

## FINITE GROUP ACTION AND VANISHING OF $N_*^G[F]$

N. J. DEV AND S. S. KHARE

Let  $G$  be a finite group (not necessarily abelian). The object of this paper is to describe a  $G$ -bordism theory which vanishes. We construct a family  $F$  of  $G$  slice types, such that the  $N_*$ -module  $N_*^G[F]$  is zero. Kosniowski has proved a similar result earlier for a finite abelian group. The present work is a generalisation of his result by using basically the same technique. A recent result of Khare is obtained as a corollary to the vanishing of  $N_*^G[F]$ .

**1. Preliminaries and statement of the main theorem.** Let  $G$  be a finite group with centre  $C(G)$  and  $G_2$  be the subgroup generated by the elements of order 2 in  $C(G)$ . We also assume that  $G_2$  is nontrivial. By a  $G$ -manifold  $M$  we mean throughout a closed differentiable manifold on which  $G$  acts smoothly.  $G_x$  denotes the isotropy subgroup at  $x \in M$ . For every  $x \in M$ , there exists a  $G_x$ -module  $\bar{V}_x$  which is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a  $G_x$ -invariant neighbourhood of  $x$ .  $\bar{V}_x$  has a submodule  $V'_x$  in which  $G_x$  acts trivially and a complementary submodule  $V_x$  in which no nonzero element is fixed by all of  $G_x$ . By the  $G$ -slice type of  $x$  we mean the pair  $[G_x; V_x]$ . By a  $G$ -slice type we mean a pair  $[H; U]$  where  $U$  is a  $H$ -module in which no nonzero element is fixed by all of  $H$  (equivalently  $U$  contains no trivial  $H$ -submodule). A family  $F$  of  $G$ -slice types is a collection of  $G$ -slice types such that if  $[H; U] \in F$  then for every  $x \in G \times_H U$  the  $G$ -slice type  $[G_x; V_x] \in F$ . A  $G$ -manifold is said to be of type  $F$  if for all  $x \in M$ ,  $[G_x, V_x] \in F$ . Bordism relation is defined in the usual way. Two  $n$ -dimensional closed  $G$ -manifolds  $M_1, M_2$  of type  $F$  are said to be  $F$ -bordant if there exists an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional compact differentiable  $G$ -manifold  $W$  of type  $(F, F)$  such that the disjoint union of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is  $G$  equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $\partial W$ . It is clearly an equivalence relation on the set of  $G$ -manifolds of type  $F$  and gives rise to a bordism theory  $N_*^G[F]$ . We note that  $N_*^G[F]$  is a graded  $N_*$ -module,  $N_*$  being the unoriented bordism ring.

Kosniowski has described a family  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  in [4] for an abelian group  $G$  such that  $N_*^G[\tilde{F}(\hat{G})] = \hat{0}$ ,  $\hat{G}$  being a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $G_2$ . As a consequence he proved that if  $M$  is a  $G$ -manifold ( $G$  abelian) in which  $G_2$  acts without fixed points then  $M$  is a  $G$ -boundary—a result obtained earlier by Khare using a different technique [1]. The main theorem of this

paper is a generalisation of Kosniowski's theorem in [4] for an arbitrary finite group. Once again another result of Khare [2] is obtained as a corollary of this theorem.

The subgroup  $G_2$  consisting of the identity and elements of order two in the centre of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_2^k$  for some  $k > 0$ . Kosniowski has studied  $\mathbf{Z}_2^k$ -bordism in [3] and the techniques used here are generalized from his techniques. We choose once for all a basis  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  of  $G_2$  and order the elements by

$$g_1 < g_2 < \dots < g_k < g_1g_2 < \dots < g_1g_k < \dots < g_1g_2 \dots g_k.$$

Now let  $[G_x; V_x]$  be the  $G$ -slice type of a point  $x$  in a  $G$ -manifold  $M$  and  $G(x)$  be the orbit of  $x$ . Then  $G(x)$  is a closed and compact submanifold of  $M$ . Consider the normal bundle  $\nu(i)$  of the canonical embedding of  $G(x)$  in  $M$ . This is a  $G$ -vector bundle and its disc bundle is a closed  $G$ -invariant tubular neighborhood of  $G(x)$ . Further  $G$  acts as a group of bundle maps on the normal bundle and the fibre over  $x$  is  $G_x$ -invariant and contains no  $G_x$ -trivial subspace. It is precisely  $V_x$  the  $G_x$ -module present in the  $G$ -slice type  $[G_x; V_x]$  of  $x$ . Let  $g_*$  be the map on the total space  $E(\nu(i))$  induced by the action of  $g$  on the base space  $G(x)$ . The  $G$ -slice type of  $gx \in G(x)$  is  $[gG_x\bar{g}^{-1}; g_*V_x]$ . The underlying vector space of  $V_x$  and  $g_*V_x$  are same and the action of  $gh\bar{g}^{-1}$ ,  $h \in G_x$  on  $v \in g_*V_x$  is same as the action of  $h$  on  $v \in V_x$ . Again if  $F$  be a family of  $G$ -slice types and  $[H; V] \in F$  then from the definition of family the  $G$ -slice type  $[G_x; V_x]$  of every point  $x \in G \times_H V$  belongs to  $F$ . Now the  $G$ -slice type of  $[e, 0] \in G \times_H V$  is  $[H; V]$  and the  $G$  slice type of  $[g, 0] \in G \times_H V$  is  $[gHg^{-1}; g_*V]$ . The  $G$ -slice type  $[H; V]$  will be denoted by  $\rho$  and the collection

$$\{ [gHg^{-1}; g_*V] | g \in G \}$$

termed as a conjugate class of  $G$ -slice types will be denoted by  $\bar{\rho}$  or  $[H; V]^g$ .

Suppose that  $K$  is a subgroup of  $H$ . We write  $K \subset_2 H$  if  $H = (x) \times K$  where  $x \in G_2$ . Quite a number of elements of  $G_2$  may yield  $H$  when a direct product of above type is formed. We take the minimal element  $x$  according to the total order fixed at the beginning of this article. We now have a homomorphism

$$p = p_{H,K}: H \rightarrow K.$$

which is the projection onto the second factor. This is termed as the distinguished projection. It enables us to obtain an  $H$ -module  $p^*U$  from a  $K$ -module  $U$ . The modules  $p^*U$  and  $U$  have the same underlying vector

space and  $H$  acts on  $p^*U$  via the map  $p$ . Corresponding to a  $G$  slice type  $[K; U]$  such that  $K \subset_2 H$  we have an extension function  $e = e_{K,H}$  given by

$$e_{K,H}[K; U] = [H; V(K) \oplus p^*U]$$

where  $V(K)$  is one dimensional real representation of  $H$  in which  $h \in H$  acts by multiplication with 1 if  $h \in K$  and multiplication with  $-1$  if  $h \notin K$ . Since  $gHg^{-1} = (x) \times gKg^{-1}$  when  $H = (x) \times K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e[gKg^{-1}; g_*U] &= [gHg^{-1}; V(gKg^{-1}) \oplus p^*(g_*U)] \\ &= [gHg^{-1}; g_*(V(K) \oplus p^*U)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $e_{K,H}$  induces a map  $e^g = e_{K,H}^g$  on the collection of conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types  $[K; U]^g$  and

$$e_{K,H}^g[K; U]^g = [H; V(K) \oplus p^*U]^g.$$

Corresponding to a subgroup  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  containing  $G_2$  we have three families of  $G$  slice types.

$$F(\hat{G}) = \{[gHg^{-1}; g_*V] \mid [H, V] \text{ is a } G \text{ slice type}$$

$$\text{with } H \text{ contained in } \hat{G}, g \in G\}$$

$$F'(\hat{G}) = \{[K; U] \in F(\hat{G}) \mid K \cap G_2 \neq G_2\}$$

and

$$\tilde{F}(\hat{G}) = F'(\hat{G}) \cup \{e_{K,H}[K; U] \mid [K; U] \in F'(\hat{G})$$

$$\text{and } K \subset_2 H \text{ with } H \cap G_2 = G_2\}.$$

That each collection is a family is clear. Now we are in a position to state the main theorem of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  be a finite group and  $\hat{G}$  be a subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $G_2$  then  $N_*^G[\tilde{F}(\hat{G})] = 0$ .*

**COROLLARY (Khare [2]).** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group. If  $M$  is a  $G$ -manifold on which  $G_2$  acts without fixed points then  $M$  is a  $G$ -boundary.*

The corollary follows because if  $G_2$  acts without fixed points then an isotropy subgroup  $H$  of a point in  $M$  satisfies the condition  $H \cap G_2 \neq G_2$  so that  $M$  is of the type  $F'(G)$  and consequently of the type  $\tilde{F}(G)$ .

The proof of the theorem will be given in §7. In §2, §3, §4 and §5, we develop the necessary tools and results.

**2. Vector bundles of type  $\bar{\rho}$ .** Let  $F' \subseteq F$  be two families of  $G$  slice types with  $F = F' \cup \bar{\rho}$  where  $\bar{\rho}$  is a class of conjugate  $G$  slice types. By a  $G$ -vector bundle of type  $\bar{\rho}$  we mean a  $G$ -vector bundle  $\xi: E(\xi) \xrightarrow{p} B(\xi)$  where the set of points of  $E(\xi)$  having  $G$  slice type in  $\bar{\rho}$  is precisely the zero section. We have the bundle bordism groups  $N_n^G[\bar{\rho}]$  obtained by defining a bordism relation on the set of all  $G$  vector bundles of type  $\bar{\rho}$  having total dimension  $n$ .

Let  $M^n$  be a  $G$ -manifold of type  $F$  and  $F_{\bar{\rho}}$  be the set of all points in  $M^n$  with slice type in  $\bar{\rho}$ . Then the normal bundle over  $F_{\bar{\rho}}$  is a  $G$  vector bundle of type  $\bar{\rho}$ . This assignment of the normal bundle over  $F_{\bar{\rho}}$  in  $M^n$  leads to a  $N_*$ -homomorphism

$$\nu_{\bar{\rho}}: N_n^G[F] \rightarrow N_n^G[\bar{\rho}].$$

We have the following proposition and lemmas involving the bundle bordism groups.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *There exists a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow N_n^G[F'] \rightarrow N_n^G[F] \xrightarrow{\nu} N_n^G[\bar{\rho}] \xrightarrow{\partial} N_{n-1}^G[F'] \rightarrow \cdots$$

where  $F' \subseteq F$  are families of  $G$  slice types such that  $F - F' = \bar{\rho}$ .

For proof we refer to 1.4.2 of [3].

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose that  $K \subset_2 H$  and  $\bar{\rho} = [H; V]^g$ ,  $\bar{\rho}' = [K; U]^g$  be two classes of conjugate  $G$  slice types such that  $e^g(\bar{\rho}') = \bar{\rho}$ . Then there exists an  $N_*$ -isomorphism*

$$N_n^G[\bar{\rho}] \rightarrow N_{n-1}^G[\bar{\rho}']$$

given by  $[\xi] \rightarrow [\nu_{\bar{\rho}}S(\xi)]$ , where  $S(\xi)$  is the sphere bundle of  $\xi$ .

The proof of this lemma is similar to that given for Lemma 4.5.8 of [3].

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \cdots$  be a sequence of families of  $G$ -slice types with*

- (i)  $F_0 = \bar{\rho}_0 = \{[e; \mathbf{R}^0]\}$
- (ii)  $F_i = F_{i-1} \cup \bar{\rho}_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$
- (iii)  $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} F_i = F$

and

- (iv)  $e^g(\bar{\rho}_{2i}) = \bar{\rho}_{2i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $N_*^G[F] = 0$ .

*Proof.* Using Proposition 2 and Lemma 3 we get

$$N_*^G[F_{2i}] = N_*^G[\bar{\rho}_{2i}]$$

and  $N_*^G[F_{2i+1}] = 0$ .

Taking direct limit

$$N_*^G[F] = \lim_{\rightarrow} N_*^G[F_i] = 0.$$

The rest of the paper is aimed to show that the family  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  satisfies the conditions laid down in Lemma 4. The  $G$  slice types of  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  are to be ordered suitably now in order to get the families  $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots$ .

**3. Ordering the conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types.** We define three distinct relations  $<$  on the collection  $\tilde{A}$  of all subgroups conjugates to subgroups of  $\hat{G}$ , on the collection of all  $H$ -modules,  $H \in \tilde{A}$  and finally on the collection of all conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of the family  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  and extend each of these relations into a total order on the respective collection. We note that the elements of  $G_2$  are totally ordered by

$$g_1 < g_2 < \dots < g_k < g_1g_2 < \dots < g_1g_k < \dots < g_1g_2 \dots g_k$$

and a subgroup  $H_2$  of  $G_2$  has a distinguished base  $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_m$  such that  $h_1$  ( $\neq$  identity) is the least element in  $H$  and for  $i > 1$ ,  $h_i$  is the least element in  $H$  which is not present in  $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{i-1})$ , the subgroup generated by  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{i-1}$ . The subgroups of  $G_2$  are now totally ordered first by the order of the subgroup and then lexicographically on the distinguished base:

$$(e) < (g_1) < (g_2) < \dots < (g_1g_2 \dots g_k) < (g_1, g_2) < \dots.$$

*Rule A.* Let  $H$  and  $K$  belong to  $\tilde{A}$ . We define  $\leq$  by:

- (i) if  $|H| \leq |K|$  Then  $H \leq K$ ,
- (ii) if  $|H| = |K|$  and  $|K_2| \leq |H_2|$ . Then  $H \leq K$  where  $K_2 = K \cap G_2$  and  $H_2 = H \cap G_2$ ,
- (iii) if  $|H| = |K|$ ,  $|K_2| = |H_2|$  but  $H_2 \leq K_2$  then  $H \leq K$  and
- (iv) if  $|H| = |K|$ ,  $H_2 = K_2$  then we order them arbitrarily so as to make the relation  $\leq$  a total ordering on  $\tilde{A}$ .

Next a relation  $\leq$  is introduced on the collection of all nontrivial irreducible  $H$ -modules  $H \in \tilde{A}$ . We write  $U \leq V$  if  $U = V$  or else there exists  $K \subset_2 H$  such that  $U = p^*i^*V$  where  $i: K \rightarrow H$  is the natural inclusion and  $p: H \rightarrow K$  is the distinguished projection. We now have the following lemma whose proof is similar to Lemma 8 of [4].

**LEMMA 5.** *The relation  $\leq$  is a partial order on the collection of all nontrivial irreducible  $H$ -modules.*

We now choose a total ordering on the set of all nontrivial irreducible  $H$ -modules having the same dimension compatible with the partial ordering introduced. The total ordering is now extended to all irreducible

$H$ -modules by writing  $U \leq V$  if and only if  $\dim U \leq \dim V$ . Since any  $H$ -module can be expressed uniquely as the sum of irreducible  $H$ -modules, we can extend this total ordering on all  $H$ -modules by lexicography. The following rule expresses the whole rule concisely.

**Rule B.** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two  $H$ -modules.

- (i) If  $\dim U \leq \dim V$  then  $U \leq V$
- (ii) If  $\dim U = \dim V$  and  $V$  follows  $U$  lexicographically then  $U \leq V$ .

Finally Rule C given as below defines the order  $\leq$  on the collection of all classes of conjugate  $G$  slice types of the family  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$ .

**Rule C.** Let  $\bar{\rho} = [H; U]^g$ ,  $\bar{\rho}' = [K; V]^g$  be two classes of conjugate  $G$  slice types of  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$

- (i) If  $\dim U \leq \dim V$  then  $\bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}'$ .
- (ii) If  $\dim U = \dim V$  and  $H \leq K$  then  $\bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}'$ .
- (iii) If  $\dim U = \dim V$ ,  $H = K$  and  $U \leq V$  then  $\bar{\rho} \leq \bar{\rho}'$ .

We now proceed to prove some algebraic results relating to the extension map  $e$ .

**4. Algebraic lemmas and extension map.** The following lemmas are generalisations of propositions of  $\mathbf{Z}_2^k$  bordism given in 4.5 of [3]

**LEMMA 6.** Let  $(e) \subset K \subset {}_2 H \subset G$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 < g_2 < \cdots < g_k, \\ h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_m, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_{m-1}$$

be the distinguished bases of  $G_2$ ,  $H_2$  and  $K_2$  respectively and  $r$  be the greatest integer for which  $k_i = h_i$  for all  $i < r$ . Then  $K$  is not contained in a predecessor of  $H$  if and only if  $h_i = g_i$  for all  $i < r$ . (By a predecessor of  $H$  we mean a subgroup  $H' \simeq H$  such that  $H'_2 < H_2$ .)

*Proof.* We have  $(e) \subset K_2 \subset {}_2 H_2 \subset G_2$ . If  $K \subset {}_2 H'$ , a predecessor of  $H$  then by definition  $K_2 \subset {}_2 H'_2$ , a predecessor of  $H_2$ . Further if  $K_2 \subset {}_2 H'_2$ , a predecessor of  $H_2$ , then  $H'_2 = (x) \times K_2$  ( $x$  being chosen minimally) and  $K \subset {}_2 (x) \times K$ , a predecessor of  $H$ .

Thus  $K$  is not contained in a predecessor of  $H$  if and only if  $K_2$  is not contained in a predecessor of  $H_2$ . The latter statement implies and is implied by  $h_i = g_i$  for all  $i < r$  and this follows from 4.5.12 of [3].

LEMMA 7. Let  $K \subset_2 H$ ,  $K' \subset_2 H$  with  $K$  and  $K'$  not contained in a predecessor of  $H$ . If

$$H = (x) \times K = (x') \times K'$$

where  $x$  and  $x'$  are chosen minimally,  $x \in K'$ ,  $x' \in K$  and  $K$  precedes  $K'$  then  $K \cap K'$  is not contained in a predecessor of  $K$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$H_2 = (x) \times K_2 = (x') \times K'_2.$$

and  $K_2$  precedes  $K'_2$ . By the Proposition 4.5.13 of [3],  $K_2 \cap K'_2$  is not contained in a predecessor of  $K_2$  and this in turn implies that  $K \cap K'$  is not contained in a predecessor of  $K$ .

In order to proceed further we need the following constructions and lemmas.

$S(H)$  = collection of all conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types with isotropy subgroup  $H$ . For any  $K \subset_2 H$  we have the extension function

$$e^g = e_{K,H}^g: S(K) \rightarrow S(H)$$

and consequently a function

$$E^g: \bigcup_{\substack{K \subset_2 H \\ K \not\subset_2 P(H)}} S(K) \rightarrow S(H).$$

where by  $P(H)$  one means a predecessor of  $H$ . Let

$$\bar{S}(K) = S(K) - \text{image} \left\{ E^g: \bigcup_{\substack{L \subset_2 K \\ L \not\subset_2 P(K)}} S(L) \rightarrow S(K) \right\}.$$

The function

$$\bar{E}^g: \bigcup_{\substack{K \subset_2 H \\ K \not\subset_2 P(H)}} \bar{S}(K) \rightarrow S(H)$$

is the restriction of  $E^g$ .

LEMMA 8. Image  $\bar{E}^g = \text{image } E^g$ .

*Proof.* Clearly image  $\bar{E}^g \subseteq \text{image } E^g$ .

Let  $\bar{\rho} \in \text{im } E^g$  i.e.  $\bar{\rho} = e^g(\bar{\rho}')$  for some  $\bar{\rho}' \in S(K)$  where  $K \subset_2 H$  and  $K \not\subset_2 P(H)$ .

If  $\bar{\rho}' \notin \bar{S}(K)$  then  $\bar{\rho}' = e^g(\bar{\rho}'')$  for some  $\bar{\rho}'' \in S(L)$  where  $L \subset_2 K$  and  $L \not\subset_2 P(K)$ . By Lemma 6 we have the following distinguished bases of  $H_2$ ,  $K_2$  and  $L_2$

$$\begin{aligned} L_2: & g_1 < g_2 < \cdots < g_{s-1} < l_s < \cdots \\ K_2: & g_1 < g_2 < \cdots < g_{s-1} < g_s < \cdots < g_{r-1} < k_r < \cdots \\ H_2: & g_1 < g_2 < \cdots < g_{r-1} < g_r < h_{r+1} < \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $l_s \neq g_s$  and  $k_r \neq g_r$ . So

$$H = (g_r) \times K \quad \text{and} \quad K = (g_s) \times L.$$

Writing  $\bar{\rho}'' = [L; U]^g$  we get

$$\bar{\rho}' = e^g(\bar{\rho}'') = [K; V(L) \oplus q^*U]^g,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} &= e^g(\bar{\rho}') = [H; V(K) \oplus p^*(V(L) \oplus q^*U)]^g \\ &= [H; V(K) \oplus V((g_r) \times L) \oplus p^*q^*U]^g \end{aligned}$$

$q: K \rightarrow L$  and  $p: H \rightarrow K$  are the distinguished projections.

Taking  $K' = (g_r) \times L$  we note that  $K' \subset_2 H$  and  $K$  precedes  $K'$ . Moreover  $K' \not\subset_2 P(H)$ . Extending  $\bar{\rho}''$  through  $K'$  we get

$$\bar{\rho}''' = e_{L,K'}^g(\bar{\rho}'') = [K'; V(L) \oplus q'^*U]^g \in S(K')$$

and

$$e_{K',H}^g(\bar{\rho}''') = [H; V(K') \oplus V((g_s) \times L) \oplus p'^*q'^*U]^g$$

where  $p': H \rightarrow K'$  and  $q': K' \rightarrow L$  are the distinguished projections. Since  $qp = q'p'$ , we have

$$e_{K',H}^g(\bar{\rho}''') = [H; V(K') \oplus V(K) \oplus p^*q^*U]^g = \bar{\rho}.$$

If  $\bar{\rho}''' \in \bar{S}(K')$  then  $\bar{\rho} \in \text{image } \bar{E}^g$ . If not then by arguing as before we get a conjugate class of  $G$  slice type  $\bar{\rho}^{(v)} \in S(K'')$  such that  $\bar{\rho} = e^g(\bar{\rho}^{(v)})$  where  $K'' \subset_2 H$  and  $K < K' < K'' \not\subset_2 P(H)$ .

Continuing this way we exhaust all the finite number of possibilities and find some  $\bar{\rho}^{(2n+1)} \in \bar{S}(K^{(n)})$  such that  $K^{(n)} \subset_2 H$ ,  $K^{(n)} \not\subset_2 P(H)$  and  $\bar{\rho} = e^g(\bar{\rho}^{(2n+1)})$  i.e.  $\bar{\rho} \in \text{image } \bar{E}^g$ .

LEMMA 9. *The function*

$$\bar{E}^g: \bigcup_{\substack{K \subset_2 H \\ K \not\subset_2 P(H)}} S(K) \rightarrow S(H)$$

*is injective.*

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$\bar{\rho} = [K; U]^g, \bar{\rho}' = [K'; U']^g$$

where  $K$  and  $K' \subset_2 H$ ,  $K$  and  $K' \not\subset_2 P(H)$ ,  $K$  precedes  $K'$  and

$$e^g(\bar{\rho}) = e^g(\bar{\rho}') = [H; V]^g.$$

From Lemma 6 we get

$$H = (g_r) \times K = (g_s) \times K'$$

where  $g_r$  and  $g_s$  are the minimal possible choices and  $s < r$ . We have

$$[H; V(K) \oplus p^*U]^g = [H; V]^g = [H; V(K') \oplus p'^*U']^g$$

where  $p: H \rightarrow K$ ,  $p': H \rightarrow K'$  are the distinguished projections. Writing  $U = \sum_i n_i U_i$  and  $U' = \sum_j n'_j U'_j$  where  $U_i$  and  $U'_j$  are nontrivial irreducible  $K$  and  $K'$  modules respectively we get

$$V(K) \oplus \sum_i n_i p^*U_i = V(K') \oplus \sum_j n'_j p'^*U'_j.$$

Since  $K \neq K'$ ,  $V(K) = p'^*U'_i$  for some  $i$  and  $n'_i = 1$ . The underlying vector space of these modules is  $\mathbf{R}$ .

We write  $g_s = g_r^{\alpha_1} k$ ,  $\alpha_1 \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $k \in K$  and consider its action on  $x \in V(K) = p'^*U'_i$ . We get  $g_s x = x$  i.e.  $(-1)^{\alpha_1} x = x$  i.e.  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . So  $g_s \in K$ . Similarly  $g_r \in K'$ . By Lemma 7,  $L = K \cap K' \not\subset_2 P(K)$  and  $K = (g_s) \times L$  ( $L$  is the intersection of two normal subgroups of  $H$ ). We have also the restriction function

$$r^g = r_{H,K}^g: S(H) \rightarrow S(K)$$

such that  $r^g[H; V]^g = [K; I^*V]^g$  where  $I^*V$  is the nontrivial part of  $i^*V$ ,  $i: K \hookrightarrow H$  being the natural inclusion. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{H,K}^g e_{K,H}^g [K; U]^g &= r_{H,K}^g [H; V(K) \oplus p^*U]^g \\ &= [K; I^*(V(K) \oplus p^*U)]^g \\ &= [K; I^*p^*U]^g = [K; i^*p^*U]^g = [K; U]^g \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $r_{H,K}^g e_{K,H}^g = \text{identity}$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} = [K, U]^g &= r_{H,K}^g e_{K,H}^g [K; U]^g = r_{H,K}^g e_{K',H}^g [K'; U']^g \\ &= r_{H,K}^g [H; V(K') \oplus p'^*U']^g \\ &= [K; V(K' \cap K) \oplus I^*p'^*U']^g \\ &= [K; V(L) \oplus N T q^* j'^*U']^g \end{aligned}$$

where  $i: K \hookrightarrow H$ ,  $i': K' \hookrightarrow H$ ,  $j: L \hookrightarrow K$ ,  $j': L \hookrightarrow K'$  are the natural inclusions and  $p: H \rightarrow K$ ,  $p': H \rightarrow K'$ ,  $q: K \rightarrow L$ ,  $q': K' \rightarrow L$  are the distinguished projections. We have  $p'i = j'q$  and  $NT$  stands for the nontrivial part. Also

$$r_{K,L}^g(\bar{\rho}) = [L; NTj^*q^*j'^*U']^g = [L; NTj'^*U']^g$$

(since  $qj = \text{id}$ ). So

$$e_{L,K}^g r_{K,L}^g(\bar{\rho}) = [K; V(L) \oplus NTq^*j'^*U']^g = \bar{\rho}.$$

Thus  $\bar{\rho} = e(\bar{\rho}'')$  for  $\bar{\rho}'' = r_{K,L}(\bar{\rho}) \in S(L)$  and  $L \subset_2 K$ ,  $L \not\subset_2 P(K)$  i.e.

$$\bar{\rho} \in \text{im} \left\{ E^g: \bigcup_{\substack{L \subset_2 K \\ L \not\subset_2 P(K)}} S(L) \rightarrow S(K) \right\}$$

i.e.  $\bar{\rho} \notin \bar{S}(K)$ —a contradiction.

With this we come to an end of this section.

**5. Decomposition of the collection of conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of a family.** If we now define the dimension of a conjugate class of  $G$ -slice types as dimension of the module present therein then it is clear that there are only a finite number of conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of a given dimension. The classes of the family  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  are totally ordered by the Rule C and we index them by nonnegative integers as

$$\bar{\rho}_0 < \bar{\rho}_1 < \bar{\rho}_2 < \dots$$

where  $\bar{\rho}_0 = \{[(e), \mathbf{R}^0]\}$ . We define  $F_j = \bigcup_{i \leq j} \bar{\rho}_i$ .  $F_j$  is clearly a family of  $G$  slice types. Corresponding to the family  $F_j$  we form the collection  $\bar{F}_j = \{\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1, \dots, \bar{\rho}_j\}$  and define inductively three subcollections  $A_j$ ,  $B_j$  and  $C_j$  of  $\bar{F}_j$  such that  $\bar{F}_j = A_j \cup B_j \cup C_j$ . For  $j = 0$ ,  $\bar{F}_j = \{\bar{\rho}_0\}$  and we set

$$A_j = \{\bar{\rho}_0\}, \quad B_j = \emptyset, \quad C_j = \emptyset$$

Let  $A_{j-1}$ ,  $B_{j-1}$ ,  $C_{j-1}$  be defined for some  $j \geq 1$ . We have

$$\bar{F}_{j-1} = A_{j-1} \cup B_{j-1} \cup C_{j-1}$$

and

$$\bar{F}_j = \bar{F}_{j-1} \cup \{\bar{\rho}_j\}.$$

There are two possibilities:

- (i) either  $\bar{\rho}_j = e^g(\bar{\rho})$  for some  $\bar{\rho} \in A_{j-1}$  or
- (ii)  $\bar{\rho}_j \neq e^g(\bar{\rho})$  for any  $\bar{\rho} \in A_{j-1}$ .

In case of (i) We define

$$A_j = A_{j-1} - \{\bar{\rho}\}, \quad B_j = B_{j-1} \cup \{\bar{\rho}_j\}, \quad C_j = C_{j-1} \cup \{\bar{\rho}\}$$

and in case of (ii)

$$A_j = A_{j-1} \cup \{\bar{\rho}_j\}, \quad B_j = B_{j-1}, \quad C_j = C_{j-1}.$$

We now establish an analogue of Lemma 9 of [4].

LEMMA 10. *There is at most one conjugate class of  $G$  slice types  $\bar{\rho} \in A_{j-1}$  such that  $e^g(\bar{\rho}) = \bar{\rho}_j$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is given by induction. Clearly the lemma holds for  $j = 1$ . Let it be true for all  $i < j$ .

Let  $\bar{\rho}_j = e^g(\bar{\rho}_m)$  and take  $\bar{\rho}_j \in S(H)$  and  $\bar{\rho}_m \in S(K)$  with  $K \subset_2 H$ . We claim that  $K \not\subset_2 P(H)$ . If  $K \subset_2 P(H)$  then we choose  $J$  to be the least of all predecessors of  $H$ . We get  $K \subset_2 J$  and

$$\bar{\rho}_i = e_{K,J}^g(\bar{\rho}_m) < \bar{\rho}_j = e_{K,H}^g(\bar{\rho}_m).$$

By the induction hypothesis there exists at most one such  $\bar{\rho}_m$  such that  $\bar{\rho}_i = e_{K,J}^g(\bar{\rho}_m)$ . Consequently neither  $\bar{\rho}_m$  nor  $\bar{\rho}_i$  belongs to  $A_{j-1}$ . So

$$K \not\subset_2 P(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_m \in \bigcup_{\substack{K \subset_2 H \\ K \not\subset_2 P(H)}} S(K).$$

By Lemma 8, this implies

$$\bar{\rho}_j \in \text{image } E^g = \text{image } \bar{E}^g.$$

If now

$$\rho_m \in \text{image} \left\{ E^g: \bigcup_{\substack{L \subset_2 K \\ L \not\subset_2 P(K)}} S(L) \rightarrow S(K) \right\}$$

then  $\bar{\rho}_m = e^g(\bar{\rho}')$  for  $\bar{\rho}' \in S(L)$ ,  $L \subset_2 K$  and  $L \not\subset_2 P(K)$ . From the construction of the families  $A_j$  it follows that  $\bar{\rho}_m \notin A_{j-1}$ . So

$$\bar{\rho}_m \in \bar{S}(K) = S(K) - \text{image} \left\{ E^g: \bigcup_{\substack{L \subset_2 K \\ L \not\subset_2 P(K)}} S(L) \rightarrow S(K) \right\}.$$

By Lemma 9,  $\bar{E}^g$  is injective and this establishes our lemma.

The next theorem further characterises the families  $A_j$ .

LEMMA 11. *If  $N$  is sufficiently large compared to  $n$  then  $A_N$  consists of conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of dimension greater than  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F_i$  be the family which contains all conjugate  $G$  slice types of dimension  $\leq n$  and

$$A_i = \{ \bar{\rho}_{i_1}, \bar{\rho}_{i_2}, \dots, \bar{\rho}_{i_k} \}$$

with  $\bar{\rho}_{i_t} = \{K_{i_t}; U_{i_t}\}^g$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq k$ . Then  $K_t \cap G_2 \neq G_2$  because  $K_t \cap G_2 = G_2 \Rightarrow \bar{\rho}_{i_t} = e^g(\bar{\rho}')$  for some  $\bar{\rho}'$ . We take

$$\rho_{j_t} = e^g(\rho_{i_t})$$

If  $N \geq \max\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$  then clearly  $A_N$  does not contain any conjugate class of  $G$  slice types of dimension  $\leq n$ .

The next theorem reveals the necessity of ordering the conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types.

**THEOREM 12.** *If  $[H; U]$  is a  $G$  slice type and  $\bar{\rho} \in A_j$  is a conjugate class of  $G$  slice types of an orbit of a point of  $G \times_H U$ , then either  $\bar{\rho} = [H; U]^g$  or  $[H; U]^g \notin \bar{F}_j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\rho} \neq [H; U]^g$ . Then  $\bar{\rho}$  is not the conjugate class of  $G$  slice types of the orbit of  $[e, 0] \in G \times_H U$ . So  $\bar{\rho}$  is a conjugate class of  $G$  slice types of the orbit of a point  $[e, u] \in G \times_H U$ ,  $0 \neq u \in U$ . The isotropy subgroup of  $[e, u]$  is a proper subgroup  $K$  of  $H$ . We can write  $\bar{\rho} = [K; I^*U]^g$  where  $I^*U$  is the nontrivial part of  $i^*U$ ,  $i: K \hookrightarrow H$  being the natural inclusion. Clearly  $\dim I^*U \leq \dim i^*U = \dim U$ . We now discuss the two possible cases separately.

*Case I.*  $K \subset_2 H$  i.e.  $H = (x) \times K$ .

We have

$$e_{K,H}(\bar{\rho}) = [H; V(K) \oplus p^*I^*U]^g$$

where  $p: H \rightarrow K$  is the distinguished projection.

Since  $K$  fixes  $u \in U$ ,  $K$  has trivial action on the one dimensional subspace  $L(u)$  spanned by  $u$ . Also  $H$  has nontrivial action on  $L(u)$ . So  $(x)$  acts on  $L(u)$  nontrivially and we get  $V(K) = L(u) \subset U$ . If

$$\dim(V(K) \oplus p^*I^*U) < \dim U$$

then

$$\bar{\rho} < \bar{\rho}_k = e_{K,H}^g(\bar{\rho}) \leq [H; U]^g = \bar{\rho}_l.$$

If

$$\dim(V(K) \oplus p^*I^*U) = \dim U$$

then  $\dim I^*U$  is just one less than  $\dim U$  and by writing  $U = V(K) \oplus U'$  we get  $I^*U = i^*U'$ . So  $p^*I^*U = p^*i^*U' \leq U'$  by the ordering of irreducible  $H$ -modules and its extension by lexicography i.e.  $V(K) \oplus p^*I^*U \leq V(K) \oplus U' = U$ . Again we have

$$\bar{\rho} < \bar{\rho}_k = e_{K,H}^g(\bar{\rho}) \leq [H; U]^g = \rho_l.$$

*Case II.* Let  $K \not\subset_2 H$  i.e.  $K < H$  but  $H \neq (x) \times K$  for any  $x \in G_2$ . If  $K_2 = G_2$  then the class  $\bar{\rho}$  is the  $e^g$ -image of some conjugate class of  $G$  slice types occurring earlier according to the order so constructed. But this means  $\bar{\rho} \notin A_j$ —a contradiction. So  $K_2 \subsetneq G_2$  and there exists an element  $x \in G_2$  such that  $(x) \times K$  can be formed. Since  $K$  is a proper subgroup of  $H$ ,  $|(x) \times K| \leq |H|$ . If  $|(x) \times K| < |H|$  then by (i) of Rule A

$$\bar{\rho} < \bar{\rho}_k < \bar{\rho}_t.$$

If  $|(x) \times K| = |H|$  then  $|H: K| = \text{index of } K \text{ in } H = 2$ . Since  $K \not\subset_2 H$ ,  $x \notin H$ . Also there does not exist  $y \in G_2$  such that  $y \in H$  but  $y \notin K$ .

Hence  $K_2 = H_2$  and  $|(x) \times K_2| > |H_2|$ . By (ii) of Rule A,  $(x) \times K < H$  and

$$\bar{\rho} < \bar{\rho}_k < \bar{\rho}_t.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_t &= [H; U]^g \in \bar{F}_j \Rightarrow \bar{\rho}_t < \bar{\rho}_j \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{\rho} < e^g(\bar{\rho}) = \bar{\rho}_k < \bar{\rho}_t < \bar{\rho}_j \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{\rho} \in A_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\rho} \notin A_k \quad (\text{Lemma 10}) \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{\rho} \notin A_j \text{—a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

A consequence of this theorem is:

**COROLLARY 13.** *The union of all conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of  $B_j$  and  $C_j$  is a family.*

*Proof.* Let  $[H; U]^g \in B_j \cup C_j \subseteq F_j$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  is a conjugate class of  $G$ -slice types of an orbit of a point of  $G \times_H U$ . Clearly  $\bar{\rho} \subset F_j$ . If  $\bar{\rho} \notin B_j \cup C_j$  then  $\bar{\rho} \in A_j$  and this contradicts Theorem 12.

**6. Proof of the main theorem.** We denote the elements of  $C_j$  by  $\bar{\sigma}_0, \bar{\sigma}_2, \dots, \bar{\sigma}_{2k}$  where  $k = |C_j|$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_{2t} \leq \bar{\sigma}_{2m}$  if and only if  $t \leq m$ . We have  $B_j = \{e^g(\bar{\sigma}_{2i}) | 0 \leq i \leq k\}$  and write  $e^g(\bar{\sigma}_{2i}) = \bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}$ .

By Corollary 13,  $\tilde{F}_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^k \bar{\sigma}_i$  is a family when  $k$  is odd. When  $k$  is even  $\tilde{F}_k$  is again a family because the  $G$  slice types of  $\bar{\sigma}_k$  are ‘maximal’ in  $\tilde{F}_k$ . By Lemma 11 we see that  $\tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 4 and so

$$N_*^G[\tilde{F}(\hat{G})] = 0.$$

An alternative proof of Theorem 1 can be given by generalising Theorem 4.5.11 of [3].

**THEOREM 14.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus \nu_i: N_*^G[F_j] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\bar{\rho}_i \in A_j} N_*^G[\bar{\rho}_i].$$

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction. Clearly the result is true for  $j = 0$ . Now suppose it is true for  $j - 1$  i.e.

$$\bigoplus \nu_i: N_*^G[F_{j-1}] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\bar{\rho}_i \in A_{j-1}} N_*^G[\bar{\rho}_i].$$

From the long exact sequence of Proposition 2 we have the composite

$$\nu_i \partial_j: N_n^G[\bar{\rho}_j] \rightarrow N_{n-1}^G[\bar{\rho}_i].$$

If  $\nu_i \partial_j \neq 0$  then  $\bar{\rho}_i$  is a conjugate class of  $G$  slice types of  $G \times_H V$  where  $[H, V]^g = \bar{\rho}_i$  and by Theorem 12  $\rho_i \notin A_j$ .

Now for the class  $\bar{\rho}_j$  there exists almost one conjugate class of  $G$  slice types  $\bar{\rho}_i$  such that  $e^g(\bar{\rho}_i) = \bar{\rho}_j$ . If there does not exist any such  $\bar{\rho}_i \in A_{j-1}$  then for any  $\bar{\rho}_i \in A_{j-1}$  both  $\bar{\rho}_i$  and  $\bar{\rho}_j$  belong to  $A_j$  and  $\nu_i \partial_j = 0$  for every  $\bar{\rho}_i \in A_{j-1}$ . Thus  $(\bigoplus_{\bar{\rho}_i \in A_{j-1}} \nu_i) \partial_j = 0$  and consequently  $\partial_j = 0$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_n^G[F_{j-1}] \rightarrow N_n^G[F_j] \xrightarrow{\nu_j} N_n^G[\bar{\rho}_j] \rightarrow 0.$$

If again for  $\bar{\rho}_j$  we have  $\bar{\rho}_i \in A_{j-1}$  s.t.  $\bar{\rho}_j = e^g(\bar{\rho}_i)$  then neither  $\bar{\rho}_j$  nor  $\bar{\rho}_i$  belong to  $A_j$  and by Lemma 3

$$\nu_i \partial_j: N_n^G[\bar{\rho}_j] \rightarrow N_{n-1}^G[\bar{\rho}_i]$$

is an isomorphism and we have again a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_n^G[\bar{\rho}_i] \rightarrow N_n^G[F_{j-1}] \rightarrow N_n^G[F_j] \rightarrow 0.$$

Both the short exact sequences split as the modules involved are vector spaces over  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . So

$$N_n^G[F_j] \simeq \bigoplus_{\rho_i \in A_j} N_n^G[\bar{\rho}_i].$$

**COROLLARY 15.**  $N_*^G[\tilde{F}(\hat{G})] = 0$ .

*Proof.* Corresponding to the positive integer  $n$  we take all conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of dimension  $\leq n + 1$ . If  $F_N$  be the union of all these classes then

$$N_n^G[\tilde{F}(\hat{G})] = N_n^G[F_N] \simeq \bigoplus_{\bar{\rho}_i \in A_N} N_n^G[\bar{\rho}_i].$$

If now  $N$  is made sufficiently large compared to  $n$  then by Lemma 11  $A_N$  consists of all conjugate classes of  $G$  slice types of dimension  $> n$  and hence the isomorphism  $\bigoplus \nu_i$  is zero.

COROLLARY 16.

$$N_*^G[F'(\hat{G})] \simeq N_{*+1}^G[\tilde{F}(\hat{G}), F'(\hat{G})].$$

This follows from the main theorem and the long exact sequence for the pair  $F'(\hat{G}) \subset \tilde{F}(\hat{G})$  of families of  $G$ -slice types.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Khare,  $(F, F^1)$ -free bordism and stationary points set, to appear in International J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
- [2] ———, *Finite group action and equivariant bordism*, to appear in Pacific J. Math.
- [3] C. Kosniowski, *Actions of Finite Abelian Groups*, London-San Francisco-Melbourne; Pitman (1978)
- [4] ———, *Some equivariant bordism theories vanish*, Math. Annalen, **242** (1979).

Received July 9, 1984.

LADY KEANE'S COLLEGE  
SHILLONG, INDIA

AND

NORTH EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY  
BIJNI CAMPUS, BHAGYAKUL ROAD  
LAITUMKHAH, SHILLONG, 793003  
MEGHALAYA, INDIA

