# ON THE ZEROS OF CONVEX COMBINATIONS OF POLYNOMIALS 
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Given monic $n$th degree polynomials $P_{0}(z)$ and $P_{1}(z)$, let $P_{A}(z)=(1-A) P_{0}(z)+A P_{1}(z)$. If the zeros of $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ all lie in a circle $\mathscr{C}$ or on a line $L$, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leq A \leq 1)$ to all lie on $\mathscr{C}$ or $L$. This describes certain convex sets of monic $n$th degree polynomials having zeros in $\mathscr{C}$ or $L$. If the zeros of $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ lie in the unit disk and $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ have real coefficients, then the zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leq A \leq 1)$ lie in the disk $|z|<\cos (\pi / 2 n) /$ $\sin (\pi / 2 n)$. A set is described which includes the locus of zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leq A \leq 1)$ as $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ vary through all monic $n$th degree polynomials having all their zeros in a compact set $K$. When $K$ is path-connected, this locus is exactly the set described.

Given polynomials $P_{0}(z)$ and $P_{1}(z)$, let $P_{A}(z)$ denote the polynomial:

$$
P_{A}(z)=(1-A) P_{0}(z)+A P_{1}(z) .
$$

$P_{A}$ is defined for any complex value of $A$ and the zeros of $P_{A}(z)$ are continuous functions of $A$. In particular, if $A$ is varied through the reals between 0 and 1 , the locus of zeros of $P_{A}(z)$ is a network of paths in the plane starting at the zeros of $P_{0}(z)$ and terminating in the zeros of $P_{1}(z)$. If the degree of $P_{0}$ is higher than that of $P_{1}$ then some of the paths of zeros must tend to infinity as $A$ tends to one. It is the aim of this note to describe these loci of zeros when $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are monic, have the same degree and are constrained to have their zeros on a circle, on a line or in a disk.

First, let $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ be real and have their zeros in $S^{1}=\{z \in$ $C:|z|=1\}$ where $C$ denotes the complex numbers. The following lemma gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the locus of zeros of $P_{A}(z)$. $(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ to be contained in $S^{1}$.

Lemma 1. Let $P_{0}(z)$ and $P_{1}(z)$ be real monic polynomials of degree $n$ with their zeros contained in $S^{1}-\{-1,1\}$. Denote the zeros of $P_{0}(z)$ by $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{n}$ and of $P_{1}(z)$ by $z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$ and assume:

$$
w_{i} \neq z_{j} \quad(1 \leqq i, j \leqq n)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\arg \left(w_{i}\right) \leqq \arg \left(w_{j}\right)<2 \pi \\
& 0<\arg \left(z_{i}\right) \leqq \arg \left(z_{j}\right)<2 \pi \quad(1 \leqq i<j \leqq n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the smaller open arc of $S^{1}$ bounded by $w_{i}$ and $z_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$. Then the locus of zeros of $P_{A}(z)(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ is contained in $S^{1}$ if and only if the arcs $\alpha_{i}$ are disjoint.

Proof. If $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are fixed, then for each $z \in C$ such that $P_{0}(z) \neq P_{1}(z)$ there is a unique value of $A=A(z)$ such that $P_{A(z)}(z)=0$. The function $A(z)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\frac{P_{0}(z)}{P_{0}(z)-P_{1}(z)}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{P_{1}(z)}{P_{0}(z)}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{\left(z-z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{n}\right)}{\left(z-w_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-w_{n}\right)}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $P_{0}(z) \neq 0$.
First assume that $P_{A}(z)$ has all its zeros in $S^{1}$ for $0 \leqq A \leqq 1$. When $A=0$, the zeros of $P_{A}(z)$ are the $w_{i}$. Perturbing $A$ from 0 to 1 will give a trajectory of zeros eminating from each $w_{i}$. Each trajectory will pass through a $z_{i}$ at $A=1$. Equation (*) implies that no $z$ can be a zero of $P_{A}(z)$ for two different values of $A$ (unless $P_{0}(z)=P_{1}(z)=0$ which is not the case here). Two trajectories can intersect only at $z$ 's which are multiple zeros of $P_{A}$ for some $A$. The set of all $z$ which are multiple zeros of $P_{A}(z)$ for some $A \in C$ is a finite set, as this is the set of $z \in C$ for which $P_{A}(z)$ and $P_{A}^{\prime}(z)$ are both zero. $\quad P_{A}^{\prime}(z)=0$ implies $A(z)=P_{0}^{\prime}(z) /\left(P_{0}^{\prime}(z)-P_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right)$ if $P_{0}^{\prime}(z) \neq P_{1}^{\prime}(z)$ and equating this formula for $A(z)$ with that in $\left(^{*}\right)$ gives a polynomial that $z$ must satisfy if it is a multiple root of $P_{A}(z)$ for some $A$. Hence, two trajectories can cross but not coincide over a curve. If the trajectories are constrained to a circle, they can only intersect at their endpoints. The $n$ disjoint open arcs covered by these trajectories minus their endpoints are clearly the $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha_{i}$.

Now assume that the $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha_{i}$ are disjoint. Let $\theta_{i}$ denote the angle of the arc $\alpha_{i}$. Consider the quotients

$$
q_{i}=\frac{z-z_{i}}{z-w_{i}}
$$

and

$$
q_{n+1-i}=\frac{z-z_{n+1-i}}{z-w_{n+1-1}}=\frac{z-\bar{z}_{i}}{z-\bar{w}_{i}}
$$

If $z \in S^{1}$ and $z \notin \alpha_{i} \cup \alpha_{n+1-i}$ then:

$$
\arg \left(q_{i}\right)= \pm \frac{\theta_{i}}{2}
$$

while

$$
\arg \left(q_{n+1-i}\right)=\mp \frac{\theta_{i}}{2} .
$$

Hence $\arg \left(q_{i} q_{n+1-i}\right)=0$ and $q_{i} q_{n+1-i}$ is a positive real. If $z \in S^{1}-$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\alpha}_{i}$ then $P_{1}(z) P_{0}(z)$ is positive and except at the finite number of $z \in S^{1}$ where $P_{0}(z)=P_{1}(z), A(z)$ is real with either $A(z)<0$ or $A(z)>1$. If $z \in \alpha_{i}$ for some $i$, then for $j \neq i, q_{j} q_{n+1-j}$ is a positive real. On the other hand,

$$
\arg \left(q_{i}\right)= \pm\left(\pi-\frac{\theta_{i}}{2}\right)
$$

while

$$
\arg \left(q_{n+1-i}\right)= \pm \frac{\theta_{i}}{2}
$$

In this case $\arg \left(q_{i} q_{n+1-i}\right)=\pi$ so $P_{1}(z) / P_{0}(z)$ is negative and $A(z)$ is real with $0<A(z)<1$.
$A(z)$ is a continuous real-valued function of $z$ on each arc $\alpha_{i}$. $A(z)$ takes on the values 0 and 1 at the endpoints $w_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ of $\alpha_{i}$. $A(z)$ must then take on all values between 0 and 1 on each arc $\alpha_{i}$. That is, for each $A(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ there is a zero of $P_{A}(z)$ in each arc $\alpha_{i}$. This accounts for all $n$ zeros of $P_{A}(z)$ so there can be no zeros of $P_{A}(z)$ outside $S^{1}$.

Note that a similar lemma holds for polynomials $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ having their zeros in any circle whose center is on the real line.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be any circle whose center is on the real line and let $\gamma_{i}$ be open arcs in $\mathscr{C} \cap\{z \mid \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ for $i=1, \cdots, k$. The set of (real) monic polynomials of degree $2 k$ with zeros $z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1}, \cdots$, $z_{k}, \bar{z}_{k}$ where $z_{i} \in \gamma_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)$ is a convex set of polynomials if and only if the arcs $\gamma_{i}$ are disjoint.

Proof. All that remains is to consider what happens when $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ have zeros in common. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}(z)=Q(z) \widetilde{P}_{0}(z), \\
& P_{1}(z)=Q(z) \widetilde{P}_{1}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
P_{A}(z)=Q(z)\left((1-A) \widetilde{P}_{0}(z)+A \widetilde{P}_{1}(z)\right)
$$

where $\widetilde{P}_{0}(z)$ and $\widetilde{P}_{1}(z)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1 . This lemma applied to $(1-A) \widetilde{P}_{0}(z)+A \widetilde{P}_{1}(z)$ implies the theorem.

Corollary 1. Let $P_{0}, P_{1}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ be as in Lemma 1. For each
$z \in S^{1}$. Let $n(z)=\operatorname{card}\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid z \in \alpha_{i}\right\}$. For all $z \in S^{1}$ such that $P_{0}(z) \neq$ $P_{1}(z), z$ is a zero of $P_{A}(z)$ for some real value of $A=A(z)$ and $0 \leqq A(z) \leqq 1$ if and only if $n(z)$ is odd or $z$ is a zero of $P_{0}$ or $P_{1}$.

Proof. This follows easily from the proof of Lemma 1.

The techniques used in the proof of Lemma 1 applied to polynomials whose zeros lie on a straight line give the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $I_{j}(j=1, \cdots, n)$ be open intervals in a line $L \subseteq C$. The set of monic polynomials of degree $n$ having zeros $\zeta_{j}(j=1, \cdots, n)$ where $\zeta_{j} \in I_{j}$ is a convex set of polynomials if and only if the intervals $I_{j}$ are disjoint.

Proof. Let $P_{0}(z)$ and $P_{1}(z)$ have zeros $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{n}$ and $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}$, respectively, where $w_{j}$ and $z_{j}$ are in $L(j=1, \cdots, n)$. Assume that $L$ is directed and that the zeros $w_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ are ordered in this direction. Define intervals $\alpha_{j}$ and quotients $q_{j}$ as in Lemma 1 and its proof. If $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are monic and $w_{i} \neq z_{j}(i, j=1, \cdots, n)$ then

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\arg \left(q_{i}\right)=0 & \text { or } & 2 \pi \quad z \in L-\alpha_{i} \\
\arg \left(q_{i}\right)=\pi & \text { or } & -\pi & z \in \alpha_{i} .
\end{array}
$$

The arguments of Lemma 1 imply that the zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ are contained in $L$ if and only if the intervals $\alpha_{i}$ are disjoint and the theorem follows.

Theorem 2 is similar to a result of N. Obreschkoff [2] which states: Let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be two polynomials without common zeros whose degrees differ by at most one. A necessary and sufficient condition that $P$ and $Q$ have only real zeros which separate each other is that the equations $a P+b Q=0$ have real zeros for all real $a$ and $b$. In the proof of Theorem 2, the zeros of $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ need not separate each other for $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ to have all its zeros on the line $L$. The zeros do, however, need to be "paired" which is the condition that the invervals $\alpha_{i}$ are disjoint. Theorem 2 can be restated in the flavor of Obreschkoff as follows.

Theorem 2'. Let $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ be monic polynomials of the same degree. A necessary and sufficient condition that $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ have only paired zeros lying on one line $L$ is that the polynomials $P_{A}=$ $(1-A) P_{0}+A P_{1}$ have all their zeros on the line $L$ for $A$ real, $0 \leqq A \leqq 1$.

Lemma 1 is essentially Theorem 1 stated in this form. Theorem

3 (below) can also be so formulated.
In Theorem 2, the polynomials $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are not required to be real and there was no need of the symmetry obtained by having complex conjugate zeros. As linear transformations take circles into lines, there should be a version of Theorem 1 that does not require $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ to be real.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a circle in the complex plane and let $\gamma_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be disjoint open arcs in $\mathscr{C}$. Let $z_{0} \in \mathscr{C}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}$. Then for any $w_{0} \in C, w_{0} \neq 0$, the set of polynomials $P$ having zeros $z_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ where $z_{i} \in \gamma_{i}$ and satisfying $P\left(z_{0}\right)=w_{0}$ is a convex set of polynomials.

Proof. A transformation of the form

$$
w(z)=\alpha\left(\frac{z-\beta}{z-\delta}\right)
$$

will take a given circle through $\beta$ and $\delta$ onto a line, sending $\beta$ to the origin and $\delta$ to the point at infinity. The inverse of this transformation is given by

$$
z(w)=\delta\left(\frac{w-\alpha \beta / \delta}{w-\alpha}\right)
$$

If $P(z)$ is the polynomial, $P(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(z(w))= & a_{n}\left(\delta\left(\frac{w-\alpha \beta / \delta}{w-\alpha}\right)\right)^{n}+\cdots+a_{1}\left(\delta\left(\frac{w-\alpha \beta / \delta}{w-\alpha}\right)\right)+a_{0} \\
= & \frac{1}{(w-\alpha)^{n}}\left[a_{n} \delta^{n}(w-\alpha \beta / \delta)^{n}+\cdots+a_{1} \delta(w-\alpha \beta / \delta)(w-\alpha)^{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.+a_{0}(w-\alpha)^{n}\right]=\frac{1}{(w-\alpha)^{n}} Q(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q(w)$ is a polynomial with leading coefficient $P(\delta)$ and $P(z)=0$ if and only if $Q(w(z))=0$. Take $\delta=z_{0}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ to be the given circle.

If $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are polynomials in the set described in the statement of this theorem, let $Q_{0}, Q_{1}$ and $Q_{A}$ be the polynomials associated with $P_{0}, P_{1}$ and $P_{A}$ by the above. $Q_{A}=(1-A) Q_{0}+A Q_{1}$ and the proof of Theorem 2 applies to $Q_{A}$ as $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$ have the same leading coefficient. $\quad P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ has zeros in the $\operatorname{arcs} \gamma_{i}$ and $P_{A}\left(\dot{z}_{0}\right)=w_{0}$ so $P_{A}$ is in the set described.

Remark. Theorem 3 is not stronger than Theorem 1. Take, for example, $P_{0}(z)=z^{2}+z+1$ and $P_{1}(z)=z^{2}-z+1$ then $P_{0}(z) \neq P_{1}(z)$ for any $z \in S^{1}$.

The results presented so far show, in particular, that if $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are monic real polynomials of the same degree having zeros in $S^{1}$ or $R^{1}$ then any convex combination $P_{A}$ of $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ will have all its zeros in $S^{1}$ or $R^{1}$ if and only if the zeros of $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are paired. There remains the question of where the zeros of $P_{A}$ must lie in general. A special case of a theorem of J.L. Walsh ([1] p. 77) says that if $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are monic polynomials of degree $n$ with all their zeros contained in the disk $\{z \in C:|z| \leqq 1\}$, then all the zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ are contained in $\{z \in C:|z| \leqq 1 / \sin (\pi / 2 n)\}$.

This bound on the moduli of the zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ is optimal. If $|z|=1 / \sin (\pi / 2 n)$, construct the lines through $z$ which are tangent to the circle $S^{1}$ and let $w_{1}$ and $z_{1}$ be the points of tangency. Then $z$ will be a zero of $P_{1 / 2}$ if $P_{0}=\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{n}$ and $P_{1}=\left(z-w_{1}\right)^{n}$. If, however, $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are real polynomials there is a slightly smaller bound on the moduli of zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$.

Theorem 4. Let $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ be real monic polynomials with their zeros contained in the unit disk $\{z \in C:|z| \leqq 1\}$. Then the zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ are contained in the disk

$$
\left\{z \in C:|z| \leqq \frac{\cos (\pi / 2 n)}{\sin (\pi / 2 n)}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let the zeros of $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ be denoted by $z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}$ and $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{n}$ and assume that if $z_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)$ is not real then $z_{n+1-i}=$ $\bar{z}_{i}\left(w_{n+1-i}=\bar{w}_{i}\right)$. Let $q_{i}=\left(z-z_{i}\right) /\left(w-w_{i}\right)$. As in the proof of Lemma $1, z$ is a solution of $P_{A}$ for $0 \leqq A \leqq 1$, if and only if

$$
\arg \left(q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{n}\right)=\pi+2 k \pi \text { for some } k \in Z
$$

The following two lemmas show that $\left|\arg \left(q_{i} q_{n+1-i}\right)\right|$ is maximal for $|z|$ fixed and greater than 1 when $z$ is pure imaginary and $\left\{z_{i}, w_{i}\right\}=$ $\{-1,+1\}=\left\{\bar{z}_{i}, \bar{w}_{i}\right\}$. In this case

$$
\left|\arg \left(q_{i}\right)\right|=2 \arctan \frac{1}{|z|}
$$

if $|z|>\cot (\pi / 2 n)$ then $1 /|z|<\tan (\pi / 2 n)$ and

$$
0<\arg \left(q_{1} \cdots q_{n}\right) \leqq 2 n \arctan \frac{1}{|z|}<2 n \arctan \left(\tan \frac{\pi}{2 n}\right)=\pi
$$

which is a contradiction.
Lemma 2. Let $a$ and $b$ be two points on a circle of radius 1 with center $c$. Let $p$ be at distance $r>1$ from $c$, then angle apb is maximal (minimal if negative) when angle acp equals angle pcb.

Lemma 3. Let $a$ and $b$ be two points on a circle or radius 1 with center $c$ and let $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ be the two points on the perpendicular bisector of the segment $a b$ at equal distances from $c$. Then angle $a p b+$ angle $b p^{\prime} a$ is maximal (minimal if negative) when $a b$ is a diameter of the circle.

To prove these two lemmas, I had to resort to the Law of Cosines and taking derivatives. The calculations are straightforward but tedious so I omit them here.

The following result shows what happens when the circle is replaced by a given compact set. The result is essentially the same as a theorem due to Nagy and generalized by Marden ([1] p.32), though they state their results only for polynomials having their zeros in a given convex set.

Let $K \subseteq C$ be compact. Given $z \in C$, there is a minimal closed sector with vertex $z$ that includes $K$. Let $\theta(K, z)$ denote the angle of this sector. $0 \leqq \theta(K, z) \leqq 2 \pi(z \in C)$.

Theorem 5. Let $K \subseteq C$ be compact. The locus of zeros of $P_{A}$ $(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ as $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ run through all $n$th degree monic polynomials having all their zeros in $K$ is included in the set

$$
S(K, \pi / n)=\{z \in C \mid \theta(K, z) \geqq \pi / n\}
$$

If $K$ is path-connected, this locus is exactly $S(K, \pi / n)$.
Proof. Let $q_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be defined as in the proof of Lemma 1. If $0<\theta(K, z)<\pi / n$ then $0 \leqq \arg \left(q_{i} \cdots q_{i}\right)<\pi$ and $z$ cannot be a zero of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$.

If $K$ is path-connected and $\theta(K, z) \geqq \pi / n$ there exist $z_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ in $S$ such that $\arg \left(\left(z-z_{1}\right) /\left(z-w_{1}\right)\right)=\pi / n . \quad z$ is a zero of a $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ when $P_{0}(z)=\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{n}$ and $P_{1}(z)=\left(z-w_{1}\right)^{n}$.

Finally, we return to polynomials having their zeros in a line. The following result is stated for the interval $[-1,+1]$ in $R$ but it generalizes easily to polynomials having their zeros in any line segment in $C$.

Corollary 2. If $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are monic $n$th degree polynomials having all their zeros in $[-1,+1]$ then the locus of zeros of $P_{A}(0 \leqq A \leqq 1)$ is included in the union of the two disks with diameter $\cot (\pi / 2 n)+\tan (\pi / 2 n)$ whose boundaries pass through the points -1 and +1 .

Proof. Observing that an inscribed angle on a circle is measured by half the arc it subtends shows that $S([-1,+1], \pi / n)$ is the
union of the two disks described.
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