## POWER SERIES RINGS OVER DISCRETE VALUATION RINGS

JIMMY T. ARNOLD

If V is a discrete valuation ring with Krull dimension m, it is shown that the power series ring  $V[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  has Krull dimension mn + 1.

Throughout the paper all rings are assumed to be commutative with identity and the ring R is not considered to be a prime ideal of R. In [1] the author defines a ring to have the SFT (strong finite type) property if for each ideal A of R there exists a finitely generated ideal B and a positive integer k such that  $B \subseteq A$  and  $a^k \in B$ for each  $a \in A$ . It is shown in [1, Theorem 1] that if R fails to have the SFT-property then the power series ring R[Y] has infinite Krull dimension. On the other hand, if D is a Prüfer domain with  $\dim D = m$ and if D has the SFT-property then dim D[Y] = m+1 [2, Theorem 3.8]. Recall that a valuation ring V with finite Krull dimension is discrete if and only if  $P \neq P^2$  for each nonzero prime ideal P of V [5, pp. 190-192]. A valuation ring V has the SFT-property if and only if it is discrete [2, Proposition 3.1]. Thus, if V is a valuation ring and  $\dim V = m$  then either V is discrete and  $\dim V \llbracket Y \rrbracket = m + 1$  (this specific result was proved by Fields in [4, Theorem 2.7]) or V is nondiscrete and dim  $V[Y] = \infty$ . For dim R = m the author asks in [1, p. 303] if either dim R[Y] = m + 1 or dim  $R[Y] = \infty$ . We show that the answer is no for ring  $V[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ , where V is a discrete valuation ring with dim  $V \ge 2$ . Specifically, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. If V is a discrete valuation ring with Krull dimension m then the power series ring  $V[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  has Krull dimension mn+1.

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on m and the case m=1 is well-known since, in this case, V is Noetherian (cf. Lemma 2.6 of [4]). Thus assume that  $m \geq 2$ , that the theorem holds if  $\dim V = m-1$ , let  $\dim V = m$ , and suppose that  $(0) = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset P_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq P_m$  is the set of prime ideals of V. Throughout the proof X denotes the set  $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$  of analytic indeterminates over V, V[X] denotes the power series ring  $V[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ ,  $p \in P_1 \backslash P_1^2$ ,  $W = V_{P_1}$ ,  $U = V/P_1$ ,  $F = W/P_1W$  and, even though  $P_1 = P_1W$ , we write  $\mathscr P$  to denote the ideal  $P_1W$ . We note that W is a rank one discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal  $\mathscr P = pW$ , F is the quotient field of U, and for each integer  $k \geq 1$ 

we have  $P_1^{k+1} \subseteq p^k P_1$ . If  $\xi \in (W[X])_{W\setminus\{0\}}$  then there exists a nonzero element a in W such that  $a\xi \in W[X]$ . But then  $pa\xi \in V[X]$  and  $pa \in V$  so  $\xi \in (V[X])_{V\setminus\{0\}}$ . This shows that  $(W[X])_{W\setminus\{0\}} \subseteq (V[X])_{V\setminus\{0\}}$  and the reverse containment is obvious so equality holds. It follows that the correspondence  $Q \to Q \cap V[X]$  is a bijection from the set

$$\{Q\in\operatorname{Spec}(W\llbracket X\rrbracket)\,|\,Q\cap W=(0)\}$$

to the set  $\{Q' \in \operatorname{Spec}(V[\![X]\!]) \mid Q' \cap V = (0)\}$  which preserves set containment. Thus, if  $Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(W[\![X]\!])$  and  $Q \cap W = (0)$ , then rank  $Q = \operatorname{rank}(Q \cap V[\![X]\!])$  and it follows that  $\operatorname{rank} Q' \leq n$  for each  $Q' \in \operatorname{Spec}(V[\![X]\!])$  such that  $Q' \cap V = (0)$ .

Let  $(0) \subset Q_1 \subset \cdots \subset Q_t = P_m + (X)$  be a maximal chain of prime ideals of  $V[\![X]\!]$  and choose k so that  $Q_k \cap V = (0)$  while  $Q_{k+1} \cap V \neq (0)$ . Then, as we have already observed,  $k = \operatorname{rank} Q_k \leq n$ . Since  $p \in Q_{k+1}$  we have  $(P_1[\![X]\!])^2 \subseteq P_1^2[\![X]\!] \subseteq pP_1[\![X]\!] \subseteq Q_{k+1}$  and hence  $Q_{k+1} \supseteq P_1[\![X]\!]$ .

We first consider the case in which  $Q_{k+1} \neq P_1[X]$ . It follows from Theorem 3.14 of [3] that there exist elements  $\lambda_1 = x_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ in  $x_1F[x_1]$  such that the  $U[x_1]$ -homomorphism  $\phi: U[X] \to U[\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n]$ determined by  $\phi(x_i) = \lambda_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , is an isomorphism. But  $\phi$  extends to an  $F[x_1]$ -epimorphism  $\bar{\phi}\colon F[X] \to F[x_1]$  and if  $\bar{Q}$  is the kernel of  $ar{\phi}$  then depth  $ar{Q}=1$ , rank Q=n-1 [6, Corollary 1, p. 218], and  $ar{Q}\cap U\llbracket X
rbracket=(0). \hspace{0.2cm} ext{Since} \hspace{0.1cm} F\llbracket X
rbracket=(W/\mathscr{S})\llbracket X
rbracket\cong W\llbracket X
rbracket/\mathscr{S}\rrbracket X
rbracket \hspace{0.1cm} ext{and} \hspace{0.1cm} U\llbracket X
rbracket\cong W$  $V[X]/P_1[X]$ , Q determines a prime ideal Q of W[X] such that depth Q=1,  $\operatorname{rank}(Q/\mathscr{S}[X])=n-1$ , and  $Q\cap V[X]=P_1[X]$ . Therefore, rank  $Q \ge n$  and, since dim W[X] = n + 1, it follows that rank Q = n. If we choose  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} \in XW[X]$  such that the corresponding elements  $ar{f}_1,\cdots,ar{f}_{n-1}$  in F[X] form a regular system of parameters for  $(F[X])_{\overline{Q}}$ , then  $\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, p\}$  is a regular system of parameters for  $(W[X])_Q$ and the ideal  $N_i' = (f_1, \dots, f_i)(W[X])_Q$  is a prime ideal of  $(W[X])_Q$ for  $1 \le i \le n-1$  (cf. Corollary 1, p. 302 and Theorem 26, p. 303 of [6]). In particular,  $N_{n-1}=N'_{n-1}\cap W\llbracket X
rbracket$  is a prime ideal of  $W\llbracket X
rbracket$ such that rank  $N_{n-1}=n-1$ ,  $N_{n-1}\subset Q$ , and  $N_{n-1}\cap W=(0)$ . We now  $\text{have} \hspace{0.2cm} P_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \llbracket X \rrbracket = Q \cap V \llbracket X \rrbracket \supset N_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} \cap V \llbracket X \rrbracket \hspace{0.2cm} \text{and} \hspace{0.2cm} \text{rank} \hspace{0.2cm} (N_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} \cap V \llbracket X \rrbracket) =$ rank  $N_{n-1} = n - 1$ —that is, rank  $P_1[X] \ge n$ . Therefore, k+1 = n $\operatorname{rank} Q_{k+1} \geq 1 + \operatorname{rank} P_1[X] \geq n+1$ . We have already seen that  $k \leq n$ , so k = n and rank  $(Q_{k+1}/P_1\llbracket X \rrbracket = 1$ . Thus,  $P_1\llbracket X \rrbracket/P_1\llbracket X \rrbracket \subset$  $Q_{k+1}/P_1\llbracket X
rbracket \subset Q_t/P_1\llbracket X
rbracket$  is a maximal chain of prime ideals in  $V[X]/P_1[X] \cong U[X]$  of length t-k. By assumption t-k=(m-1)n+1and since k = n this implies that t = mn + 1.

We now consider the case in which  $Q_{k+1} = P_1 \llbracket X \rrbracket$ . It follows from the previous argument that  $n \leq \operatorname{rank} P_1 \llbracket X \rrbracket = \operatorname{rank} Q_{k+1} = k+1$ . We will show that equality holds. Let  $\mathscr V$  be a valuation overring of  $V \llbracket X \rrbracket$  with prime ideals  $(0) \subset Q_1' \subset \cdots \subset Q_{k+1}'$  such that  $Q_i' \cap V \llbracket X \rrbracket = Q_i$ 

for each i. Since  $Q_k \cap V = (0)$  we may assume that  $Q'_{k+1} = \operatorname{rad}(p\mathscr{Y})$ and, by localizing if necessary, we assume that  $Q'_{k+1}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathscr{V}$ . We wish to show that  $\mathscr{V} \supseteq W[X]$ . If this is not the case then there exists  $h \in W[X]$  such that  $h^{-1} \in Q'_{k+1}$ . If f = ph then  $f \in P_1[X]$ ,  $h^{-1} = p/f$ , and there exists an integer s such that  $h^{-s} =$  $p^s/f^s = p\zeta$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Y}$ . But  $f^s \in (P_1 \llbracket X \rrbracket)^s \subseteq p^{s-1}P_1 \llbracket X \rrbracket$  so we have  $p\zeta = p^s/p^{s-1}f_1$  for some  $f_1 \in P_1\llbracket X 
rbracket$ . Therefore,  $1/f_1 = \zeta \in \mathscr{Y}$  contrary to the assumption that  $P_1[\![X]\!] \subseteq Q'_{k+1}$ . It follows that  $W[\![X]\!] \subseteq \mathscr{Y}$ and if  $Q_i'' = Q_i' \cap W[X]$  for  $1 \le i \le k+1$  then  $(0) \subset Q_1'' \subset \cdots \subset Q_{k+1}''$ is a chain of prime ideals of  $W\llbracket X
rbracket$  such that  $Q_i''\cap V\llbracket X
rbracket=Q_i$ . In particular,  $Q''_{k+1} \cap V[X] = P_1[X]$ . Since  $[\mathscr{S} + (X)] \cap V[X] = P_1 + (X)$ it follows that  $Q_{k+1}^{"}$  is not maximal in W[X]. Thus,  $n+1 > \operatorname{rank} Q_{k+1}^{"} \ge 1$ k+1 — that is, k < n. It follows that k = n-1 and this together with the previous argument shows that, in either case, rank  $P_1[X] =$ We now have that  $P_1[\![X]\!]/P_1[\![X]\!] \subset Q_{k+2}/P_1[\![X]\!] \subset \cdots \subset Q_t/P_1[\![X]\!]$ is a maximal chain of prime ideals in  $V[X]/P_1[X] \cong U[X]$  of length t-(k+1)=t-n. By assumption, t-n=(m-1)n+1, so t=mn+1.

REMARK. The proof of the theorem shows that if  $(0) = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \cdots \subset P_m$  is the set of prime ideals of a discrete valuation ring V then each of the prime ideals  $P_i[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$  can be included in a maximal chain of prime ideals of  $V[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$  and for 0 < i < m we have rank  $(P_i[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/P_{i-1}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]) = n$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. T. Arnold, Krull dimension in power series rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 177 (1973), 299-304.
- 2. ——, Power series rings over Prüfer domains, Pacific J. Math., 44 (1973), 1-11.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, Algebraic extensions of power series rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- 4. D. E. Fields, Dimension theory in power series rings, Pacific J. Math., 35 (1970), 601-611.
- 5. R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- 6. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. II, D. Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, 1960.

Received March 19, 1980 and in revised form August 25, 1980.

Verginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, VA 24061