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#### Abstract

One of the most puzzling questions in low dimensional topology is which elements $\alpha \in \pi_{2}(M)$, where $M$ is a smooth compact 4 -manifold, may be represented by a smoothly imbedded 2 -sphere. This paper treats a stable version of the problem: When is there a smooth proper imbedding, $h: S^{2} \times \boldsymbol{R} \hookrightarrow M \times \boldsymbol{R}$ by which the ends of $S^{2} \times R$ are mapped to the ends of $M \times R$, and for which the composition


$$
S^{2} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow(x, 0)} S^{2} \times \boldsymbol{R} \xrightarrow{h} M \times \boldsymbol{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} M
$$

represents $\alpha$ ?
If there is an $h$ as above, we say that $\alpha$ is stably represented. We are able to determine precisely which $\alpha$ are stably represented when $M$ is simply connected. In general, the vanishing of a finiteness obstruction ( $\in K_{0}\left(Z\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]\right)$ ) yields Poincare' imbeddings of $S^{2}$ in $M$. In the nonsimply connected case, sufficient information is obtained to carry out surgery $\times \boldsymbol{R}$, yielding an alternative construction of manifold structures on (4-dimensional Poincare' spaces) $\times \boldsymbol{R}$. All terminology will be smooth.

We say a class $\alpha \in \pi_{2}(M)$ is characteristic if the composition,

$$
\pi_{2}(M) \xrightarrow{\text { Hur }} H_{2}(M ; Z) \xrightarrow{(2)} H_{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{2}\left(M, \partial ; Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{P . D .^{-1}} H^{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right) ;
$$

carries $\alpha$ to $w_{2}(\tau(M))$. Otherwise, we say $\alpha$ is ordinary.
If $\alpha$ is characteristic and $N(\alpha)=0$ there is a well defined number, $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$ or 1 , which is the Arf invariant of a certain $Z_{2}$-quadratic form. When $M$ is closed this Arf invariant is related to more familiar invariants by the formula $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=\operatorname{Hur} \alpha \cdot \operatorname{Hur} \alpha$-signature $\left(M^{4}\right) / 8(\bmod 2)$. See [2] for details.

We say $\alpha$ has a spherical dual if there is a $\beta \in \pi_{2}(M)$ with $\lambda(\alpha, \beta)=1$, where $\lambda$ is the Wall-intersection form taking values in $Z\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]$, see [5].

Main Theorem (case: $\pi_{1}(M)=0$ ). $\alpha$ is stably represented if and only if $\alpha$ is ordinary or $\alpha$ is characteristic and $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$.

Main Theorem (case: $\pi_{1}(M) \neq 0$ ). If $\alpha$ is stably represented, the Wall self intersection form $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is 0 for some immersion $\alpha^{\prime}$ homotopic to $\alpha$ and if $\alpha$ is characteristic $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$. Conversely
if either (1) $\alpha$ is ordinary, $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$, has a spherical dual $\beta$ and $\pi_{2}(M) \xrightarrow{\text { Hur. }} H_{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right)$ is epi or $(2) \alpha$ is characteristic, $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0, \alpha$ has a spherical dual $\beta$, and $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$ then $\alpha$ is stably represented.

AdDENDUM. Any $h$ constructed by the main theorem may be chosen so that ker: $\pi_{1}\left(M \times R-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\text { inc }} \pi_{1}(M \times R)$ is central and cyclic, and the two ends of ( $M \times R$ - open tube ( $h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$ ) each determine isomorphisms from the usual inverse limit to $\pi_{1}\left(M \times R-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)\right)$.

Other stable imbeddings are descirbed at the end in Remarks A and $B$.

We may drop the Hurewicz map from our notation, writing intersection numbers as $\alpha \cdot \alpha$, for example.

A theorem of Milnor and Kervaire [3] states: If $M$ is closed and if $\alpha$ is characteristic and is represented by a smoothly imbedded 2 -sphere, then $\alpha \cdot \alpha-\sigma(M) / 8 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.

The converse of this theorem is false (for example, if $\gamma$ genrates $H_{2}\left(C P^{2}, Z\right)$, then $7 \gamma-\sigma\left(C P^{2}\right) / 8=49-1 / 8 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$; but by a theorem of Tristram's [4] no surface of genus $<10$ may be smoothly imbedded to represent $7 \gamma$ ), so we are required to cross with $R$ to obtain our main theorem.

Proof, case $\pi_{1}(M)=0$, "only if" direction. In [2], we defined the quadratic form, $q$, on $H_{1}$ (Surface; $Z_{2}$ ), for any imbedded surface $K$ presenting a characteristic class in $H_{2}(M ; Z)$, essentially as follow; if $A$ is a simple closed curve on $K$ let $B$ be an immersed oriented surface with $\partial B=A$ and $B$ meeting $K$ transversely, except at $A$ where the meeting is "normal". Define $q([A])=$ (\# intersections of interior ( $B$ ) with $K$ ) + (the Euler obstruction to extending $\nu_{A \hookrightarrow K}$ as a section of $\left.\nu_{B \hookrightarrow M}[B, \partial]\right)(\bmod 2)$. The two terms in this definition will be denoted $\Pi_{B}$ and $x_{B}$ respectively; subscripts will be omitted when only one disk, $B$, is being discussed.

Assume there is an imbedding, $h: S^{2} \times R \rightarrow M \times R$. Make $h$ transverse to $M \times 0$. Since $h$ maps ends to ends, a connectivity argument shows that $h^{-1}(M \times 0)$ is homologous (in $\left.S^{2} \times R\right)$ to $S^{2} \times 0$. So with suitably chosen orientation, $h\left(S^{2} \times R\right) \cap M \times 0$ represents $\operatorname{Hur}(\alpha)$. Put $K=h\left(S^{2} \times R\right) \cap M \times 0 . \quad K=\partial \bar{K}$, where $\bar{K}=h\left(S^{2} \times R\right) \cap M \times[0, \infty) . \quad$ A homological argument shows that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{inc}_{*} H_{1}(K ; Z) \rightarrow H_{1}(K ; Z)\right)$ is a summand of $H_{1}(K ; Z)$ generated by the "first half" of a symplectic basis, $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}$. To show $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$ it is sufficient to show that $q\left(a_{i}\right)=0,1 \leqq i \leqq k$. We do this.

Let $A_{i}$ be a simple closed curve representing $a_{i}$ and let $A_{i}$ bound an immersed oriented surface, $B$, in $M \times 0$ (as above) and a imbedd-
ed surface $\quad B^{\prime} \subset \bar{K}$. Let $\quad \bar{B}=B \cup_{A_{i}} B^{\prime}$. Since $\quad\left[h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)\right] \in$ $H^{\mathrm{int}}{ }_{2}(M \times R ; Z)$ is dual to $w_{2}(\tau(M \times R)) \in H^{2}(M \times R ; Z)$, the intersection number $\bar{B} \cdot h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)=w_{2}(\tau(M \times R) / \bar{B})[\bar{B}]=w_{2}\left(\nu_{\bar{B}, M \times R}\right)[\bar{B}]=x+x^{\prime}(\bmod$ 2) where $x^{\prime}$ is the obstruction to extending $v$, the orthogonal complement to the inward vector field on $\partial B$ in $\nu_{K \hookrightarrow M \times 0}$, to a section of $\nu_{\bar{K} c M \times[0, \infty)} / B^{\prime}$ evaluated on $\left[B^{\prime}, \partial\right]$. Let $\pitchfork^{\prime}$ be the intersection number of $B^{\prime}$ and $\bar{K}$ when $B^{\prime}$ is displaced from $\bar{K}$ by pushing along $v$. Then,

$$
\bar{B} \cdot h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)=\pitchfork+\pitchfork^{\prime}
$$

so

$$
\pitchfork+\pitchfork^{\prime} \equiv x+x^{\prime}(\bmod 2)
$$

but clearly $\pitchfork^{\prime}=x^{\prime}$ so

$$
\pitchfork+x \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
$$

so $q\left(\left[a_{i}\right]\right)=0$.
Note. When $\pi_{1}(M) \neq 0 q(\alpha)$ may still be defined (see [2]) and essentially the same argument shows $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))$ must be zero if $h$ exists.

If direction: $\alpha$ characteristic and $\pi_{1} M \cong 0$.
Let $h_{0}: T_{0} \rightarrow M \times 0$ be an imbedding of an oriented surface representing $\alpha \otimes[0] \in H_{2}(M \times 0 ; Z)$. Since $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$ there is a symplectic basis, $\left\{a_{i}, a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$, for $H_{1}\left(h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right) ; Z\right)$ with $q\left[a_{i}\right]_{2}=q\left[a_{i}^{\prime}\right]_{2}=0 \quad \forall_{i}$. Let $A_{i}$ and $A_{i}^{\prime}$ imbedded circles representing $a$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}$ respectively. Assume $A_{1} \cap A_{j}=\dot{\phi}$ for $i \neq j, A_{i} \cap A_{j}^{\prime}=\phi$ if $i \neq j, A_{i}$ and $A_{i}$ meet transversley in one point. Such circles will be called a standard family for the symplectic basis. Surgery on $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ or $\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ would convert $T_{0}$ to a 2 -sphere. The usual low dimensional problems make it impossible to carry out these surgeries ambiently. We will see, however, that after suitably enlarging the genus of $T_{0}$ (to improve the complement) the surgeries based on $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ may be carried out ambiently, and the trace of these surgeries is imbedded in $M \times[-1,1]$. Once more, we add 1 -handles to improve the complement and 2 -handles to reduce the first homology of the trace. In the limit the trace finally becomes $S^{2} \times R$. The resulting imbedding is analogous to an imbedding of $R$ in $I \times R$ built from $\{3$ points $\} \subset$ $I \times 0$ by attaching 0 and 1 -handles (see Diagram 1).

Let $\left\{A_{i}, A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the boundaries of immersed disks in $M \times 0,\left\{B_{i}, B_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$. We use the same letter $\left(B_{i}\right)$ to denote the image and map. We require that the inward normals to $A_{i}$ in $B_{i}$ and $A_{i}^{\prime}$ in $B_{i}^{\prime}$ lie in $\nu_{h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right) \in M \times 0}$ and that interior $\left(B_{i}\right)$ and interior $\left(B_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be transverse to $h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Since $\pi_{1}(M)=0, \nu_{A_{i} \gtrdot B_{i}}$ (or $\nu_{\left.A_{i}^{\prime}\right\lrcorner B_{i}^{\prime}}$ ) may be arbitrarity specified as a section of $\nu_{\left.h_{0}(T) \subset M \times 0 / A_{i} \text { (or } A_{i}^{\prime}\right)}$. This allows us to pick $\left\{B_{i}, B_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ so

that the relative Euler classes, $x_{B_{i}}$ and $x_{B_{i}}$, are zeor for all $i$.
Let $B$ denote the union of immersions $B=\cup_{i}\left(B_{i} \cup B_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 1. The family of immersions $B$ is regularly homotopic (rel $\partial$, the homotopy taking place in $M$ ) to a family of disjoint imbeddings $\bar{B}=\bigcup_{i}\left(\bar{B}_{i} \cup \bar{B}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ (we will drop the bar after the proof of this lemma) in transverse postion with $\prod_{\bar{B}_{1}}=\pitchfork_{\bar{B}_{i}^{\prime}}=0 \forall_{i}$ and $x_{B_{i}}=$ $x_{\bar{B}_{i}^{\prime}}=0 \quad \forall_{i}$.

Notation. We arrange the intersection points of $\bar{B}_{i}$ and $h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)$ in oppositely signed pairs ( $p_{i j}, p_{i j}^{\prime}$ ) and the intersection points of $\bar{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)$ in oppositely signed pairs ( $\left.p_{i j^{\prime}}, p_{i j^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. All immersions are assumed to be in transverse position. The formula $0 \equiv q(a)=\pitchfork_{B}+x_{B}(\equiv$ is $\bmod 2)$, shows that $x_{B}$ is even as we have chosen $B$ with $\pitchfork_{B}=0$. Adding a $\pm$ double point to $B$ in a chart changes $x_{B}$ by $\pm 2$. So we add sufficiently many double points to make $x_{B_{i}}=x_{B_{i}^{\prime}}=0 \forall_{i}$. Now we need to push the double points of $B$ off $\partial B$.

The necessary construction is well known and may be summarized as follows. The double point set of $B, D^{+} \subset M \times 0$, will consist of finitely many points. Let $D \subset D^{+}$be the double point lying in $M \times 0-h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)$. For each double point $q \in D$ choose an arc, $c$, imbedded in $B_{i}$ or $B_{i}^{\prime}$ to $\partial B_{i}$ or $\partial B_{i}^{\prime}$. Make sure the arc meets $D^{+}$ only at $q$. Now push one sheet of $\bigcup_{i}\left(B_{i} \cup B_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ at $q$ (the one in which the arc $c$ does not lie) along the arc and past its end point. This replaces $q$ with an oppositely signed pair of intersection points ( $p, p^{\prime}$ ) with $h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)$. To obtain $\bar{B}$, do this for every $q$. Since pushing off double points in this manner does not change $x$ or $\pitchfork$, the lemma is proved.

Let $\{\gamma\}$ stand for a disjointly imbedded family of $n$ arcs in $B$. Each arc $\gamma_{i j}$ or $\gamma_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$, should have a pair $\left(P_{i j}, P_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$ or ( $p_{i j}, p_{i^{\prime} j}^{\prime}$ ) as its boundary, and every such pair should occur as the boundary of some $\gamma$. Furthemore each arc, $\gamma$, should meet $h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)$ only at its endpoints.

Now consider $h_{[-1,1]}: T_{0} \times[-1,1] \rightarrow M \times[-1,1]$ defined by $h_{[-1,1]}(t, r)=$ $\left(h_{0}(t \times 0)\right)+r(+$ is the obvious additive action of $\boldsymbol{R}$ on $M \times R)$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{ \pm 1}\right\}$ be the family of $\operatorname{arcs}\{\gamma\} \pm 1$. Let $T_{[-1,1]}=T_{0} \times[-1,1] \bigcup_{T_{0} \times 1}$ $n$ (1-handles) $\bigcup_{T_{0} \times-1} n$ (1-handles). Define a map

$$
h_{[-1,1]}^{+}: T_{[-1,1]} \longrightarrow M \times[-1,1]
$$

so that

$$
h_{[-1,1]}^{+} \mid T_{0} \times[-1,1]=h_{[-1,1]},
$$

and
$h_{[-1,1]}^{+}(1$-handles $)$ form small relative tubular neighborhoods
of the arcs $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{-1}\right\}$. Actually, we would like $\left(h_{[-1,1]}^{+}\right)^{-1}(M \times\{-1,1\})$ to consist only of ( $T_{[-1,1]}$ ).

The definition of $h_{[-1,1]}^{+}$may be modified by deforming the interiors of the 1 -handles toward the $M \times 0$-level to achieve this. Let $\partial\left(T_{[-1,1]}\right)=\partial_{1} T_{[-1,1]} \cup \partial_{-1} T_{[-1,1]}$. Then $\partial_{ \pm 1} T_{[-1,1]}$ is the result of ambient 0 -surgery on $h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right) \pm 1$ along $\left\{\gamma_{ \pm 1}\right\}$.

Now $B_{i}+1$ and $B_{i}^{\prime}-1$ are imbedded in $M \times( \pm 1)$ with their interiors disjoint from $\partial_{ \pm} T_{[-1,1]} . \quad B_{i}+1$ and $B_{i}^{\prime}-1$ (still) have zero Euler obstructions so we may form the trace $\bar{T}_{[-1,1]}$ of ambient 1-surgeries along $\bigcup_{i}\left(B_{i}+1\right) \cup\left(B_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)$ to obtain:

$$
\bar{h}_{[-1,1]}:\left(\bar{T}_{[-1,1]}, \partial\right) \longrightarrow(M \times[-1,1], \partial) .
$$

(In other words, we attach thickenings $\left(B_{i}+1\right) \times I$ and $\left(B_{i}^{\prime}-1\right) \times I$ to $h_{[-1,1]}^{+}\left(\partial_{ \pm} T_{[-1,1]}\right)$ and push $\left(B_{i}+1\right) \times \dot{I}$ and $\left(B_{i}^{\prime}-1\right) \times \dot{I}$ into $M \times(-1,1)$.) So as a handle body, $\bar{T}_{[-1,1]}=T_{[-1,1]} \bigcup_{\partial+}(2 \text {-handles })_{i} \cup_{\partial-}(2 \text {-handles })_{i^{\prime}}$.

Observation. $\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{inc}_{*}: H_{1}\left(\partial_{ \pm} \bar{T}_{[-1,1]} ; Z\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\bar{T}_{[-1,1]} ; Z\right)\right)$ is generated by the first half of a symplectic basis on which the quadratic form $q$ vanishes (setting $q(x)=\left([x]_{2}\right)$ ).

Proof. Since $\bar{h}_{[-1,1]}\left(\bar{T}_{[-1,1]}\right)$ is dual to $w_{2}(\tau(M \times[-1,1]))$. The (only if)-argument shows that $q$ vanishes on the kernel. It is easy to see that the kernel is generated by the first half of a symplectic basis for $H_{1}\left(\partial_{ \pm} \bar{T}_{[-1,1]} ; Z\right)$.

We denote $\partial_{ \pm}\left(\bar{T}_{[-1,1]}\right)$ by $T_{ \pm 1}$. Since $\operatorname{Arf}(q)=0$, there is a summand $X_{ \pm 1} \subset H_{1}\left(\bar{h}_{[-1,1]}\left(T_{ \pm 1}\right) ; Z\right)$ which is complementary to the kernel on which the integral intersection form and $q$ both vanish. Let
$\left\{a_{j}^{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{j}^{-1}\right\}$ be basis for $X_{1}$ and $X_{-1}$ and let $\left\{A_{j}^{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{j}^{-1}\right\}$ be disjointly imbedded circles representing $\left\{a_{j}^{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{j}^{-1}\right\}$. We now construct a map $\bar{h}_{[1,2]}$ to $M \times[1,2]$ in a manner analogous to the construction of $\bar{h}_{[-1,1]}$. The construction may be outlined in three stages:

1. Construct $h_{[1,2]}: T_{1} \times[1,2] \rightarrow M \times[1,2]$ by:

$$
h_{[1,2]}\left(t_{1}, r\right)=\bar{h}_{[-1,1]}\left(t_{1}\right)+(r-1) \text { (i.e., as a product). }
$$

2. Find immersed 2-disks $B_{j}^{2}$ with $\partial B_{j}^{2}=A_{j}+1$, and zero Euler obstruction. Use Lemma 1 to make $\left\{B_{j}^{2}\right\}$ disjointly imbedded. Form the trace of ambient 0 -surgeries at the 2 -level to make interior $B_{i}^{2}$ and $h_{[1,2]}\left(T_{1} \times 2\right)$ disjoint. Call the result:

$$
h_{[1,2]}^{+}: T_{[1,2]} \longrightarrow M \times[1,2] .
$$

3. Now form the trace of ambient 1-surgertes along $\left\{B_{i}^{2}\right\}$. Call the result:

$$
\left.\left.\bar{h}_{[1,2]}: \bar{T}_{[1,2]} \longrightarrow M \times\right] 1,2\right] .
$$

In an analogous way we obtain a mapping $\bar{h}_{[n, n+1]}: \bar{T}_{[n, n+1]} \rightarrow$ $M \times[n, n+1]$ for every integer $n>0$ and mappings $\bar{h}_{[n, n-1]}: \bar{T}_{[n, n-1]} \rightarrow$ $M \times[n, n-1]$ for every integer $n<0$.

$$
h \xlongequal{\text { def }} \bar{h}_{(-\infty,+\infty)} \xlongequal{\text { def }} \bar{h}_{[-1,1]} \bigcup_{n>0} \bar{h}_{[n, n+1]} \bigcup_{n<0} \bar{h}_{[n, n-1]} .
$$

The domain of $h$ is $T_{(-\infty,+\infty)}$ def $\bar{T}_{[-1,1]} \bigcup_{n>0} \bar{T}_{[n, n+1]} \bigcup_{n<0} \bar{T}_{[n, n-1]}$.
Lemma 2. $\quad T_{(-\infty,+\infty)}=S^{2} \times \boldsymbol{R}$.
Proof. Let $F$ be an oriented surface and $\left\{a_{i}, a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a sumplectic basis for $H_{1}(F ; Z)$, and $\left\{A_{i}, A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a standard family of imbedded circles representing the basis. One may check that the result of attaching complementary handles to $F \times[-1,1]$ is $S^{2} \times I$, i.e.: $(F \times[-1,1]) \bigcup_{1-l \text { level }}\left(2\right.$-handles attached to $\left.A_{i} \times 1\right) \bigcup_{-1-l \text { evel }}$ ( 2 -handles attached to $\left.A_{i}^{\prime} \times-1\right)=S^{2} \times I$.

If one considers $h\left(T_{(-\infty,+\infty)}\right)$ in a neighborhood of the levels $M \times-1$ and $M \times-1$, two things happen; complementary 2 -handles are attached and new 1-handles are attached. But ambiently, these occur in the opposite order, i.e., the index 1 critical values have slightly smaller absolute value than the index 2 critical values. Abstractly, however, the order may be reversed since the detcending 1 -spheres of the 2 -handles are disjoint from the transverse 1 -spheres of the 1 -handles. In that case, $\bar{T}_{[-1,1]}$ is $S^{2} \times[-1,1] \bigcup_{1-1 \text { evel }}$ 1 -handles $\cup_{-1-l \text { evel }} 1$-handles.

The same considerations apply at each pair of levels $(n,-n)$. In this way we finally straighten out all of $T_{(-\infty,+\infty)}$ by mapping nested compact sections diffeomorphically to $S^{2} \times[-n, n]$.

It is clear from the construction that $h$ is a proper map. Also $\operatorname{inc}_{*}\left[T_{0}\right]=$ generator $\in H_{2}\left(T_{(-\infty,+\infty)} ; Z\right)$ and $h_{0 *}\left[T_{0}\right]=\alpha \otimes 0$, so $h$ stably represents the desired class.

Proof of "if" direction when $\pi_{1}(M)=0$ and $\alpha$ is ordinary. The proof hinges on the fact that the quadratic form associated to a surface, $K \hookrightarrow M, q: H_{1}\left(K ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow Z_{2}$ is not well defined when $\alpha=i_{*}[K]$ is ordinary. If $\alpha$ is ordinary, there is a (spherical) class $\gamma \in H_{2}(M ; Z)$ with $\gamma \cdot \gamma \not \equiv \gamma \cdot \alpha(\bmod 2)$. So if $a \in H_{1}\left(K ; Z_{2}\right)$ and $(B, A)$ are as before and ( $B^{\prime}, A$ ) is obtained by forming an immersed connected sum of $B$ and $a$ representative of $\gamma$, then $q(a)$ defined in terms of $(B, A)$ and $q(a)$ defined in terms of ( $\left.B^{\prime}, A\right)$ are different. Therefore, by picking $B$ or $B^{\prime}$ we may arrange $q(a)=0$. Furthermoxe we may arrange that the terms $x$ and $\pitchfork$ are both zero.

This allows us to repeat the proof of Theorem 1, for whenever we need $q$ to vanish on a particular subspace we can make it do so by choosing the disks ( $B, A$ ) suitably. This completes the proof of the main theorem in the simply connected case.

Lemma 3. If $\alpha \in \pi_{2}(M)$ is stably represented, then $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$ for some immersion $\alpha^{\prime}$ homotopic to $\alpha$.

Proof. As before, make $h$ transverse to $M \times 0$ and put $T_{0}=$ $h^{-1}(M \times 0)$. Consider $h_{0}: T_{0} \rightarrow M \times 0$. Let $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ be disjointly imbedded circles in $T_{0}$ representing the first half of a symplectic basis of $H_{1}\left(T_{0} ; Z\right)$. For all $i, A_{i}$ are null-homotopic in $S^{2} \times R$. By composing with $h, h\left(A_{i}\right)$ bounds an immersed, normal disk, $b_{i}: D_{i}^{2} \rightarrow M \times R$, denoted simply as $B_{i}$. The composition:

$$
S^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { collapse }} T_{0} \bigcup_{A_{i}} D_{i}^{2} \xrightarrow{h_{0} \cup b_{i}} M \times R
$$

represents $\alpha$. One sees that the composition may be approximated by an immersion $\alpha^{\prime}$ with a pair (cancelling in the group ring $\left.Z\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]\right)$ of double points for each intersection of $\mathbf{U}_{i}$ (interior $\left(B_{i}\right)$ with $T_{0}$ ), and two pairs (also cancelling in the group ring) of double points for each self-intersection of $\bigcup_{i} B_{i}$. Therefore, $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$. (To check cancellation, observe that the dual curves to $\left\{A_{2}\right\}$ are also null-homotopic in $S^{2} \times R$.)

Theorem A. If $\pi_{1}(M) \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in \pi_{2}(M)$ then $\alpha$ is stably re-
presented if either of the following sets of hypotheses hold: (1) $\alpha$ is ordinary, $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$ some $\alpha^{\prime} \simeq \alpha, \alpha$ has a spherical dual $\beta$, and $\pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right)$ is onto, or (2) $\alpha$ is a characteristic, $\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$ for some $\alpha^{\prime} \simeq \alpha, \alpha$ has a spherical dual $\beta$, and $\operatorname{Arf}(q(\alpha))=0$.

Proof. There is a geometric trick (due to Larry Taylor) whereby ambient 1 -surgery is performed on a spherical immersion $\alpha$ with $\mu(\alpha)=0$ to produce an imbedded oriented surface, which we call $h_{0}: T_{0} \hookrightarrow M \times 0$ with $h_{0 \ddagger}: \pi_{1}\left(T_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M \times 0)$ the zero map. Roughly, one tubes together the pairs of algebraically cancelling double points. Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be the transverse circles of the resulting tubes.

We start with Case 2. Observe that $q\left(\left[x_{i}\right]\right)=x+\pitchfork_{B_{i}}=0+1=1$. By the following algebraic lemma we may extend $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$. To a standard family of circles $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}\right\}$ representing a symplectic basis with $q\left(A_{i}\right)=0$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq n$.

Lemma 4. Assume $L$ is a free integer latus of dimension $2 n$ equipped with a unimodular, shew-symmetric bilinear form, •, and an associated quadratic form $q: L \rightarrow Z_{2}$ (satisfying $q(\delta+\gamma)=$ $q(\delta)+q(\gamma)+(\delta \cdot \gamma)(\bmod 2))$. Assume $\operatorname{Arf}(q)=0$. If $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ is a basis for a summand (as a lattice) of $L$ satisfying: $\alpha_{i} \cdot \alpha_{j}=0$ and $q\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=1$, then there is an extension to a symplectic basis, $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$, $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}$ satisfying $q\left(\beta_{i}\right)=0$.

Proof. Extend $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$ to a symplectic basis, $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$, $\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \beta_{n}$. By definition $\operatorname{Arf}(q)=\sum q\left(\alpha_{i}\right) q\left(\beta_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ so $q\left(\beta_{i}^{\prime}\right)=1$ for an even number, $2 k$, of $i$. Reorder the basis to put these first. We use the notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}, \alpha_{2 k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}, \\
& \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \beta_{k}^{\prime}, \bar{\beta}_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \bar{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}, \beta_{2 k+1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \beta_{n}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the reordered basis. Set:

$$
\beta_{j}=\beta_{j}^{\prime}+\bar{\alpha}_{j}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\beta}_{j}=\bar{\beta}_{j}^{\prime}+\alpha_{j} \quad \text { when } \quad 1 \leqq j \leqq k .
$$

It is easily checked that the basis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\alpha}_{k}, \alpha_{2 k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \\
& \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k}, \bar{\beta}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\beta}_{k}, \beta_{2 k+1}, \cdots, \beta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

has the desired properties.

Let $\left\{A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}, A_{i}^{\prime}, \cdots, A_{n}\right\}$ be a standard family of circles extending $\left\{A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}\right\}$ and representing a symplectic basis with $q\left(A_{\imath}\right)=q\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq n$. We now consider how this situation may be achieved in the ordinary case.

In Case 1 the hypothesis that $\pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right)$ is onto enables us to find a spherical class $\gamma \in H_{2}\left(M ; Z_{2}\right)$ with $\gamma \cdot \gamma \not \equiv \gamma \cdot \alpha(\bmod 2)$. As in the simply connected case we use $\gamma$ to redefine $q$. Therefore in both Cases 1 and 2 we may find immersed 2-disks $\left\{B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}\right.$, $\left.B_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, B_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \quad$ bounding $\quad\left\{h_{0}\left(A_{1}\right), \cdots, h_{0}\left(A_{n}\right), h_{0}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right), \cdots, h_{0}\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \quad$ with $q\left(A_{i}^{(\prime)}\right)=x+$ interior $B_{i}^{(\prime)} . \quad T_{0}=0+$ even $=0(\bmod 2)$. The prime in parentheses means the statement holds omitting or rataining the prime. However, since $\pi_{1}(M) \neq 0$, the intersections of int $B_{i}^{(\prime)}$ and $T_{0}$ are actually defined over the group ring $Z\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]$. At this point the hypothesis on the existence of spherical duals $\beta$ euables us to change interior $\left(B_{i}^{(\prime)}\right) . \quad T_{0}$ so that this intersection element is zero, and keep $x=0$. Now 1-handles may be added to $h\left(T_{0}\right) \pm 1$ to kill algebraically cancelling intersection points of (int $\left.\cup B_{i}^{(\prime)}\right) \pm 1$ and ( $T_{0} \pm 1$ ). Notice that this perpetuates the condition that $\pi_{1}\left(h^{-1}(M \times n) \xrightarrow{h /} \pi_{1}(M \times n)\right)$ is the zero map. Now the proof proceeds as when $\pi_{1}(M)=0$.

To verify that the resulting infinite construction stably represents $\alpha$ and not just a homologous class, we must see that the composition,

$$
g: S^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { collapse }} T_{0} \bigcup_{i} B_{i} \xrightarrow{h_{0} \cup b_{i}} M \times 0
$$

represents $\alpha$. But $g$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ cobound a map on $S^{2} \cup 1$-handles $\cup 2$ handles where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are the transverse 1 -spheres of the 1 -handles and $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ are the descending 1 -spheres of the 2 -handles. Since $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}\right\}$ represent a symplectic basis of $H_{1}\left(T_{0} ; Z\right)$, the cobordism is a product and the map a homotopy.

Proof of Addendum to Main Theorem.
Lemma 5. $K \hookrightarrow M$ be any oriented surface imbedded in compact 4-manifold. There is a surface $K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow M$ obtained from $K \hookrightarrow M$ by finitely many ambient 0 -surgeries satisfying $\mathrm{ker}: \pi^{\mathrm{r}}\left(M-K^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { inc }} \pi_{1}(M)$ is a central cyclic subgroup generated by a small circle linking $K^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let • denote integral intersection number with $K$.
The two sequences in the following diagram are exact.
$\partial$ (kernel $(\cdot)$ ) is a normal subgroup, which we will call $G$, of $\pi_{1}(M-K)$. Since $\pi_{2}(M, M-K)$ is finitely generated, $\operatorname{kernel}(\cdot)$ is normally generated by finitely many elements and so $G$ is also in


Diagram 2
the normal closure of finitely many elements $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{t}$. Let $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{t}$ be singular 2 -disks, $\left(D^{2}, \partial\right) \rightarrow(M, M-K)$, with $d_{k}$ representing an element of $\partial^{-1}\left(g_{k}\right)$ for $1 \leqq k \leqq t$. We many assume that each $d_{k}$ is transverse to $K$, intersecting it in equally many points of positive and negative sign. On each $d_{k}$ draw disjo!ntly imbedded arcs pairing points of opposite sign. $K^{\prime}$ is obtained from $K$ by ambient framed 0 -surgeries along the aggregate of these arcs. $K^{\prime}$ and $d_{k}$ are disjoint for all $K$. It follows from general position that $\pi_{1}\left(M-K^{\prime}\right)$ is a quotient of $\pi_{1}(M-K)$ and that $G$ belongs to the kernel. This together with Diagram 2 yields the cxact sequence:

$$
Z \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M-K^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since the action of $\pi_{1}\left(M-K^{\prime}\right)$ on $\pi_{2}\left(M, M-K^{\prime}\right)$ preserves intersection numbers with $K$, conjugation leave the kernel fixed; centrality follows.

To complete the proof of the addendum and also for Remark C at the end it will be necessary to describe the complement, $X=$ $M \times R$ - open tube ( $n\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$ ) as a handle body on $M \times 0$ - open tube $\left(h_{0}\left(T_{0}\right)\right)$. $K$ will be used to denote an arbitrary level surface of $h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$. The construction of $h$ is as a product imbedding except for isolated levels where 1 -handles or 2 -handles are attached (core disks are assumed to descend towards the zero level). As a consequence the complement is a product except for isolated levels where 2 -handles or 3 -handles (again core disk ore assumed to descend towards the zero level) are attached. (The general rule: a $k$-handle on a submanifold gives rise locally to a ( $k+c-1$ )-handle in the complement where $c$ is the codimension of the imbedding.) Any 2 (or 3)-handle of the complement is attached to a circle (or 2 -sphere) obtained from a normal $S^{1}$-bundle, $S^{0} \times S_{\text {normal }}^{1}$ (or $S^{1} \times S_{\text {normal }}^{1}$ ), by
ambient surgery. The normal $S^{1}$-bundle $S^{0} \times S_{\text {normal }}^{1}$ (or $S^{1} \times S_{\text {normal }}^{1}$ ) is the set of normal vectors to $K$ in its level of length $\varepsilon$ based on the descending $S^{0}\left(\right.$ or $\left.S^{1}\right)$ of a 1 (or 2 )-handle of $h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$. The ambient surgery is along that 1 (or 2)-handle.

It follows that if ker: $\pi_{1}(M-K) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ is central and cyclicly generated a linking circle $\gamma$ and the 2 -handles are attached to $\gamma^{-1}\left(\beta \gamma \beta^{-1}\right) \sim 0$ in $(M-K)\left(\beta \in \pi_{1}(M-K)\right)$.

The construction of $h$ involves the attaching of finitely many 1-handles to the level surfaces $K_{n-\varepsilon}$ and $K_{-n+\varepsilon}$ and finitely many 2-handles to the level surfaces $K_{n-\varepsilon / 2}$ and $K_{n+\varepsilon / 2}$, where the subscript denotes the height of the level, $n$ is a positive integer and $\varepsilon$ a small positive number. To establish the addendum we will add additional 1-handles to $K_{0}, K_{n-\varepsilon}$ and $K_{-n+\varepsilon}$ (and the additional 2 -handles forced by the construction of $h$ ). First use Lemma 5 to begin the construction with a $K_{0}\left(=h_{0}(T)\right)$. Satisfying: Kernel: $\left(\pi_{1}\left(M-K_{0}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $\pi_{1}(M)$ ) is is central and cyclic. By the precəding paragraph, the complement $M \times[0,1]-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$ is $\left(M-K_{0}\right) \cup 2$-handjes $\cup 3$-handles with the 2 -handles trivially attached. So $\pi_{1}\left(M-K_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { inc }} \pi_{1}(M \times[0,1]$ $h\left(S^{p} \times R\right)$ ) is an isomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(M \times 1-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)\right)$ is an epimorphism and the kernel is normally generated by a finite family of closed curves $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ each of which bounds a 2 -disk having intersection number 0 with $K_{1}$. This means that the proof of Lemma 5 may be applied (and more 1-handles added to the 1-level) to make both maps above isomorphisms.

Analogously the complement, $M \times R-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$ may be fixed up in "boxes" so that on $\pi_{1}$ each integral level maps isomorphically to the region bounded by it and the next larger (or smaller) integral level.

The Addendum follows immediately from Van Kampen's theorem.
Concluding remarks.
(A) There are other notions of "stable" imbeddings of 2 -spheres in 4-manifolds for which similar theorems hold. For example, if a stable imbedding of $\alpha \in \pi_{2}\left(M^{4}\right)$ is taken to mean enlarging $M$ by connected sum with arbitrarily many ( $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ )'s and then representing the class, $\alpha$, by an imbedding; or if a stable imbedding of $\alpha$ is an imbedding $S^{2} \times C P^{2} \hookrightarrow M^{4} \times C P^{2}$ homotopic to $\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_{C P^{2}}$, then (at least in the case $\pi_{1}(M)=0, \alpha$ is characteristic) the theorem would be the same, i.e., $\alpha$ is "stably" represented if and only if $\operatorname{Arf} q(\alpha) A=0$. The first example is proved in [2]; the second follows from the regular neighborhood theory of [1].
(B) Our technique for imbedding spheres cross $R$ may be extended to find a proper imbedding (with good control of fundamental groups) of ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{k \text {-copies }}\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}-\operatorname{int}\left(D^{4}\right)\right)\right) \times \boldsymbol{R}$ in $M \times \boldsymbol{R}$ which "stably re-
presents" the entire kernel of a 2 -connected, degree one, normal map with vanishing surgery obstruction, $f:(M, \partial) \rightarrow(P, \partial)$ (where $M$ is a smooth 4-manifold, and $P$ is a Poincare' space). This allows us to recover many results obtained by crossing 4-dimensional surgery problems with $S^{1}$, completing surgery, and taking infinite cyclic covers.
(C) Let $\alpha \in \pi_{2}(M)$ be a class for which the main theorem (and addendum) constructs a stable imbedding $h: S^{2} \times R \rightarrow M \times R$ with control of the fundamental group. Let $F=M \times 0-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right)$. Give $F$ the structure of a finite 3 -complex. By the proof of the addendum $X=M \times R-h\left(S^{2} \times R\right) \cong F V_{i \in I} S_{i}^{2} \bigcup_{j \in J} D_{j}^{3}$ where $I$ and $J$ are (presumably infinite) index sets. Let $F^{2}$ be the 2 -skeleton of $F$. Let $\Lambda=Z\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]$.

Lemma 6. $H_{*}\left(X, F^{2} ; \Lambda\right)=0$ for ${ }^{*}=0,1$, or $2 . \quad H_{3}\left(X, F^{2} ; \Lambda\right)$ is a finitely generated projective module. Furthermore $\partial\left(H_{3}\left(X, F^{2} ; \Lambda\right)\right) \subset$ $H_{2}\left(F^{2} ; 1\right)$ is spherical.

Proof. Let the tilde be used to denote coverings pulled back from the universal covering of $M$. From our construction, the inclusions $\quad\left(\overparen{\left.h^{-1}(M \times 0)\right) \rightarrow\left(\widetilde{h^{-1}}(M \times[0, \infty)) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(h^{-1}(M \times 0)\right) \rightarrow, ~\right.}\right.$ $\widetilde{h^{-1}}(M \times(-\infty, 0])$ induce maps on integral homology which are epimorphisms with finitely generated kernels as modules over 1 . It follows that the map on complements $H_{*}(F ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{*}(X ; \Lambda)$ is also an epimorphism with finitely generated kernel. By construction, $\pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(X)$ and $\pi_{0}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(X)$ are isomorphisms. This establishes the first assertion. That $H_{3}\left(X, F^{2} ; \Lambda\right)$ is projective follows from Lemma 2.3 of [5].

Consider the diagram:


Since $X=\left(F^{2} V_{i \in I} S_{i}^{2}\right) \cup 3$-cells, the image of $\partial^{\prime}$ is spherical; It follows that image $\partial$ is also spherical.

Let $k \in \widetilde{K}_{0}(\Lambda)$ be the class of $H_{3}\left(X, F^{3}, \Lambda\right)$. Since $\partial\left(H_{3}\left(X, F^{2} ; \Lambda\right)\right)$ is spherical, if $k$ is trivial finitely many 2 and 3 -cells may be attached to $F^{2}$ to yield a 1 -homology equivalence ( $F^{2} \cup 2$ and 3 -cells) $\rightarrow$
$X . \quad X$ is not always dominated by a finite complex and Lemma 3.1 of [6] does not seem to admit an appropriate generalization. Consequently, we do not claim that $k$, the obstruction to being $\Lambda$-homology equivalent to a finite complex, is independent of our choice of $X$ and $F^{2}$. However, if $\widetilde{K}_{0}(\Lambda)=0$, we will always be able to find a finite complex $X^{\prime}$ and a map $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ inducing isomorphisms on $\pi_{1}$ and $H_{*}(; \Lambda)$. Whenever such an $X^{\prime}$ exist, there is a homotopy equivalence $g: E \bigcup_{\partial E} X^{\prime} \rightarrow M$, where $E$ is the total space of a 2-disk bundle over $S^{2}$ with zero section $=S$, and $g_{\#}[S]=\alpha$. Since $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}\left(E \bigcup_{\partial E} X^{\prime}\right)$ is an epimorphism it is possible to attach additional 2 and 3-cells to $X^{\prime}$ to realize the negative of the torsion of $g,[g] \in$ $\mathrm{wh}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$. So whenever we have produced a complement $X$ with finiteness obstruction $k=0 \in \widetilde{K}_{0}(\Lambda)$ we also have a simple homotopy equivalence, $g^{\prime}: E_{\partial E} \cup X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M$ with $g^{\prime}[S]=\alpha$, i.e., $\alpha$ is represented by a Poincare' imbedding.
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