# DESINGULARIZATIONS OF SOME UNSTABLE ORBIT CLOSURES 

Mark Reeder

Let $\sigma$ be a semisimple automorphism of a connected reductive group $G$, and let $G_{\sigma}$ be the fixed points of $\sigma$. We consider the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits on the space of nilpotent elements in an eigenspace of $d \sigma$. We give a desingularization of the orbit closures and relate the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits to the $G$-orbits. Along the way, we describe the fixed points of $\sigma$ on a flag variety $G / P$ where $P$ is a $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroup of $G$.
I. Introduction. In this note we observe some consequences of Richardson's theorems on orbits of reductive groups, in the following situation. Let $G$ be a simply-connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $F$ whose characteristic is either zero or sufficiently large (as specified below). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be the variety of nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\sigma$ be a semisimple automorphism of $G$, fix a nonzero element $q \in F^{\times}$, and consider the variety

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}=\{x \in \mathcal{N}: d \sigma(x)=q x\} .
$$

If $q$ is not a root of unity then $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ is the whole $q$-eigenspace of $d \sigma$, hence is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $q$ is a root of unity, the variety $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ may even be reducible.

It was shown by Steinberg that the group of $\sigma$-fixed points $G_{\sigma}$ is also a connected reductive $F$-group ( $[\mathbf{S}]$ ). The adjoint action of $G_{\sigma}$ preserves each eigenspace of $d \sigma$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ consists of those $G_{\sigma^{-}}$ orbits in the $q$-eigenspace of $d \sigma$ which are "unstable", in the sense of geometric invariant theory ([H2]). According to a theorem of Kac and Richardson ( $[\mathbf{R i} 3]$ ), the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits on $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ are exactly the irreducible components of sets of the form $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a nilpotent $G$ orbit. Richardson also proved (with our assumptions on the characteristic of $F$, see $[\mathbf{R i} \mathbf{1}]$ ) that there are only finitely many
nilpotent $G$-orbits, hence there are only finitely many $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$.

Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ be one such $G_{\sigma}$-orbit. Then the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ is an affine variety which is generally singular. The main purpose of this note is to resolve the singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ (Prop. (3.2)). More precisely, we construct a vector bundle $E$ over a partial flag variety of $G_{\sigma}$, and define a closed morphism $\pi: E \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $\pi: \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is an isomorphism. The idea is simply that $\left(\sigma, q^{-1}\right) \quad$ acts on the known resolution of the closure of $G \cdot \mathcal{O}$, the nilpotent $G$-orbit containing $\mathcal{O}$. The desired resolution of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is then found by taking fixed points under $\left(\sigma, q^{-1}\right)$. Moreover these fixed points separate the various $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot \mathcal{O}$, as described in Prop. (4.1) below. For example if $\sigma$ is induced by an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram, (4.1) implies that every nilpotent $G$-orbit meets $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ in at most one $G_{\sigma}$-orbit. Taking $q=1$, we recover the well-known fact that nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{s o}(2 n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{s p}(n)$ are determined by elementary divisors.

The method requires a precise description (Prop. (2.3)) of the fixed points of $\sigma$ in a flag variety $G / P$ where $P$ is a $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroup of $G$. Richardson ([Ri3]) has already proven that there are finitely many orbits and they are all closed. Here we count and describe the orbits explicitly, using Steinberg's work in [ $\mathbf{S}]$.

Hesselink ([H2]) has constructed desingularizations of closures of "strata" for more general group actions. Each stratum is a union of orbits, and in the case of nilpotent $G$-orbits, Kraft proved that the strata and orbits coincide. For our varieties $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ it is not known if the orbits are strata, and even if they are (it is an interesting question), the proof is likely to be a more difficult route to a desingularization than the one taken here.

The group action $\left(G_{\sigma}, \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}, A d\right)$ arises in many settings. Suppose that $q$ is not a root of unity, so that $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ is a linear space, and in particular an irreducible variety. There is a unique Zariski dense orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$, so by definition, the triple $\left(G_{\sigma}, \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}, A d\right)$ is a "prehomogeneous vector space", hereafter abbreviated as PV. The complex PV's which are also irreducible representations were classified by Sato and Kimura ( $[\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{K}])$. The PV's occuring as some ( $\left.G_{\sigma}, \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}, A d\right)$ are called "PV's of parabolic type" ([Ru]), because they also arise as subspaces of nilradicals of parabolic subalgebras which are in-
variant under a Levi subgroup. ( $G_{\sigma}$ is a Levi subgroup in some reductive subgroup $H<G$ whose Lie algebra contains $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$.) Most irreducible PV's with finitely many orbits are of parabolic type. However, parabolic PV's can easily be reducible, and there seems to be no classification of reducible PV's.* We remark that the big group $G$ is not to be discarded, as it greatly clarifies the structure of $\left(G_{\sigma}, \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}, A d\right)$.

Suppose now that $q \in F^{\times}$is arbitrary, but that $\sigma$ either has finite order or is conjugation by some element in a one-parameter subgroup of $G$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has a grading $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ such that $G_{\sigma}$ has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $V$ is the set of nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. These spaces were initially studied by Vinberg ([V]), who proposed a scheme for classifying the orbits.

My initial interest in such orbit closures $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ came from the representation theory of $p$-adic groups ( $[\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{L}]$ ). In this setting, $G$ is a complex group (the "Langlands dual group"), $\sigma$ is inner, and $q$ is the cardinality of the residue field, hence not a root of unity. The intersection cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is apparently related to multiplicities in unramified principal series representations of the $p$-adic group dual to $G$, just as with Schubert varieties and Verma modules (see $[\mathbf{G}],[\mathbf{R}],[\mathbf{Z}])$. In this context, Zelevinsky found desingularizations of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ for $G=G L_{n}$ and used them to compute the intersection cohomology of some special $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ 's, for which his resolution was "small" in the sense of $[\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{M}]$. The resolutions constructed in this paper are not always small.

An earlier version of this paper had $\sigma$ being inner, as above. However, in addition to other helpful comments, the referee pointed out Sekiguchi's paper [Se], which discusses $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma,-1}$ when $\sigma$ is an involution. Among other results, Sekiguchi gives a resolution of the orbit closures of maximal dimension in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma,-1}$, so following the referee's suggestion, I modified this paper to include semisimple automorphisms, thus extending that part of Sekiguchi's work. In this setting, the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma,-1}$ are of interest in the representation theory of real Lie groups (see [Vo]).

Thanks are due to Gary Seitz, for telling me about Richardson's paper [ $\mathbf{R i} \mathbf{3}]$.

[^0]II. Fixed point varieties in flag manifolds. Here we use some of Steinberg's results in $[\mathrm{S}]$ to describe the fixed point subvariety of a flag manifold under the action of a semisimple automorphism. In this section there is no restriction on the characteristic of the ground field $F$.

Let $G$ be a connected, simply-connected and semisimple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $F$, and let $\sigma$ be a semisimple automorphism of $G$. That is, $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $G$ whose differential $d \sigma$ acts diagonalizably on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$. We say a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ is " $\sigma$-stable" if $\sigma H=H$. If $K \subseteq H \subseteq G$ are closed $\sigma$-stable subgroups of $G$, then $\sigma$ acts on the variety $H / K$ by the rule $\sigma(h K)=(\sigma h) K$, for $h \in H$. We write $(H / K)_{\sigma}$ for the fixed points of $\sigma$ in $H / K$. According to $[\mathbf{S},(8.2)], G_{\sigma}$ is a connected reductive group. By $[\mathbf{S},(7.5)]$, there exists a $\sigma$-stable Borel subgroup $B \subseteq G$, and a $\sigma$-stable maximal torus $T \subseteq B$. Let $U$ be the unipotent radical of $B$, and let $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{u}=\mathfrak{b}$ be the corresponding Lie algebras. Let $\Delta, \Delta^{+}, \Sigma$ be the roots of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u} /[\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}]$, respectively. Since $\sigma$ preserves $T$ and $B$, it acts on $\Delta$, preserving $\Sigma$ and $\Delta^{+}$, and on the normalizer $N$ of $T$ in $G$, and hence on the Weyl group $W=N / T$. Let $W_{\sigma}^{1}=N_{\sigma} / T_{\sigma}$. This is the subgroup of $W_{\sigma}$ consisting of elements which can be represented in $N_{\sigma}$.

Let $V \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ be the real span of the roots in $\Delta$, and let $V_{\sigma}$ be the fixed points of $\sigma$ in $V$. For any root $\alpha$, let $\bar{\alpha}$ denote its orthogonal projection into $V_{\sigma}$, with respect to a $W$-invariant inner product on $V$. Then $W_{\sigma}$ preserves and acts faithfully on $V_{\sigma}([\mathbf{S},(1.32)])$. Let $\overline{W_{\sigma}}$ and $\overline{W_{\sigma}^{1}}$ denote the restrictions of $W_{\sigma}$ and $W_{\sigma}^{1}$ to $V_{\sigma}$. We have $\overline{W_{\sigma}} \simeq W_{\sigma}$ as abstract groups, but the latter is a reflection group with respect to a new root system. We describe this more precisely.

The projections of all roots form a non-reduced root system in $V_{\sigma}$. We get a reduced root system as follows $([\mathbf{S}, \S 1])$. Let $S_{\alpha}$ be the collection of positive roots whose projection to $V_{\sigma}$ is proportional to $\bar{\alpha}$. There are two possibilities for $S_{\alpha}([\mathbf{S},(8.2)])$ :
(1) $S_{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha, \sigma \alpha, \sigma^{2} \alpha, \ldots\right\}$ no two of which sum to a root, or
(2) $S_{\alpha}=\{\alpha, \sigma \alpha, \beta=\alpha+\sigma \alpha\}$ is a $\sigma$-stable positive system of type $A_{2}$.
For $\alpha \in \Delta^{+}$, let $[\alpha] \in V_{\sigma}$ be the longest projection to $V_{\sigma}$ of a root in $S_{\alpha}$. Likewise, for any subset $J \subseteq \Delta^{+}$, let $[J]=\{[\alpha]: \alpha \in J\}$.

Set $[\Delta]:=W_{\sigma}[\Sigma]$. Then by $[\mathbf{S},(1.32)],[\Delta]$ is a root system in $V_{\sigma}$ with base $[\Sigma]$, positive roots $[\Delta]^{+}=\left[\Delta^{+}\right]$and Weyl group $\overline{W_{\sigma}}$. (The root system of $G_{\sigma}$ turns out to be a subsystem of $[\Delta]$.) According to $[\mathbf{S},(8.2)]$, the reflection $s_{[\alpha]} \in \overline{W_{\sigma}}$ corresponding to $[\alpha] \in\left[\Delta^{+}\right]$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{[\alpha]}=\left.s_{\alpha} s_{\sigma \alpha} \cdots\right|_{V_{\sigma}} \text { or } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $s_{[\alpha]}=\left.s_{\beta}\right|_{V_{\sigma}}$,
according to the two cases for $S_{\alpha}$ described above.
All of this is related to the structure of fixed point group $G_{\sigma}$ in the following way. For each positive root $\alpha$, let $U_{\alpha} \subseteq U$ be the corresponding root subgroup. We have $\sigma U_{\alpha}=U_{\sigma \alpha}$. Consider the product $\Pi_{\beta \in S_{\alpha}} U_{\beta}$. In the two cases for $S_{\alpha}$, either the root groups in the product commute (case (1)), or the whole product is the three dimensional Heisenberg group (case (2)). Hence the product is a group. Since $\sigma S_{\alpha}=S_{\alpha}$, the product is also invariant under $\sigma$, and we set

$$
U[\alpha]=\left(\prod_{\beta \in S_{\alpha}} U_{\beta}\right)_{\sigma}
$$

By $[\mathbf{S},(8.2)]$ again, $U[\alpha]$ is either trivial, or a one-parameter group. Finally, $[\mathbf{S},(8.2)(4)-(7)]$ combine to give

Lemma 2.1. $U[\alpha]$ is nontrivial if and only if the reflection $s_{[\alpha]}$ belongs to $\overline{W_{\sigma}^{1}}$. Moreover, $\overline{W_{\sigma}^{1}}$ is generated by such reflections.

This allows us to prove
Lemma 2.2. There exists a set $Y(\sigma)$ of coset representatives for $W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma}$ such that if $n_{w} \in N$ represents $w \in Y(\sigma)$, then

$$
n_{w}^{-1} B_{\sigma} n_{w} \subseteq B .
$$

Proof. Since $U_{\sigma}$ is the product of the $U[\alpha]$ 's, this amounts to having $n_{w}^{-1} U[\alpha] n_{w} \subseteq U$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^{+}$. Since

$$
n_{w}^{-1} U[\alpha] n_{w} \subseteq \prod_{\beta \in S_{\alpha}} U_{w^{-1} \beta}
$$

it is enough to find coset representatives $w \in W_{\sigma}$ such that $w^{-1} S_{\alpha} \subseteq$ $\Delta^{+}$whenever $U[\alpha]$ is nontrivial. Let $<$ be the Bruhat order on the

Weyl group $\overline{W_{\sigma}}$, with respect to the positive system $\left[\Delta^{+}\right]$of $[\Delta]$. This satisfies the rule ([J, (2.19)])

$$
s_{[\alpha]} \bar{w}>\bar{w} \Leftrightarrow \bar{w}^{-1}[\alpha] \in\left[\Delta^{+}\right] .
$$

In each coset in $\overline{W_{\sigma}^{1}} \backslash \overline{W_{\sigma}}$, choose one element $\bar{w} \in \overline{W_{\sigma}}$ which is not $<$ any other member of its coset $\overline{W_{\sigma}^{1}} \bar{w}$. Let $w \in W_{\sigma}$ be the unique element whose restriction to $V_{\sigma}$ is $\bar{w}$, and let $Y(\sigma)$ be the collection of $w$ 's so obtained. Then $Y(\sigma)$ is a set of coset representatives for $W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma}$.

For any $x \in W_{\sigma}$, we have $x \sigma=\sigma x$, as automorphisms of $\Delta$. Let $\alpha \in \Delta^{+}$. Since $\sigma$ preserves $\Delta^{+}$and $S_{\alpha}$ is spanned over the positive integers by the $\sigma$-translates of one of its members, we have either $x S_{\alpha} \subseteq \Delta^{+}$or $x S_{\alpha} \subseteq-\Delta^{+}$. Moreover, the former possibility holds if and only if $\bar{x}[\alpha] \in[\Delta]^{+}$. Now take $x=w^{-1}$, where $w \in Y(\sigma)$. By (2.1) and the Bruhat-minimality of $w$, we have $x[\alpha] \in[\Delta]^{+}$whenever $U[\alpha]$ is nontrivial, so $w$ has the required properties.

Now let $P$ be a $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroup of $G$. By [ $\mathbf{S},(7.5)]$, there is a maximal torus $T$ and a Borel subgroup $B$, both $\sigma$-stable, such that $T \subseteq B \subseteq P$. With notation and results as above, $P$ corresponds to a $\sigma$-stable subset of $\Sigma_{P} \subseteq \Sigma$. More precisely, $\Sigma_{P}$ consists of those simple roots which are roots of $\mathfrak{t}$ in the Lie algebra of the unique Levi subgroup $L$ of $P$ containing $T$. Since $\sigma T=T$ and $\sigma P=P$, we also have $\sigma L=L$, so $\Sigma_{P}$ is indeed $\sigma$-stable. Let $W_{P}$ be the subgroup of $W$ generated by the reflections in $\Sigma_{P}$. Then $W_{P}$ is also preserved by $\sigma$. The following result may be viewed as the determination of all $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroups in the $G$ conjugacy class of $P$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $G$ be a semisimple simply connected algebraic group. Let $\sigma$ be a semisimple automorphism of $G$, and let $P$ be a $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroup of $G$. Then with notation as above, (1) $(G / P)_{\sigma}=\coprod_{w \in W_{\sigma}^{W} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}} G_{\sigma} w P / P$ (disjoint union). In particular, each connected component of $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ is a $G_{\sigma}$-orbit.
(2) Each double coset $W_{\sigma}^{1} w\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}$ meets $Y(\sigma)$ (see (2.2)).
(3) Each $G_{\sigma}$-orbit in $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ is complete. In other words, the group of $\sigma$-fixed points in any $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroup of $G$ is parabolic in $G_{\sigma}$.
(4) If $P=B$ is a $\sigma$-stable Borel subgroup and $y \in Y(\sigma)$, then $\left(y B y^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}=B_{\sigma}$, so $(G / B)_{\sigma}$ is a disjoint union of $\left[W_{\sigma}: W_{\sigma}^{1}\right]$ copies of the flag variety $G_{\sigma} / B_{\sigma}$.

Proof. Assertion (2) follows immediately from (2.2). For (1) we recall the Bruhat decomposition. For each $w \in W$, let $U_{w}$ be the product, taken in some fixed order, of the root groups $U_{\alpha}$ with $w^{-1} \alpha \in-\Delta^{+}$. Let $W^{P}$ be the set of $w \in W$ such that $w \Sigma_{P} \subseteq \Delta^{+}$. Then every point in $G / P$ may be uniquely written as $u w P$ for some $w \in W^{P}, u \in U_{w}$. Since $\Sigma_{P}$ and $\Delta^{+}$are $\sigma$-stable, so are $W^{P}$ and $U_{w}$. It follows that

$$
(G / P)_{\sigma}=\bigcup_{w \in W^{P}}\left(U_{w} w P / P\right)_{\sigma} \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in W_{\sigma}} U_{\sigma} w P / P \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in W_{\sigma}} G_{\sigma} w P / P
$$

Let $n \in N$ represent some $w \in W_{\sigma}$. Then $\sigma(n)=n t$ for some $t \in T$, so $\sigma(w P)=\sigma(n) P=n t P=n P=w P$. Hence $w \in W_{\sigma}$ implies $G_{\sigma} w P / P \subseteq(G / P)_{\sigma}$, so we have

$$
(G / P)_{\sigma}=\bigcup_{w \in W_{\sigma}} G_{\sigma} w P / P=\bigcup_{y \in Y(\sigma)} G_{\sigma} y P / P
$$

where $Y(\sigma)$ is as in (2.2).
We next show disjointness. Since $G=U N B=\amalg_{w \in W} U w B$, we have

$$
G_{\sigma}=U_{\sigma} N_{\sigma} B_{\sigma}=\coprod_{x \in W_{\sigma}^{1}} U_{\sigma} x B_{\sigma} .
$$

Let $y \in Y(\sigma)$ and consider the orbit $G_{\sigma} y P / P$ in $(G / P)_{\sigma}$. By (2.2) we have

$$
G_{\sigma} y P / P=\bigcup_{x \in W_{\sigma}^{1}} U_{\sigma} x B_{\sigma} y P / P=\bigcup_{x \in W_{\sigma}^{1}} U_{\sigma} x y P / P
$$

It follows that for two elements $y, y^{\prime} \in Y(\sigma)$ we have $G_{\sigma} y P / P=$ $G_{\sigma} y^{\prime} P / P$ if and only if we have equality of double cosets

$$
W_{\sigma}^{1} y W_{P}=W_{\sigma}^{1} y^{\prime} W_{P}
$$

Since $Y(\sigma) \subseteq W_{\sigma}$, this is the same as

$$
W_{\sigma}^{1} y\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}=W_{\sigma}^{1} y^{\prime}\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma},
$$

proving (1).
Now $(G / P)_{\sigma}$, being closed in $G / P$, is complete. Hence each connected component of $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ is complete, proving (3).

Finally, let $y \in Y(\sigma)$. Then $y^{-1} B_{\sigma} y \subseteq B$, so $B_{\sigma} \subseteq\left(y B y^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}$. Both sides are solvable, and are parabolic subgroups of $G_{\sigma}$ by (3). Hence they are Borel subgroups of $G_{\sigma}$, and must be equal.
2.5. Remarks. (1) Simple examples (eg. [Ri3, 3.3]) show that (2.3)(4) fails for nonminimal parabolic subgroups. See also (2.6) below.
(2) We could have given a more conceptual, though less explicit, construction of $Y(\sigma)$ by invoking [ $\mathbf{R i} 3,10.2 .1]$ (our (2.3)(3)) at the outset. For, if $w \in W_{\sigma}$ and $B$ is a $\sigma$-stable Borel subgroup of $G$, then by $[\mathbf{R i} 3,10.2 .1], B_{\sigma}$ and $\left(w B w^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}$ are Borel subgroups of $G_{\sigma}$ containing the same maximal torus $T_{\sigma}$, so there exists $x \in$ $W_{\sigma}^{1}$ such that $x^{-1}\left(B_{\sigma}\right) x=\left(w B w^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}$, from which it follows that $(x w)^{-1} B_{\sigma}(x w) \subseteq B$.
(3) Matsuki (over $\mathbb{C},[\mathbf{M}])$ and Springer $(\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2,[\mathbf{S p}])$ have described all of the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $G / B$ when $\sigma$ is an involution. They prove in particular that $(G / B)_{\sigma}$ is exactly the union of the closed $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $G / B$. Let $P$ be a parabolic subgroup containing $B$, and let $\pi: G / B \longrightarrow G / P$ be the natural projection. If $Y$ is a closed $G_{\sigma^{-}}$ orbit in $G / P$, then $Y=\pi\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ for any closed $G_{\sigma}$-orbit $Y^{\prime} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(Y)$. Hence the result of Matsuki and Springer implies that $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ is the union of the closed $G_{\sigma}$-orbits. The same assertion holds when $\sigma$ is inner but not necessarily involutive. Indeed, $\sigma$ is then conjugation by some element of a torus $S \subseteq G_{\sigma}$. Let $Y \subseteq G / P$ be a closed $G_{\sigma}$-orbit as above. By Borel's fixed-point theorem [B, (10.4)], the fixed point set $Y_{S}$ of $S$ in $Y$ is nonempty, so $Y$ meets $(G / P)_{\sigma}$, hence $Y \subseteq(G / P)_{\sigma}$.
2.6. Examples. There are two extreme cases of (2.3). If $\sigma$ is conjugation by an element $s \in T$, then $W_{\sigma}=W$ and $W_{\sigma}^{1}$ is generated by the reflections about roots which are trivial on $s$. On the other hand if $\sigma$ is induced by an automorphism $\rho$ of the Dynkin diagram, then $W_{\sigma}^{1}=W_{\sigma}([\mathbf{S},(8.2)(5)])$, so $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ is connected.

Here is an example of a mixed case. Take $G=E_{6}$ (simply connected version), and let $\sigma$ be the involution of $G$ whose fixed point set has type $C_{4}$. Explicitly, take $\rho$ to be the automorphism of $E_{6}$
induced by the nontrivial diagram symmetry as in the previous paragraph, and let $s=\check{\alpha}_{0}(-1)$, where $\alpha_{0}$ is the highest root and $\check{\alpha}_{0}(t)$ is the corresponding one parameter subgroup of $T$. We can take $\sigma=i_{s} \rho$, where $i_{s}$ is conjugation by $s$. Then $W_{\sigma}$, which only depends on $\rho$, has type $F_{4}$. Meanwhile $W_{\sigma}^{1}$, being the Weyl group of $G_{\sigma}$, has type $C_{4}$. Hence $\left[W_{\sigma}: W_{\sigma}^{1}\right]=3$. We compute $Y(\sigma)$. Number the simple roots of $E_{6}$ as follows:


Using [ $\mathbf{S},(8.2)]$, one gets explicit conditions for each $U[\alpha]$ to be nontrivial, and the simple roots of $T_{\sigma}$ in $B_{\sigma}$ are then found to be


If $w \in Y(\sigma)$, we must have $w^{-1} \alpha>0$ for $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{6}$. (See the proof of (2.2).) Since $w$ is $\sigma$-invariant, we must also have $w^{-1} \alpha_{4}>0$ and $w^{-1} \alpha_{5}>0$. It is now easy to see that $w$ can only be one of $\left\{1, s_{6}, s_{6} s_{1}\right\}$, where $s_{i} \in W$ is the simple reflection about $\alpha_{i}$. Hence this set must be $Y(\sigma)$. Using (2.3), we find that $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ has $3-\left|\Sigma_{P} \cap\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{6}\right\}\right|$ connected components. The components are not isomorphic in general. For example, let $P$ be a $\sigma$-stable parabolic subgroup with Levi component of type $A_{5}$. Then $P_{\sigma}$ has Levi of type $C_{3}$, while $\left(s_{6} P s_{6}^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}$ has Levi of type $A_{3}$, so $(G / P)_{\sigma}$ has two components: $G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{7}$ and $G_{\sigma} /\left(s_{6} P s_{6}^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}$, which is the variety of Lagrangian 4-planes in $F^{8}$.
III. The desingularization. We retain the notation and hypotheses of the previous section, except we now assume that the characteristic of the ground field $F$ is either zero or $p>2 \kappa$, where $\kappa$ is the maximum of the Coxeter numbers of the simple factors of $G$. For any variety $S$ on which $\sigma$ or $d \sigma$ acts, $S_{\sigma}$ denotes the fixed points. If $H$ is any $\sigma$-stable subgroup of $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, it
follows from [B, III.9.1] that the Lie algebra of $H_{\sigma}$ is $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$. We abbreviate the adjoint action of $G$ by $g \cdot Y:=A d(g) Y$ for $g \in G$ and any subset $Y \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the variety of nilpotent elements in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$. Fix $q \in F^{\times}$, and set

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}=\{x \in \mathcal{N}: d \sigma(x)=q x\} .
$$

We need the analogue of a normal $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple ([K-R, (I.2)]).
Lemma 3.1. Let $e \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$. Then there exists $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}, f \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
[h, e]=2 e, \quad[h, f]=-2 f, \quad[e, f]=h .
$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward modification of ([K-R, Prop. 4]). By the version of the Jacobson-Morozov theorem given in [Ca, p. 152], there exists a triple $\left(e, h^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the above relations. Write $h^{\prime}=\sum h_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ where $d \sigma\left(h_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda h_{\lambda}^{\prime}$, $\lambda \in F^{\times}$. Then $2 e=\left[h^{\prime}, e\right]=\sum\left[h_{\lambda}^{\prime}, e\right]$. Comparing $d \sigma$ eigenvalues, we get $2 e=\left[h_{1}^{\prime}, e\right]$. Likewise, we write $f^{\prime}=\sum f_{\lambda}^{\prime}$, and the relation $\left[e, f^{\prime}\right]=h^{\prime}$ implies $h_{1}^{\prime}=\left[e, f_{q^{-1}}^{\prime}\right] \in[e, \mathfrak{g}]$. Set $h=h_{1}^{\prime}$. By [Ko, Cor. 3.5] (which is shown in [Ca, p. 141] to apply when $\operatorname{char}(F)>2 \kappa)$, there exists $f$ such that $(e, h, f)$ satisfy the above relations.

Let $e \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ and fix a triple $(e, h, f)$ as in (3.1). We have a grading $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{i} \mathfrak{g}(i)$, where $\mathfrak{g}(i)=\{x \in \mathfrak{g}: \quad[h x]=i x\}$. Each $\mathfrak{g}(i)$ is also $d \sigma$-stable, since $\operatorname{ad}(h)$ and $d \sigma$ commute. Hence the parabolic subalgebra

$$
\mathfrak{p}=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(i)
$$

is $d \sigma$-stable, so the corresponding parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$ is $\sigma$ stable, and we are in the situation of (2.3). In particular, $P_{\sigma}$ is a parabolic subgroup of the connected reductive group $G_{\sigma}$, and the Lie algebra of $P_{\sigma}$ is

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(i)_{\sigma} .
$$

We next set

$$
\mathfrak{p}^{2}=\bigoplus_{i \geq 2} \mathfrak{g}(i), \quad \mathfrak{q}=\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap \mathfrak{p}^{2} .
$$

The latter is an $\operatorname{Ad}\left(P_{\sigma}\right)$-invariant linear subspace of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$, even if $q$ is a root of unity, because $\mathfrak{p}^{2}$ consists of nilpotent elements. Let

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{2}
$$

be the $P$-orbit of $e$. By [Ca, 5.7.3], $\mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}$ is the unique open dense $P$-orbit in $\mathfrak{p}^{2}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}$ and hence $G \cdot e$ are $d \sigma$ stable.

Define the incidence variety

$$
E=\left\{\left(g P_{\sigma}, x\right) \in G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma} \times \mathfrak{g}: x \in g \cdot \mathfrak{q}\right\} .
$$

This is a vector bundle over $G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma}$ via projection onto the first factor. One could view $E$ as the fiber product $G_{\sigma} \times_{P_{\sigma}} \mathfrak{q}$, but the former picture is more convenient here. Let

$$
\pi: E \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}
$$

be projection onto the second factor. Then $\pi$ is $G_{\sigma}$-equivariant, and closed since $G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma}$ is complete, by (2.3)(3).

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the $G_{\sigma}$-orbit of $e \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$. Then the image of $\pi$ is $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $E \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a resolution of singularities.

Proof. We first show that the $P_{\sigma}$-orbit of $e$ is dense in $\mathfrak{q}$. From the representation theory of $S L_{2}([\mathbf{C a}, 5.4])$ we see that the map

$$
\operatorname{ad}(e): \mathfrak{g}(i) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i+2)
$$

is surjective for $i \geq 0$. Hence, given $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, there exists $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $[y, e]=x$. We can write $y=\Sigma y^{\lambda}$ where $y^{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $d \sigma\left(y^{\lambda}\right)=\lambda y^{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in F^{\times}$. Comparing eigenvalues of $d \sigma$, we get $x=\left[y^{1}, e\right]$, and $y^{1} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}$. This shows that $\operatorname{ad}(e): \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q}$ is surjective, so $P_{\sigma} \cdot e$ is open and dense in $\mathfrak{q}$.

From this it follows easily that the image of $\pi$ is $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Indeed, since the image of $\pi$ is closed and contains $e$, we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \pi(E)$. On the other hand,

$$
\mathfrak{q}=\overline{P_{\sigma} \cdot e} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}
$$

Since $\pi(E)=G_{\sigma} \cdot \mathfrak{q}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is preserved by $G_{\sigma}$, we have the other containment.
We next show that $\pi$ is bijective over $\mathcal{O}$. We recall the well-known resolution of $\overline{G \cdot e}$ (c.f. [H, p. 108]). Consider the vector bundle

$$
\tilde{E}=\left\{(g P, x) \in G / P \times \mathfrak{g}: x \in g \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}\right\}
$$

Then the map

$$
\tilde{E} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \mathfrak{g}
$$

given by projection onto the second factor is $G$-equivariant, closed, has image $\overline{G \cdot e}$, and is bijective over $G \cdot e$.
We define an automorphism $\sigma_{q}$ of $\tilde{E}$ by

$$
\sigma_{q}(g P, x)=\left(\sigma(g) P, q^{-1} d \sigma(x)\right)
$$

The fixed point space of $\sigma_{q}$ is

$$
\tilde{E}_{\sigma_{q}}=\left\{(g P, x) \in(G / P)_{\sigma} \times \mathfrak{g}: x \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap g \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}\right\} .
$$

This is a disjoint union of vector bundles over the connected components of $(G / P)_{\sigma}$. In the notation of (2.3) these components are given by

$$
(G / P)_{\sigma}=\coprod_{w \in W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}} G_{\sigma} w P / P
$$

and each component is a flag variety for $G_{\sigma}$.
The component of $\tilde{E}_{\sigma_{q}}$ lying over $G_{\sigma} P / P$ is

$$
\left\{(g P, x) \in G_{\sigma} P / P \times \mathfrak{g}: x \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap g \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Since $G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma}=G_{\sigma} P / P$ and for $g \in G_{\sigma}$, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap g \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}=$ $g \cdot\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap \mathfrak{p}^{2}\right)=g \cdot \mathfrak{q}$, this component is none other than $E$.

Note that $\tilde{\pi}$ restricted to $E$ is just our original $\pi: E \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Since the fibers of $\tilde{\pi}$ over $G \cdot e$ are singletons, the same must be true of the fibers of $\pi$ over $\mathcal{O}$. The proof of (3.2) is now complete if the characteristic of $F$ is zero.

In sufficiently large characteristic, we use an argument from [Ri1] to prove that $\pi$ is separable. The assumption that $F$ has characteristic $p>2 \kappa$ is, by a wide margin, enough to ensure (see $[\mathbf{R i 1}, \S 5]$ ) that the orbit maps under the adjoint action of $G$ are separable. Hence the tangent space to $G \cdot e$ is $T_{e} G \cdot e=[\mathfrak{g}, e]$. Decompose $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda}$ into $d \sigma$-eigenspaces, so $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{q}$. Then $T_{e} \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{q} \cap T_{e} G e=\mathfrak{g}^{q} \cap \oplus_{\lambda}\left[\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda}, e\right]=$ [ $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}, e\right]$. It follows that the differential of $\pi$ at $\left(P_{\sigma}, e\right) \in E$ is surjective, so $\pi$ is separable by $[\mathbf{B}, \mathrm{p} .41]$. Hence $\pi: \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is an isomorphism by Zariski's Main Theorem.

Corollary 3.3.
(1) $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}=\operatorname{dim} G_{\sigma}-\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma}+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{q}$.
(2) $C_{G_{\sigma}}(e)=C_{P_{\sigma}}(e)$, where $C_{H}(e)$ denotes centralizer in $H$ of $e$.
(3) $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ is exactly the dense $P_{\sigma}$-orbit on $\mathfrak{q}$. (See the proof of (3.2).)

Proof. The first two assertions are immediate from (3.2). We use them to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma} \cdot e & =\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma}-\operatorname{dim} C_{P_{\sigma}}(e) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma}-\operatorname{dim} C_{G_{\sigma}}(e) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}-\operatorname{dim} G_{\sigma} \\
& =\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma}+\left[\operatorname{dim} G_{\sigma}+\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{q}-\operatorname{dim} P_{\sigma}\right]-\operatorname{dim} G_{\sigma} \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $P_{\sigma} \cdot e$ contains an open subset of $\mathfrak{q}$ which must meet, hence equal, the dense $P_{\sigma}$ orbit on $\mathfrak{q}$.

According to $[\mathbf{K}]$, a result like (3.2) has the following consequence in characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an $G_{\sigma}$-orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$, and let $E$ be the bundle over $G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma}$ as in (3.2). Let $S^{d} \check{\mathcal{E}}$ be the graded sheaf of sections of the $d^{\text {th }}$ symmetric power of the dual bundle of $E$. Then $\oplus_{d \geq 0} S^{d} \check{\mathcal{E}}$ is the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{E}$ of $E$, and the global sections $H^{0}\left(G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma}, S^{d} \check{\mathcal{E}}\right)$ are the regular functions on $E$ which are polynomial of degree $d$ on each fiber. Let $F[\overline{\mathcal{O}}]$ be the coordinate ring of the affine variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. The map $\pi$ induces an injection of $G_{\sigma}$-modules

$$
\pi^{*}: F[\overline{\mathcal{O}}] \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} H^{0}\left(G_{\sigma} / P_{\sigma}, S^{d} \check{\mathcal{E}}\right)
$$

given by $\pi^{*}(f)\left(m P_{\sigma}\right)=\left.f\right|_{m \cdot q}$.
Corollary 3.4. Assume the characteristic of $F$ is zero and that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a completely reducible $P_{\sigma}$-module. Then
(1) $\pi^{*}$ is an isomorphism of $G_{\sigma}$-modules.
(2) $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a normal variety.
(3) $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=0$ for $i>0$. (One says that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ has "rational singularities").

The proof of the analogous result for nilpotent orbits in $[\mathbf{H}]$ carries over without change. Note that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a completely reducible $P_{\sigma^{-}}$ module if and only if the unipotent radical of $P_{\sigma}$ acts trivially on q.
IV. From $G$-orbits to $G_{\sigma}$-orbits. We retain the notation from the proof of (3.2), and investigate the other components of $\tilde{E}_{\sigma_{q}}=$ $\left(G \times_{P} \mathfrak{p}^{2}\right)_{\sigma_{q}}$ occurring there. For $w \in W_{\sigma}$, we put

$$
\mathfrak{q}_{w}=\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2},
$$

so $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{q}_{1}$. Note that $\mathfrak{q}_{w}$ is an $\operatorname{Ad}\left(w P w^{-1}\right)_{\sigma}$-invariant linear subspace of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$. It follows from (2.3) that for each $w \in W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}$ we have a component

$$
E_{w}=\left\{(g w P, x) \in G_{\sigma} w P / P \times \mathfrak{g}: x \in g \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{w}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\tilde{E}_{\sigma_{q}}=\coprod_{w \in W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}} E_{w} .
$$

One could also view $E_{w}$ as the fiber product

$$
E_{w}=G_{\sigma} \times_{P_{\sigma}} \mathfrak{q}_{w} .
$$

Since $E_{w}$ is a vector bundle over a smooth variety and $\tilde{\pi}$ is $G_{\sigma^{-}}$ equivariant and closed, we see that $\pi\left(E_{w}\right)=G_{\sigma} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{w}$ is an $G_{\sigma}$-stable, closed, irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap \overline{G \cdot e}$. Hence $\pi\left(E_{w}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}_{w}}$ for a unique $G_{\sigma}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{w} \subseteq G \cdot e$. Note that $\pi\left(E_{w}\right)$ meets $G \cdot e$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2} \neq \varnothing$. (Recall that $\mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}=\mathfrak{p}^{2} \cap G \cdot e$ is the unique dense $P$-orbit in $\mathfrak{p}^{2}$.) By $[\mathbf{R i} 3]$ the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$ are exactly the irreducible components of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap$ Ge. Thus, the closure of each such $G_{\sigma}$-orbit is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap \overline{G \cdot e}$. The main result in this section ties these considerations together and, in tandem with (2.3), reduces the computation of the $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $G \cdot e$ to knowing the dense orbit in the prehomogeneous vector space ( $P, \mathfrak{p}^{2}, \mathrm{Ad}$ ). We note that for each $G$ there are only finitely many of the latter to consider.

Proposition 4.1. (1) The map $w \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{w}$ described above is a bijection from

$$
\left\{w \in W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}: \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2} \neq \varnothing\right\}
$$

to the set of $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$. The inverse of this bijection sends a $G_{\sigma}$-orbit $\mathcal{O} \subseteq G \cdot e$ to the $w \in W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}$ such that $E_{w}$
is the unique component of $\tilde{E}_{\sigma_{q}}$ meeting a $\tilde{\pi}$-fiber over some point in $\mathcal{O}$.
(2) The map $\pi: E_{w} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{w}}$ is a desingularization of $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{w}}$.
(3) If $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}$ is nonempty, then $\mathcal{O}_{w}=G_{\sigma} \cdot\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}\right)=$ $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{w}} \cap G \cdot e$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is bijective over $G \cdot e$, it is clear that any $G_{\sigma}$-orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$ can meet $\pi\left(E_{w}\right)$ for at most one $w \in W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}$. Hence $w \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{w}$ is injective.
Take $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$, and look at the fiber $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x)=\{(g P, x)\}$, where $x \in g \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}$. We have $q x=d \sigma(x) \in d \sigma\left(g \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}\right)=\sigma(g) \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}$, so $x \in \sigma(g) \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}$. But then $(\sigma(g) P, x) \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x)$, so $g P \in(G / P)_{\sigma}$. This shows that $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$ is contained in the union of the $\pi\left(E_{w}\right)$ 's. Now consider a $G_{\sigma}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$, and suppose $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ meets $\pi\left(E_{w}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{0} \subseteq \pi\left(E_{w}\right)$ so $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{0}} \subseteq \pi\left(E_{w}\right)$ since the latter is closed. Recall however, that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{0}}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap \overline{G \cdot e}$, so we must have $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{0}}=\pi\left(E_{w}\right)$, since the latter is also irreducible.

Assertion (2) follows from the birationality of $\tilde{\pi}$, as we have argued before.

Let $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{w}} \cap G e=G_{\sigma} \mathfrak{q}_{w} \cap G e$. Then there exist $m \in G_{\sigma}, g \in G$ and $v \in \mathfrak{p}^{2}$ such that $x=m w \cdot v=g \cdot e$, so $v=(m w)^{-1} g \cdot e \in \mathfrak{p}^{2} \cap G \cdot e=\mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}$. Hence $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap m w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}$. This proves the second equality in (3), the other direction there being trivial.

We now know $\mathcal{O}_{w} \subseteq G_{\sigma} \cdot\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}\right)=\overline{\mathcal{O}_{w}} \cap G \cdot e$. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}$, being open in the linear space $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{2}$, is irreducible. It follows that $G_{\sigma} \cdot\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}\right)$ is an irreducible subset of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$ containing the irreducible component $\mathcal{O}_{w}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap G \cdot e$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{w}=G_{\sigma} \cdot\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q} \cap w \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{0}^{2}\right)$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent $G$-orbit. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ is a union of at most $\left|W_{\sigma}^{1} \backslash W_{\sigma} /\left(W_{P}\right)_{\sigma}\right|$ orbits under $G_{\sigma}$.

Corollary 4.3. Assume $\sigma$ is induced by a symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of $G$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent $G$-orbit. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ meets $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma, q}$ in at most one $G_{\sigma}$-orbit.

Proof. In this situation, $W_{\sigma}^{1}=W_{\sigma}$ as remarked in (2.6), so the result is a special case of (4.3).

When $q=1$, we are counting the number of nilpotent $G_{\sigma}$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ which are contained in a single $G$-orbit. It is well-known that
nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{s o}(2 n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{s p}(n)$ are determined by elementary divisors. The above results say this is due to the connectedness of the varieties $(G / P)_{\sigma}$, which is in turn deduced from the equality $W_{\sigma}^{1}=W_{\sigma}$. Likewise, every $\mathfrak{e}_{6}$ nilpotent orbit meets $\mathfrak{f}_{4}$ in at most one $F_{4}$-orbit, and similarly for $G_{2} \subset \operatorname{Spin}(8)$. In the case $G=S L(2 n), G_{\sigma}=S O(2 n)$, one checks that $|Y(\sigma)|=2$, so (4.2) reduces to the well-known result that at most two nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{s o}(2 n)$ have the same elementary divisors, and these orbits are conjugate in $O(2 n)$.
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