

NON-TANGENTIAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR NORMAL MAPPINGS

KYONG T. HAHN

Let X be a relatively compact complex subspace of a hermitian manifold N with hermitian distance d_N . Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 -boundary in \mathbb{C}^m . A holomorphic mapping $f: \Omega \rightarrow N$, $f(\Omega) \subset X$, is called a normal mapping if the family $\{f \circ \psi: \psi: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega \text{ is holomorphic}\}$, $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$, is a normal family in the sense of H. Wu. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω which tends to a boundary point $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(p_n), l) = 0$ for some $l \in \bar{X}$. Two sets of sufficient conditions on $\{p_n\}$ are given for a normal mapping $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ to have the non-tangential limit value l , thus extending the results obtained by Bagemihl and Seidel.

1. Introduction. In [2], F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel posed the following question: Given a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in the open unit disc Δ converging to some $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$ and a meromorphic function $f: \Delta \rightarrow P_1(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n) = c$ for some $c \in P_1(\mathbb{C})$, under what conditions on f and $\{z_n\}$ can f have the limit c along some continuum in Δ which is asymptotic at ζ ? They answer this question with two interesting sufficient conditions on f and $\{z_n\}$.

In this paper we extend their results to the higher dimensional case. First we shall introduce a few terminologies.

Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 -boundary in \mathbb{C}^m . Then at each $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$, the tangent space $T_\zeta(\partial\Omega)$ and the unit outward normal vector ν_ζ are well-defined. We denote by $CT_\zeta(\partial\Omega)$ and $C\nu_\zeta$ the complex tangent space and the complex normal space, respectively. The complex tangent space at ζ is defined as the $(m-1)$ dimensional complex subspace of $T_\zeta(\partial\Omega)$ and given by $CT_\zeta(\partial\Omega) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m: (z, w) = 0, \forall w \in C\nu_\zeta\}$, $(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^m z_j \bar{w}_j$.

We say that a subset $S \subset \Omega$ is *asymptotic* at $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ if $\bar{S} \cap \partial\Omega = \{\zeta\}$ and *non-tangentially asymptotic* at ζ if $S \subset \Gamma_\alpha(\zeta)$ for some $\alpha > 1$, where

$$(1a) \quad \Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) := \{z \in \Omega: |z - \zeta| < \alpha \delta_\zeta(z)\},$$

$$(1b) \quad \delta_\zeta(z) = \min\{p(z, \partial\Omega), p(z, T_\zeta(\partial\Omega))\},$$

and p denotes the euclidean distance in \mathbf{C}^m . In particular, a curve $\gamma: (0, 1) \rightarrow \Omega$ is non-tangentially asymptotic at ζ if $\gamma(t) \in \Gamma_\alpha(\zeta)$ for some $\alpha > 1$ and all $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \gamma(t) = \zeta$.

Let N be a connected paracompact hermitian manifold with hermitian metric h_N which induces the standard topology of N . By d_N we denote the distance function associated with h_N .

By $\text{Hol}(\Omega, N)$ we denote the space of all holomorphic maps $f: \Omega \rightarrow N$. We say that a mapping $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, N)$ has an *asymptotic limit* l at $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ along the curve γ in Ω , write $\lim_{\gamma \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = l$, if γ is asymptotic at ζ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} d_N(f(\gamma(t)), l) = 0$, a *radial limit* l at ζ if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} d_N(f(\zeta - \varepsilon\nu_\zeta), l) = 0$, a *non-tangential limit* l at ζ if $\lim_{\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$ for every $\alpha > 1$ and an *admissible limit* l at ζ if $\lim_{A_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$ for every $\alpha > 0$, where

$$(2) A_\alpha(\zeta) := \{z \in \Omega: |(z - \zeta, \nu_\zeta)| < (1 + \alpha)\delta_\zeta(z), |z - \zeta|^2 < \alpha\delta_\zeta(z)\}.$$

Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension m . We assume that M is hyperbolic, i.e., the Kobayashi pseudometric k_M is a metric. Denote the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric by K_M . According to H. Royden [10], the Kobayashi metric k_M is the integrated form of K_M . M is hyperbolic if and only if for each $p \in M$, there exists a neighborhood U_p and a constant $a_U > 0$ such that

$$K_M(q, \xi) \geq a_U |\xi| \quad \text{for } (q, \xi) \in U \times \mathbf{C}^m.$$

DEFINITION. A mapping $f \in \text{Hol}(M, N)$ is called *normal* if the family $\{f \circ \psi: \psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)\}$, Δ is the unit disc in \mathbf{C} , forms a normal family in the sense of H. Wu [11].

We remark that the definition of normality adopted here does not require M to be homogeneous and coincides with that of [7] when M is homogeneous and N is compact [1], [6]. Therefore, it is a slightly more general notion than that of [7].

2. Preliminary properties of normal mappings. Let X be a relatively compact complex subspace of a hermitian manifold N . We shall denote by $\text{Hol}(M, X)$ the space of all holomorphic maps $f: M \rightarrow N$ with $f(M) \subset X$.

LEMMA 1. *Let M be a hyperbolic manifold and let X be a relatively compact complex subspace of a hermitian manifold N with hermitian metric h_N . The family $F \subset \text{Hol}(M, X)$ is normal in the sense of H. Wu*

if for each compact subset $E \subset M$ there exists a constant $C(E) > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad Qf(p) := \sup_{|\xi|=1} \frac{h_N(f(p), df(p)\xi)}{K_M(p, \xi)} \leq C(E)$$

for all $p \in E$ and all $f \in F$.

Due to the compactness of \overline{X} , the proof of Lemma 1 can be carried out in the same way as that of Lemma 2.7 of [7]. Therefore, we omit the proof.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a hyperbolic manifold (not necessarily homogeneous) and let X be a relatively compact complex subspace of a hermitian manifold N . The following statements are equivalent for $f \in \text{Hol}(M, X)$.*

(a) *f is normal.*

(b) *There exists a constant $Q > 0$ such that*

$$Qf := \sup\{Qf(p) : p \in M\} \leq Q.$$

(c) *There is no P -sequence $\{p_n\}$ in M possessed by f , i.e., there is no sequence $\{q_n\}$ in M such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_M(p_n, q_n) = 0$ but $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(p_n), f(q_n)) \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Assume that $\{f \circ \psi : \psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)\}$ is a normal family. By Lemma 1, for each compact $E \subset \Delta$, there exists a constant $Q = Q(E) > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad h_N(f \circ \psi(0), (f \circ \psi)'(0)) \leq Q$$

for all $\psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)$. By the definition of K_M at $(p, \xi) \in M \times \mathbb{C}^m$, there exists $\psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)$ such that $\psi(0) = p$, $\psi'(0)a = \xi$ for $a > 0$ and $a/2 < K_M(p, \xi) \leq a$. Therefore, from (4),

$$h_N(f(p), df(p)\xi) \leq 2QK_M(p, \xi)$$

for all $(p, \xi) \in M \times \mathbb{C}^m$. Namely, $Qf \leq 2Q$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): If (c) fails to hold, then there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in M with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_M(p_n, q_n) = 0$ but $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(p_n), f(q_n)) \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. It contradicts (b), because (b) implies that $d_N(f(p_n), f(q_n)) \leq Qk_M(p_n, q_n)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): If (c) holds, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $z, w \in \Delta$, $k_\Delta(z, w) < \delta$ implies $d_N(f \circ \psi(z), f \circ \psi(w)) < \varepsilon$

for all $\psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)$, since otherwise there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exist sequences $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in Δ with $k_\Delta(z_n, w_n) < 1/n$ but $d_N(f \circ \psi(z_n), f \circ \psi(w_n)) \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)$. This means that $\{z_n\}$ is a P -sequence for $f \circ \psi$. Since

$$k_M(\psi(z_n), \psi(w_n)) \leq k_\Delta(z_n, w_n) \leq 1/n \rightarrow 0,$$

$\{\psi(z_n)\}$ is also a P -sequence for f in M which contradicts (c). Therefore, $\{f \circ \psi : \psi \in \text{Hol}(\Delta, M)\}$ is an equicontinuous family and hence normal since \bar{X} is compact. This proves (a).

Theorem 1 is also proved in [6] for compact N and in [3] for $N =$ the Riemann sphere.

3. Boundary behavior of normal mappings.

THEOREM 2. *Let X and N be given as in Theorem 1, and let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 -boundary in \mathbf{C}^m . Suppose that S is an arbitrary asymptotic continuum at $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ such that*

$$(6a) \quad \lim_{S \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{p(z, \mathbf{C}\nu_\zeta)}{r(\nu(z))} = 0,$$

where $r(\nu(z))$ denotes the radius of the largest ball in $\Omega \cap \mathbf{C}T_{\nu(z)}$, centered at $\nu(z)$, the orthogonal projection of z to $\mathbf{C}\nu_\zeta$ and $\mathbf{C}T_{\nu(z)}$ is the hyperplane through $\nu(z)$ that is parallel to $\mathbf{C}T_\zeta(\partial\Omega)$. If $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, X)$ is a normal map such that $\lim_{S \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$ for some $l \in \bar{X}$, then $\lim_{\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$ for all $\alpha > 1$.

Proof. By the definition of $r(\nu(z))$, $\Omega \cap \mathbf{C}T_{\nu(z)}$ contains the euclidean ball $B(\nu(z), r(\nu(z)))|_{\mathbf{C}T_{\nu(z)}}$, the restriction to $\mathbf{C}T_{\nu(z)}$.

The distance-decreasing property of the Kobayashi metric implies

$$(7) \quad k_\Omega(z, \nu(z)) \leq \tanh^{-1} \frac{|z - \nu(z)|}{r(\nu(z))},$$

and hence, as $S \ni z \rightarrow \zeta$, $\eta := \nu(z) \rightarrow \zeta$ along $\nu(S) := \{\nu(z) : z \in S\}$ from (7). Since f is normal, by Theorem 1, there exists a number $Q > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad d_N(f(z), f(\nu(z))) \leq Qk_\Omega(z, \nu(z)).$$

Therefore, $\lim_{\nu(S) \ni \eta \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(\eta), l) = 0$. Let Ω_ζ be the connected component of $\Omega \cap \mathbf{C}\nu_\zeta$ with $\zeta \in \partial\Omega_\zeta$. Then the restriction $f|_{\Omega_\zeta}$ is a normal

map from the plane domain Ω_ζ into X . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4 of [5] with a slight modification that

$$\lim_{\tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha(\zeta) \ni \eta \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(\eta), l) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 1,$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_\alpha(\zeta) := \Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \cap C\nu_\zeta$. The rest of the proof can easily be carried over from the proof of Proposition 8.2 of [7] to this case with X replaced by d_N .

COROLLARY 1. *Let X and N be given as in Theorem 1 and let Ω be a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary in \mathbb{C}^m . Let S be an arbitrary asymptotic continuum at $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ such that*

$$(6b) \quad \lim_{S \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{p^2(z, C\nu_\zeta)}{p(z, CT_\zeta)} = 0.$$

If $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, X)$ is a normal map such that $\lim_{S \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$ for some $l \in \overline{X}$, then

$$\lim_{\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 1.$$

Proof. Since Ω is a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary in \mathbb{C}^m , there exists an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\zeta) > 0$ such that the euclidean ball $B_\varepsilon := B(\zeta - \varepsilon\nu_\zeta, \varepsilon)$ is contained in Ω and tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at ζ from inside. The order of tangency in this case is not worse than along the admissible region A_α given in (2). In fact, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$r(\nu(z)) \geq C|\zeta - \nu(z)|^{1/2}$$

for $z \in S$. See Example 1 of [4]. Therefore,

$$(9) \quad \left[\frac{Cp(z, C\nu_\zeta)}{r(\nu(z))} \right]^2 \leq \frac{|z - \nu(z)|^2}{|\zeta - \nu(z)|} \leq \frac{p^2(z, C\nu_\zeta)}{p(z, CT_\zeta)}.$$

Corollary 1 now follows from Theorem 2 or directly from the Proof of Proposition 8.2 of [7] with minor adjustments.

We now prove the following extensions of the results given in [2].

THEOREM 3. *Let X and N be given as in Theorem 1. Let Ω be a bounded homogeneous domain in \mathbb{C}^m and let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of points in Ω which tends to a boundary point $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ where the outward normal ν_ζ exists, such that*

(a) *there exists a constant $M > 0$ with $k_\Omega(p_n, p_{n+1}) \leq M$ for all n ,*

$$(b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(p_n, C\nu_\zeta)}{r(\nu(p_n))} = 0.$$

If $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, N)$ is a normal map which omits $l \in \bar{X}$ in Ω but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(p_n), l) = 0$ then

$$\lim_{\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 1.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_n \in \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi_n(p_0) = p_n$ for some fixed point $p_0 \in \Omega$. Then the family $\{g_n\}$, $g_n = f \circ \varphi_n$, omits l for all n and forms a normal family, since f is normal.

For $R > M$, let $B_k(p_0, R) := \{p \in \Omega : k_\Omega(p_0, p) < R\}$. Since Ω is homogeneous, k_Ω is complete and, hence $\bar{B}_k(p_0, R)$ is a compact subset of Ω . So, $\{g_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{g_m\}$ which converges uniformly on \bar{B}_k to $g \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, N)$. Since each g_m omits l on B_k , by the Hurwitz theorem [8], either $g(z) \neq l$ or $g(z) \equiv l$ on $B_k(p_0, R)$. But since $d_N(g_m(p_0), l) = d_N(f(p_m), l) \rightarrow 0$, $g(z) \equiv l$ for all $z \in B_k(p_0, R)$. This implies that $f(z) = l$ for all $z \in B_k(p_m, R)$ and all m , i.e., $f(z) \equiv l$ on $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty B_k(p_m, R)$. Since

$$k_\Omega(p_m, \nu(p_m)) \leq \tanh^{-1} \frac{|p_m - \nu(p_m)|}{r(\nu(p_m))} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists m_0 such that for all $m \geq m_0$ $k_\Omega(p_m, \nu(p_m)) < R$ which implies $\nu(p_m) \in B_k(p_m, R)$ for all $m \geq m_0$. Let $S := \mathbf{C}\nu_\zeta \cap \bigcup_{m \geq m_0} B_k(p_m, R)$.

Then condition (6a) in Theorem 2 is trivially satisfied and also $\lim_{S \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$$

for all $\alpha > 1$ by Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. *Let X and N be given as in Theorem 1. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of points in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^m$ which tends to a boundary point $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$ where the unit outward normal ν_ζ exists such that*

$$(a) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_\Omega(p_n, p_{n+1}) = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(p_n, \mathbf{C}\nu_\zeta)}{r(\nu(p_n))} = 0.$$

If $f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, X)$ is a normal map such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(p_n), l) = 0$ for some $l \in \bar{X}$, then $\lim_{\Gamma_\alpha(\zeta) \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0$ for all $\alpha > 1$.

Proof. Let $\{q_n\}$, $q_n = \nu(p_n)$, be the orthogonal projection of $\{p_n\}$ to $C\nu_\zeta$. Then

$$(10) \quad k_\Omega(q_n, q_{n+1}) \leq k_\Omega(p_n, p_{n+1})$$

so that $k_\Omega(q_n, q_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let γ be a curve in $\Omega \cap C\nu_\zeta$ joining q_n and q_{n+1} by shortest curves. Since k_Ω is an inner metric, such curves exist for sufficiently large n . Since f is normal, by Theorem 1, there exists $Q > 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad d_N(f(p_n), f(q_n)) \leq Qk_\Omega(p_n, q_n).$$

Therefore, condition (b) together with (7) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(p_n), f(q_n)) = 0,$$

and hence,

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(q_n), l) = 0$$

by the triangle inequality. We wish to show:

$$(13) \quad \lim_{\gamma \ni z \rightarrow \zeta} d_N(f(z), l) = 0.$$

Suppose there is a sequence $\{q'_n\}$ on γ converging to ζ for which f fails to have the limit l . By the compactness of \bar{X} there must be a subsequence $\{q'_m\}$ such that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(q'_m), l') = 0$$

for some $l' \in \bar{X}$, $l' \neq l$. We may assume that q'_m are all distinct from the points q_m . For each m , there exists an index n_m such that q'_m lies on the geodesic segment of γ that joins q_{n_m} and q_{n_m+1} . By (10),

$$k_\Omega(q_{n_m}, q'_m) \leq k_\Omega(q_{n_m}, q_{n_m+1}) \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is normal, for some $Q > 0$ we have

$$d_N(f(q_{n_m}), f(q'_m)) \leq Qk_\Omega(q_{n_m}, q'_m) \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. From this and (12) we conclude $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_N(f(q'_m), l) = 0$, contradicting (14). Therefore we have (13). Since condition (6a) of Theorem 2 holds trivially in this case, Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 2.

We remark that if the domain Ω in Theorems 3 and 4 is assumed to have C^2 -boundary, then both theorems hold when condition (b) is

replaced by

$$(b') \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^2(p_n, C\nu_\zeta)}{p(p_n, CT_\zeta)} = 0$$

in both cases.

Introducing the notion of hypoadmissible limit, J. Cima and S. Krantz have proved the Lindelöf Principle for normal meromorphic functions on domains in \mathbb{C}^n with C^2 -boundary in [3]. The author wishes to thank the referee for pointing this out to him.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aladro, *Some consequences of the boundary behavior of Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics and applications to normal holomorphic functions*, Pennsylvania State University Ph. D. Thesis, 1985.
- [2] F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel, *Sequential and continuous limits of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A1, **280** (1960).
- [3] J. Cima and S. Krantz, *The Lindelöf principle and normal functions of several complex variables*, Duke Math. J., **50** (1983), 303–328.
- [4] E. Čirka, *The theorems of Lindelöf and Fatou in \mathbb{C}^n* , Math. Sb., **92** (134) (1973), 622–644; Math. U.S.S.R. Sb., **21** (1973), 619–639.
- [5] K. Funahashi, *Normal holomorphic mappings and classical theorems of function theory*, Nagoya Math. J., **94** (1984), 89–104.
- [6] G. Gigante, *Montel's theorem and P-sequences*, preprint.
- [7] K. T. Hahn, *Asymptotic behavior of normal mappings of several complex variables*, Canad. J. Math., **36** (1984), 718–746.
- [8] P. J. Kiernan and S. Kobayashi, *Holomorphic mappings into projective space with lacunary hyperplanes*, Nagoya Math. J., **50** (1973), 199–216.
- [9] S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Pure and Appl. Math., 2, Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [10] H. L. Royden, *Remarks on the Kobayashi Metric*, Lecture Notes in Math., **185** (1971), Springer, 125–137.
- [11] H. Wu, *Normal families of holomorphic mappings*, Acta Math., **119** (1967), 193–233.

Received April 24, 1987. Research partially supported by the 1986/1987 Fulbright Research Grant at Universität Osnabrück-Abteilung Vechta.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802