\alpha>0$, let $\Theta_{\alpha}$ be the family of all sets of form $\bigcap_{m} \bigcup_{n} W_{m n}$ with the $W_{m n} \in \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} \Theta_{\beta}$. Thus $\Theta_{1}=F_{\sigma \dot{\delta}}, \Theta_{2}=F_{\sigma \delta \delta o \delta}$. For present purposes the rank of a Borel set $W$ will mean the least $\alpha$ with $W \in \Theta_{\alpha}$.

Now returning to our Borel set $A(t)$ of rank $\alpha>0$, we let the $A\left(t^{*} m^{*} n\right)$ be sets of rank $<\alpha$ satisfying (1) above. This completes the opening stage of the construction.

Stage $B$. Let us fix an enumeration $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots$ of the nonempty members of $Q$, such that if $s_{m}$ is an initial segment of $s_{n}$, then $m<n$. Let $F_{n}$ denote the set of all functions $\left\{s_{0}, \cdots, s_{n-1}\right\} \rightarrow I$. (So $F_{0}$ contains only the empty function $\varnothing$.) Let $F=\bigcup_{n} F_{n}$, and let $F_{\infty}$ be the set of all functions $\left\{s_{i}: i \in I\right\} \rightarrow I$. We reserve the letters $\sigma, \tau$ plain and with subscripts to range over $F$. We say $\tau$ is an immediate proper extension of $\sigma$, and write $\sigma \Subset \tau_{\alpha}$, if for some $n$, $\sigma \in F_{n}, \tau \in F_{n+1}$, and $\tau$ extends $\sigma$.

For $\psi \in F \cup F_{\infty}$ and $s=\left(m_{0}, m_{1}, \cdots, m_{k-1}\right) \in$ dom $\psi$ we define:
$\psi^{+}(s)=\left(m_{0}, n_{0}, m_{1} n_{1}, \cdots, m_{k-1}, n_{k-1}\right)$, where
$n_{0}=\psi\left(\left(m_{0}\right)\right)$ and $n_{1}=\psi\left(\left(m_{0}, m_{1}\right)\right), \cdots, n_{k-1}=\psi(s)$.
To complete stage B of the construction, we define $B(\sigma)$ to be the intersection of all $A\left(\sigma^{+}(s)\right)$ for $s \in \operatorname{dom} \sigma$. Unpacking all these definitions, one readily verifies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\sigma)=\bigcup_{\sigma €:} B(\tau) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another glance at the definitions (especially stage A, case 2) discloses:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in B(\sigma) \&(m) \in \operatorname{dom} \sigma \longrightarrow\left(x \in Z_{m} \longleftrightarrow \sigma((m))=0\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stage C. Before launching into the next stage of the construction, we define for any $W \subset X$ the Vaught transform $W^{\ddagger}$ of $W$ to be $\{x \in X:\{g \in G: g x \in W\}$ is nonmeanger (2nd cafegory) in $G\}$. One readily verifies that:
$W^{\#}$ is invariant.
$W$ is invariant $\rightarrow W=W^{\ddagger}$.
$\left(\mathbf{U}_{n} W_{n}\right)^{\#}=\mathbf{U}_{n}\left(W_{n}^{*}\right)$.
It is shown in [1] that

$$
W \text { is Borel } \longrightarrow W^{\ddagger} \text { is Borel }
$$

which will be all-important for us.
Now let us define $C(\sigma)=B(\sigma)^{\ddagger}$. The above facts from Vaught's theory of group actions imply that each $C(\sigma)$ is an invariant Borel set, that $C(\varnothing)=Y$, and that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\sigma)=\bigcup_{\sigma \in \tau} C(\tau) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $x \in C(\sigma)$, then some $g x \in B(\sigma)$, so applying (3) above, and recalling that the $Z_{m}$ are invariant, we conclude:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in C(\sigma) \&(m) \in \operatorname{dom} \sigma \longrightarrow\left(x \in Z_{m} \longleftrightarrow \sigma((m))=0\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stage D. We say $\sigma$ lexicographically precedes $\tau$, and write $\sigma \triangleleft \tau$, if for some $n$ and $i<n$ we have $\sigma \in F_{n}, \tau \in F_{n}, \sigma\left(s_{j}\right)=\tau\left(s_{j}\right)$ for all $j<i$, and $\sigma\left(s_{i}\right)<\tau\left(s_{i}\right)$. The relation $\triangleleft$ well orders each $F_{n}$.

Let $D(\sigma)$ be the invariant Borel set $C(\sigma) \cdot \bigcup\{C(\tau): \tau \triangleleft \sigma\}$. Thus $D(\varnothing)=Y$ and by (4) and (5) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\sigma)=\sum_{\sigma \in \tau} D(\tau) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in D(\sigma) \text { and }(m) \in \operatorname{dom} \sigma \longrightarrow\left(x \in Z_{m} \longleftrightarrow \sigma((m))=0\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (6), $\Sigma$ denotes disjoint union.
Finally we are in a position to introduce the Borel set:

$$
T=\bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{\sigma \in F_{n}}(B(\sigma) \cap D(\sigma))
$$

We aim to show that $T$ is the required transversal for $E_{Y}$. To this end we consider an arbitrary $E$-equivalence class $K \subset Y$ and verify that $T \cap K$ is a singleton.

To begin with, from (6) it is evident that there exists a sequence $\varnothing=\sigma_{0} \Subset \sigma_{1} \Subset \sigma_{2} \Subset \cdots$ of eIements of $F$ such that $K \in D\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ for each $n$, but $K \cap D(\sigma)=\varnothing$ for any other $\sigma \in F$. Let $\psi \in F_{\infty}$ be the union of these $\sigma_{n}$.

Recall that:

$$
B\left(\sigma_{n}\right)=\cap\left\{A\left(\sigma_{n}^{+}\left(s_{i}\right)\right): i<n\right\}=\bigcap\left\{A\left(\psi^{+}\left(s_{i}\right)\right): i<n\right\}
$$

Let us consider the closely related sets:

$$
L_{n}=\bigcap\left\{A\left(\psi^{+}\left(s_{i}\right)\right): i<n \text { and } A\left(\psi^{+}\left(s_{i}\right)\right) \text { is a closed set }\right\} .
$$

Manifestly the $L_{n}$ are closed and nested, $L_{n+1} \subset L_{n}$. They are also nonempty. (To see this, note that $K \subset D\left(\sigma_{n}\right) \subset C\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ implies $K \cap$ $B\left(\sigma_{n}\right) \neq \varnothing$, and that $L_{n} \supset B\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$.) Finally, the $\rho$-diameters of the $L_{n}$ converge to zero. (To see this, consider for any given $m$ the sets $A\left(\psi^{+}((m))\right), A\left(\psi^{+}((m, m))\right), A\left(\psi^{+}((m, m, m))\right), \cdots$. By stage A, case 4 of our construction, the ranks of these sets decrease until at some step we reach a closed set; then by stage A, case 3 , at the very next step we get a closed set of $\rho$-diameter $<1 / m$.) Since $\rho$ is complete, $\bigcap_{n} L_{n}$ is a singleton $\{y\}$.

Claim. $y \in A\left(\psi^{+}(s)\right)$ for all $s$.
This is established by induction on the rank of the set involved: we know it already for rank 0, i.e., closed, sets. Suppose then $A\left(\psi^{+}(s)\right)$ has rank $\alpha>0$, and assume as induction hypothesis that the claim holds for sets of rank $<\alpha$, e.g., for the various $A\left(\psi^{+}(s)^{*} m^{*} n\right)$. Then for any $m$, letting $n=\psi\left(s^{*} m\right)$, we have $\psi^{+}\left(s^{*} m\right)=\psi^{+}(s)^{*} m^{*} n$, and so by induction hypothesis, $y \in A\left(\psi^{+}(s)^{*} m^{*} n\right)$. This shows $y \in \bigcap_{m} \bigcup_{n} A\left(\psi^{+}(s)^{*} m^{*} n\right)=A\left(\psi^{+}(s)\right)$ as required to prove the claim.

From the claim it is immediate that $y \in \bigcap_{n} B\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$, and also that for any $m, y \in Z_{m}$ iff $\psi(m)=0$. On the other hand, by (7) above, for any $m, K \subset Z_{m}$ iff $\psi(m)=0$. But then by ( 0 ), $y \in K$. And this implies $y \in \bigcap_{n} D\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$. Putting everything together, $T \cap K=\{y\}$ as required.
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