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#### Abstract

A family of nonempty subsets of the $n$-sphere $S^{n}$ is said to be evenly distributed if every open hemisphere contains at least one set of $\mathscr{F}$. This paper first proves an antipodal theorem for evenly distributed families of nonempty closed subsets of $S^{n}$, and then applies it to improve a recent combinatorial resulf of Kneser-Lovász-Bárány.


For a positive integer $n$, let $S^{n}$ denote the $n$-sphere $\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}\right.$ : $\|x\|=1\}$ in the Euclidean $(n+1)$-space $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$. For a subset $A$ of $S^{n},-A$ denotes the antipodal set of $A:-A=\{-x: x \in A\}$. For each $x \in S^{n}$, let $H(x)$ be the open hemisphere $H(x)=\left\{y \in S^{n}:(x, y)>\right.$ $0\}$, where $(x, y)$ is the inner product of $x$ and $y$. Following Gale [5], we say that a family $\mathscr{F}$ of nonempty subsets of $S^{n}$ is evenly distributed, if for every $x \in S^{n}$, the open hemisphere $H(x)$ contains at least one set of $\mathscr{F}$.

Theorem 1. Let $n, m$ be two positive integers. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be an evenly distributed family of nonempty closed subsets of $S^{n}$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be partitioned into $m$ subfamilies $\mathscr{F}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathscr{F}_{2}$ such that for each $i$ and for any two subsets $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ in the same subfamily $\mathscr{F}_{2}$, $A^{\prime} \cup\left(-A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not contained in any open hemisphere. Then $m$ is necessarily $\geqq n+2$. Furthermore, there exist $n+2$ indices $1 \leqq$ $\nu_{1}<\nu_{2}<\cdots<\nu_{n+2} \leqq m$ and $n+2$ sets $A_{j} \in \mathscr{F}_{\nu_{j}}(1 \leqq j \leqq n+2)$ such that the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+2}(-1)^{j} A_{j}$ is contained in an open hemisphere.

Proof. For each $i=1,2, \cdots, m$, let $G_{i}$ be the set of those points $x \in S^{n}$ for which the open hemisphere $H(x)$ contains at least one set of $\mathscr{F}_{i}$. Clearly $G_{i}$ is open in $S^{n}$. As $\mathscr{F}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathscr{F}_{i}$ is evenly distributed, we have $S^{n}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_{2}$. Furthermore, $G_{i}$ contains no pair of antipodal points. In fact, $x \in G_{i}$ and $-x \in G_{i}$ would mean the existence of $A^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}_{i}$ and $A^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{F}_{i}$ such that $A^{\prime} \subset H(x)$ and $A^{\prime \prime} \subset$ $H(-x)$. Then we would have $A^{\prime} \cup\left(-A^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset H(x)$, against our hypothesis.

The open covering $S^{n}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} G_{i}$ can be shrunken to a closed covering, i.e., we can find closed sets $F_{i} \subset G_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq m)$ such that $S^{n}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}$. Then none of the $F_{i}$ 's contains a pair of antipodal points. By the classical antipodal theorem of Lusternik-Schnirel-mann-Borsuk [2], [3], [8], $m$ is necessarily $\geqq n+2$. Moreover, by a result in our paper [4], which asserts slightly more than the

Lusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk theorem, there exist $n+2$ indices $1 \leqq \nu_{1}<\nu_{2}<\cdots<\nu_{n+2} \leqq m$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n+2}(-1)^{j} F_{\nu_{j}} \neq \varnothing$. Then for any point $z$ in this intersection, we have $-z \in \bigcap_{j \text { odd }} F_{\nu j} \subset \bigcap_{i \text { odd }} G_{\nu_{j}}$ and $z \in \bigcap_{j \text { even }} F_{\nu j} \subset \bigcap_{j \text { even }} G_{\nu j}$. Hence there exist $n+2$ sets $A_{j} \in \mathscr{F}_{\nu_{j}}$ $(1 \leqq j \leqq n+2)$ such that $A_{j} \subset H(-z)$ for odd $j$, and $A_{j} \subset H(z)$ for even $j$. In other words, the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+2}(-1)^{j} A_{j}$ is contained in the open hemisphere $H(z)$. This completes the proof.

As an application of Theorem 1, we have the following combinatorial result.

Theorem 2. Let $k, n, m$ be three positive integers. Let $E$ be a finite set with at least $2 k+n$ elements, and let $\mathscr{F}$ denote the family of those subsets of $E$ which have exactly $k$ elements. If $\mathscr{F}$ is partitioned into $m$ subfamilies $\mathscr{F}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathscr{F}_{i}$ such that for each $i$, no two subsets in the same subfamily $\mathscr{F}_{i}$ are disjoint, then $m \geqq$ $n+2$. Furthermore, there exist $n+2$ indices $1 \leqq \nu_{1}<\nu_{2}<\cdots<$ $\nu_{n+2} \leqq m$ and $n+2$ sets $A_{j} \in \mathscr{F}_{\nu_{j}}(1 \leqq j \leqq n+2)$ such that the union $\mathbf{U}_{j \text { odd }} A_{j}$ is disjoint from the union $\mathbf{U}_{j \text { even }} A_{j}$.

Proof. According to a theorem of Gale [5], there exist $2 k+n$ points on $S^{n}$ such that every open hemisphere contains at least $k$ of these points. As $E$ has at least $2 k+n$ elements, $E$ can be regarded as a subset of $S^{n}$ such that the family $\mathscr{F}$ (of all subsets of $E$ with $k$ elements) is evenly distributed. For each $i$ and for any two subsets $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ in the same subfamily $\mathscr{F}_{i}$, we have $A^{\prime} \cap$ $A^{\prime \prime} \neq \varnothing$ and therefore $A^{\prime} \cup\left(-A^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not contained in any open hemisphere. By Theorem $1, m$ is necessarily $\geqq n+2$. Furthermore, there exist $n+2$ indices $1 \leqq \nu_{1}<\nu_{2}<\cdots<\nu_{n+2} \leqq m$ and $n+2$ sets $A_{j} \in \mathscr{F}_{\nu j}(1 \leqq j \leqq n+2)$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+2}(-1)^{j} A_{j}$ is contained in an open hemisphere $H(z)$. Then $\bigcup_{j \text { odd }} A_{j}$ and $\bigcup_{j \text { even }} A_{j}$ are contained in $H(-z)$ and $H(z)$ respectively, and therefore are disjoint.

Obviously Theorem 2 can be interpreted as a result on coloring (with $m$ colors) of the ( $k-1$ )-dimensional faces of a simplex of dimension $\geqq 2 k+n-1$ such that no two ( $k-1$ )-dimensional faces of the same color are disjoint.

The partial conclusion $m \geqq n+2$ in Theorem 2 was conjectured by Kneser [6] in 1955, and proved recently by Lovász [7] and Bárány [1]. In proving $m \geqq n+2$, both these authors use the Lusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk theorem. Bárány's proof depends also on Gale's theorem.
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