114. Note on the Direct Product of Certain Groupoids¹¹

By Takayuki TAMURA, R. B. MERKEL, and J. F. LATIMER

University of California, Davis, U.S.A. (Comm. by K. SHODA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1961)

Consider a semigroup G satisfying

(1.1) There is at least one (left identity) $e \in G$ such that ea = a for all $a \in G$.

(1.2) For any $a \in G$ and for any left identity $e \in G$ there is at least one $b \in G$ such that ab=e.

A. H. Clifford [1] and H. B. Mann [2] investigated such systems and they obtained the same result: the system is the direct product of a right singular semigroup and a group. Clifford called such systems multiple groups, Mann called them (l, r) systems, but we call them right groups. In this note we shall define an *M*-groupoid as generalization of right groups and shall study the conditions for *M*-groupoids.

DEFINITION. An *M*-groupoid *S* is a groupoid² (Bruck [4]) which satisfies the following conditions:

(2.1) There is at least one $e \in S$ such that ex = x for all $x \in S$.

(2.2) If y or z is a left identity of S, then (xy)z=x(yz) for all $x \in S$.

(2.3) For any $x \in S$ there is a unique left identity e (which may depend on x) such that xe=x.

THEOREM 1. An M-groupoid S is the direct product of a right singular semigroup and a groupoid with a two-sided identity, and conversely.

For the proof of this theorem we use the following lemma:

LEMMA. If and only if a groupoid S has two orthogonal decompositions, it is isomorphic to the direct product of the two factor groupoids obtained from the two decompositions.

Clifford introduced the notation "orthogonal decomposition" in his paper [1], p. 869, but he did not apply the principle directly. Although this lemma is obvious according to K. Shoda [3], p. 158, we can easily prove it with elementary method.

DEFINITION. A right group S is a groupoid which satisfies the following conditions:

(3.1) For any $x, y, z \in S$, (xy)z = x(yz)

(3.2) For any $a, b \in S$, there is a unique $c \in S$ such that ac=b.

1) The detail proof will be given elsewhere.

2) A groupoid is a system in which a binary operation is defined.

Using Theorem 1, we have

THEOREM 2. A right group is isomorphic to the direct product of a right singular semigroup and a group, and conversely.

Consider various conditions (4.1) through (4.8) and the seven systems, I through VII, of the conditions as follows:

 \mathcal{I}_1 means "there is uniquely"

- (4.1) $Va, b, c \Rightarrow (ab)c = a(bc)$
- $(4.2) \quad Va, b \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1c: ac = b$
- $(4.3) \quad ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$
- $(4.4) \quad \forall a, b \Rightarrow \exists c: ac = b$
- $(4.5) \quad \exists e \colon \forall a \Rightarrow ea = a$
- (4.6) Va, V (left identity) $e \Rightarrow \exists c: ac = e$
- (4.7) $Va \Rightarrow \exists c, \exists \text{ (left identity) } e: ac = e$
- (4.8) $\forall a \Rightarrow \exists c, \exists$ (left identity) e: ca = e
- I : {(4.1), (4.2)}, II : {(4.1), (4.3), (4.4)},
- III : $\{(4.1), (4.4), (4.5)\},$ IV : $\{(4.1), (4.5), (4.6)\},$
- $V : \{(4.1), (4.5), (4.7)\}, VI: \{(4.1), (4.5), (4.8)\},$
- VII: $S=R\times G$ where R is right singular semigroup and G is a group.

Each of I through VII is characterization of an M-groupoid. In fact we can show

 $II \gtrsim I \Rightarrow VII \Rightarrow III \Rightarrow IV \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow VI \Rightarrow I.$

Furthermore consider the following conditions:

(4. 9) If e and f are idempotents, then ef=f.

(4.10) S is the set union of some groups.

VIII: {(4.1), (4.9), (4.10)}

THEOREM 3. A groupoid S is a right group if and only if S satisfies VIII.

For the proof of this theorem, we may show $VIII \Rightarrow VI$ and $VII \Rightarrow VIII$.

Adjoin the condition "there is at least one left identity e," to the characterizations I through VI if it is not already included; and replace associativity by the weakened associative law (2.2).

Denote the new systems by I' through VI' respectively.

With a counter example, we can show that I' through VI' are not necessary conditions for M-groupoids; I' and II' are both sufficient conditions, but IV' through VI' are not sufficient conditions; while we have no conclusion yet with respect to sufficiency of III'.

Now consider the following modifications VIII' of VIII:

VIII': $\{(4.1), (2.2), (4.9), (5.4)\}$

where (5.4) means that S is the union of disjoint groupoids each of which has a two-sided identity.

Further replace (5.4) by a stronger condition (5.5):

VIII₂: {(4.1), (2.2), (4.9), (5.5)}

where (5.5) says: There is a decomposition $\{S_{\alpha}\}^{3}$ of S such that each S_{α} is a groupoid with a two-sided identity.

Then we can show that $VIII'_1$ is not a sufficient condition to determine an *M*-groupoid, while we have

THEOREM 4. VIII' characterizes an M-groupoid.

Necessity is clear. For the proof of sufficiency we may show that $VIII'_2$ implies (2.3).

References

- A. H. Clifford: A system arising from a weakened set of group postulates, Ann. of Math., 34, 865-871 (1933).
- [2] H. B. Mann: On certain systems which are almost groups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50, 879-881 (1944).
- [3] K. Shoda: General Theory of Algebra (in Jap.), Tokyo, Kyoritsusha (1947).
- [4] R. H. Bruck: A Survey of Binary Systems, Berlin, Spring-Verlag (1958).