# CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION WITHOUT ORDER 

Yaakov Friedman and Bernard Russo

In this paper we show that an arbitrary contractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra has the properties of a conditional expectation (Theorem 1). This fact is then used to solve the bicontractive projective problem (Theorem 2).

Let $M$ be a $J^{*}$-algebra and let $\theta$ be an isometry (equivalently a $J^{*}$-automorphism [7]) of $M$ of order 2. Then $P$, defined by $P x=$ $\frac{1}{2}(x+\theta x)$, is a bicontractive projection on $M$, i.e., $P^{2}=P,\|P\| \leq 1$, $\left\|\mathrm{id}_{M}-P\right\| \leq 1$. By the bicontractive projection problem we mean the converse of this statement.

An affirmative answer to the bicontractive projection problem imposes strong symmetry properties on the Banach space $M$, so it cannot be true for a general Banach space.

In Bernau-Lacey [2], the problem is solved for the class of Lindenstraus spaces. In [1] Arazy-Friedman solved it with $M=$ the $C^{*}$-algebra of compact operators on a separable complex Hilbert space. In [10], Størmer, influenced by partial results of Robertson-Youngson [9], solved it with $M$ an arbitrary $C^{*}$-algebra and $P$ assumed positive and unital. Our Theorem 2, specialized to a $C^{*}$-algebra, generalizes each of these results of Arazy-Friedman and Størmer. The authors have recently solved the problem for associative Jordan triple systems [3].

Both Robertson-Youngson and Størmer expressed the belief that the result is true in the case of a positive unital projection with contractive complement on a $J B$-algebra. In order to prove Theorem 2, we found it necessary to first prove the conjecture of Robertson-Youngson in the case of a $J C$-algebra.

As we have pointed out [6], a $J^{*}$-algebra is the appropriate algebraic model in which to study problems not involving order. The techniques developed by us in $[4,5]$ can now be used to give a short solution of the bicontractive projection problem.

A simple analysis of this problem leads to a formulation of the conditional expectation properties proved in Theorem 1. As a corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain an analogue of the well known theorem of Tomiyama [11].

A $J^{*}$-algebra is a norm closed complex linear subspace of $\mathscr{L}(H, K)$, the bounded linear operators from a Hilbert space $H$ to a Hilbert space $K$, which is closed under the operation $a \rightarrow a a^{*} a$. By setting $\{a b c\}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(a b^{*} c+c b^{*} a\right)$, one can make a $J^{*}$-algebra into a Jordan triple system.

We now recall some notation and results from [4, 5] which will be used in this paper.

Let $M$ be a $J^{*}$-algebra. For each $f$ in $M^{\prime}$ let $v=v(f)$ be the unique partial isometry in $M^{\prime \prime}$ occurring in the enveloping polar decomposition of $f$ [4: Th. 1]. Then $l(f)=v v^{*}$ and $r(f)=v^{*} v$ are projections in the von Neumann algebra $A^{\prime \prime}$, where $A$ is any $C^{*}$-algebra containing $M$ as a $J^{*}$-subalgebra. More generally, for any partial isometry $v$ in $M^{\prime \prime}$, the Peirce projections are defined by $E(v) x=l x r, F(v) x=(1-l) x(1-r)$, $G(v) x=l x(1-r)+(1-l) x r$, where $l=v v^{*}$ and $r=v^{*} v$. We shall write $E(f)$ for $E(v(f))$ and similarly for $G(f)$ and $F(f)$.

The following commutativity formulas from [4] are fundamental: let $Q$ be a contractive projection on the dual $M^{\prime}$ of a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$ and let $f \in Q\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q E(f)=E(f) Q E(f) & ([4: \text { Prop. 3.3])} \\
G(f) Q=Q G(f) Q & ([4: \text { Prop. 4.3])} \\
E(f) Q=Q E(f) Q &  \tag{0.3}\\
([4 ; \text { Prop. 4.3])}
\end{array}
$$

Let $Q$ be a contractive projection on the dual $M^{\prime}$ of $M$. By an atom of $Q$ is meant any extreme point of the unit ball $Q\left(M^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ of $Q\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. The elements $v(f), f$ an atom of $Q$, are called minimal tripotents of $Q^{\prime}$. Define

$$
L_{0}=\sup \{l(f): f \text { atom of } Q\}, \quad R_{0}=\sup \{r(f): f \text { atom of } Q\}
$$

Then $L_{0}, R_{0}$ are projections in $A^{\prime \prime}$ (where $A$ is any $C^{*}$-algebra containing $M$ as a $J^{*}$-subalgebra) and they define contractive projections $\mathscr{E}_{0}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ on $A^{\prime \prime}$ by $\mathscr{E}_{0} z=L_{0} z R_{0}, \mathscr{T}_{0} z=\left(1-L_{0}\right) z\left(1-R_{0}\right)$, for $z \in A^{\prime \prime}$. We reserve the notation $L_{1}, R_{1}, \mathscr{E}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{1}$ for the objects just defined in the case $Q=\mathrm{id}_{M^{\prime}}$.

A fundamental result from [5] is the following decomposition of functionals with respect to a contractive projection $Q$ [5: Theorem 1]

Let $Q$ be a contractive projection on the dual $M^{\prime}$ of a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$. Then $Q\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\mathscr{A} \oplus_{l_{1}} \mathscr{N}$, where $\mathscr{A}$ is the norm closed linear span of the atoms of $Q$ and the unit ball of $\mathscr{N}$ has no extreme points. Moreover $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{E}_{0} Q\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathscr{N}=\mathscr{T}_{0} Q\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.
We shall use the following two consequences of this result (cf. [5: Cor. 4.4, Lemma 4.5, Prop. 4]).

Let $M_{\text {fin }}$ be the set of all finite linear combinations of pairwise orthogonal minimal tripotents of $Q^{\prime}$. Then $M_{\mathrm{fin}}$ is $\sigma$-weakly dense in $\mathscr{E}_{0} Q^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

For each $x$ in $Q^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ we have $x=\mathscr{E}_{0} x+\mathscr{T}_{0} x$. Then by (0.5), $\mathscr{E}_{0} x, \mathscr{T}_{0} x \in M^{\prime \prime}$.

The following fact is a consequence of [4: Remark 2.5b] and [5: Lemma 4.5].
(0.7) For $x \in M^{\prime \prime}, \mathscr{E}_{0} x=0$ implies $\mathscr{E}_{0} Q^{\prime} x=0$.

Finally we shall use the following, which is a consequence of [4: Remark 3.2]:

Let $P$ be a contractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$, and let $f \in M^{\prime}$. Then $E(f) M^{\prime \prime}$ is a $J W^{*}$-algebra and $E(f) P^{\prime \prime}$ restricted to $E(f) M^{\prime \prime}$ is a positive unital faithful projection.

1. Conditional expectation without order. In this section we prove the conditional expectation properties of an arbitrary contractive projection and prove the conjecture of Robertson-Youngson for $J C$-algebras.

Theorem 1. Let $P$ be a contractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra M. Let a, $x \in M$ satisfy $P a=a, P x=0$. Then
(i) $P\{a a x\}=0$;
(ii) $P\{a x a\}=0$.

Proof. (i) Let $b=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \alpha_{t} v_{i} \in M_{\mathrm{fin}}$ with $v_{l}$ orthogonal minimal tripotents of $P^{\prime \prime}$. We show first that $P^{\prime \prime}\{b b x\}=0$. We have

$$
\{b b x\}=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{l} \bar{\alpha}_{J}\left\{v_{l} v_{j} x\right\}=\sum_{i}\left|\alpha_{l}\right|^{2}\left\{v_{l} v_{l} x\right\}
$$

and

$$
P^{\prime \prime}\left\{v_{i} v_{i} x\right\}=P^{\prime \prime}\left(E\left(v_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} G\left(v_{i}\right)\right) x=P^{\prime \prime}\left(E\left(v_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} G\left(v_{t}\right)\right) P^{\prime \prime} x=0
$$

by (0.2) and (0.3). Thus $P^{\prime \prime}\{b b x\}=0$ and by linearization we have $P^{\prime \prime}\{b c x\}=0$ for $b, c \in M_{\text {fin }}$. By (0.6), $a=\mathscr{E}_{0} a+\mathscr{T}_{0} a$ so that

$$
\{a a x\}=\left\{\mathscr{E}_{0} a, \mathscr{E}_{0} a, x\right\}+\left\{\mathscr{T}_{0} a, \mathscr{T}_{0} a, x\right\}
$$

Set $\alpha_{1}=\left\{\mathscr{E}_{0} a, \mathscr{E}_{0} a, x\right\}, \alpha_{2}=\left\{\mathscr{T}_{0} a, \mathscr{T}_{0} a, x\right\}$. Since by Krein-Milman, $\|P\{a a x\}\|=\left\|\mathscr{E}_{0} P\{a a x\}\right\|$, it suffices to prove $\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{1}=\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{2}=0$. Since $\alpha_{2} \in M^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{0} \alpha_{2}=0$ we have $\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{2}=0$ by (0.7). On the other
hand, with $b_{n}$ a net in $M_{\text {fin }}$ converging $\sigma$-weakly to $\mathscr{E}_{0} a$, we have $\alpha_{1}=$ $\lim _{n} \lim _{m}\left\{b_{n} b_{m} x\right\}$ so that $P^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{1}=0$.
(ii) With $a=\mathscr{E}_{0} a+\mathscr{T}_{0} a$ we have $\{a x a\}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+2 \beta_{3}$ where $\beta_{1}$ $=\left\{\mathscr{E}_{0} a, x, \mathscr{E}_{0} a\right\}, \quad \beta_{2}=\left\{\mathscr{T}_{0} a, x, \mathscr{T}_{0} a\right\}, \quad \beta_{3}=\left\{\mathscr{E}_{0} a, x, \mathscr{T}_{0} a\right\}$. Since $\|P\{a x a\}\|=\left\|\mathscr{E}_{0} P\{a x a\}\right\|$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \beta_{1}=\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \beta_{2}=$ $\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}=0$. Since $\beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \in M^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{0} \beta_{2}=\mathscr{E}_{0} \beta_{3}=0$, we have $\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \beta_{2}=$ $\mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime \prime} \beta_{3}=0$. We now prove that $P^{\prime \prime} \beta_{1}=0$. By the linearization and approximation argument in the proof of (i), it will suffice to prove $P^{\prime \prime}\{b x b\}=0$ for $b \in M_{\text {fin }}$. Setting $b=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} v_{i}$ with $v_{i}$ orthogonal minimal tripotents of $P^{\prime \prime}$ shows that it suffices to prove that $P^{\prime \prime}\{v x u\}=0$ whenever $u, v$ are minimal tripotents of $P^{\prime \prime}$ which are either equal or orthogonal.

Let $w=u+v$ (or $w=v$ if $u=v$ ), let $A$ be the $J W^{*}$-algebra $E(w) M^{\prime \prime}$ with identity element $e$, and let $R$ be the unital contractive projection $E(w) P^{\prime \prime}$ on $A$. Let $z=\{v x u\}$. Since, by (0.3), $P^{\prime \prime} z=P^{\prime \prime} E(w) z=$ $P^{\prime \prime} E(w) P^{\prime \prime} z=P^{\prime \prime} R z$, it suffices to prove that $R z=0$. Let $y=E(w) x$ and note that $z=\{v x u\}=\{v y u\}$ and $y \in A$. Note also that $e, v$, $u \in R(A)$ and that by $(0.1) R y=E(w) P^{\prime \prime} E(w) x=E(w) P^{\prime \prime} x=0$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\{v e\{\text { uey }\}\}=\{v\{\text { eye }\} u\}+\{v\{\text { eue }\} y\}
$$

so that $z=\{$ vye $\}=\{v e\{u e y\}\}+\{v u y\}$. By (i) applied to $R$ and $A$, $R(z)=0$.

By considering elements $x$ of the form $z-P z$, and linearizing we obtain:

Corollary 1. Let $P$ be a contractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra M. For $x, y, z \in M$,

$$
P\{P x, P y, P z\}=P\{P x, P y, z\}=P\{P x, y, P z\}
$$

We know from [5: Theorem 2] that $P(M)$ is a Jordan triple system isometric to a $J^{*}$-algebra. If $P(M)$ happens to be a $J^{*}$-subalgebra of $M$ we obtain the following analogue of a well known of Tomiyama.

Corollary 2. Let $N$ be a $J^{*}$-subalgebra of a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$ and let $P$ be a norm one projection of $M$ onto $N$. Then for $a, b \in N$ and $x \in M$,
(i) $P\{a b x\}=\{a, b, P x\}$,
(ii) $P\{a x b\}=\{a, P x, b\}$.

We note that (ii) was proved for $J B$-algebras and unital $P$ in [8: Appendix].

Our final corollary solves the problem of Robertson-Youngson in the important cases of a $J C$-algebra.

Corollary 3. Let $R$ be a unital bicontractive projection on a JC-algebra $A$. Then $R$ has the form $R x=\frac{1}{2}(x+\theta x)$ where $\theta$ is a Jordan automorphism of $A$ of order 2.

Proof. As remarked by Robertson-Youngson, such a $\theta$ exists if and only if we have the implication: $R a=0 \Rightarrow R\left(a^{2}\right)=a^{2}$. Since the complexification of $A$ is a $J^{*}$-algebra we have, with $Q=\mathrm{id}-R, Q\left(a^{2}\right)=$ $Q\{a, 1, a\}=0$ since $Q a=a$ and $Q 1=0$.
2. Solution of the bicontractive projection problem. In this section we prove the following, which solves the bicontractive projection problem for $J^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 2. Let $P$ be a bicontractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$. Then there is a $J^{*}$-automorphism $\theta$ of $M$ of order 2 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P x=\frac{1}{2}(x+\theta x), \quad x \in M . \tag{2.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $P$ be a bicontractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$ and define $\theta$ by (2.0). We need only show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(x x^{*} x\right)=\theta x(\theta x)^{*} \theta x, \quad \text { for } x \in M . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$, with $x_{1} \in P(M)$ and $x_{2} \in(\mathrm{id}-P)(M)$. Then $\theta x=x_{1}-x_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& x x^{*} x=x_{1} x_{1}^{*} x_{1}+x_{2} x_{2}^{*} x_{2}+2\left\{x_{1} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}+2\left\{x_{2} x_{2} x_{1}\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad+x_{1} x_{2}^{*} x_{1}+x_{2} x_{1}^{*} x_{2}, \\
& \theta x(\theta x) * \theta x= \\
& \quad x_{1} x_{1}^{*} x_{1}-x_{2} x_{2}^{*} x_{2}-2\left\{x_{1} x_{1} x_{2}\right\} \\
& \quad+2\left\{x_{2} x_{2} x_{1}\right\}-x_{1} x_{2}^{*} x_{1}+x_{2} x_{1}^{*} x_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 1 applied to $P$ and id $-P$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left\{x_{1} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}=0, & P\left\{x_{1} x_{2} x_{1}\right\}=0  \tag{2.4}\\
P\left\{x_{2} x_{2} x_{1}\right\}=\left\{x_{2} x_{2} x_{1}\right\}, & P\left\{x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}=\left\{x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Below we shall prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(M) \quad \text { and } \quad(\mathrm{id}-P)(M) \text { are } J^{*} \text {-subalgebras of } M . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\theta=2 P-$ id to (2.2) and using (2.4)-(2.6) we get (2.1).
Thus Theorem 2 will be proved if we can show that the range of a bicontractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra is a $J^{*}$-subalgebra. This will be done in Proposition 1 below, for which we prepare some lemmas.

We need two technical facts in order to prove Proposition 1. First, $P^{\prime \prime}$ fixes the atomic part of $P^{\prime \prime}$ (Lemma 4) and second, the decompositions $x=\mathscr{E}_{0} x+\mathscr{T}_{0} x$ of $x \in P^{\prime \prime}(M)$ and $x=\mathscr{E}_{1} x+\mathscr{T}_{1} x$ (defined in the introduction) coincide (Lemma 5). Lemmas 1 and 2 are preliminary to Lemma 3, which is needed to prove Lemma 5.

Lemma 1. Let $A$ be a $J W$-algebra and let $R$ be a normal unital bicontractive projection on $A$. Then $R(A)$ is a $J W$-subalgebra of $A$ and if $R(A)$ is purely non-atomic then so is $A$.

Proof. The fact that $R(A)$ is a $J W$-subalgebra follows from [9]. By Corollary $3, R=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id}+\theta)$ with $\theta$ a Jordan automorphism of $A$.

Suppose that $\varphi$ is a multiple of a normal pure state of $A$. Then $\psi \equiv R^{\prime} \varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi+\theta^{\prime} \varphi\right)$ is a purely atomic normal positive functional on $A$ and can therefore be written as a linear combination of two orthogonal normal pure states of $A$. It follows that $E(\psi) A$ is a $J W$-algebra of rank $\leq 2$. Now $E(\psi) R(A)$ is a $J W$-subalgebra of $E(\psi) A$, hence also of rank $\leq 2$. Since $\psi$ is in the range of $(E(\psi) R)^{\prime}$ it can be written as a linear combination of two atoms of $R^{\prime} E(\psi)$, which are atoms of $R^{\prime}$ by [5: Remark 1.3]. Since $R(A)$ is purely non-atomic we must have $\psi=0$. But $R$ is faithful, so $\varphi=0$.

In the lemmas that follow, $P$ denotes a bicontractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$.

Lemma 2. The atoms of $P^{\prime}$ lie in the convex hull of the extremal points of the unit ball $M_{1}^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be an atom of $P^{\prime}$. Let $A$ be the $J W$-algebra which is the self-adjoint part of $E(f) M^{\prime \prime}$, and let $R=E(f) P^{\prime \prime}$ on $A$. By ( 0.8 ) and [4: Prop. 3.7], $R$ is a unital bicontractive projection on $A$ with $R(A)=\mathbf{R} \cdot 1_{A}$. According to [9: Prop. 2.6] there are three possible cases: $A=\mathbf{R} \cdot 1_{A}$, $A=\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}, A=$ a spin factor. Therefore $E(f) M^{\prime \prime}$ is a Jordan algebra of rank $\leq 2$, and so $f$ is a convex combination of at most two extremal elements of $E(f) M^{\prime}$, which, by [5: Remark 1.3] are extremal points of $M_{1}^{\prime}$.

We shall now use Lemmas 1 and 2 to show that the decomposition (0.4) of a functional in the image of $P^{\prime}$ coincides with the decomposition corresponding to the identity projection.

Lemma 3. For each $\varphi$ in $P^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ we have $\mathscr{E}_{0} \varphi=\mathscr{E}_{1} \varphi$ and $\mathscr{T}_{0} \varphi=\mathscr{T}_{1} \varphi$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime} \mathscr{E}_{0}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{E}_{1} P^{\prime} \mathscr{T}_{1}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_{1}=\mathscr{E}_{0} \varphi, \varphi_{2}=\mathscr{T}_{0} \varphi$, and let $R=E\left(\varphi_{2}\right) P^{\prime \prime}$ restricted to $A=E\left(\varphi_{2}\right) M^{\prime \prime}$. By ( 0.8 ) $R(A)$ is a $J W$-subalgebra of $A$ and by the definition of $\mathscr{T}_{0} \varphi, R(A)=E\left(\varphi_{2}\right) P^{\prime \prime}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is purely non-atomic. By Lemma $1, A$ is purely non-atomic so that $\varphi_{2}=\mathscr{T}_{1} \varphi_{2}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2,

$$
\mathscr{E}_{0} \varphi=\mathscr{E}_{1} \varphi_{1}=\mathscr{E}_{1}\left(\varphi-\varphi_{2}\right)=\mathscr{E}_{1} \varphi-\mathscr{E}_{1} \mathscr{T}_{1} \varphi_{2}=\mathscr{E}_{1} \varphi
$$

We now prove (2.7). Let $\varphi \in M^{\prime}$, and write $\varphi=P^{\prime} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{id}-P^{\prime}\right) \varphi$. Decompose $P^{\prime} \varphi$ and $\left(\mathrm{id}-P^{\prime}\right) \varphi$ with respect to $P^{\prime}$ and id $-P^{\prime}$ respectively:

$$
P^{\prime} \varphi=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}, \quad\left(\mathrm{id}-P^{\prime}\right) \varphi=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime} \mathscr{E}_{1} \varphi & =\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime} \mathscr{E}_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}+\psi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\psi_{2}\right) \\
& =\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{1}+\psi_{1}\right)=\mathscr{T}_{1} \varphi_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar argument gives $\mathscr{E}_{1} P^{\prime} \mathscr{T}_{1}=0$.
Lemma 4. Let $v$ be a minimal tripotent of $P^{\prime \prime}$. Then $P^{\prime \prime} v=v$.
Proof. By [4: Prop. 1], $P^{\prime \prime} v=v+b$ where $b=\mathscr{T} P^{\prime \prime} v$ and $\mathscr{T}$ is defined in [4: Intro.]. Since $b=\mathscr{T} b, P^{\prime \prime}$ vanishes on the $J^{*}$-algebra $B$ generated by $b$. Since $b$ is orthogonal to $v$, the $J^{*}$-algebra $J=\mathbf{C} v \oplus B$ generated by $v$ and $b$ is commutative in the sense of [3]. By restriction $P^{\prime \prime}$ is a bicontractive projection on $J$ and so has the form $P^{\prime \prime} x=\frac{1}{2}(x+\theta x)$ for $x \in J$, where $\theta$ is a $J^{*}$-automorphism of $J$ of order 2 [3: Prop. 3.3]. Now $\theta=-\mathrm{id}$ on $B$ so $\theta(B)=B$ and therefore $\theta v$ is orthogonal to $B$. Hence $\theta v=\lambda v$ and therefore $P^{\prime \prime} v=v$.

Lemma 5. Let $x \in P^{\prime \prime}\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{E}_{0} x=\mathscr{E}_{1} x$, and $\mathscr{T}_{0} x=\mathscr{T}_{1} x$.

Proof. Since $x=\mathscr{E}_{0} x+\mathscr{T}_{0} x$, we have $x=P^{\prime \prime} x=P^{\prime \prime} \mathscr{E}_{0} x+P^{\prime \prime} \mathscr{T}_{0} x$. by Lemma 4 and (0.5), $P^{\prime \prime} \mathscr{E}_{0} x=\mathscr{E}_{0} x$, whence $\mathscr{T}_{0} x=P^{\prime \prime} \mathscr{T}_{0} x$. Let $y=\mathscr{T}_{0} x$. If $\psi \in M^{\prime}$ is arbitrary,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle y, \psi\rangle & =\left\langle P^{\prime \prime} y, \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle y, P^{\prime} \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathscr{T}_{0} x, \mathscr{E}_{0} P^{\prime} \psi+\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime} \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathscr{T}_{0} x, \mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{E}_{1} \psi+\mathscr{T}_{1} \psi\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle y, \mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime} \mathscr{T}_{1} \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime \prime} \mathscr{T}_{1} y, \psi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(we have used (2.7)). Therefore $y=\mathscr{T}_{1} y$. We now have $\mathscr{T}_{0} x=y=\mathscr{T}_{1} y=$ $\mathscr{T}_{1} \mathscr{T}_{0} x=\mathscr{T}_{1} x$, and thus $\mathscr{E}_{0} x=\mathscr{E}_{1} x$.

Proposition 1. Let $P$ be a bicontractive projection on a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$. Then $P(M)$ is a $J^{*}$-subalgebra of $M$.

Proof. Let $x \in P(M)$. Write $x=\mathscr{E}_{0} x+\mathscr{T}_{0} x$. Then

$$
x x^{*} x=\mathscr{E}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\right) * \mathscr{E}_{0} x+\mathscr{T}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{T}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{T}_{0} x
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x x^{*} x\right)=P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{E}_{0} x\right)+P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathscr{T}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{T}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{T}_{0} x\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (0.5) and Lemma 4, $P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{E}_{0} x\right)=\mathscr{E}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{E}_{0} x$. Also by Lemma $5 P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathscr{T}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{T}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{T}_{0} x\right)=\mathscr{T}_{1} P^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathscr{T}_{0}\right) x\left(\mathscr{T}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{T}_{0}(x)$. Applying $\mathscr{E}_{1}$ to (2.8) therefore yields

$$
\mathscr{E}_{1} P\left(x x^{*} x\right)=\mathscr{E}_{0} x\left(\mathscr{E}_{0} x\right)^{*} \mathscr{E}_{0} x=\mathscr{E}_{1}\left(x x^{*} x\right)
$$

by Lemma 5 . Since the map $y \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{1} y$ is isometric on $M$ we have proved that $P\left(x x^{*} x\right)=x x^{*} x$.

For any partial isometry $v$ in a $J^{*}$-algebra, $P=E(v)+F(v)$ is a contractive projection by [4: Lemma 1.1]. Here, id $-P=G(v)$ is also contractive and $\theta=2 P-\mathrm{id}=E(v)+F(v)-G(v)$ is the symmetry defined by $v$ (cf. [5: Lemma 3.1]).

Formula (ii) of Theorem 1 has been obtained recently for contractive projections on $J B^{*}$-triples by W. Kaup in a preprint "Contractive Projections on Jordan $C^{*}$-algebras and generalizations", using methods different from ours. In particular, this settles the Robertson-Youngson conjecture for $J B$-algebras.

The following question arises naturally in connection with Theorem 2: Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ be contractive projections on a $J^{*}$-algebra $M$ and suppose $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}=$ id. Does there exist a $J^{*}$-automorphism $\theta$ of order 3 such
that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{1} x=\left(x+\theta x+\theta^{2} x\right) / 3  \tag{2.9}\\
P_{2} x=\left(x+\omega \theta x+\omega^{2} \theta^{2} x\right) / 3 \\
P_{3} x=\left(x+\omega^{2} \theta x+\omega \theta x\right) / 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\omega=\exp (2 \pi i / 3)$ ?
The answer is easily verified to be yes for the Peirce projections $P_{1}=E(v), P_{2}=G(v), P_{3}=F(v)$ of an arbitrary partial isometry $v$. The answer can also be shown to be yes for commutative $J^{*}$-algebras by using [3]. However, the answer is no in general. To see this note that (2.9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=P_{1}+\omega P_{2}+\omega^{2} P_{3} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $M$ be the $J^{*}$-algebra of 2 by 2 complex matrices and for $x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in M$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{1} x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right], \quad P_{2} x=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{2}(b+c) \\
\frac{1}{2}(b+c) & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
P_{3} x=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{2}(b-c) \\
\frac{1}{2}(c-b) & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

By (2.10),

$$
\theta x=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & \frac{1}{2}(b+c) \omega+\frac{1}{2}(b-c) \omega^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}(b+c) \omega^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(c-b) \omega & d
\end{array}\right]
$$

and it follows that $\theta$ is not a $J^{*}$-automorphism, i.e., $\theta(x) \theta(x)^{*} \theta(x) \neq$ $\theta\left(x x^{*} x\right)$ if $x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ for example.
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