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#### Abstract

In the second part of his eighteenth problem Hilbert formulated: "A fundamental region of each group of Euclidean motions together with all its congruent copies evidently gives rise to a covering of the space without gaps. The question arises as to the existence of such polyhedra which cannot be fundamental regions of any group of motions, but nevertheless furnish such a covering of the total space by congruent reiteration." Following ideas of Heesch this question of Hilbert's will be analysed in detail in this article, restricting to the case of two dimensions - the Euclidean plane $E^{2}$.


A. Introduction. After some necessary terminology has been introduced the above question is subdivided into two special questions: (1) Do there exist tiles $B_{l}$ forming homogeneous tilings but having only non-trivial stabilizers? (2) Do there exist tiles $B_{l}$, which tile the $E^{2}$ but cannot form a homogeneous tiling? Positive answers to both special questions are obtained for the case of coverings by non-compact tiles, in terms of construction rules for special types of regions in the form of strips. These complete the list of previously published examples. Subsequently, a new method is developed. By systematic subdivision of the above strips, new types (both non-compact and compact) will be constructed also yielding a positive answer to Hilbert's original question.

A positive answer of a special kind can be given immediately for the case of a fundamental region $B$ of some discrete group (S), if $B \neq \bar{B}$ (closure of $B$ ): For this case the polyhedron $\bar{B}$ can fill space under the "sharply transitive" action of $(5)$, and yet is not a fundamental region of $\mathfrak{G}$, since its boundaries contain equivalent points.
K. Reinhardt in 1928 was the first to answer the Hilbert question affirmatively by giving a 3-dimensional multiconnected polyhedron. H. Heesch gave a similar answer in 1935 for $E^{2}$ by constructing a special decagon (cf. [3]). Further results given by Heesch are cited at the end of $\S$ C, after the detailed analysis has been carried out. For a survey of the development in the Hilbert problem and its generalizations we refer to [2].

## B. Definitions.

Definition 1. A tiling $\Pi$ of $E^{2}$ is a covering of $E^{2}$, without overlap, by any set of compact or non-compact topological disks (called tiles). In
the special case that each tile of $\Pi$ is congruent to a fixed region $B$, called the prototile of $\Pi, \Pi$ is called monohedral.

In this paper the term "tiling" is used in the latter sense. The boundaries of the tiles are required to be piecewise differentiable. A peculiar case obtains if there is a tiling $\Pi^{h}$ in which the infinite configurations round each tile are congruent. In this case $\Pi^{h}$ is called homogeneous and an equivalent definition may be given:

Definition 2. A tiling $\Pi^{h}$ is called homogeneous if there exists at least one group ©f acting transitively on $\Pi^{h}$.

Two homogeneous tilings $\Pi_{1}^{h}$ and $\Pi_{2}^{h}$ are said to belong to the same topological class if there exists a homomorphism $\Phi: E^{2} \rightarrow E^{2}$ with $\Phi\left(\Pi_{1}^{h}\right)$ $=\Pi_{2}^{h}$.

From Definition 2 it follows that homogeneous tilings of the same topological class are topologically indistinguishable. For two homogeneous tilings with compact tiles the latter case obtains if they have the same cycle $z$ defining the number $k$ and order $n_{i}$ of vertices of a tile within the tiling $\Pi^{h}$ counterclockwise around its boundary. There is

Definition 3. A vertex of $\Pi$ is a boundary point of $n_{t}>2$ tiles of $\Pi$; $n_{i}$ is called the order of the vertex.

In the case of homogeneous tilings with compact tiles the only possible values for $n_{i}$ are $3,4,6,8$ and 12 , and for $k 3,4,5$ and 6. Furthermore there is the following theorem ${ }^{1}$ : If a group $\mathscr{S S}^{2}$ acts transitively on a homogeneous tiling with compact tiles, then $\mathfrak{S S}$ belongs to a type of the 17 plane two-dimensional crystallographic ones. It should be noted: If a group $\mathscr{A}$ belonging to a type of this list acts transitively on a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$, the prototile of $\Pi^{h}$ must not be compact (cf. Fig. 1a). Besides this for homogeneous tilings with non-compact tiles there are only the following possibilities (cf. [7]):
(i) $\mathscr{S}^{5}$ has a subgroup of translations isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ ( $\mathscr{S}^{(5)}$ is then called semi-discrete) and the tiles have the form of parallel strips or semi-planes:
(ii) ${ }^{5}$ belongs to one of the seven types of discrete groups with only one direction for translations; or
(iii) $\mathfrak{G}$ is a cyclic group of rotations $\mathfrak{C}_{n}(n=2,3,4, \ldots)$ or a dihedral group $\mathfrak{D}_{m}(m=1,2, \ldots)$ with a unique fixed-point.

[^0]It should be mentioned that most of the types of discrete groups involve free parameters (such as the ratio of the lengths of translation vectors, the angle between them, etc.) yielding one or several infinities of geometrical varieties of fundamental regions for each group of Euclidean motions (cf. [1]). We remark that the 17 discrete 2-dimensional types of groups are abstractly distinct, while the 7 discrete 1-dimensional types of groups only yield 4 distinct abstract ones.

In order to mark uniquely the transitive action of a group $\sqrt{5}$ on a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$, it is appropriate to incorporate the diagrams of the symmetry system $S_{\mathscr{G}}$ of $\mathfrak{G}$, defined by Niggli, into $\Pi^{h}$.

Definition 4. The symmetry system $S_{\mathbb{6}}$ of a discrete group ${ }^{(5)}$ of $E^{2}$ is the totality of its symmetry elements, where a symmetry element of $\mathscr{A}$ is the set of points in $E^{2}$ left fixed by an element of $\mathfrak{E S}$, that is:

1. the centre of a rotation,
2. the axis of a reflection,
3. the axis of a glide-reflection (for the appropriate types of motions occurring in $\mathscr{H}^{(H)}$ ).

We see that translational vectors do not belong to $S_{(s)}$. Rotations can be distinguished graphically by special symbols for their centres: lenses (digons), triangles, squares or hexagons mean that the corresponding rotations have periods $2,3,4$ or 6 . The axes of reflections are represented by lines, those of glide-reflections by dotted or broken lines.

If there are equal symmetry elements in $S_{(5)}$ which are inequivalent under the group $(\mathscr{S}$, they are distinguished graphically, e.g. two inequivalent rotations through $180^{\circ}$ by a hollow and a striped lens ( $0, \hat{\theta}$ ), two inequivalent axes of glide-reflection by different dottings of the lines.

Another possibility of specifying the transitive action of a group (5) upon a $\Pi^{h}$ uniquely is given by the cycle $Z$ of neighbor-transformations of some tile $B$ of $\Pi^{h}$.

Definition 5. The cycle $Z$ of neighbor-transformations of a tile $B \in \Pi^{h}$ is the totality of transformations by which $B$ is made congruent with its neighboring tiles (tiles possessing more than one boundary point with $B$ in common) going counterclockwise around its boundary.

In the case that $B$ has, say, stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}$ and neighbors $B_{l}(i=1, \ldots, m)$,

$$
Z=\left\{N_{1} \mathfrak{F}, N_{2} \mathfrak{F}, \ldots, N_{m} \mathfrak{F}\right\}^{2} \quad \text { with } N_{l}: B \rightarrow B_{l}
$$

[^1]If $Z$ is given for a homogeneous tiling with compact tiles, explicit mention of $\mathscr{F}$ is redundant, because for this case $Z$ is a complete system of generators for $\mathfrak{G}(c f$. [9]). In certain homogeneous tilings with non-compact tiles, however, $Z$ is only a set of generators for a proper subgroup of the group ${ }^{5} 5$ of the tiling (cf. [10]).

Henceforth we shall distinguish between the type of a group, denoted by $g_{i}$ (e.g. p1, p2, etc.) and a special (geometrical) realization of $g_{i}$, denoted by $\mathscr{S}_{1}$. For each homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ there exists (by definition) at least one group $\mathscr{S H}^{(5)}$ of Euclidean motions acting transitively upon it. (SS may belong to type $g$. If there is only one geometrical possibility for such a realization, the pair $\left(\Pi^{h}, g\right)$ is single-valued. If further there exists only a single type $g$ with respect to $\Pi^{h}$, specific reference to $\mathfrak{g}$ can be dropped and $\Pi^{h}$ itself can be interpreted as a special geometrical model (Gs for $\mathfrak{g}$ : $\mathfrak{g}$ is realized as $\mathbb{S G}^{\text {by }} \Pi^{h}$ (cf. Fig. lb). If, however, there are different geometrical possibilities for transitive actions of groups $\mathscr{G}_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ belonging to the same type $\mathfrak{g}$, the pair $\left(\Pi^{h}, \mathfrak{g}\right)$ is many-valued. E.g. there are 3 different cases for groups of type p 2 with respect to the homogeneous tiling $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$ given in Fig. $2(a, b, c)$, the unique determination of their actions being achieved by incorporating $S_{\mathscr{G}_{t}}(i=1,2,3)$ into $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$. Moreover there is still one possibility for a group of type pl upon $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$ (cf. Fig. 2d).

In order to classify homogeneous tilings, the concept of the corresponding symmetry group $\left(\mathbb{S}\right.$ of such a tiling $\Pi^{h}$ is introduced: If there are in general different types $g_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$, each of them moreover yielding different realizations $\mathbb{B}_{i j}(j=1,2, \ldots, n(i))$ for transitive action on $\Pi^{h}$, one group out of this list $\mathfrak{c}$ - this notation will still be used later - is distinguished, namely the largest group of Euclidean motions under which $\Pi^{h}$ is self-congruent. This group shall be called the corresponding symmetry of $\Pi^{h}$ and denoted $\sqrt{56}$. It is evident that $\mathbb{( 5 )}$ is uniquely determined by the geometrical properties of $\Pi^{h}$. In order to characterize (55 uniquely, it is necessary (1) to give its type (p1, p2, etc.), and (2) to mark its transitive action upon $\Pi^{h}$. (1) and (2) together are, e.g., given by incorporating the symmetry system $S_{\bar{g}}$ into $\Pi^{h}$. It can be shown easily (cf. [10]) that $\sqrt{55}$ contains all other possible groups of $\Pi^{h}$ as subgroups and acts upon $\Pi^{h}$ in such a way that the stabilizer of a tile of $\Pi^{h}$ is maximal compared with all other possible actions of groups on $\Pi^{h}$.

For example, for the tiling $\Pi_{\square}^{h}$ by squares with $z=(4,4,4,4)$, there is (6) of type p 4 m in a geometrical realization upon $\Pi_{\square}^{h}$ given in Fig. 3a with e.g. 4 -fold centres of rotation in the centres of the tiles, the tiles having stabilizer $\mathfrak{D}_{4}$. Besides this case there are two other possibilities for
geometrical realizations of a group of type p 4 m acting transitively on $\Pi_{\square}^{h}$, the tiles having stabilizers $\mathfrak{D}_{1}$ or $\mathfrak{D}_{2}$, respectively (cf. Fig. 3 b and c). It should be noted that there are altogether 36 different possibilities for transitive actions on $\Pi_{\square}^{h}$ (cf. [9]).

For the tiling $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$ of Fig. 2, there is $\mathbb{G}$ of type p2 geometrically realized on $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$ in such a way that $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ is the stabilizer of the tiles (cf. Fig. 2b).

In the special case that $\left(\Pi^{h}, \mathfrak{g}\right)$ is single-valued and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the only possible type for $\Pi^{h}$, the above list $(5$ contains only one element - the corresponding symmetry group $\sqrt{(5)}$ of $\Pi^{h}$ (for example see Fig. 1a or 1b).

To distinguish homogeneous tilings, the following definition is made.
Definition 6. Two homogeneous tilings $\Pi_{i}^{h}(i=1,2)$ belong to the same class if:
(1) they belong to the same topological class,
(2) their corresponding symmetry groups $\mathbb{F S}_{l}(i=1,2)$ belong to the same type g ;
(3) the homeomorphism $\Phi: E^{2} \rightarrow E^{2}$ with $\Phi\left(\Pi_{1}^{h}\right)=\Pi_{2}^{h}$ (existing by (1)) can be coupled with an automorphism $f: \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{2}$ in such a way that for each $h \in \mathbb{G}_{1}$ and each tile $B \in \Pi_{1}^{h}$,

$$
\Phi(h B)=f(h) \cdot \Phi(B)
$$

(3) means that the groups $\widetilde{G B}_{i}\left(i=1\right.$, resp. 2) act on the tilings $\Pi_{l}^{h}$ ( $i=1$, resp. 2) in the same geometrical manner.
C. Detailed analysis. For any homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ one can now consider the list $\mathfrak{C}$ of groups $\left\{\mathscr{G}_{t, J(t)}\right\}$ defined above in $\S B$, and with respect to each $\mathfrak{F}_{1,} \in \mathbb{C}$ the corresponding stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}_{1,}$ of a tile $B$ of $\Pi^{h}$. For $B$ there is the alternative of having
$(\alpha)$ a trivial stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}_{l j}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{F}_{t j}=\mathfrak{F}$ (unit group) or
$(\beta)$ a non-trivial stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}_{l,}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{F}_{l j} \neq \mathfrak{F}$.
Only in case $(\alpha)$ does $\mathbb{E}_{\imath}$, operate sharply transitively on $\Pi^{h}$ (there is only one transformation $\left.t \in \mathscr{G}_{\imath j}, t: B \rightarrow B_{i}\left(B, B_{i} \in \Pi^{h}\right)\right)$. Then $B$ can be chosen as a fundamental region of $\mathfrak{F}_{1,}$. In case $(\beta) B$ cannot be a fundamental region of $\mathscr{B}_{1 j}$, but can be chosen as a union of $f>1$ fundamental regions of $\mathfrak{S}_{\imath \jmath}$,f being the cardinality of $\mathfrak{F}_{i j}$.

The Hilbert question cited in the introduction now leads to the following two subquestions:
(1) Do there exist tiles forming at least one homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ but having for each possible $\Pi^{h}$ and $\mathbb{S}$ of $\Pi^{h}$ only non-trivial stabilizers?
(2) Do there exist tiles which tile the plane but cannot form any homogeneous tiling?

The subquestion (1) can be discussed by restricting to the set of tiles forming at least one homogeneous tiling.

We consider a tile $B$ with a non-trivial stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}$ within a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ with group (5S. © is decomposed into cosets with respect to $\mathfrak{F}$ (say left cosets):
$(+) \quad \mathfrak{G}=h_{1} \mathfrak{F}+h_{2} \mathfrak{F}+\cdots \quad\left(h_{1}=e\right.$, the identity element $)$.
The order and index of $\mathfrak{F}$ may be finite or infinite. All elements of the same coset $h_{l} \mathfrak{F}$ carry $B$ onto the same tile $B_{l}$. These comprise exactly $f$ different transformations, where $f$ is the cardinality of $\mathfrak{F}$. If a representative is chosen out of each coset, we get a system of elements, say $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, called a system of (left) representatives. By elements of this set $B$ may be carried onto each other tile of $\Pi^{h}$ (and that by exactly one element), because $\mathfrak{G}$ acts transitively on $\Pi^{h}$.

A special situation obtains if the system of representatives $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ can be chosen so that they form a group $\mathfrak{F}$. Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 1. If the system $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of coset representatives is a group, $\mathfrak{F s}$ acts sharply transitive upon $\Pi^{h}$.

Proof. The transitive action of $\mathfrak{S}$ follows from the transitive action of $\mathfrak{J}$, as has been shown above. It has to be shown that every tile of $\Pi^{h}$ has a trivial stabilizer with respect to $\mathfrak{S}$ : If $\mathfrak{M}$ is any group acting transitively on any set $X$, the stabilizers of the elements of $X$ are always conjugate in $\mathfrak{M}$. Therefore since, by construction, the tile $B$ has a trivial stabilizer with respect to $\mathfrak{S}$, so does every tile in $\Pi^{h}$.

In the case that $\mathfrak{S E}$ is a subgroup of $(\mathscr{S}$, the subgroups $\mathscr{S} \subset(5)$ and $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{S}$ are said to be complementary (or complements of each other) in $(\mathbb{S}$.

Definition 7. Two subgroups $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ of a group $\mathfrak{G}$ are said to be complementary in $\mathscr{S G}^{5}$ if the elements of $\mathfrak{F}$ can be brought into matrix scheme such that the rows are left (or right) cosets of $\mathfrak{U}$ in $(5)$ and the columns are right (or left) cosets of $\mathfrak{B}$ in $(\sqrt[5]{ }$.

For example, the dihedreal group $\mathfrak{D}_{6}$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{6}=\mathfrak{C}_{6}+D_{1} \mathfrak{C}_{6}=\mathfrak{D}_{1}+\mathfrak{D}_{1} C_{6}+\mathfrak{D}_{1} C_{6}^{2}+\cdots+\mathfrak{D}_{1} c_{6}^{5}
$$

$\left(C_{6}\right.$ : rotation through $2 \pi / 6$ about $O ; D_{1}:$ reflection in a line through $O$; $\left.\mathfrak{C}_{6}:=\left\langle C_{6}\right\rangle ; \mathfrak{D}_{1}:=\left\langle D_{1}\right\rangle\right)$. The groups $\mathfrak{C}_{6}=\left\{E, C_{6}, C_{6}^{2}, \ldots, C_{6}^{5}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{1}$ $=\left\{E, D_{1}\right\}$ therefore are complementary, and the matrix scheme is

| $E$ | $C_{6}$ | $C_{6}^{2}$ | $C_{6}^{3}$ | $C_{6}^{4}$ | $C_{6}^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | $D_{1} C_{6}$ | $D_{1} C_{6}^{2}$ | $D_{1} C_{6}^{3}$ | $D_{1} C_{6}^{4}$ | $D_{1} C_{6}^{5}$ |

The columns are right cosets of $\mathfrak{D}_{1}$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{6}$ and the rows left cosets of $\mathfrak{C}_{6}$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{6}$. We can conclude from this: given a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ associated with $\mathfrak{D}_{6}$, the tiles being a union of fundamental regions of $\mathfrak{D}_{6}$ with stabilizer $\mathfrak{D}_{1}, \Pi^{h}$ is also associated with $\mathfrak{C}_{6}$, the tiles being fundamental regions of $\mathbb{C}_{6}$.

There is another definition equivalent to Definition 7:

Definition 8. Two subgroups $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ of a group $(5 S$ are said to be complementary in $\mathfrak{F s}$ whenever $\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{U} \cdot \mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{B}$ denotes the meet of $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{U} \cdot \mathfrak{B}$ their product (the set of all elements of the form $P Q$ with $P \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $Q \in \mathfrak{B})$ ).

The special Hilbert question (1) can now be answered affirmatively if the following geometrical situation can be realized:

Let $\Pi^{h}$ be a homogeneous tiling with prototile $B$, on which the group $(5)$ acts transitively, $B$ having non-trivial stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}$. There is no system of coset representatives of $\mathfrak{F}$ forming a group, and this still holds for other possible groups acting upon $\Pi^{h}$ and other possible homogeneous tilings with $B$.

Concerning the Hilbert question the following answers are known. Let the following 4 classes of tiles of $E^{2}$ be defined:
$K_{\text {IA }}$ : compact tiles forming at least one homogeneous
$K_{\text {IB }}$ : non-compact tiles $\int$ tiling but having only non-trivial stabilizers.
$K_{\text {IIA }}$ : compact tiles $\}$ forming exclusively non-homogeneous
$K_{\text {IIB }}$ : non-compact tiles $\}$ tilings of $E^{2}$.
Heesch (cf. [4]) showed $K_{\text {IA }}$ to be empty. He constructed the complete list of compact tiles forming at least one homogeneous tiling by developing two different methods, a topological and a grouptheoretical one (cf. [4]). A detailed analysis of the homogeneous tilings with prototiles of this list led to the result cited above. The peculiarity that stabilizers of compact tiles within homogeneous tilings always have a complement in $\mathscr{S b}^{6}$ is caused by the special structure of the 17 crystallographic types of groups. Thus if a tiling with compact tiles has a transitive group, it also has a sharply transitive group.

Heesch, however, showed $K_{\text {IA }}$ to be non-empty for the sphere $S^{2}$ by giving a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{*}$ of the $S^{2}$ consisting of 20 regular spherical triangles. The non-trivial stabilizer $\mathfrak{C}_{3}$ of these tiles does not have a complement in the icosahedral group, the group of $\Pi^{*}$, and the tiles do not form any other tiling of the $S^{2}$.

Besides this Heesch constructed tiles of the class $K_{\text {IIA }}$, finding the special heptagon (cf. [4]) and the decagon, cited above, by trial and error. Moreover he was probably the first to publish tiles belonging to $K_{\text {IB }}$ and $K_{\text {IIB }}$ (cf. [4]).]
D. Results. Next, new types of tiles belonging to the classes $K_{\mathrm{IB}}$, $K_{\text {IIA }}, K_{\text {IIB }}$ and completing those published in the literature will be constructed according to a certain scheme. A remarkable consequence is the existence of tiles of class $K_{\text {IIB }}$ having a symmetry group of infinite or finite order.

We shall distinguish between the stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}$ and the symmetry group $\subseteq$ of a tile $B$ :

The stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}$ of $B$ within a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ is defined relative to the respective group $\mathscr{S}^{(G)}$ of $\Pi^{h}: \mathfrak{F}$ is the largest subgroup of $(\mathbb{S})$ under the elements of which $B$ is self-congruent. The symmetry group $\mathfrak{S}$ of $B$ is the largest group of Euclidean motions under which $B$ as a geometrical figure is self-congruent. Evidently we have $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \subseteq$.

The tiles of $K_{\text {IB }}$ in this article were obtained by the author by constructing the complete list of non-compact tiles belonging to at least one homogeneous tiling (cf. [10]). The examples of $K_{\text {IIB }}$ are obtained from these by destroying the respective group $(\mathscr{S}$ of the tiling by incompatible geometrical realization of its subgroups, at the first stage the tiles still having a non-trivial symmetry group, and at the second stage the tiles being asymmetrical.

As a first example we consider a tiling $\Pi$ whose tiles are in the shape of strips having a boundary consisting of two separate, incongruent curves $k$ and $k^{+}$(see Fig. 4). Both $k$ and $k^{+}$have a 1 -dimensional group of glide-reflections as symmetry group, i.e. the largest group of Euclidean motions under which the curve is self-congruent. These groups of $k$ and $k^{+}$are geometrically realized in the form $\mathfrak{S}=\langle T\rangle+G\langle T\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{2}^{+}=$ $\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle+G^{+}\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$, respectively $\left(T, T^{+}\right.$: translations; $G, G^{+}$: glide-reflections along the axes $g_{-1}, g_{1}$ with $G^{2}=T, G^{+2}=T^{+}$, respectively).

The boundary curves $k$ and $k^{+}$are called glide-reflection carriers. A segment of $k$ generating $k$ by repeated application of $\mathfrak{5}$ is called a glide-reflection segment.

The neighbor-transformations of a tile $B_{0} \in \Pi$ onto its two neighbors $B_{-1}$ and $B$ must be glide reflections along two parallel lines because of the special geometrical form of $k$ and $k^{+}$. For each association of $\Pi$ with a group ©s leading to a homogeneous tiling, © has to be a group possessing two inequivalent series of parallel axes of glide-reflection in its symmetry system, i.e. a 2-dimensional group. Whether such association is possible or not depends on the geometrical realization of $k$ relative to $k^{+}$. The symmetries of $k$ and $k^{+}$have to fit together in a special way described thereafter if a group is to act transitively on a tiling $\Pi$ with the prototile $B$. For the geometrical realization of $k$ relative to $k^{+}$there are three different possibilities leading to fundamentally different situations in the tilings built up by $B$.

Concerning the length of the translations $T, T^{+}$within the glide-reflection group $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}^{+}$, geometrically realized in $k, k^{+}$, respectively, the following cases arise:
1.1 The proportion $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|$is rational and the following special property holds: $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=m: n$ with $m, n$ being odd coprime integers.
1.2 The proportion $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|$is rational, but the quotient in lowest terms does not have the property of 1.1, i.e. one of $m, n$ is even.
1.3 The proportion of $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|$is irrational.

Theorem 2a. Case 1.1 leads to homogeneous tilings with non-compact tiles if their neighbor-transformations are suitably chosen, the tiles having a non-trivial stabilizer, namely a 1-dimensional translation group.

Proof. Because $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=m: n$ with $m$ and $n$ being odd coprime integers, a 1-dimensional group $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$ of translations with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{T}| \equiv n|T|=m\left|T^{+}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be chosen, the elements of which put a tile $B_{0} \in \Pi$ into congruence with itself, this being true for $k$ and $k^{+}$. (This is also true for any group $\tilde{\tilde{F}}=\langle\lambda T\rangle(\lambda>1, \lambda \in \mathbf{N})$ ). $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ is a subgroup of the symmetry group of $k$ as well as of $k^{+}$. Furthermore groups of glide-reflection $\tilde{\mathscr{S}}_{-1} \supset \tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{+1} \supset \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ with the same glide-modulus ${ }^{3}$, namely $|\tilde{T}| / 2$, can be chosen for $k$ and $k^{+}$:

[^2]For $k: \tilde{\mathscr{G}}_{-1}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle+\tilde{G}_{-1}\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$,
$\tilde{G}_{-1}$ is a glide-reflection along the axis $g_{-1}$ with glide-modulus
$\left|\tilde{G}_{-1}\right|=|\tilde{T}| / 2 ;$
For $k^{+}: \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{E}}}_{+1}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle+\tilde{G}_{+1}\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$,
$\tilde{G}_{+1}$ is a glide-reflection along the axis $g_{+1}$ with glide-modulus
$\left|\tilde{G}_{+1}\right|=|\tilde{T}| / 2$.
The groups $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{+1}$ exist because there is an odd number of glide-reflection segments with regard to $\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{S}^{+}$, respectively between two points of $k, k^{+}$, respectively with distance $|\tilde{T}| / 2$, viz. according to (1),

$$
\left|\tilde{G}_{-1}\right|=\left|\tilde{G}_{+1}\right|=\frac{|\tilde{T}|}{2}=n \frac{|T|}{2}=n|G|=m \frac{\left|T^{+}\right|}{2}=m\left|G^{+}\right|
$$

( $m, n$ odd coprime integers).
The glide-reflections $\tilde{G}_{-1} \in \tilde{\mathscr{E}}_{-1}, \tilde{G}_{+1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_{+1}$ are now chosen to be neighbor-transformations for a tile $B_{0}$ of a tiling $\Pi_{1}^{h}$ constructed according to 1.1. $\tilde{G}_{+1}$ and $\tilde{G}_{-1}$ are generators of a group: Because of the validity of $\tilde{G}_{+1}^{2}=\tilde{G}_{-1}^{2}(=\tilde{T})$, the defining relation of a 2 -dimensional group $\mathbb{B S}^{(5)}$ of type pg is fulfilled (see [1], p. 43). If the neighbor-transformations $N_{t}$ $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ of a tile $B_{0}$ are generators of a discrete group $\mathscr{G}$ of $E^{2}, B_{0}$ can be chosen as a fundamental region of $\mathbb{C}$ or a union of these (see [1], 4.5). By equivalent reiteration of $B_{0}$ with respect to these elements $N_{i}$ and their products, a homogeneous tiling belonging to $\left(\mathscr{b}\right.$ arises. With $N_{1} \equiv \tilde{G}_{+1}$ and $N_{2} \equiv \tilde{G}_{-1}$ in 1.1, a homogeneous tiling $\Pi_{1}^{h}$ with a group of type pg is obtained, the prototile $B_{0}$ of which has a nontrivial stabilizer of infinite order, namely $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$, and therefore is non-compact (see Fig. 4).

Because in $1.1 B_{0}$ has the stabilizer $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$, a group of infinite order, there exists an infinity of neighbor-transformations from $B_{0}$ to each of its neighbors $B_{-1}, B_{+1}$, respectively, namely the glide-reflections $\tilde{G}_{ \pm 1}^{\mu}=$ $\tilde{G}_{ \pm 1} \tilde{T}^{(\mu-1) / 2}(\mu=1,3,5,7, \ldots)-\mu=1$ is the former case $\tilde{G}_{ \pm 1}^{1}=\tilde{G}_{ \pm 1}$. If pairs of neighbor-transformations of $B_{0}$ other than $\tilde{G}_{-1}$ and $\tilde{G}_{+1}, \tilde{G}_{-1}^{\mu}$ and $\tilde{G}_{+1}^{\mu}$, respectively $(\mu=3,5, \ldots)$, were chosen by constructing a tiling $\Pi$, e.g. $G$ and $G^{+}$for the case $m: n \neq 1, \Pi$ would not be homogeneous due to the failure of the defining relation for a group of type pg. Besides a homogeneous tiling in 1.1, one may also construct an infinity of nonhomogeneous tilings having prototile $B_{0}$. An example $\Pi_{1}$ is given in Figure 5.

Theorem 2b. The tile $B_{0} \in \Pi_{1}$ belongs to the class $K_{\mathrm{IB}}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2a $B_{0}$ can be the tile of a homogeneous tiling $\Pi_{1}^{h}$ associated with a group of type pg and having non-trivial stabilizer $\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$. There is no other possibility for homogeneous tilings with the prototile $B_{0}$ : Neighbor-transformations of $B_{0}$ can only be glide-reflections because of the boundary of $B_{0}$, consisting of $k$ and $k^{+}$. Each group (G) associable with a homogeneous tiling with prototile $B_{0}$ therefore must have a series of parallel axes of glide-reflections in its symmetry system $S_{\mathrm{g}}$, with the specification of neighboring axes of $S_{\mathrm{g}}$ being inequivalent with respect to $\sqrt{5}$ because of the incongruence of $k$ and $k^{+}$. (SS must be a 2-dimensional group, because there is no 1-dimensional one with such $S_{\mathrm{g}}$. By analysing the complete list of the symmetry systems of the 17 types of groups, only two types with this property are found, namely pg and pmg. (The types $\mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{pgg}$, etc. only have parallel axes for glide-reflections all being equivalent.) The type pmg must be rejected because of the diads ${ }^{4}$ lying upon its axes of glide-reflection and demanding rotational symmetries, which $k$ and $k^{+}$do not have. There only remains the type pg. For the transitive action of a group $\mathfrak{C S}^{5}$ of type pg on a tiling with prototile $B_{0}$ there is only the possibility of the tiling $\Pi_{1}^{h}$ above: The tiles have stabilizers $\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$. Therefore the glide-reflections of $\mathbb{E S S}^{(8)}$ belong to the cosets of $\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$. But since the product of one of these glides with itself is a nontrivial element of $\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$, no system of coset representatives can form a group.

Theorem 3. Case 1.2 always leads to inhomogeneous tilings with tiles having a 1-dimensional group of translations as their symmetry group.

Proof. In case 1.2 a 1 -dimensional group $\subseteq \subseteq=\tilde{T}\rangle$ of translations with $|\tilde{T}|=n|T|=m\left|T^{+}\right|$may be found similarly to 1.1 , under the elements of which $k$ and $k^{+}$(and also $B_{0}$ ) are self-congruent. It is evident that $\subseteq$ is the symmetry group of $B_{0}$. However it is impossible to find a group $\mathscr{G}$ of glide-reflections for $k$ and $k^{+}$with $\mathscr{G} \supset \mathbb{S}$. This is due to condition 1.2 preventing an odd number of glide-reflection segments lying between two points of $k$ as well as of $k^{+}$with distance $|\tilde{T}| / 2$. Because of this, association of a tiling according to 1.2 with a group of the unique possible type pg cannot be realized: the defining relation $\tilde{G}_{-1}^{2}=\tilde{G}_{+1}^{2}$ for type pg is not valid because of the special choice of the boundary curves of a single tile. There is also no possibility of $B_{0}$ being a fundamental region of a discrete group, which can be shown in analogy to Theorem 2b (cf. Fig. 6).

[^3]Because of the symmetry group $\mathbb{S}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$ of $B_{0}$, there is an infinity of transformations from $B_{0}$ onto its neighbors. By congruent repetition of $B_{0}$ an infinity of different necessarily inhomogeneous tilings can be built up. The neighbor-transformations will be glide-reflections with glide-modulus an arbitrary odd multiple of the glide-modulus of the corresponding bounding glide-reflection carrier (cf. Fig. 7).

Theorem 4. Case 1.3 always leads to inhomogeneous tilings with asymmetrical tiles.

Proof. The prototile $B_{0}$ is asymmetrical, since condition 1.3 leads to an incommensurability of the lengths of the translations of the symmetry group of $k$ relative to those of $k^{+}$. Because of this there is exactly one transformation carrying $B_{0}$ onto each of its neighbors, e.g. $B_{1}$. This is a glide-reflection $\hat{G}$. The complete tiling cannot be self-congruent under $\hat{G}$, however, since $\hat{G}$ does not even take $B_{1}$ onto $B_{0}$. A tiling with $B_{0}$ therefore must always be inhomogeneous. (As in $1.2 B_{0}$ leads to an infinity of different inhomogeneous tilings.) (Cf. Fig. 8.)

We now consider a second tiling $\bar{\Pi}$ whose prototile $\bar{B}_{0}$ is a strip as in the first example. It differs from this in the substitution of one boundary curve, e.g. $k^{+}$, by a curve $r$ having the 1 -dimensional rotational group $g$ (containing translations and two inequivalent series of rotation centres through $180^{\circ}$ ) as symmetry group:

$$
\mathcal{g}=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle+C_{2}\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle
$$

$\left(C_{2}\right.$ : rotation through $180^{\circ}$ about a centre $\left.P_{d}\right), r$ being known as a rotation carrier. (The symmetry system $S_{g}$ is connected with $r$ in Fig. 9.) The symmetry group of the second boundary curve $k$ is as in the former case $\mathfrak{F}=\langle T\rangle+G\langle T\rangle$.

As in the first example certain conditions on the geometrical realization of $k$ relative to $r$ have to be fulfilled in order to make a tiling $\bar{\Pi}$, built up with congruent replicas of $\bar{B}_{0}$, be transitive with respect to some group $(3)$. The symmetry system of a potential tiling group must have a series of parallel axes of glide-reflections, and parallel to these a series of rows containing centres for rotations through $180^{\circ}$, called diads. This can only be a 2 -dimensional group of type pgg, because this is the only type of discrete group possessing subgroups exactly with these symmetry systems in parallel arrangement.

For the length of the translations $T, T^{+}$of the translational subgroups, geometrically realized by $k$ (glide-reflection carrier) and $r$ (rotation carrier) respectively, the following cases must be distinguished, leading to different types of tiles:
$2.1|T| /\left|T^{+}\right|=m / n$ for coprime integers $m, n, n$ being odd.
$2.2|T| /\left|T^{+}\right|=m / n$ for coprime integers $m, n, n$ being even.
2.3 The proportion $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|$is irrational.

Only in cases 2.1 and 2.2 does $\bar{B}_{0}$ have the symmetry group

$$
\mathfrak{S}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle=\langle n T\rangle=\left\langle m T^{+}\right\rangle
$$

In order to generate a group $\sqrt{5 s}$ acting transitively upon a $\bar{\Pi}^{h}$ with prototile $\bar{B}_{0}$, the 1-dimensional symmetry group $\subseteq$ of $\bar{B}_{0}$ or a subgroup of © must be the stabilizer of $\bar{B}_{0}$ and be completed to a 2-dimensional group by adding suitable neighbor-transformations $N_{i}$ of $\bar{B}_{0}$, or $\bar{B}_{0}$ must be chosen as the fundamental region of a 1 -dimensional $\mathscr{A}$. There are two neighbors of $\bar{B}_{0}, \bar{B}_{-1}$ and $\bar{B}_{+1}$. As $N_{1}$ there is a half-turn about any diad of $r$ :

$$
N_{1} \equiv C_{2}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{+1}
$$

Because of $k N_{2}$ has to be a glide-reflection $\tilde{G}$ along the axis $g_{0}$ :

$$
N_{2} \equiv \tilde{G}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{-1}
$$

Case 2.1. Because in $2.1 m\left|T^{+}\right|=n|T|$ with $m, n$ coprime integers, $n$ being odd, there is a glide-reflection $\tilde{G}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{-1}$ along $g_{0}$ with glide-modulus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{G}|=m \frac{\left|T^{+}\right|}{2}=n \frac{|T|}{2} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{G}^{2} \in \subseteq, \subseteq$ therefore being the stabilizer of $\bar{B}_{0}$. As in 1.1 this case leads to a homogeneous tiling $\Pi_{4}^{h}$ (cf. Fig. 9) with a group of type pgg in 2.1, the prototile $\bar{B}_{0} \in \Pi_{4}^{h}$ being a union of infinite fundamental regions with a 1 -dimensional group of translations as stabilizer. Since no other homogeneous tilings can be built with $\bar{B}_{0}$ (the proof is similar to 1.1 ), it follows as in case 1.1 that $\bar{B}_{0} \in K_{\mathrm{IB}}$.

Case 2.2. In case 2.2: $m\left|T^{+}\right|=n|T|$ with $m, n$ coprime integers, $n$ being even, there is no glide-reflection $\tilde{G}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{-1}$ with glide-modulus $|\tilde{G}|$ according to $(*)$ of 2.1 leading to $\tilde{G}^{2} \in \subseteq$. The possible glide-reflections $G_{i}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{-1}$ along $g_{0}$ do not fit together with the symmetry group $\subseteq$ of
$\bar{B}_{0}$ : Concerning the glide-modulus of $G_{i}$ there is $\left|G_{i}\right|=i|T| / 2(i=$ $1,3,5, \ldots$ ) leading to $G_{i}^{2}=i T$ ( $i$ odd) and, because of this, $G_{i}^{2} \notin \subseteq=$ $\langle n T\rangle$ ( $n$ even). The only remaining possibility of $\bar{B}_{0}$ being a fundamental region of a 1 -dimensional group must also be rejected, the proof being similar to that of Theorem 2 b .

In another interpretation, as a consequence of condition 2.2 there is always an even number of glide-reflection segments of $k$ between two points of the glide-reflection carrier $k$ with distance $m \cdot|\tilde{T}| / 2$ ( $m$ odd or even) and, because of this, no tiling constructed by $\bar{B}_{0}$ could be transitive with respect to a group of the only possible type in question, pgg.
2.2 always yields inhomogeneous tilings, the tiles having translational symmetry as in 2.1, i.e. its group is $\mathbb{S}=\langle n T\rangle=\left\langle m T^{+}\right\rangle$(cf. Fig. 10). That $\Pi_{5}$ is inhomogeneous can be seen directly too: if all axes of glide-reflection and diads (these are the only possible symmetry elements for a correspondence of $\Pi_{5}$ with a group) are incorporated into $\Pi_{5}$, no symmetry system of a group arises: the totality of the rotational centres of groups of type pgg forms a rectangular lattice, those incorporated into $\Pi_{5}$, however, form a rhombohedral lattice.

Case 2.3. 2.3 always leads to inhomogeneous tilings as in case 1.3, the tile having no non-trivial symmetries, because the lengths of the translations of $\mathscr{G}$ and $\mathscr{I}$ are incommensurable.

Since in 1.2 and 2.2 inhomogeneous tilings had been obtained with tiles $B_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}$, respectively having a symmetry group - namely $\mathbb{S}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle-$ other inhomogeneous tilings can be constructed by the method of subdividing these tiles.

Subdivision for a tile $B$ with symmetry group $\mathbb{\subseteq}$ means that $B$ has to be divided into congruent regions equivalent with respect to the elements of $\mathbb{S}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{*} \subset \mathbb{S}$. This is obtained by introducing a new boundary curve $l$ in the region of $B$ in a certain way, and its equivalent repetition with respect to $\mathfrak{S}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{*}$.

For subdivision of a tile $B_{0}$ (case 1.2) into non-compact regions there are the following possibilities leading to classes of tilings with obviously distinct rules of construction:
( $\alpha$ ) The initial point $A$ of $l$ lies upon $k$ (or $k^{+}$) and $l$ has an "asymptotic" course relative to $k^{+}$(or $k$ ) without having a pair of points equivalent under $\mathfrak{S}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$ (see Fig. 11). $l$ having an asymptotic course relative to $k$ means that the Euclidean distance $D(l, k):=\inf d(x, y)$ ( $x \in l, y \in k, d$ : Euclidean distance) is zero and $\inf d(x, y)$ is not attained by any pair of points $x, y$ of the finite plane.
$(\beta, \gamma) l$ is without an initial point and has an asymptotic course relative to $k$ as well as to $k^{+}$without having a pair of equivalent points. The asymptotic course of $l$ relative to $k$ is "parallel" (case $\beta$ ) or "antiparallel" (case $\gamma$ ) to that relative to $k^{+}$(Figs. 12, 13).

The distinct cases $(\alpha),(\beta),(\gamma)$ of 1.2 also arise for a subdivision of a tile $\bar{B}_{0}$ (case 2.2) (Figs. 14-18). New cases must be added, however since the boundary curves of $\bar{B}_{0}$ possess geometrically different symmetry groups. Case ( $\alpha$ ) splits into three different subcases:
( $\alpha$ ) $A$ is a point of the glide-reflection carrier $k$ (Fig. 14).
( $\alpha 2$ ) $A$ is a centre of rotation of the rotation carrier $r$ (Fig. 15).
( $\alpha 3$ ) $A$ is a point of $r$ without being a centre of rotation (Fig. 16).
A topological peculiarity of the last constructed inhomogeneous tilings with non-compact tiles is worthy of mention. For this purpose consider the tilings $\Pi_{i}(i=6$ to 13$)$. Each is constructed by subdivision of a tiling $\Pi^{+}$with tiles having a 1 -dimensional symmetry group $\mathbb{S}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$. This was achieved by equivalent reiteration of a curve $l$ having asymptotic course relative to a boundary curve $k$ (glide-reflection carrier or rotation carrier) of $\Pi^{+}$. By this process the points of $k$ (and all congruent reiterations of $k$ ) became accumulation points of boundary points of the first kind.
(Definition 9. A point $P^{+} \in \Pi$ is called an accumulation point of boundary points of the first kind if every neighborhood $U$ of $P^{+}$, chosen sufficiently small, has the following property: $U$ is the union of two semi-neighborhoods $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, each having a countable infinity of separate segments of boundary curves of $\Pi$.) (Cf. Figs. 11 and 11a.)

By subdivision of the tile $B_{0}$ or $\bar{B}_{0}$ new asymmetrical compact tiles can also be constructed, e.g. there are the following two cases for $\bar{B}_{0}$ :
( $\delta$ ) $l$ is bounded without having a pair of $\tilde{T}$-equivalent points. The initial point $A$ of $l$ lies on the glide-reflection carrier $k$ and the final point $E$ coincides with a point $P$ of the rotation carrier $r$,
( $\delta 1$ ) being a centre of rotation (Fig. 19), or
( $\delta 2$ ) not being a centre of rotation (Fig. 20).
By modification of the inhomogeneous tilings constructed by $\bar{B}_{0}$ (case 2.2), further inhomogeneous ones can be obtained: Between two neighboring tiles having a rotation carrier $r$ in common, this carrier is deleted. In this way a strip $\bar{B}$ has arisen, having two congruent glide-reflection carriers (turned by $180^{\circ}$ ) as its boundary, and possessing the 1 -dimensional rotational group $\mathcal{G}=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle+C_{2}\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$as its symmetry group. But
this group is geometrically realized in such a manner that combination with the glide-reflection group of the boundary curves to generate the 2-dimensional tiling group of type pgg is impossible, because $\bar{B}_{0}$ had been a tile of an inhomogeneous tiling. (A homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ with the prototile $\bar{B}$ can only be built up by finding a group ( $\mathfrak{S}_{5}$ acting transitively upon $\Pi^{h}$ without utilizing the symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ of $\bar{B}$. $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ must not contain the symmetry elements of $\mathscr{q}$. Such a group ( $B$ for a homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ with tile $\bar{B}$ is of type pg because of the boundary of $\bar{B}$.) A $\bar{B}$ having the symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ can be subdivided in several ways.

Case (a). Construction of non-compact tiles.
The symmetry system $S_{g}$ of $g$ is incorporated into $\bar{B}$ and $l$ is chosen as follows: The initial point $A$ of $l$ coincides with a centre $P_{d} \in S_{g}$ of rotation and $l$ has asymptotic course relative to a boundary curve $k$ of $\bar{B}$ without having a pair of points equivalent with respect to $\mathcal{f}$. By turning $l$ through $180^{\circ}$ about $P_{d}$, a line $T$ arises having $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ as symmetry group. By equivalent reiteration of $\bar{l}$ with respect to the elements of $\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle, \bar{B}$ is subdivided into tiles $R_{l}$ having the symmetry group $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ and being equivalent to each other with respect to $\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$(Fig. 21).

By using a new unbounded line $\tilde{l}$ through the centre of symmetry $Q_{d}$ (diad) of $R_{t}$, a new asymmetrical tile $R^{+}$can be constructed. Half of $\tilde{l}$, being disjoint to the two congruent boundary curves of $R_{l}$, can be chosen arbitrarily and is equivalently reiterated beyond $Q_{d}$ (Fig. 22).

Case (b). Construction of compact tiles.
$l$ is chosen to be of finite length only, having points inequivalent under $\mathscr{G}$. The initial point $A$ coincides with a diad $P_{d} \in S_{q}$ and the final point $E$ lies upon a boundary curve of $\bar{B}$. By equivalent reiteration of $l$ with respect to $\mathcal{G}, \bar{B}$ is subdivided into compact tiles $U_{t}$ equivalent with respect to $\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$and having the symmetry group $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ (Fig. 23).

Further subdivisions of $U_{i}$ into asymmetrical tiles are possible. A new curve $l^{+}$with inequivalent points is chosen in $U_{l}$, the initial point $A^{+}$of which coincides with the diad $Q_{d} \in S_{⿷_{2}}$. There are four different cases for the position of the final point $E^{+}$of $l^{+}$:
b2.1) $E^{+}$coincides with a point $P_{1}$ of a glide-reflection carrier $k$ (boundary curve of $\bar{B}$ ) with $P_{1} \notin l$ (Fig. 24);
b2.2) $E^{+}$coincides with a point $P_{2}$ of a glide-reflection carrier $k$ with $P_{2} \in l$ (Fig. 25);
b2.3) $E^{+}$coincides with a point $P_{3} \in l$, which is not a digonal centre of rotation of $S_{q}$ and $P_{3} \notin k$ (Fig. 26).
b2.4) $E^{+}$coincides with a point $P_{4} \in l$, which is a digonal centre $P_{d} \in S_{q}$ (Fig. 27).

By equivalent reiteration of $l^{+}$with respect to $\mathfrak{C}_{2}, U_{1}$ is subdivided into two congruent tiles $V_{1}$. Distinguishing the four cases $\mathrm{b} 2.1-\mathrm{b} 2.4$ is reasonable, since they lead to classes of tiles with obviously distinct constructional features and the tilings differ in number resp. order of their vertices. But only b2.1-b2.3 are new cases. The tiling $\Pi^{+}$obtained according to b2.4 is the former case $\Pi_{14}$ of Figure 19, as can be seen directly.

There remains the proof of
Theorem 5. The tiles of the tilings $\Pi_{t}(i=6$ to 21) (Fig. 11 to 26) can only form inhomogeneous tilings of $E^{2}$.

Proof. The tiles of $\Pi_{l}(i=6$ to 16,18$)$ possess exactly one pair of congruent curves (of finite or infinite length) in translational positions on their boundaries. For the case of infinite length these curves run within a strip because of the way the curves are constructed. In the tilings $\Pi_{\text {t }}$ ( $i=17,19,20,21$ ) this situation obtains for regions built up by pairs of tiles. In each tiling built up with tiles of the respective tilings there must exist strips having the 1 -dimensional translational group $\mathbb{S}=\langle T\rangle$ as their symmetry group or subgroup (for $\Pi_{i}(i=16$ to 20$)$ ) of symmetry. These strips are exactly those from which the tiles under consideration had been obtained by subdivision. In order to obtain a homogeneous tiling, one must construct a 2 -dimensional group $(5)$ having $\mathbb{S}$ as a subgroup and operating transitively on the totality of strips. This is impossible, however, because of the particular geometrical realization of the boundary curves of the strips.

Theorem 5 also follows from the fact that the tiles under consideration do not belong to any class of the complete list of classes of compact ([4], [5]) or non-compact ([10]) tiles forming at least one homogeneous tiling.

Distributing the tiles obtained by construction of the homogeneous tilings $\Pi_{l}^{h}(i=1,4)$ or inhomogeneous tilings $\Pi_{l}(i=2,3,5$ to 21$)$ among the classes defined above, the following result can be stated:
$K_{\mathrm{IB}}$ : tiles of $\Pi_{1}^{h}$ and $\Pi_{4}^{h}$ (stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$ ):
$K_{\text {IIA }}$ : tiles of $\Pi_{14}, \Pi_{15}, \Pi_{19}, \Pi_{20}, \Pi_{21}$ (asymmetrical)
tiles of $\Pi_{18}$ (symmetry group $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ );
$K_{\text {IIB }}$ : tiles of tilings constructed according to cases 1.3 and 2.3 (asymmetrical);
tiles of $\Pi_{2}, \Pi_{5}$ (symmetry group $\subseteq=\langle\tilde{T}\rangle$ );
tiles of $\Pi_{6}, \Pi_{7}, \Pi_{8}, \Pi_{9}, \Pi_{10}, \Pi_{11}, \Pi_{12}, \Pi_{13}, \Pi_{17}$ (asymmetrical);
tiles of $\Pi_{16}$ (symmetry group $\mathfrak{C}_{2}$ ).
Of course, by taking prisms based on the prototiles constructed above, it is easy to show that these are examples for an affirmative solution of the original (3-dimensional) version of the Hilbert question cited at the beginning.


Figure la. Homogeneous tiling of non-compact fundamental regions of a group of type pl .


Figure 1b. Homogeneous tiling $\Pi^{h}$ as a geometrical model for a group $\oiint_{3}$ of type pl .


Figures 2a, b, c. Homogeneous tiling $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$ with 3 different realizations of tiling groups of type p2.


Figure 2d. Homogeneous tiling $\tilde{\Pi}^{h}$ with tiling group of type pl.

a)

Figure 3a. Homogeneous tiling $\Pi_{\square}^{h}$ by squares ( $z=4,4,4,4$ ) with corresponding symmetry group (5) of type 4 m .

b)

c)

Figure 3b, c. Homogeneous tiling $\Pi_{\square}^{h}$ by squares $z=(4,4,4,4)$ with two other groups of type p 4 m not being the symmetry group.

$|T|: T^{+} \mid=1: 3$

$$
\pi_{1}^{h}
$$

Figure 4. Homogeneous tiling $\pi_{1}^{h}$ with prototile $B_{0}$ according to 1.1 . The boundary curves of $B_{0}, k$ (resp. $k^{+}$), have symmetry groups of glide-reflections: $\mathfrak{F}=\langle T\rangle+G\langle T\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{+}=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle+G^{+}\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$, respectively, with the chosen proportion $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=1: 3$ (case 1.1). As neighbor-transformations of $B_{0}$, glide-reflections along $g_{+1}$ (resp. $g_{-1}$ ) are chosen: $\tilde{G}_{+1}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{1}$ (resp. $\tilde{G}_{-1}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{-1}$ ) with the same glide-modulus $\left|\tilde{G}_{+1}\right|=\left|\tilde{G}_{-1}\right|=$ $3|T| / 2=3|G|=1\left|T^{+}\right| / 2=\left|G^{+}\right|$. In general there is $\tilde{G}_{+\iota+1}: B_{\imath} \rightarrow B_{t+1}$ or $\tilde{G}_{-t-1}: B_{-t} \rightarrow$ $B_{\tilde{\tilde{G}}^{\prime-1}}$ as glide-reflection along the axis $g_{+\imath+1}$ or $g_{-t-1}$, respectively, with $\left|\tilde{G}_{+1+1}\right|=$ $\left|\tilde{G}_{-t-1}\right|=\left|G^{+}\right|$. The neighbor-transformations are made plain by the aid of corresponding arrows.


Figure 5. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi_{1}$ with prototile $B_{0}$ of $\Pi_{1}^{h}$. By constructing $\Pi_{1}$, the neighbor-transformations of $B_{0} \in \Pi_{1}$ are glide-reflections chosen with different glide-moduli: $\tilde{G}_{+1}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{1}$ as in Figure 4 with $\left|\tilde{G}_{+1}\right|=\left|G^{+}\right|=3|G|, \bar{G}_{-1}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{-1}$ with $\left|\bar{G}_{-1}=|G|\right.$. Because of this, $\tilde{G}_{ \pm 1}^{2} \neq \bar{G}^{2}$ and, therefore, $\tilde{G}_{+1}$ and $\bar{G}_{-1}$ are not generators of a group of type pg. Further, $\bar{G}_{+2}: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ with $\left|\bar{G}_{2}\right|=|G|$, etc.


Figures 6, 7. Inhomogeneous tilings $\Pi_{2}$ and $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$ with prototile $B_{0}$ according to 1.2. The boundary curves of $B_{0}, k$ (resp. $k^{+}$), have symmetry groups of glide-reflections as in Figure 4 , but the chosen proportion is now $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=3: 2$ (case 1.2 ) which prevents the construction of a homogeneous tiling with prototile $B_{0}$. $B_{0}$ has symmetry group $\subseteq=$ $\langle 2 T\rangle=\left\langle 3 T^{+}\right\rangle$. Chosen neighbor-transformations for $\Pi_{2}$ (Figure 6):
$G^{+}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{1}$, axis $g_{+1}$, direction $\uparrow,\left|G^{+}\right|=\frac{2}{3}|G|$.
$G_{0}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{-1}$, axis $g_{-1}$, direction $\downarrow,\left|G_{0}\right|=|G|=\frac{3}{2}\left|G^{+}\right|$.
$G_{-1}: B_{-1} \rightarrow B_{-2}$, axis $g_{-2}$, direction $\downarrow,\left|G_{-1}\right|=\left|G^{+}\right|$, etc.
for $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$ (Figure 7):
$G^{+}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{1}$, axis $g_{+1}$, direction $\downarrow,\left|G^{+}\right|=\frac{2}{3}|G|$.
$G_{0}: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{-1}$, axis $g_{-1}$, direction $\uparrow,\left|G_{0}\right|=|G|=\frac{3}{2}\left|G^{+}\right|$.
$G_{-1}: B_{-1} \rightarrow B_{-2}$, axis $g_{-2}$, direction $\downarrow,\left|G_{-1}\right|=3\left|G^{+}\right|$.


Figure 7


Figure 8. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi_{3}$ with prototile $B_{0}$ according to 1.3. $k$ and $k^{+}$have symmetry groups of glide-reflection as in Figure 4; the chosen proportion is, however, irrational, $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=\sqrt{3}: 1$ (case 1.3). The prototile $B_{0} \in \Pi_{3}$ is asymmetrical and the construction of $\Pi_{3}$ is similar to $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$, varying arbitrarily the glide-modulus for neighbortransformations of the tiles.

$|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=1: 1$

Figure 9. Homogeneous tiling $\Pi_{4}^{h}$ with prototile $B_{0}$ according to 2.1 . The boundary curves of $\bar{B}_{0}, k$ and $r$, respectively, have symmetry groups $\mathscr{S}=\langle T\rangle+G\langle T\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{J}=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle+$ $C_{2}\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$with the chosen proportion $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=1: 1$ (case 2.1). As neighbor-transformations of $\bar{B}_{0}$ there are chosen (1) glide-reflection $G$ along axis $g_{0}: G: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{-1}$ with $|G|=|T| / 2=\left|T^{+}\right| / 2$, and (2) half-turn $C_{2}$ about any diad of $S_{\Im}: C_{2}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{+1}$. There is further $C_{2}^{\prime}: B_{-1} \rightarrow B_{-2}$ (half-turn about a diad of $r_{-1}$ ), $G_{+1}: \bar{B}_{+1} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{+2}$ (glide-reflection with axis $g_{+1}$ and $\left|G_{+1}\right|=|G|$ ), etc. $B_{0}$ has stabilizer $\mathfrak{F}=\langle T\rangle=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$and the group of $\Pi_{4}^{h}$ is of type pgg.


Figure 10. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi_{5}$ with prototile $B_{0}$ according to 2.2 . The boundary curves of $\bar{B}_{0}, k$ and $r$, respectively, have symmetries of the same type as in Figure 9 , but the chosen proportion is now $|T|:\left|T^{+}\right|=1: 2$ (case 2.2 ). The symmetry group of $\bar{B}_{0}$ is $\Im=\langle 2 T\rangle=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$. As neighbor-transformations of $\bar{B}_{0}$ there are chosen 1) glide-reflection $G$ along axis $g_{0}: G: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{-1}$ (direction $\downarrow,|G|=|T| / 2=\left|T^{+}\right| / 4$ ), and 2) half-turn $C_{2}$ about the diad $P_{+1}^{0} \in r: C_{2}: \bar{B}_{0} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{+1}$. Further there is $C_{2}^{\prime}: \bar{B}_{-1} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{-2}$ (half-turn about $\left.P_{-1}^{0}\right), G_{+1}: \bar{B}_{+1} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{+2}$ (axis $g_{+1},\left|G_{+1}\right|=m|G|, m$ arbitrarily odd), etc.


Figures 11, 12, 13. Inhomogeneous tilings $\Pi_{6}, \Pi_{7}, \Pi_{8} . \Pi_{6}$ is generated by subdivision of $\Pi_{2}$ by introducing a new boundary curve $l$ and its equivalent reiteration by the elements of the symmetry groups $\Im_{1}$ of the tiles of $\Pi_{2}$. For $l$ one has condition $(\alpha)$ in $\Pi_{6}$ (Figure 11), $(\beta)$ in $\Pi_{7}$ (Figure 12), $(\gamma)$ in $\Pi_{8}$ (Figure 13).


Figure 11a. Accumulation point of boundary points of the first kind.


Figure 12


Figure 13


Figures 14, 15, 16. Inhomogeneous tilings $\Pi_{9}, \Pi_{10}, \Pi_{11}$. The tilings $\Pi_{i}(i=9,10,11)$ are generated by subdivision of $\Pi_{5}$. For $l$ one has condition ( $\alpha 1$ ) in $\Pi_{9}$ (Figure 14), ( $\alpha 2$ ) in $\Pi_{10}$ (Figure 15) and ( $\alpha 3$ ) in $\Pi_{11}$ (Figure 16).


Figure 15


Figure 16


Figures 17, 18, 19, 20. Inhomogeneous tilings $\Pi_{12}, \Pi_{13}, \Pi_{14}, \Pi_{15}$. The tilings $\Pi_{1}(i=12$ to 15 ) are generated by subdivision of $\Pi_{5}$. For $l$ one has condition $(\beta)$ in $\Pi_{12}$ (Figure 17), respectively $(\gamma)$ in $\Pi_{13}$ (Figure 18), respectively ( $\delta 1$ ) in $\Pi_{14}$ (Figure 19), respectively ( $\delta 2$ ) in $\Pi_{15}$ (Figure 20).


Figure 18


Figure 19


Figure 20


Figure 21. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi_{16}$. The tiling $\Pi_{16}$ is generated by subdivison of a special tiling $\bar{\Pi}$. $\bar{\Pi}$ is generated from $\Pi_{5}$ by deleting all boundary curves which are rotation carriers, the tiles $\widetilde{B}_{l} \in \Pi$ having symmetry group $\mathfrak{J}=\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle+C_{2}\left\langle T^{+}\right\rangle$. The newly introduced boundary curve $l$ for subdividing $\bar{\Pi}$ satisfies condition (a). The tiles of $\Pi_{16}$ have rotational symmetry $\left\langle C_{2}\right\rangle$.


Figure 22. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi_{17}$. The tiling $\Pi_{17}$ is generated by subdivision of tiling $\Pi_{16}$ by introducing a new boundary curve $\tilde{l}$ similar to condition (a). The tiles of $\Pi_{17}$ are asymmetrical.


Figure 23. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi_{18}$. The tiling $\Pi_{18}$ is generated by subdivision of the special tiling $\bar{\Pi}$ described under Figure 21 . The newly introduced boundry curve $l$ satisfies condition (b).


Figures 24, 25, 26. Inhomogeneous tilings $\Pi_{19}, \Pi_{20}, \Pi_{21}$. The tilings $\Pi_{i}(i=19,20,21)$ are generated by subdivision of $\Pi_{18}$. The newly introduced boundary curve $l^{+}$satisfies condition (b2.1) in $\Pi_{19}$ (Figure 24), (b2.2) in $\Pi_{20}$ (Figure 25), (b2.3) in $\Pi_{21}$ (Figure 26).


Figure 25


Figure 26


Figure 27. Inhomogeneous tiling $\Pi^{+}$. The tiling $\Pi^{+}$constructed in Figure 27 is generated by subdivision of $\Pi_{18}$. The newly introduced boundary curve $l^{+}$satisfies condition (b2.4). $\Pi^{+}$, however, belongs to the same class of inhomogeneous tilings as $\Pi_{14}$ (Figure 19), which can be seen directly. There is no topological difference between $\Pi^{+}$and $\Pi_{14}$ : $z=(3,3,3,4,4)$, and the construction rules for their tiles are the same.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proof of the theorem is e.g. given by A. Speiser (Die Theorie der Gruppen von endlicher Ordnung, Berlin, 1937, p. 95).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Products of group elements are read from right to left in this paper.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For a glide-reflection $\sigma:(x, y) \rightarrow(-x, y+\mathfrak{g})(g \neq 0 ; x, y$ Cartesian coordinates $) \mathfrak{g}$ is known as the glide-modulus of $\sigma$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} 2$-fold centres of rotations.

