## WEAK FACTORIZATION OF DISTRIBUTIONS IN H<sup>p</sup> SPACES

## Акініко Мічасні

The weak factorization theorem for real Hardy spaces  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , previously obtained by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss, and by Uchiyama for the case p > n/(n + 1), is extended to the case  $p \le n/(n + 1)$ .

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to give an extension of the following

THEOREM A. (Coifman-Rochberg-Weiss [3; Theorem II], Uchiyama [7; Corollary to Theorem 1], [8].) Let K be a homogeneous singular integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund type on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and K' its conjugate. Suppose p, q, r > 0 satisfy 1/p = 1/q + 1/r < 1 + 1/n. (i) If  $h \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$f = hKg - gK'h,$$

then  $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$||f||_{H^p} \leq C_1 ||h||_{H^q} ||g||_{H^r}.$$

(ii) Conversely, if, furthermore, K is not a constant multiple of the identity operator and  $p \leq 1$ , every  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be written as

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (h_j K g_j - g_j K' h_j),$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are complex numbers,  $h_i \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $g_i \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$\|h_j\|_{H^q} \|g_j\|_{H'} \le C_2, \qquad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p\right)^{1/p} \le C_3.$$

The constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  depend only on p, q, r, K and n.

As for the definition of  $H^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ , see Fefferman-Stein [4]; as for the operators K and K', see the definitions given in the next section.

An extension of part (i) to the case  $1/p \ge 1 + 1/n$  is given in the following

THEOREM B. (Miyachi [6].) Let  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$  be homogeneous singular integral operators of Calderón-Zygmund type on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $K'_j$  their conjugates.

Set, for  $h \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$P(K_1,\ldots,K_N;h,g)=\sum_J(-1)^{|J|}\left\{\left(\prod_{j\in J}K'_j\right)h\right\}\left\{\left(\prod_{j\in J^c}K_j\right)g\right\},\$$

where the summation ranges over all subsets J of  $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ , |J| denotes the number of elements of J,  $J^c$  is the complement of J, and  $\Pi$  is the product of operators; if J or  $J^c$  is the empty set, the corresponding product  $\Pi$  means the identity operator. Then, if p, q, r > 0 satisfy 1/p = 1/q + 1/r < 1 + N/n, there is a constant C depending only on  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$ , p, q, r and n such that, for all  $h \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and all  $g \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|P(K_1,\ldots,K_N;h,g)\|_{H^p} \leq C \|h\|_{H^q} \|g\|_{H^r}.$$

In this paper, we shall extend part (ii) of Theorem A to the case  $1/p \ge 1 + 1/n$  by using the "product" given in Theorem B.

Throughout this paper, we use the following

NOTATION. For  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and r > 0, B(x, r) denotes the ball with respect to the usual metric with center x and radius r. If  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are nonnegative integers and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ , the differential operator  $\partial^{\alpha}$  is defined by

$$\partial^{\alpha} f(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} f(x), \qquad x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

and  $|\alpha|$  by  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ . We shall also use the notation

$$(\partial/\partial x)^{\alpha}f(x) = \partial^{\alpha}f(x).$$

If s is a real number, [s] denotes the largest integer not greater than s.  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform.

2. The result. Before we state our theorem, we shall explain the singular integral operators considered in this paper.

DEFINITION 1. We say that K is a homogeneous singular integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund type if it is defined by

(1) 
$$Kf = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m\mathcal{F}f)$$

with a bounded function *m* smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and homogeneous of degree zero, i.e. satisfying

$$m(t\xi)=m(\xi), \qquad t>0, \, \xi\neq 0.$$

We shall call *m* the *multiplier* corresponding to *K*.

DEFINITION 2. If K is a homogeneous singular integral operator of Calderón-Zygmund type defined by (1), the *conjugate operator* K' is defined by

$$K'f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\check{m}\mathcal{F}f),$$

where  $\check{m}(\xi) = m(-\xi)$ .

By using the Fourier transform, the "product" of Theorem B can be redefined by

$$\mathcal{F}P(K_1,\ldots,K_N;h,g)(\xi) = \int \mathcal{F}h(\eta)\mathcal{F}g(\xi-\eta)\prod_{j=1}^N (m_j(\xi-\eta)-m_j(-\eta)) d\eta,$$

where  $m_i$  is the multiplier corresponding to  $K_i$ .

The theorem of this paper reads as follows.

THEOREM. Let  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$  be homogeneous singular integral operators of Calderón-Zygmund type and  $m_j$  the multipliers corresponding to  $K_j$ . Suppose p, q, r > 0 satisfy  $1 \le 1/p = 1/q + 1/r < 1 + N/n$  and the multipliers  $m_j$  satisfy the following condition: for any  $\xi \ne 0$ , there exists an  $\eta \ne 0$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( m_j(\xi) - m_j(\eta) \right) \neq 0.$$

Then every  $f \in H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  can be decomposed as

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j P(K_1, \ldots, K_N; h_j, g_j),$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are complex numbers,  $h_i \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $g_i \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$\|h_j\|_{H^q}\|g_j\|_{H^r} \leq C, \qquad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C\|f\|_{H^p}$$

with a constant C depending only on  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$ , p, q, r and n.

The rest of the paper will be devoted to the proof of this theorem.

## AKIHIKO MIYACHI

3. Proof of Theorem. The proof will be based on the following

LEMMA 1. If  $0 , every <math>f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\lambda_jf_j,$$

where  $\lambda_j$  are complex numbers,  $f_j$  are functions satisfying, for some balls  $B(x_j, \rho_j)$ ,

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{support}(f_j) \subset B(x_j, \rho_j), \\ \|f_j\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \rho_j^{-n/p}, \\ \int f_j(x) x^{\alpha} \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq [n/p - n] \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq A \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

The constant A depends only on p and n.

This lemma is given by Latter [5].

We shall introduce a class of functions: for p, t > 0 and a nonnegative integer M, we denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t)$  the set of all functions  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\mathfrak{F}f(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0 \quad \text{for } |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \le 1/t$$

and

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}} f\|_{L^2} \leq t^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq M.$$

LEMMA 2. If 0 and <math>M > n/p - n/2, then  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t) \subset H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and there is a constant C depending only on n and p such that

$$||f||_{H^p} \leq C \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t), \qquad t > 0.$$

*Proof.* We may assume M = [n/p - n/2] + 1. We shall prove that

$$\|\mathfrak{F}^{-1}(m\mathfrak{F}f)\|_{L^p} \leq C \text{ for all } f \in \mathfrak{A}_{p,M}(t), \quad t > 0,$$

whenever m is a bounded function satisfying

$$|\partial^{\alpha} m(\xi)| \leq |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}$$
 for  $|\alpha| \leq M$ .

168

This will prove the lemma by the singular integral characterization of  $H^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  (see Fefferman-Stein [4; §8] or Coifman-Dahlberg [2]).

Now suppose  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p,M}(t)$ , t > 0, and *m* is as above; we set  $g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m\mathcal{F}f)$ . Then

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathfrak{F}g\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2}, \qquad |\alpha| \leq M,$$

and hence, by Plancherel's theorem,

$$\||x|^k g(x)\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{k-n/p+n/2}, \qquad k=0,1,\ldots,M.$$

From this we can derive the desired estimate by using Hölder's inequality. In fact, if 0 and <math>1/p = 1/2 + 1/q, we have

$$\left(\int_{|x| < t} |g(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \le ||g||_{L^2} \left(\int_{|x| < t} dx\right)^{1/q} \le C$$

and

$$\left(\int_{|x|>t} |g(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \||x|^M g(x)\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{|x|>t} |x|^{-Mq} dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C,$$

where we used the fact that Mq > n; thus  $||g||_{L^p} \le C$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. If 0 and <math>M > n/p - n/2, every  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\lambda_jf_j(\cdot-x_j),$$

where  $\lambda_j$  are complex numbers,  $f_j \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t_j)$  with some  $t_j > 0, x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \leq A' \|f\|_{H^{p}}$$

with a constant A' depending only on M, p and n.

*Proof.* We shall prove that if *f* satisfies

(3) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{support}(f) \subset B(x_0, \rho), \\ \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \rho^{-n/p}, \\ \int f(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0 \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq [n/p - n], \end{cases}$$

then we can take a constant A'' depending only on M, p and n and a function  $g \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t), t > 0$ , such that

(4) 
$$||f - A''g(\cdot - x_0)||_{H^p} \le 1/2A$$
,

where A is the constant in Lemma 1.

For the moment we assume the approximation (3)-(4) and derive Lemma 3 from Lemma 1. Let f be an arbitrary element of  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Apply Lemma 1 to f to obtain

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j$$

with  $f_i$  satisfying (2) and  $\lambda_i$  satisfying

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \leq A \|f\|_{H^{p}};$$

then apply the approximation (3)-(4) to each  $f_i$  to obtain

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j A'' g_j (\cdot - x_j) + f_{(1)}$$

with  $g_j \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t_j), t_j > 0$ , and

$$\left\|f_{(1)}\right\|_{H^{p}} \leq 2^{-1} \|f\|_{H^{p}}$$

Next apply the same process to  $f_{(1)}$  to obtain a smaller error  $f_{(2)}$ , and then again apply the same process to  $f_{(2)}$  to obtain  $f_{(3)}, \ldots$ ; repeating this process, we obtain, for each N,

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{k} A^{\prime\prime} g_{j}^{k} (\cdot - x_{j}^{k}) + f_{(N+1)},$$

where  $g_j^k \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t_j^k), t_j^k > 0$ , and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|\lambda_{j}^{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \leq 2^{-k} A \|f\|_{H^{p}},$$
$$\|f_{(N+1)}\|_{H^{p}} \leq 2^{-N-1} \|f\|_{H^{p}}$$

Now the decomposition of Lemma 3 can be obtained by letting  $N \to \infty$  since

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}^{k}A''\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-kp}\right)^{1/p}A''A\|f\|_{H^{p}} = A'\|f\|_{H^{p}}.$$

Now we shall prove the approximation (3)–(4). We may assume  $x_0 = 0$ ; suppose f satisfies (3) with  $x_0 = 0$ .

First observe that the Fourier transform of f has the following estimates:

(5) 
$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathfrak{F}f\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\alpha} \rho^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2},$$

(6) 
$$|\partial^{\alpha} \mathfrak{F}f(\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha} \rho^{\lfloor n/p \rfloor + 1 - n/p} |\xi|^{\lfloor n/p \rfloor - n - |\alpha| + 1} \quad \text{if } |\xi| \leq \rho^{-1},$$

where the constant  $C_{\alpha}$  depends only on p, n and  $\alpha$ . Estimate (5) follows from

$$\|x^{\alpha}f(x)\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\alpha}\rho^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2}$$

via Plancherel's theorem. Estimate (6) follows, if  $|\alpha| \le [n/p - n]$ , from the estimates

$$\partial^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}f(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } |\beta| \le [n/p - n] - |\alpha|,$$
$$\|\partial^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}f\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C\rho^{[n/p] + 1 - n/p} \quad \text{for } |\beta| = [n/p - n] - |\alpha| + 1$$

via Taylor's formula; if  $|\alpha| \ge [n/p - n]$ , (6) is a consequence of the stronger estimate

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{F} f\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_{\alpha} \rho^{|\alpha| - n/p + n}.$$

For T > 2, consider the function

$$h_T = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi(T\rho \cdot)\mathcal{F}f(\cdot)),$$

where  $\psi$  is a fixed smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\psi(\xi) = 1$  for  $|\xi| \ge 2$ and  $\psi(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| \le 1$ . From (5) and (6) we shall derive the estimates

(7) 
$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathfrak{F}h_T\|_{L^2} \leq C'_{\alpha} T^{|\alpha|} \rho^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2},$$

(8) 
$$\|f - h_T\|_{H^p} \le CT^{-[n/p]-1+n/p},$$

where  $C'_{\alpha}$  and C do not depend on f,  $\rho$  and T. Once these estimates are proved, the approximation (4) can be obtained by setting

$$g = A^{\prime\prime - 1} h_T \in \mathcal{Q}_{\rho, M}(T\rho)$$

with A'' and T sufficiently large; A'' and T can be taken depending only on M, p and n.

Thus the proof is reduced to that of (7) and (8). (7) follows directly from (5). In order to prove (8), decompose  $f - h_T$  as

$$f - h_T = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \big( \chi \big( 2^j T \rho \cdot \big) \mathcal{F} f(\cdot) \big) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j,$$

where  $\chi(\xi) = \psi(2\xi) - \psi(\xi)$ . As for  $f_j$ , we have

$$\operatorname{support}(\mathfrak{F}f_j) \subset \{\xi; 2^{-1} \leq 2^j T \rho \,|\, \xi| \leq 2\},\$$

and, from (6),

$$\left\|\partial^{\alpha}\mathfrak{F}_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{\alpha}(2^{j}T)^{-[n/p]-1+n/p}(2^{j}T\rho)^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2},$$

and, hence, by Lemma 2,

$$\|f_j\|_{H^p} \leq C(2^{j}T)^{-[n/p]-1+n/p}$$

Thus

$$\|f - h_T\|_{H^p} \le \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{H^p}^p\right)^{1/p} \le CT^{-[n/p]-1+n/p}.$$

This proves (8) and completes the proof of Lemma 3.

*Proof of Theorem.* Since  $1/p = 1/q + 1/r \ge 1$ , either q or r is less than or equal to 2; we assume  $r \le 2$ .

We shall prove that, for any  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{p,M}(t)$ , t > 0,  $M = \lfloor n/p - n/2 \rfloor + 2$ , we can take  $h_j \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g_j \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and complex numbers  $\lambda_j$  so that we have

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} P(K_{1}, \dots, K_{N}; h_{j}, g_{j}) \right\|_{H^{p}} \leq \frac{1}{2A'},$$
$$\left\| h_{j} \right\|_{H^{q}} \left\| g_{j} \right\|_{H'} \leq C, \qquad \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_{j} \right|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \leq C,$$

where A' is the constant in Lemma 3 corresponding to  $M = \lfloor n/p - n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and C is a constant depending only on  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$ , p, q, r and n. Once this is proved, the Theorem is derived from Lemma 3 by the same argument as Lemma 3 was derived from Lemma 1.

Firstly, observe that our assumption on the multipliers means, via a compactness argument, that there exist a finite open covering  $\{V_k; k = 1, 2, ..., m\}$  of  $S^{n-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\xi| = 1\}$ , points  $\{\eta_k; k = 1, 2, ..., m\} \subset S^{n-1}$ , and a positive number c such that, for each k,

(9) 
$$\inf_{\xi \in V_k} \left| \prod_{j=1}^N \left( m_j(\xi) - m_j(-\eta_k) \right) \right| \ge c.$$

172

Let  $\{\varphi_k; k = 1, 2, ..., m\}$  be a smooth partition of unity on  $S^{n-1}$  subordinate to the covering  $\{V_k; k = 1, 2, ..., m\}$ . Take an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,M}(t), t > 0, M = \lfloor n/p - n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Decompose f as

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_k, \qquad f_k = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi}_k \mathfrak{F} f),$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}_k(\xi) = \varphi_k(\xi/|\xi|)$ . It is sufficient to show that for each k we can take  $h_k \in L^2 \cap H^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g_k \in L^2 \cap H^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

(10) 
$$\begin{cases} \|f_k - P(K_1, \dots, K_N; h_k, g_k)\|_{H^p} \le m^{-1/p} (2A')^{-1}, \\ \|h_k\|_{H^q} \|g_k\|_{H^r} \le C. \end{cases}$$

In order to prove (10), we set

$$g_k = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^N \left(m_j(\cdot) - m_j(-\eta_k)\right)\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{F}f_k\right).$$

As a candidate for  $h_k$ , we consider the following function. Take a smooth function  $\theta$  satisfying support( $\theta$ )  $\subset B(0, 1)$  and  $\int \theta(x) dx = 1$ , and set

$$h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}((\varepsilon^{-1}t)^n \theta(\varepsilon^{-1}t(\cdot-\delta t^{-1}\eta_k)))),$$

where  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are small positive numbers satisfying  $\varepsilon < \delta/2$  and  $\delta + \varepsilon < 1/2$ . We shall prove the following estimates:

(11) 
$$||g_k||_{H^r} \leq Ct^{-n/p+n/r},$$

(12) 
$$\|h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon}\|_{H^q} \leq C(\varepsilon^{-1}t)^{n/q},$$

(13) 
$$\|f_k - P(K_1,\ldots,K_N;h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon},g_k)\|_{H^p} \leq C(\delta+\delta^{-1}\varepsilon),$$

where C is a constant depending only on  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$ , p, q, r and n. If these estimates are established, (10) can be obtained by taking  $h_k = h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon}$  with  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small;  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  can be taken depending only on  $K_1, \ldots, K_N$ , p, q, r and n.

*Proof of* (11). By (9) and by the homogeneity of  $m_i$ , the function

$$G(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \left(m_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) - m_j(-\eta_k)\right)\right)^{-1}$$

satisfies

$$\left|\partial^{\alpha}G(\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha} \left|\xi\right|^{-|\alpha|}$$

in an appropriate neighborhood of support( $\mathcal{F}f_k$ ). Hence the well-known multiplier theorem for  $H^p$  spaces (see [4; Theorem 12] or [1; Theorems 4.6 and 4.7]) gives

$$\|g_k\|_{H^r} \le C \|f_k\|_{H^r} \le C \|f\|_{H^r} \le C t^{-n/p+n/r},$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 2.

*Proof of* (12). If q > 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon}\|_{H^q} \approx \|h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon}\|_{L^q} \\ = \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\theta(\varepsilon t^{-1} \cdot)\|_{L^q} = C(\varepsilon t^{-1})^{-n/q}; \end{aligned}$$

if  $q \leq 2$ , then (12) is obtained by using Lemma 2 since

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\alpha} (\varepsilon^{-1} t)^{|\alpha|+n/2}$$

and  $\mathcal{F}h_{k,\delta,\epsilon}(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| < \epsilon t^{-1}$ .

Proof of (13). We shall again appeal to Lemma 2. We have

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{F}(f_k - P(K_1, \dots, K_N; h_{k,\delta,\epsilon}, g_k))(\xi) \\ &= \int \mathfrak{F}h_{k,\delta,\epsilon}(\eta)(\mathfrak{F}f_k(\xi) - \mathfrak{F}f_k(\xi - \eta)) \, d\eta \\ &+ \int \mathfrak{F}h_{k,\delta,\epsilon}(\eta)\mathfrak{F}f_k(\xi - \eta) \\ &\times \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{m_j(\xi - \eta) - m_j(-\eta)}{m_j(\xi - \eta) - m_j(-\eta_k)}\right) \, d\eta \\ &= \mathrm{I}(\xi) + \mathrm{II}(\xi). \end{split}$$

Supports of the functions I and II are contained in

$$\{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbf{R}^n; \operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \operatorname{support}(\mathfrak{F}_k)) \leq (\delta + \varepsilon)t^{-1}\}$$

and, hence, in  $\{|\xi| > (2t)^{-1}\}$ . As for the function I, we have, if  $|\alpha| \le M - 1 = [n/p - n/2] + 1$ ,

$$\|\partial^{\alpha}\mathbf{I}\|_{L^{2}} \leq \|\operatorname{grad} \partial^{\alpha} \mathfrak{F}f_{k}\|_{L^{2}} \int |\mathfrak{F}h_{k,\delta,\varepsilon}(\eta)| |\eta| d\eta$$
$$\leq C \delta t^{|\alpha|-n/p+n/2}.$$

In order to estimate II, observe the following inequalities: if  $\xi - \eta \in$  support( $\mathfrak{F}_k$ ) and  $\zeta \in B(\delta t^{-1}\eta_k, \epsilon t^{-1})$ ,

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{m_j(\xi-\eta) - m_j(-\zeta)}{m_j(\xi-\eta) - m_j(-\eta_k)}\right| \leq C_{\alpha} \delta^{-1} t |\xi-\eta|^{-|\alpha|},$$

and, hence, if  $\xi - \eta \in \text{support}(\mathfrak{F}f_k)$  and  $\eta \in \text{support}(\mathfrak{F}h_{k,\delta,\epsilon})$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^{\alpha} \left( 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{m_{j}(\xi - \eta) - m_{j}(-\eta)}{m_{j}(\xi - \eta) - m_{j}(-\eta_{k})} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{m_{j}(\xi - \eta) - m_{j}(-\zeta)}{m_{j}(\xi - \eta) - m_{j}(-\eta_{k})} \right]_{\zeta = \delta t^{-1} \eta_{k}} - [\cdots]_{\zeta = \eta} \right| \\ &\leq C_{\alpha}' \delta^{-1} \varepsilon |\xi - \eta|^{-|\alpha|} \leq C_{\alpha}' \delta^{-1} \varepsilon t^{|\alpha|} \,. \end{split}$$

Using this inequality, we obtain, for  $|\alpha| \leq M$ ,

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} \mathbf{II}\|_{L^2} \leq C \delta^{-1} \varepsilon t^{|\alpha| - n/p + n/2}.$$

Now we can utilize Lemma 2 to obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\|_{H^p} + \|\mathfrak{F}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{I}\|_{H^p} \le C\delta + C\delta^{-1}\varepsilon,$$

which implies (13).

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

## References

- [1] A. P. Calderón and A. Torchinsky, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution*, *II*, Advances in Math., **24** (1977), 101–171.
- [2] R. R. Coifman and B. Dahlberg, Singular integral characterizations of nonisotropic H<sup>p</sup> spaces and the F. and M. Riesz theorem, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 35, Part 1, pp. 231–234, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1979.
- [3] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. Math., 103 (1976), 611–635.
- [4] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H<sup>p</sup> spaces of several variables, Acta Math., 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [5] R. H. Latter, A characterization of  $H^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  in terms of atoms, Studia Math., 62 (1978), 93–101.
- [6] A. Miyachi, Products of distributions in H<sup>p</sup> spaces, Tôhoku Math. J., 35 (1983), 483-498.
- [7] A. Uchiyama, On the compactness of operators of Hankel type, Tôhoku Math. J., 30 (1978), 163-171.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, The factorization of  $H^p$  on the space of homogeneous type, Pacific J. Math., 92 (1981), 453–468.

Received January 26, 1983.

University of Tokyo Hongo 7-3-1, Tokyo 113 Japan

Present address: Department of Mathematics Hitotsubashi University Kunitachi, Tokyo 186 Japan