

## THE DESCENDING CHAIN CONDITION RELATIVE TO A TORSION THEORY

ROBERT W. MILLER AND MARK L. TEPLY

A well-known theorem of Hopkins and Levitzki states that any left artinian ring with identity element is left noetherian. The main theorem of this paper generalizes this to the situation of a hereditary torsion theory with associated idempotent kernel functor  $\sigma$ . It is shown that if a ring  $R$  with identity element has the descending chain condition on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, then  $R$  has the ascending chain condition on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.

The remainder of the paper generalizes some results of Faith and Walker concerning artinian and quasi-Frobenius rings. In the case that the localization functor  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact the following are obtained: (1) a sufficient condition for the ring  $R$  to have the descending chain condition on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals and (2) characterizations of the condition that every  $\sigma$ -torsion-free injective left  $R$ -module is codivisible (projective).

In this paper  $R$  always denotes ring with identity element, and unless denoted to the contrary, all modules are members of the category  $R\text{-mod}$  of unital left  $R$ -modules.

A subfunctor  $\sigma$  of the identity functor on  $R\text{-mod}$  is called a *left exact radical* (or *idempotent kernel functor*) if  $\sigma$  is left exact and  $\sigma(M/\sigma(M))=0$  for every module  $M$ . Such a  $\sigma$  naturally determines a torsion class  $\mathcal{T}_\sigma = \{M \mid \sigma(M) = M\}$  and a torsion-free class  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma = \{M \mid \sigma(M) = 0\}$ . The pair  $(\mathcal{T}_\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\sigma)$  forms a hereditary torsion theory in the sense of [2], [10], [13], [14] and [15]. Then  $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$  is closed under submodules, homomorphic images, direct sums, and extensions of one member of  $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$  by another; and  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is closed under submodules, direct products, injective hulls, and extensions of one member of  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  by another. Also associated with  $\sigma$  is the *localization functor*  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  as defined in [2], [4], [13] or [14]. The module  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(R)$  can be made into ring by defining multiplication in a natural way; this ring will be denoted by  $Q_\sigma$ . A torsion theory is called *perfect* in [2], [12] and [13] if  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(M) \cong Q_\sigma \otimes_R M$  for every module  $M$ . For additional details on the concepts discussed in this paragraph, the reader is referred to [2], [4], [9], [10], [13], [14], and their references.

A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called  $\sigma$ -closed if  $M/N \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . The lattice of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules has been studied in [3], [5], [9], [12], [14], and [15]. Particular attention is usually given to chain conditions on  $\sigma$ -closed modules. We continue this investigation and focus our

attention on the descending chain condition for  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of  ${}_R R$  (i.e., the  $\sigma$ -closed left ideal).

A well-known theorem of Hopkins [6] and Levitzki [8] states that any left artinian ring with identity element is left noetherian. Manocha [9] has generalized this result by showing that if  $\sigma$  is perfect and if  $R$  has the descending chain condition (dcc) on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, then  $R$  has the ascending chain condition (acc) on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals. The main result of the first section (Theorem 1.4) removes the very restrictive hypothesis that  $\sigma$  is perfect from Manocha's result. Proofs of the result of Hopkins and Levitzki all seem to depend strongly on the nilpotence of the (Jacobson, or nil) radical; Manocha's proof uses the Hopkins-Levitzki result on  $Q_\sigma$  and depends only on a lattice isomorphism between the  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals of  $R$  and the left ideals of  $Q_\sigma$  (a consequence of  $\sigma$  being perfect). In our case where there is no restriction on  $\sigma$ , we can rely neither on nilpotence nor on a lattice isomorphism; our method of proof will depend on finding a substitute for actual nilpotence of the (Jacobson) radical and applying Goldman's results on modules of  $\sigma$ -finite length [5].

In the second section we generalize some results of Faith and Walker [1] to obtain a sufficient condition for  $R$  to have dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals when  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact. In particular, we show in Theorem 2.3 that if  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact and if each module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is contained in a direct sum of finitely generated modules, then  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.

In the third section we apply the results of the first two sections to answer the following question in the case where  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact: For which  $\sigma$  is every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  projective? Our answer to this question (given in Theorems 3.5 and 3.6) gives a generalization of an important theorem of Faith and Walker [1, Theorem 5.3] on quasi-Frobenius rings.

1. DCC implies ACC. In this section we show that if  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, then  $R$  also has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals. In order to do this we first recall two definitions from [2] and [3]. A nonzero module  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical if  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  and every proper homomorphic image of  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Nonzero submodules of  $\sigma$ -cocritical modules are  $\sigma$ -cocritical modules. If  $M$  is a nonzero module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  and if  $M$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules, then  $M$  contains a  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodule. A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is called  $\sigma$ -critical if  $M/N$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical. Thus a submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -critical if and only if  $N$  is maximal among the proper  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of  $M$ . If there exist  $\sigma$ -cocritical modules, then there exist cyclic  $\sigma$ -cocritical modules; so we may define

$$V = \cap \{I \mid I \subseteq R, I \text{ } \sigma\text{-critical}\} .$$

Then  $V$  is  $\sigma$ -closed, and  $V$  is a proper two-sided ideal of  $R$ . If  $N$  is a  $\sigma$ -cocritical module, then  $VN = 0$ . We continue to use  $V$  as a standard notation in this section.

Our first lemma is an analogue of the fact that, in a left artinian ring, the Jacobson radical is nilpotent.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *If  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $V^{n+q}/V^{n+q+1} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  for all  $q \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers such that each  $V^{n_i}/V^{n_i+1} \notin \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Let  $T_{n_i}/V^{n_i+1} = \sigma(V^{n_i}/V^{n_i+1})$ . Choose a left ideal  $M_i$  of  $R$  containing  $T_{n_i}$  which is maximal with respect to the property that  $M_i \cap V^{n_i} = T_{n_i}$ . Via the natural map  $R/T_{n_i} \rightarrow R/M_i$  we see that  $V^{n_i}/T_{n_i} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is isomorphic to an essential submodule of  $R/M_i$ ; hence  $M_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -closed left ideal of  $R$ . For each positive integer  $j$ , let  $N_j = \bigcap_{i=1}^j M_i$ . Since intersections of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules are always  $\sigma$ -closed, then  $N_j$  is  $\sigma$ -closed. Now  $N_j \supseteq T_{n_j} \supseteq V^{n_{j+1}} \supseteq V^{n_{j+1}}$ . Furthermore  $N_{j+1} \not\supseteq V^{n_{j+1}}$ ; for if  $V^{n_i} \subseteq N_i \subseteq M_i$ , then  $V^{n_i}/V^{n_i+1} = T_{n_i}/V^{n_i+1} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , which is contrary to the choice of the  $n_i$ 's. Therefore, for each positive integer  $j$ ,  $N_j \neq N_{j+1}$ , and we have an infinite, strictly descending chain  $\{N_i\}$  of  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals of  $R$ . This contradicts our hypothesis that  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.

In [5] a module  $M$  is said to have  $\sigma$ -finite length if there exists a finite chain

$$(*) \quad 0 = M_n \subset M_{n-1} \subset M_{n-2} \subset \dots \subset M_0 = M$$

of submodules of  $M$  such that  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ; we call the chain (\*) a  $\sigma$ -composition series of  $M$ . In [5] it is shown that (1) any two  $\sigma$ -composition series of a module of  $\sigma$ -finite length have the same number of terms and that (2) a module  $M$  has  $\sigma$ -finite length if and only if  $M$  has both acc and dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules.

Our next lemma may be viewed as a specialization of [5, Proposition 2.10] and [3, Proposition 2.1(3)].

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $M$  be a module for which 0 is an intersection of finitely many  $\sigma$ -critical submodules of  $M$ . Then there exist  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodules  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k$  of  $M$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k N_i$  is an essential direct submodule of  $M$  and  $M/(\sum_{i=1}^k N_i) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis  $M$  is isomorphic to a submodule of a

direct sum of finitely many  $\sigma$ -cocritical modules. Since this direct sum clearly has  $\sigma$ -finite length, then by [5, Corollary 1.5 and Proposition 1.2]  $M$  has both acc and dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules. We now use induction to choose the desired modules  $N_i$ .

Since  $M$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules, we can choose a  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodule  $N_1$  of  $M$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in N_1$ . There exists a  $\sigma$ -critical submodule  $C_1$  such that  $x \notin C_1$  by hypothesis. Now  $0 \neq N_1/(N_1 \cap C_1) \cong (C_1 + N_1)/C_1 \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ ; so, since  $N_1$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical  $N_1 \cap C_1 = 0$ . Suppose that  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_t$  and  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$  have been chosen such that  $N_i$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical,  $C_i$  is  $\sigma$ -critical,  $N_i \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} C_j$ , and  $N_i \cap C_i = 0$  for each  $i \leq t$ . If  $\bigcap_{j=1}^t C_j \neq 0$ , then we can choose  $N_{t+1}$  to be a  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodule of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^t C_j$ . As in the discussion of case  $N_1$ , we can find a  $\sigma$ -critical submodule  $C_{t+1}$  of  $M$  such that  $N_{t+1} \cap C_{t+1} = 0$ . Since  $M$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules, there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k C_j = 0$ ; so the inductive process stops after  $k$  steps. It follows from the construction that  $\sum_{i=1}^k N_i$  is direct.

It remains to show that  $M/(\sum_{i=1}^k N_i) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . To do this it is sufficient to show by induction that  $M/((\sum_{i=1}^t N_i) + (\bigcap_{i=1}^t C_i)) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  for each  $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $M/C_1$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical and  $(N_1 + C_1)/C_1 \neq 0$ , then  $M/(C_1 + N_1) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ ; so the first case is established. We now assume that the result is true for all integers  $< t$ . Since  $0 \neq (N_t + C_t)/C_t \subseteq ((\bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i) + C_t)/C_t$  and  $M/C_t$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical, then

$$(**) \quad \left( \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i \right) + C_t \right) / (N_t + C_t) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma^-.$$

Since  $N_t \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i$ , for each  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i$  we obtain  $(N_t + \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i : x) = (((N_t + C_t) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i)) : x) = ((N_t + C_t) : x)$ . Thus by (\*\*) we obtain  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i)/(N_t + \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is closed under homomorphic images, we have  $((\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} N_i) + (\bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i))/((\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} N_i) + (\bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i)) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Thus from the induction hypothesis and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} N_i + \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i}{\sum_{i=1}^t N_i + \bigcap_{i=1}^t C_i} \longrightarrow \frac{M}{\sum_{i=1}^t N_i + \bigcap_{i=1}^t C_i} \longrightarrow \frac{M}{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} N_i + \bigcap_{i=1}^{t-1} C_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain  $M/((\sum_{i=1}^t N_i) + (\bigcap_{i=1}^t C_i)) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  as desired.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.2, we have the following analogue for  $\sigma$  of the structure theorem for semisimple rings with dcc.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *If  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, then there exist  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodules  $A_1/V, A_2/V, \dots, A_k/V$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k (A_i/V)$  is a direct essential submodule of  $R/V$  and  $(R/V)/\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (A_i/V) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ .*

We can now obtain the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $R$  have dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals. If a module  $B$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules, then  $B$  also has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules. In particular,  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a module with dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules. Let  $I_0 = \sigma(B)$ . For  $j \geq 1$ , define  $I_j$  by  $I_j/I_{j-1}$  is a minimal, nonzero,  $\sigma$ -closed submodule of  $B/I_{j-1}$ ; such an  $I_j$  exists whenever  $0 \neq B/I_{j-1}$  (as  $B/I_{j-1} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  and has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules). Moreover,  $I_j/I_{j-1}$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical by the minimality. It is sufficient to show that  $I_s = B$  for some index  $s$ ; for then  $B/I_0$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules by [5, Proposition 1.2], and hence  $B$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules (as the lattice of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of  $B/I_0$  is clearly isomorphic to the lattice of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of  $B$ ).

Assume for contradiction that  $I_j \neq B$  for each  $j \in Z^+$ , which  $Z^+$  denotes the set of positive integers. Set  $m_0 = 0$ , and let  $m_{t+1} = \max \Gamma_t$ , where  $\Gamma_t = \{j \in Z^+ \mid Vx \subseteq I_{m_t} \text{ for some } x \in I_j - I_{j-1}\}$ . Note that  $m_t + 1 \in \Gamma_t$  as  $V(I_{m_t+1}/I_{m_t}) = 0$ . Inductively, assume that  $m_t$  exists; we show via the next three paragraphs that  $m_{t+1}$  exists.

Suppose not. Then for an infinite set  $\Omega$  of indices  $j > m_t + 1$ , we may choose  $x_j \in I_j - I_{j-1}$  such that  $Vx_j \subseteq I_{m_t}$ . By Corollary 1.3  $R/V$  contains an essential submodule of the form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (A_i/V)$ , where each  $A_i/V$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical and  $(R/V)/\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (A_i/V) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . If for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  we have  $A_i x_j \subseteq I_{m_t}$ , then  $(\sum_{i=1}^k A_i)x_j \subseteq I_{m_t}$ ; so  $0 \neq (Rx_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . But  $B/I_{m_t} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  by construction, which yields a contradiction. Thus for at least one of the  $A_i/V$ ,  $A_i x_j \not\subseteq I_{m_t}$ .

Next assume that, for any such  $A_i/V$  with  $A_i x_j \not\subseteq I_{m_t}$ , we have  $(A_i x_j + I_{m_t}) \cap I_{j-1} \cong I_{m_t}$ . Since  $(A_i x_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t} \subseteq B/I_{m_t} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  and  $A_i/V$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical, we see that the natural epimorphism  $A_i/V \rightarrow (A_i x_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t}$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $(A_i x_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t}$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_i x_j + I_{m_t}) / ((A_i x_j + I_{m_t}) \cap I_{j-1}) \\ & \cong ((A_i x_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t}) / (((A_i x_j + I_{m_t}) \cap I_{j-1})/I_{m_t}) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

by assumption. But we also have  $(A_i x_j + I_{m_t}) / ((A_i x_j + I_{m_t}) \cap I_{j-1}) \cong (A_i x_j + I_{j-1}) / I_{j-1} \subseteq B/I_{j-1} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . We conclude that  $A_i x_j \subseteq I_{j-1}$  for any  $A_i/V$  with  $A_i x_j \not\subseteq I_{m_t}$ . Now for each of the remaining  $A_i/V$ , we have  $A_i x_j \subseteq I_{m_t} \subseteq I_{j-1}$ . Hence  $(\sum_{i=1}^k A_i)x_j \subseteq I_{j-1}$ , which leads to a contradiction as  $R/\sum_{i=1}^k A_i \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  and  $B/I_{j-1} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ .

We have now established that, for each  $j \in \Omega$ , there exists a left ideal  $A_j$  of  $R$  and an  $x_j \in I_j - I_{j-1}$  such that  $A_j x_j \not\subseteq I_{m_t}$ ,

$(A_j x_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t}$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical, and  $(A_j x_j + I_{m_t}) \cap I_{j-1} = I_{m_t}$ . One easily checks that  $\sum_{j \in \Omega} [(A_j x_j + I_{m_t})/I_{m_t}] \subseteq B/I_{m_t}$  is direct. Let  $\Omega = \{j_1, j_2, \dots\}$ . Set  $M_1 = B$ , and for  $u > 1$  choose  $M_u$  maximal with respect to  $M_u \supseteq \sum_{i=u}^{\infty} (A_{j_i} x_{j_i} + I_{m_t})$ ,  $M_u \subseteq M_{u-1}$ , and  $M_u \cap (\sum_{i=1}^{u-1} A_{j_i} x_{j_i} + I_{m_t}) = I_{m_t}$ . Then the set  $\{M_u\}_{u=1}^{\infty}$  forms a strictly descending chain of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of  $B$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $B$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules. Hence  $m_{t+1}$  exists.

Since  $I_{m_{t+1}}/I_{m_t}$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical,  $V(I_{m_{t+1}}/I_{m_t}) = 0$ ; so for each  $t > 0$ ,  $m_{t+1} \geq m_t + 1 > m_t$ . Hence the sequence  $\{m_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  is strictly increasing and infinite. By Lemma 1.1 there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $V^{n+q}/V^{n+q+1} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  for all  $q \geq 0$ . Let  $x \in I_{m_{n+1}} - I_{m_n}$ . Then  $Vx \not\subseteq I_{m_{n-1}}$ ; thus we have  $v_1 x \notin I_{m_{n-1}}$  for some  $v_1 \in V$ . But  $Vv_1 x \not\subseteq I_{m_{n-2}}$ . So we inductively obtain  $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V$  such that  $Vv_{n-i} \cdots v_2 v_1 x \not\subseteq I_{m_{n-i}}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . In particular,  $Vv_{n-1} v_{n-2} \cdots v_2 v_1 x \not\subseteq I_{m_0} = I_0$ ; hence  $V^n x \not\subseteq I_0$ . However, since  $x \in I_{m_{n+1}}$ , we have that  $V^{m_{n+1}} x \subseteq I_0$  as  $I_w/I_{w-1}$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical for all  $w \geq 1$ . It follows that there exists an integer  $d \geq n$  such that  $V^d x \not\subseteq I_0$ , but  $V^{d+1} x \subseteq I_0$ .

Now  $(Rx + I_0/I_0)$  is the homomorphic image of  $R/V^{d+1}$  via  $r + V^{d+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} rx + I_0$ . We note that  $0 \neq \alpha(V^d/V^{d+1}) \subseteq B/I_0 \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . However, since  $d \geq n$ ,  $\alpha(V^d/V^{d+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{F}_\sigma = 0$ . Hence  $I_s = B$  for some  $s$  as desired.

**2. Finitely generated injective modules in  $F_\sigma$ .** In this section we study the relationship of finiteness conditions on injective hulls of cyclic modules and the dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, where  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact. We obtain generalizations of several results of Faith and Walker [1].

A module  $M$  is called  $\sigma$ -finitely generated if  $M$  has a finitely generated submodule  $N$  such that  $M/N \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Any finitely generated module is  $\sigma$ -finitely generated.

We use  $E(M)$  to denote the injective hull of a module  $M$ , and we let  $\phi_M$  be the natural homomorphism from  $M$  into  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(M)$  (see [2], [4], [13] or [14]). If  $\sigma$  is perfect, then the correspondence  $K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\sigma(K)$  gives a lattice isomorphism from the lattice of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules  $K$  of  $M$  to the lattice of  $Q_\sigma$ -submodules of submodules of  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(M)$ ; the inverse isomorphism is given by  $X \rightarrow \phi_M^{-1}(X)$  for each  $Q_\sigma$ -submodule  $X$  of  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(M)$  — see [2], [4], [13] or [14]. If  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact and  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, then  $Q_\sigma$  must be a left noetherian ring by this lattice isomorphism (with  $R = M$ ), and thus  $Q_\sigma$  will contain a maximal two-sided nilpotent ideal  $N$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  be exact, and let  $R$  have acc on  $\sigma$ -closed*

left ideals. Let  $N$  be the maximal nilpotent ideal of  $Q_\sigma$ . If  $E(R/\phi_R^{-1}(N))$  is  $\sigma$ -finitely generated, then  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.

*Proof.* Let  $N' = \phi_R^{-1}(N)$ . Then  $N'$  is a nilpotent, two-sided ideal of  $R$ ; since  $\sigma$  is perfect,  $N'$  is also  $\sigma$ -closed and  $N = \mathcal{L}_\sigma(N') = Q_\sigma \otimes_R N'$ . Let  $J$  be the injective hull of  $Q_\sigma/N$  as a  $Q_\sigma$ -module. Since  $\sigma$  is perfect, we have

$$R/N' \xrightarrow{\phi_{R/N'}} Q_\sigma \otimes_R (R/N') \cong Q_\sigma/Q_\sigma \otimes_R N' = Q_\sigma/N \subseteq J \subseteq E(R/N').$$

Since  $E(R/N')$  is  $\sigma$ -finitely generated by hypothesis, then  $E(R/N')$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed submodules by [9, Proposition 3.20]. Since  $\sigma$  is perfect, then  $E(R/N')/J \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  by [2, Proposition 17.1], hence  $J$  also has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed  $R$ -submodules. Since every  $Q_\sigma$ -submodule of  $J$  is  $\sigma$ -closed as an  $R$ -submodule of  $J$  (as  $\sigma$  is perfect) then  $J$  has acc on  $Q_\sigma$ -submodules. Consequently  $J$  is finitely generated as a  $Q$ -module. By [1, Theorem 2.2]  $Q_\sigma$  is a left artinian ring. Thus  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals via the lattice isomorphism between the lattice of  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals of  $R$  and the lattice of left ideals of  $Q_\sigma$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  be exact, and let  $R$  have acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals. If injective hulls of cyclic modules in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  are finitely generated, then  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.*

It is now easy to obtain the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  be exact. If each module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is contained in a direct sum of finitely generated modules, then  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals.*

*Proof.* By [15, Theorem 1.2]  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals. Let  $E$  be the injective hull of a cyclic module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . By hypothesis,  $E$  is contained in a direct sum of finitely generated modules; so  $E$  is finitely generated by [1, Proposition 2.4]. The result now follows from Corollary 2.3.

**3. A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings.** A ring is called quasi-Frobenius (QF) if it is both left and right artinian and left self-injective. A well-known theorem of Faith and Walker [1] states that a ring is QF if and only if every injective module is projective. It is also known [11, page 37] that  $R$  is QF if and only if  $R$  is left artinian (or noetherian) and  $R$  is a cogenerator of  $R$ -mod. In this section we generalize these results.

We call a module  $W$  an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator if every member of  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  can be embedded in a product of copies of  $W$ . Following [10], [12], and their references, we say that a module  $C$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, F) = 0$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . By [12, Theorem 8] a module  $C$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible if and only if  $C/\sigma(R)C$  is a projective  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *If every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible (projective), then  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals and  $R/\sigma(R)$  ( $R$ ) is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator.*

*Proof.* Let  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be injective. By assumption  $M$  is projective as an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module ( $R$ -module). Thus  $M$  is a direct summand of a direct sum of countably generated modules. By Kaplansky's theorem [7]  $M$  is a direct sum of countably generated modules. Hence  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals by [15, Theorem 1.2].

Now let  $N \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Then  $E(N)$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible (projective) by hypothesis, which implies that  $N$  is contained in a direct sum of copies of  $R/\sigma(R)$  ( $R$ ). So  $R/\sigma(R)$  ( $R$ ) is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *If  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals and  $R/\sigma(R)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator, then every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is codivisible.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.4  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals. Let  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be injective. By [15, Theorem 1.2]  $M$  is a direct sum of indecomposable modules. Thus we may assume that  $M$  is indecomposable (as a direct sum of  $\sigma$ -codivisible modules is  $\sigma$ -codivisible). Since  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  and  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals,  $M$  contains a  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodule  $N$ . By assumption  $M = E(N)$  is embedded in a direct product  $U$  of copies of  $R/\sigma(R)$ . Choose a projection map  $p: U \rightarrow R/\sigma(R)$  such that  $p(N) \neq 0$ . We see that the restriction of  $p$  to  $N$  is one-to-one as  $N$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical and  $R/\sigma(R) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Since  $N$  is essential in  $M$ ,  $p$  must also be one-to-one on  $M$ . Consequently,  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct summand  $p(M)$  of  $R/\sigma(R)$ ; this implies  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible since  $R/\sigma(R)$  is.

Let  $W \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be an injective module that cogenerates the torsion-free class  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Using a proof similar to the one just given, one easily shows that if  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals and  $W$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible (projective), then every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible (projective).

**COROLLARY 3.3.**  *$R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals if and only if every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is a direct sum of injective hulls of  $\sigma$ -cocritical modules.*

*Proof.* The proof of the “only if” part is contained in the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Suppose that  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$  is a descending chain of  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals of  $R$ , and let  $I = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I_n$ . Since  $R/I \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is cyclic, it follows from the hypothesis that  $E(R/I)$  contains a finite, essential, direct sum  $M$  of  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodules. By [5, Corollary 1.5]  $M \cap (R/I)$  has  $\sigma$ -finite length.

We claim that

$$(***) \quad M \cap (R/I) \cap (I_1/I) \supseteq M \cap (R/I) \cap (I_2/I) \supseteq \dots$$

is a descending chain of  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of  $M \cap (R/I)$ . To see this, let  $f_j$  be the natural composition

$$(R/I)/M \cap (I_j/I) \longrightarrow (R/I)/(I_j/I) \longrightarrow R/I_j.$$

Let  $g_j$  be the restriction of  $f_j$  to  $(M \cap (R/I))/(M \cap (I_j/I))$ . Then  $\ker g_j = \ker f_j \cap [M/(M \cap (I_j/I))] = [(I_j/I)/(M \cap (I_j/I))] \cap [M/(M \cap (I_j/I))] = 0$ ; so  $g_j$  is a monomorphism into  $R/I_j \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Hence  $(M \cap (R/I))/(M \cap (I_j/I)) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  for each  $j$ .

By [5, Proposition 1.2] the chain (\*\*\*) must terminate. Since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (I_n/I) = 0$ , then there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $M \cap (R/I) \cap (I_k/I) = 0$ . Since  $M$  is essential in  $E(R/I)$ , then  $(R/I) \cap (I_k/I) = 0$ , and hence  $I_k = I$ . Therefore, the chain  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$  terminates.

As usual, we call the torsion class  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  a TTF class if  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is closed under direct products. If  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is a TTF class, then there exists a (necessarily unique and idempotent) ideal  $T$  in the filter  $F(\mathcal{F}_\sigma) = \{I \mid R/I \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma\}$ . If  $N$  is a  $\sigma$ -cocritical module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , then  $TN$  is a simple module. Indeed,  $TN \neq 0$  since  $N \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ ; and if  $K$  is a nonzero submodule of  $TN$ , we must have  $TN/K = T(TN/K) = 0$  as  $TN$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical. Thus in the TTF case we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be a TTF class. Then  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals if and only if every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is a direct sum of injective envelopes of simple modules.*

In case  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact, we can strengthen Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 considerably.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, and  $R/\sigma(R)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator.*
- (2)  *$R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, and  $R/\sigma(R)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator.*

(3) Every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible.

(4)  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, and any injective  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible.

(5)  $R$  has acc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, and any injective  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible.

Furthermore, any of these five equivalent statements imply that  $Q_\sigma$  is a QF ring.

REMARK. In analogy with QF rings, one might expect to find that  $R/\sigma(R)$  is  $\sigma$ -injective (that is,  $R/\sigma(R) = Q_\sigma$ ) and hence that  $R/\sigma(R)$  is QF when the hypotheses of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. However, it is trivial to give examples where this is not the case. In particular, let  $R$  be then  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular matrix ring over a field  $F$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be the class of all modules annihilated by the top row of  $R$ ; then  $R$  and  $\sigma$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.5,  $R = R/\sigma(R)$  is not QF, and  $Q_\sigma$  is the full  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over  $F$ .

*Proof of 3.5.* That (1) implies (3) is Proposition 3.2. That (3) implies (2) follows by Proposition 3.1.

If (2) holds, then  $\sigma$  is perfect, and hence  $Q_\sigma$  is left noetherian via (2). We claim that  $Q_\sigma$  is a cogenerator in the category  $Q_\sigma$ -mod of unital left  $Q_\sigma$ -modules. Since any left  $Q_\sigma$ -module  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  when viewed as an  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is embedded in a direct product of copies of  $R/\sigma(R)$ . Thus there is an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -monomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ , where  $N$  is a direct product of copies of  $Q_\sigma$ . Let  $q \in Q_\sigma$ , let  $m \in M$ , and consider  $(qm)\alpha - q((m)\alpha)$ . Since  $Q_\sigma/(R/\sigma(R)) \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , there is a left ideal  $K \in F(\mathcal{F}_\sigma) = \{I \mid R/I \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma\}$  such that  $Kq \subseteq R/\sigma(R)$ . Now for any  $k \in K$ , we have  $k((qm)\alpha - q((m)\alpha)) = k(qm)\alpha - kq((m)\alpha) = (kqm)\alpha - (kqm)\alpha = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is a  $Q_\sigma$ -monomorphism; that is,  $Q_\sigma$  is a cogenerator for  $Q_\sigma$ -mod. Consequently,  $Q_\sigma$  is a QF ring [11, page 373]; so  $Q_\sigma$  is left artinian. Since  $\sigma$  is perfect, it follows that  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, and (1) follows.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). In view of (3), any injective  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator is certainly codivisible. Moreover,  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals since we have shown that (3) implies (1).

That (4) implies (5) follows from Theorem 1.4.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $W \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be an injective  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator. Since  $W$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible by (5), then  $W$  is a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of  $R/\sigma(R)$ ; hence  $R/\sigma(R)$  must also be an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator.

THEOREM 3.6. Assume that (i)  $R$  has dcc on  $\sigma$ -closed left ideals, (ii)  $R$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator, and (iii) if  $M$  contains an essential  $\sigma$ -cocritical submodule  $N$  which is isomorphic to a submodule of a direct product of copies of  $\sigma(R)$ , then  $M$  is isomorphic to a submodule

of a projective module. Then every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is projective. The converse is true if  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact.

*Proof.* Let  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be an injective module. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we may assume that  $M = E(N)$ , where  $N$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical. By (ii)  $M$  is embedded in a direct product  $U$  of copies of  $R$ . If there is no projection map  $p: U \rightarrow R$  such that  $p(N) \not\subseteq \sigma(R)$ , then  $N$  is embedded in a direct product of copies of  $\sigma(R)$ . Thus by (iii)  $M$  is isomorphic to a submodule of a projective module; this implies that  $M$  is projective, as  $M$  is given to be injective. Now assume that there is a projection map  $p: U \rightarrow R$  such that  $p(N) \not\subseteq \sigma(R)$ . Then the restriction of  $p$  to  $N$  is one-to-one, as  $N$  is  $\sigma$ -cocritical. Since  $N$  is essential in  $M$ , we also have that  $p$  is one-to-one on  $M$ . Consequently  $M$  is projective as it is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $R$ .

For the converse assume that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact and that every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is projective. By Proposition 3.1,  $R$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -cogenerator. By assumption every module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is contained in a projective module, namely its injective hull. Thus (iii) holds trivially, and (i) holds by Theorem 2.4.

REMARKS. We note that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are independent; that is, there exist  $\sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  is exact and any two of (i), (ii) or (iii) hold while the remaining condition fails. Moreover, each of the following conditions is sufficient to imply condition (iii) of Proposition 3.6.

- (1) For each  $\sigma$ -cocritical module  $N$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(N, \sigma(R)) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is a TTF class.
- (3)  $Z(R) \cap \sigma(R) = 0$ , where  $Z(R)$  denotes the singular submodule of  $R$ .
- (4)  $\sigma(R)$  contains no nilpotent ideals of  $R$ .

As a question related to the ideas in this paper, one might ask whether every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  being  $\sigma$ -codivisible is equivalent to every  $\sigma$ -codivisible module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  being injective. We easily resolve this question in our closing result.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) Every  $\sigma$ -codivisible module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is injective.
- (2)  $R/\sigma(R)$  is a QF ring.
- (3) Every injective module in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible, and  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is closed under homomorphic images.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $X$  be a projective  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module. As an  $R$ -module,  $X \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , and  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible by [12, Theorem 8]. By

(1)  $X$  is injective as an  $R$ -module, and hence  $X$  is also injective as an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module. That every projective  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module is injective is well-known to imply that  $R/\sigma(R)$  is QF.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $X \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  be  $\sigma$ -codivisible. Then  $X$  is projective as an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module by [12, Theorem 8]. Hence  $X$  is an injective  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module since  $R/\sigma(R)$  is QF by assumption. Since  $X \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , then  $X$  is also injective as an  $R$ -module by [9, Proposition 4.8].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is injective, then  $M$  is also injective as an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module. Hence  $M$  is a projective  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module by (2). This implies  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible by [12, Theorem 8].

If  $Y$  is an  $R$ -homomorphic image of  $M \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , then  $Y$  is also an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module as  $\sigma(R)M = 0$ . However,  $R/\sigma(R)$  is a cogenerator for  $R/\sigma(R)$ -mod by (2), which implies that  $Y \subseteq \Pi R/\sigma(R)$ . Hence  $Y \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $M$  be injective as an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module. Since  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  is closed under homomorphic images, every  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module when viewed as an  $R$ -module is in  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . Thus by [9, Proposition 4.8]  $M$  is injective as an  $R$ -module. By assumption  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -codivisible; and therefore, as an  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module  $M$  is projective [12, Theorem 8]. Thus  $R/\sigma(R)$  is QF as every injective  $R/\sigma(R)$ -module is projective.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Faith and E. A. Walker, *Direct sum representations of injective modules*, J. Algebra, **5** (1967), 203-221.
2. J. S. Golan, *Localization of Noncommutative Rings*, Pure and Applied Math. 30, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975.
3. ———, *Modules satisfying both chain conditions with respect to a torsion theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 103-108.
4. O. Goldman, *Rings and modules of quotients*, J. Algebra, **13** (1969), 10-47.
5. ———, *Elements of noncommutative arithmetic 1*, J. Algebra, **35** (1965), 308-341.
6. C. Hopkins, *Rings with minimal condition for left ideals*, Ann. Math., **40** (1939), 712-730.
7. I. Kaplansky, *Projective modules*, Ann. Math., **68** (1958), 372-377.
8. J. Levitzki, *On rings which satisfy the minimum condition for right-hand ideals*, Compositio Math., **7** (1939), 214-222.
9. J. Manocha, *Finiteness conditions and torsion theories*, Thesis, University of Wisconsin, 1973.
10. R. Miller and M. L. Teply, *On flatness relative to a torsion theory*, Commun. in Algebra, (to appear).
11. B. L. Osofsky, *A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings*, J. Algebra, **4** (1966), 373-387.
12. K. M. Rangaswamy, *Codivisible modules*, Commun. in Algebra, **2** (1974), 475-489.
13. B. Stenström, *Rings and Modules of Quotients*, Lecture Notes in Math. 237, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
14. ———, *Rings of Quotients*, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissen. in Einzeldarstellungen 217, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
15. M. L. Teply, *Torsion-free injective modules*, Pacific J. Math., **28** (1969), 441-453.

Received August 31, 1977 and in revised form September 21, 1977. The first author

was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant MCS 77-01818. The second author was supported by a Faculty Research Grant at the College of William and Mary.

COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY  
WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23185  
AND  
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA  
GAINESVILLE, FL 32611

