175. On the Absolute Nörlund Summability of a Fourier Series^{*)}

By H. P. DIKSHIT

University of Allahabad, India (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1964)

1.1. Definitions. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series and $\{s_n\}$ the sequence of its partial sums. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of constants, real or complex and let us write

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n; \quad P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0.$$

The sequence to sequence transformation:

(1.1.1)
$$t_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_{\nu} / P_n; \quad P_n \neq 0,$$

defines the sequence $\{t_n\}$ of Nörlund means¹⁾ of the sequence $\{s_n\}$, generated by the sequence of coefficients $\{p_n\}$. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable (N, p_n) to the sum s if $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n$ exists and is equal to s, and is said to be absolutely summable (N, p_n) , or summable $|N, p_n|$, if $\{t_n\} \in BV$, that is, $\sum_n |t_n - t_{n-1}| \leq K$.²⁾

1.2. Let f(t) be a periodic function with period 2π , and integrable in the sense of Lebesgue over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We assume, without any loss of generality, that the constant term in the Fourier series of f(t) is zero, so that

(1.2.1)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)dt = 0$$

and

(1.2.2)
$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n} A_n(t).$$

We write throughout:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x+t) + f(x-t) \}; \\ c_{n,k} &= \{ \sin(n-k)t \} / (n-k); \\ R_n &= (n+1)p_n / P_n; \\ T_n &= 1 / R_n = P_n (n+1)^{-1} / p_n; \end{aligned}$$

^{*)} Chapter II of the author's Thesis "Summability of Infinite Series (with special emphasis on Nörlund means)", submitted on September 9, 1963 and approved for the D. Phil. degree of the Allahabad University (India).

¹⁾ Nörlund [3].

²⁾ Mears [1].

 $S_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n P_{\nu}(\nu+1)^{-1}/P_n;$

 $\Delta \sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n$, for any sequence σ_n .

 $\tau = \lfloor 1/t \rfloor$, that is, the greatest integer contained in 1/t.

K denotes a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

1.3. Introduction. Pati³⁾ has proved the following theorem concerning the summability $|N, p_n|$ of the Fourier series of f(t), at t=x.

Theorem A. If $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)^{4}$ and $\{p_n\}$ is a positive monotonic sequence such that $P_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then the Fourier series of f(t), at t=x, is summable $|N, p_n|$.

We observe that in the case in which $\{p_n\}$ is positive monotonic non-decreasing, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ implies $\{T_n\} \in BV$, and $\{T_n\} \in BV$ in its turn implies $\{S_n\} \in BV$. This follows when we observe that

$$S_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n T_{\nu} p_{\nu} / P_n,$$

and appeal to the result (Mohanty [2], Lemma 4):

If $\mu_n > 0$, $\lambda_n = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_n$, and

 $d_n = \{\mu_1 c_1 + \cdots + \mu_n c_n\}/\lambda_n,$

then, $\{d_n\} \in BV$ whenever $\{c_n\} \in BV$. Hence Pati actually uses the hypothesis that $\{R_n\} \in BV$, in the case: $\{p_n\}$ is monotonic non-decreasing. However in this case, $\{T_n\} \in B^{(5)}$ And we therefore have $\{T_n\} \in BV$. Further if we assume that $\{R_n\} \in B$, and $\{T_n\} \in BV$, then we indeed get $\{R_n\} \in BV$. Thus in the present case the set of hypotheses used by Pati, viz. $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, are equivalent to the hypotheses $\{R_n\} \in B$ and $\{T_n\} \in BV$.

The object of the present paper is to provide an appreciably brief proof of Theorem A, in the case in which $\{p_n\}$ is a positive monotonic non-decreasing sequence, in the following equivalent form.

THEOREM. If $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ is a positive, monotonic non-decreasing sequence such that $\{T_n\} \in BV$ and $\{R_n\} \in B$, then the Fourier series of f(t), at t=x, is summable $|N, p_n|$.

1.3. We shall require the following lemmas for the proof of the Theorem.

LEMMA 1.⁶⁾ If $\lambda_{n,k}(t)$ be any function of n, k, and t, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_n}{n+1} p_k - p_n \frac{P_k}{k+1} \right) \lambda_{n,k}(t) = p_n \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta T_{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} p_k \lambda_{n,k}(t).$$

3) Pati [5].

4) By ' $F(t) \in BV(h, k)$ ' we mean that F(t) is a function of bounded variation over the interval (h, k).

5) That is, $\{T_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. We follow such symbolism consistently.

6) This lemma and its proof were given by Professor L.S. Bosanquet in a communication to Dr. Pati.

No. 10]

Proof. We write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_n}{n+1} \, p_k - p_n \frac{P_k}{k+1} \right) &\lambda_{n,k}(t) = p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \, p_k(T_n - T_k) \lambda_{n,k}(t) \\ &= p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \, p_k \lambda_{n,k}(t) \sum_{\nu=k}^{n-1} \, \mathcal{L}T_\nu \\ &= p_n \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \, \mathcal{L}T_\nu \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \, p_k \lambda_{n,k}(t). \end{split}$$

LEMMA 2.⁷ Uniformly for $0 < t \leq \pi$,

$$\left|\sum_{m}^{n}\sin\nu t/\nu\right|\leq K,$$

where m and n are positive integers such that $m \leq n$.

LEMMA 3.⁸⁾ If $\{p_n\}$ is a positive monotonic non-decreasing sequence such that $\{R_n\} \in B$, then uniformly for $0 < t \leq \pi$,

$$\sum_{n} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_k}{k+1} \sin(n-k)t \right| \leq K.$$

LEMMA 4. For positive $\{p_n\}$,

$$\sum_{p=\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{P_{\nu}}.$$

This is evident since $p_n = P_n - P_{n-1}$ and P_n is monotonic increasing.

1.4. Proof of the theorem. As in Pati [4], in order to prove our theorem we have to show that, uniformly for $0 < t \leq \pi$,

$$\sum = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_{n}p_{k} - p_{n}P_{k})c_{n,k} \right| \leq K.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \sum &\leq \sum_{n} \frac{(n+1)}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{n+1} p_{k} - p_{n} \frac{P_{k}}{k+1} \right) c_{n,k} \right| \\ &+ \sum_{n} \frac{(n+1)}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_{k}}{k+1} p_{n} - p_{n} \frac{P_{k}}{n+1} \right) c_{n,k} \right| \\ &= \sum_{1} + \sum_{2}, \quad \text{say.} \end{split}$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_k}{k+1} \sin(n-k)t \right| \leq K,$$

by Lemma 3.

Also,

- 7) Titchmarsh [6], §1.76.
- 8) This result is due to Pati. See proof of $\sum_{2} \leq K$, in Pati [5].

H. P. DIKSHIT

[Vol. 40,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n} &= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{n+1} p_{k} - p_{n} \frac{P_{k}}{k+1} \right) \sin(n-k) t \left(1 + \frac{k+1}{n-k} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n} \frac{1}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{n+1} p_{k} - p_{n} \frac{P_{k}}{k+1} \right) \sin(n-k) t \right| \\ &+ \sum_{n} \frac{1}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{n+1} p_{k} - p_{n} \frac{P_{k}}{k+1} \right) (k+1) c_{n,k} \right| \\ &= \sum_{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{n+1} p_{l} - p_{n} \frac{P_{k}}{k+1} \right) (k+1) c_{n,k} \right| \end{split}$$

By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n} &= \sum_{n} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta T_{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} p_{k} \sin (n-k) t \right| \\ &\leq K \sum_{n} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |\Delta T_{\nu}| \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} p_{k} \\ &= K \sum_{n} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |\Delta T_{\nu}| P_{\nu} \\ &= K \sum_{\nu} |\Delta T_{\nu}| P_{\nu} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \\ &\leq K \sum_{\nu} |\Delta T_{\nu}|, \quad \text{by Lemma 4,} \\ &\leq K, \end{split}$$

by the hypothesis that $\{T_n\} \in BV$.

Also by Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{12} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \Delta T_{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} (k+1) p_k c_{n,k} \right|$$
$$\leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |\Delta T_{\nu}| (\nu+1) p_{\nu},$$

by Abel's Lemma and Lemma 2, since $p_n(n+1)$ is monotonic nondecreasing. And therefore

$$\sum_{12} \leq K \sum_{\nu} | \Delta T_{\nu} | (\nu+1) p_{\nu} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}}$$
$$\leq K \sum_{\nu} | \Delta T_{\nu} | R_{\nu}, \text{ by Lemma 4,}$$
$$\leq K \sum_{\nu} | \Delta T_{\nu} |$$
$$\leq K,$$

by the hypotheses that $\{R_n\} \in B$ and $\{T_n\} \in BV$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

My warmest thanks are due to Dr. T. Pati for his kind guidance and advice during the preparation of this paper. No. 10]

References

- [1] Florence M. Mears: Some multiplication theorems for the Nörlund means. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 41, 875-880 (1935).
- [2] R. Mohanty: A criterion for the absolute convergence of a Fourier series. Proc. London Math. Soc., 51, 186-196 (1949).
- [3] N. E. Nörlund: Sur une application des fonctions permutables. Lunds Universitets Årsskrift, 16 (1919).
- [4] T. Pati: On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series. Jour. London Math. Soc., 34, 153-160 (1959).
- [5] —: Addendum: On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series. Jour. London Math. Soc., 37, 256 (1962).
- [6] E. C. Titchmarsh: Theory of Functions. Oxford (1949).