

34. A Characteristic Property of L_p -Spaces ($p > 1$). II

By Koji HONDA

Muroran Institute of Technology

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 12, 1960)

In the previous paper,¹⁾ we gave a characteristic property of L_p -spaces ($p > 1$). The purpose of this paper is to give another characterization.

In the case of L_p ($p > 1$), the transformation

$$(1) \quad Tx(t) = |x(t)|^{p-1} \cdot \text{sgn } x(t)$$

is a one-to-one correspondence between L_p and L_q ($q = p/p-1$), and the functional (called a modular)

$$(2) \quad m(x) = \int_0^1 (T\xi x, x) d\xi = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt$$

is well defined. Putting

$$(3) \quad \|x\| = \inf_{m(\xi x) \leq 1} \frac{1}{|\xi|},$$

we get a norm of L_p and

$$\|x\| = \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (x \in L_p).$$

The conjugate norm of it is

$$(4) \quad \|\bar{x}\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |(\bar{x}, x)| = p^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 |\bar{x}(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (\bar{x} \in L_q).$$

Then, it is easily seen that the transformation (1) is *norm-preserving*:

$$\|x\| = \|y\| \text{ in } L_p \text{ implies } \|Tx\| = \|Ty\| \text{ in } L_q.$$

In this paper, we will prove that this property of T is characteristic for L_p ($p > 1$) among such Banach spaces that have some transformations like (1), namely, conjugately similar spaces.

Definition. A universally continuous semi-ordered linear space R is said to be *conjugately similar*,²⁾ if R is reflexive and there exists a one-to-one transformation T from R onto its conjugate space \bar{R} with the following properties:

- (i) $T(-a) = -Ta$ ($a \in R$);
- (ii) $Ta \leq Tb$ if and only if $a \leq b$ ($a, b \in R$);
- (iii) $(Ta, a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$.

The above transformation T is called a *conjugately similar correspondence*.

1) K. Honda and S. Yamamuro [1].

2) Throughout this paper, notations and terminologies are according to H. Nakano [2].

In the conjugately similar space, the modular $m(x)$ and the norm $\|x\|$ are defined by the formulas (2) and (3). It is known that, for the conjugate norm (4), we have

$$\|\bar{x}\| = \inf_{\xi > 0} \frac{1 + \bar{m}(\xi\bar{x})}{\xi} \quad (\bar{x} \in \bar{R}),$$

where

$$(5) \quad \bar{m}(\bar{x}) = \sup_{x \in R} \{(\bar{x}, x) - m(x)\}.$$

The correspondence T has the following properties:

$$(6) \quad m(x) + \bar{m}(Tx) = (Tx, x);$$

$$(7) \quad x \wedge y = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad Tx \wedge Ty = 0;$$

$$(8) \quad x \wedge y = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad m(x+y) = m(x) + m(y)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \bar{m}(T(x+y)) = \bar{m}(Tx) + \bar{m}(Ty).$$

The fact we are going to prove in this paper is the following

Theorem. *Let R be a universally continuous semi-ordered linear space which has at least two linearly independent elements. If there exists a conjugately similar correspondence T which is norm-preserving for the norms defined by (3) and (4), then we can find a number $p > 1$ such that*

$$T\xi x = \xi^p T x$$

for any number $\xi > 0$ and $x \in R$.

Before proceeding to the proof, we state the following

Lemma. *Let R be a conjugately similar space with its conjugately similar correspondence T . For the modulars defined by (2) and (5), if there exists a positive number γ such that, for any $x \in R$,*

$$(*) \quad \bar{m}(Tx) = \gamma \cdot m(x),$$

then $T\xi x = \xi^r T x$ for every $x \in R$ and $\xi > 0$.

Proof. Since R is conjugately similar, for any $a \in R$, $(T\xi a, a)$ is a continuous function of ξ .³⁾

Setting $\Phi(\xi) = m(\xi a)$, by (2) and (6), we have

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \Phi(\xi) = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\xi} \Phi(\xi).$$

As the solution of the above differential equation, we have $m(\xi a) = \xi^{\gamma+1} m(a)$ and hence $T\xi x = \xi^r \cdot T x$. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem. It is enough only to prove the existence of a positive number γ which satisfies the condition (*) in the above lemma. Since the modulars m and \bar{m} are simple and finite,⁵⁾ we have that

$$\|x\| = 1 \text{ is equivalent to } m(x) = 1 \text{ and hence}$$

3) This is called the *conjugate modular* of $m(x)$.

4) See H. Nakano [2, §60, Th. 60.2].

5) m is said to be *simple*, if $m(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ and is said to be *finite*, if $m(\xi x) < +\infty$ for every ξ and $x \in R$. Also, see H. Nakano [2, §60, Th. 60.10].

$$\|x\|=1 \text{ implies } \|Tx\|=1+\overline{m}(Tx).^{6)}$$

Therefore, we may set $\overline{m}(Tx)=\gamma$ for every $\|x\|=1$.

We may prove the theorem as for two cases that R has not discrete elements and has complete system of discrete elements,⁷⁾ because R is a direct sum of the former and the latter.

I. Let R be non-atomic.

If $m(a)=n$ (positive integer), there exist mutually orthogonal positive elements such that

$$|a|=a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_n \text{ and } m(a_i)=1 \text{ (} i=1, 2, \cdots, n\text{)}.$$

Hence we have $\overline{m}(Ta)=\sum_{i=1}^n \overline{m}(Ta_i)=n\cdot\gamma$.

Next, let a be a non-complete element and be $m(a)=1/n$ (n is a positive integer). Then, we can find $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ and c of R with the following properties:

- 1) $a \wedge a_i = 0$ ($i=1, 2, \cdots, n-1$), $a_i \wedge a_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $c \wedge (a+a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{n-1})=0$;
- 2) $m(a_i)=1/n$ ($i=1, 2, \cdots, n-1$) and $m(c)=(n-1)/n$.

Then, we have

$$\overline{m}(Ta)=\overline{m}(T(a+c))-\overline{m}(Tc)=\gamma-\overline{m}(Tc)$$

and

$$\overline{m}(Ta_i)=\overline{m}(T(a_i+c))-\overline{m}(Tc)=\gamma-\overline{m}(Tc),$$

because $m(a+c)=1$ and $m(a_i+c)=1$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{m}(Ta) &= \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \overline{m}(Ta) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \overline{m}(Ta_i) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \{ \overline{m}(T(a+a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{n-1})) \} = \gamma/n, \end{aligned}$$

because $m(a+a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{n-1})=1$. Thus, we have that $m(a)=1/n$ implies $\overline{m}(Ta)=\gamma/n$, if a is not a complete element.

If a is a complete element and $m(a)=1/n$, we have such a partition that $|a|=a_1+a_2$, $a_i > 0$, $m(a_i)=1/2n$ and $a_1 \wedge a_2 = 0$ and hence $\overline{m}(Ta)=\overline{m}(Ta_1)+\overline{m}(Ta_2)=\gamma/n$, because a_i is not complete elements.

When $m(a)$ is a rational number k , we have $\overline{m}(Ta)=k\cdot\gamma$ by the same methods as above. Therefore, since Ta is continuous with respect to order-topology,⁸⁾ we have $\overline{m}(Ta)=\gamma\cdot m(a)$ for any element $a \in R$.

II. Let R be a discrete space with its discrete base $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$:

$$m(e_\lambda)=1 \text{ (} \lambda \in A\text{) and } e_\lambda \wedge e_\mu = 0 \text{ (} \lambda \neq \mu; \lambda, \mu \in A\text{)}$$

where A is a set of indices.

6) See S. Yamamuro [4, Th. 3.2.1].

7) An element $a \in R$ is said to be *discrete*, if for every element $x \in R$ such that $|x| \leq |a|$ there exists a real number α for which $x=\alpha a$. A subset N of R is said to be *complete* in R , if $|x| \wedge |y|=0$ for all $x \in N$ implies $y=0$. We say that R is *discrete*, if R has a complete system of discrete elements, and is *non-atomic*, if R has no discrete element.

8) See 4).

For any number ξ and element e_λ , $T\xi e_\lambda$ is a discrete element in \bar{R} , because ξe_λ is a discrete element in the conjugate similar space R . Therefore, there exists an increasing continuous function $\varphi_{e_\lambda}(\xi)$ of ξ depending on e_λ such that $T\xi e_\lambda = \varphi_{e_\lambda}(\xi)Te_\lambda$. But then by the assumption, i.e. $\|e_\lambda\| = \|e_\mu\|$ implies $\|T\xi e_\lambda\| = \|T\xi e_\mu\|$ for every $\xi > 0$, $\lambda, \mu \in A$, we know $\varphi_{e_\lambda}(\xi)$ is independent of $\lambda \in A$, and hence it follows that $(T\xi e_\lambda, e_\lambda)$ is independent of $\lambda \in A$. Therefore, by (2) and (6) we have

$$m(\xi e_\lambda) = m(\xi e_\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{m}(T\xi e_\lambda) = \bar{m}(T\xi e_\mu)$$

for every positive number ξ and $\lambda, \mu \in A$.

Now, we will prove that

$$(9) \quad \bar{m}(T\xi e_\lambda) = \gamma \cdot m(\xi e_\lambda) \quad (\xi > 0).$$

For this purpose, define a non-decreasing continuous function $f(\rho)$ as $m(\xi e_\lambda) = \rho$ implies $\bar{m}(T\xi e_\lambda) = f(\rho) \cdot \gamma$.

Then, we have

$$(10) \quad f(\rho) + f(1 - \rho) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$$

$$(11) \quad f(2\rho) = 2f(\rho) \quad \text{if} \quad \rho \geq 0.$$

To prove (10), take e_λ, e_μ ($\lambda \neq \mu$) and ρ such that $0 < \rho < 1$. Then, if $m(\alpha e_\lambda) = \rho$, we can find $\beta > 0$ such that $m(\beta e_\mu) = 1 - \rho$. By (8), we have

$$m(\alpha e_\lambda + \beta e_\mu) = m(\alpha e_\lambda) + m(\beta e_\mu) = 1$$

$$\text{and} \quad \bar{m}(T(\alpha e_\lambda + \beta e_\mu)) = \bar{m}(T\alpha e_\lambda) + \bar{m}(T\beta e_\mu) \\ = [f(\rho) + f(1 - \rho)] \cdot \gamma.$$

To prove (11), take an arbitrary $\rho > 0$, and fix e_λ and e_μ ($\lambda \neq \mu$). Then, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $m(\alpha(e_\lambda + e_\mu)) = 2\rho$, which implies that $m(\alpha e_\lambda) = m(\alpha e_\mu) = \rho$ and $\bar{m}(T\alpha e_\lambda) = \bar{m}(T\alpha e_\mu) = f(\rho) \cdot \gamma$. On the other hand, $\bar{m}(T\alpha(e_\lambda + e_\mu)) = f(2\rho) \cdot \gamma$.

Hence it follows that $f(2\rho) = 2f(\rho)$.

Since $f(\rho)$ is continuous, by (10) and (11), we have $f(\xi) = \xi$, which implies (9).

From the fact that every element x of R is represented as $x = \sum_{\lambda \in A} \alpha_\lambda e_\lambda$, it follows that $\bar{m}(Tx) = \gamma \cdot m(x)$ for any $x \in R$.

Thus the proof is completed.

Remark 1. If R has at least three linearly independent elements, we can generalize the lemma's assumption (*) as "there exists a real function $g(\xi)$ such that $\bar{m}(Tx) = g(m(x))$ for every $x \in R$ ". Because, the above assumption brings the fact that R has a unique indicatrix.⁹⁾

Remark 2. It is easily seen that the case of one-dimensional is exceptional.

In conclusion, I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. S. Yamamuro for his encouragement and kind advice.

9) See H. Nakano [3, Anhang II, Satz II.6].

References

- [1] K. Honda and S. Yamamuro: A characteristic property of spaces ($p > 1$), Proc. Japan Acad., **35**, no. 8, 446-448 (1959).
- [2] H. Nakano: Modulare Semi-ordered Linear Spaces, Tokyo, Maruzen (1950).
- [3] H. Nakano: Stetige lineare Funktionale auf dem teilweisegeordneten Modul, Jour. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo, **4**, 201-382 (1942).
- [4] S. Yamamuro: On conjugate spaces of Nakano spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90**, 291-311 (1959).