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1. Introduction. Let $\left\{n_{k}\right\}(k=1,2, \cdots)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Let $f(x)$ be a real function, $L$-integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$ and having a period $2 \pi$, whose Fourier coefficients $a_{n}, b_{n}$ vanish except for $n=n_{k}$. Namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n_{k}} \cos n_{k} x+b_{n_{k}} \sin n_{k_{k}} x\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

supposing for simplicity that the constant term also vanishes.
Let $x_{0}$ be a fixed point and $\alpha>0$, we write $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P)$ if

$$
\left|f\left(x_{0}+h\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leqq A|h|^{\alpha}
$$

holds for all small $h$. We assume throughout that " $A$ " denotes an absolute constant and two $A$ 's might be not equal even in the same equation for the sake of conveniency. M. and S. Izumi [1] proved the following

Theorem A. If $f$ has the Fourier series (1) with the gap condition
( $G_{1}$ )
and $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P),(\alpha>0)$, then

$$
a_{n_{k}}, b_{n_{k}}=O\left(n_{k}^{-\alpha \beta}\right)
$$

Theorem B. If $f$ has the F.s. (1) with the Hadamard gap ( $G_{2}$ ) $n_{k+1} / n_{k} \geqq \lambda>1$
and $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P),(0<\alpha<1)$, then $f$ belongs to $\operatorname{Lip} \alpha$ class in $(-\pi, \pi)$.
Using the Izumis' method in Theorem A, we shall prove a group of theorems under a general gap condition ${ }^{1,2)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{k+1}-n_{k} \geqq A F\left(n_{k}\right), n_{k}-n_{k-1} \geqq A F\left(n_{k}\right) \tag{G}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F\left(n_{k}\right) \uparrow \infty$ as $k \uparrow \infty$ and $F\left(n_{k}\right) \leqq n_{k}$ for all $k$.
Theorem 1. If $f$ has the $F$.s. (1) with the gap (G) and $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P),(\alpha>0)$, then

[^0]$$
a_{n_{k}}, b_{n_{k}}=O\left(F\left(n_{k}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) .
$$

In order to have a similar estimation as in Theorem B for a weaker gap condition, we now consider a new gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{k+1}-n_{k} \geqq A n_{k}^{\beta} k^{\gamma} \quad(0<\beta<1, \gamma>0) . \tag{G}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is weaker than $\left(G_{2}\right)$, but stronger than $\left(G_{1}\right)$. A simple example of this kind of gap is $\left(k^{[\log k]}\right)_{k=1,2, \ldots}$.

We first derive a theorem concerning the absolute convergence.
Theorem 2. If $f$ has the F.s. (1) with the gap ( $G_{3}$ ) and $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P),(\alpha>0)$, then (1) converges absolutely when $\alpha \beta+\alpha \gamma+\beta>1$.

Supposing $\gamma=0$ throughout the proof, $\left(G_{3}\right)$ becomes $\left(G_{1}\right)$, we can easily get the following

Corollary. ${ }^{3}$ ) If $f$ has the F.s. (1) with gap ( $G_{1}$ ) and $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P)$, ( $\alpha>0$ ), then (1) converges absolutely when $\alpha \beta+\beta>1$.

Finally we shall prove the following
Theorem 3. If $f$ has the F.s. (1) with the gap $\left(G_{3}\right)$ and $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P),(\alpha>0)$, putting $\gamma=1 / \alpha$, then
(i) $f$ belongs to the $\operatorname{Lip} \alpha \beta$ class in $(-\pi, \pi)$ when $\alpha \beta<1$;
(ii) $f$ belongs to the Lip $\delta$ class in $(-\pi, \pi)$, for any $\delta<1$, when $\alpha \beta=1$; ${ }^{\text {) }}$
(iii) $f$ belongs to the Lip 1 class in $(-\pi, \pi)$ when $\alpha \beta>1$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. a) $0<\alpha<1$. We can suppose that $x_{0}=0$. Let $c_{n_{k}}$ be the $n_{k}$-th complex Fourier coefficient of $f$, then

$$
c_{n_{k}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-i n_{k} x} d x
$$

where $T_{M_{k}}(x)$ is a trigonometrical polynomial of degree $M_{k}=A F\left(n_{k}\right)$ and with constant term 1. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n_{k}}= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
= & \frac{-1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) T_{M_{k_{k}}}\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[f(x) T_{M_{k}}(x)-f\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) T_{M_{k}}\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right)\right] e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[f(x)-f\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right)\right] T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right)\left[T_{M_{k}}(x)-T_{M_{k}}\left(x+\frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right)\right] e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
= & I+J, \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Fourier exponents of $f\left(x+\pi / n_{k}\right)$ with non-vanishing Fourier coefficients are the same as that of $f(x)$ and the trigonometrical
3) This corollary appeared as part of Theorem 2 in [1].
4) We really proved that $f(x+h)-f(x)=o\left(|h|^{\delta}\right)$, and then $f \in \lambda_{\delta}$, by the notation in [2].
polynomial $T_{M_{k}}(x)-T_{M_{k}}\left(x+\pi / n_{k}\right)$ is of degree not exceeding $M_{k}$ and with the constant term 0 , we have $J=0$. We take $T_{M_{k}}(x)=2 K_{M_{k}}(x)$, where $K_{\mu_{k}}(x)$ is the Fejér kernel of order $M_{k}$. Then we get

$$
\left|T_{M_{k}}(x)\right|=\frac{\sin ^{2}\left(M_{k}+1\right) \frac{1}{2} x}{\left(M_{k}+1\right) \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} x} \leqq A M_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|T_{M_{k}}(x)\right| \leqq \frac{A}{M_{k} x^{2}}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n_{k}} & =I=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[f(x)-f\left(x+\pi / n_{k}\right)\right] T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\int_{-1 / M_{k}}^{1 / M_{k}}+\int_{1 / M_{k}}^{\pi}+\int_{-\pi}^{-1 / M_{k}}\right)\left[f(x)-f\left(x+\pi / n_{k}\right)\right] T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-i n_{k} x} d x \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}, \text { say }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left|I_{1}\right| \leqq A M_{k} \int_{-1 / M_{k}}^{1 / M_{k}}\left|f(x)-f\left(x+\pi / n_{k}\right)\right| d x \leqq A M_{k}^{-\alpha}=O\left(F\left(n_{k}\right)^{-\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leqq A M_{k}^{-1} \int_{1 / M_{k}}^{\pi}\left|f(x)-f\left(x+\pi / n_{k}\right)\right| \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \\
& \leqq A M_{k}^{-1} \int_{1 / M_{k}}^{\pi} x^{\alpha-2} d x \leqq A M_{k}^{-\alpha}=O\left(F\left(n_{k}\right)^{-\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we can get $\left|I_{3}\right|=O\left(F\left(n_{k}\right)^{-\alpha}\right)$. Therefore we have

$$
a_{n_{k}}, b_{n_{k}}=O\left(F\left(n_{k}\right)^{-\alpha}\right)
$$

b) $\alpha \geqq 1$. In this case we use the trigonometrical polynomial

$$
T_{M_{k}}(x)=\left(2 K_{\left[\mu_{k} / p\right]}(x)\right)^{p} / \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(2 K_{\left[N_{k} / p\right]}(x)^{p} d x\right.
$$

instead of Fejér kernel, then we have

$$
\left|T_{M_{k}}(x)\right| \leqq A M_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|T_{M_{k}}(x)\right| \leqq A M_{k}^{1-2 p} x^{-2 p}
$$

Therefore, in the estimation of $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}, \alpha$ may be greater than or equal to 1 . Thus the theorem holds also for $\alpha \geqq 1$.
3. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall prove first that $n_{j} \geqq j^{\delta}$ for all sufficient large $j$ and $\delta \leqq \frac{\gamma+1}{1-\beta}$. Suppose $n_{k} \geqq k^{\delta}$ for some $k \geqq k_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{k+1} \geqq n_{k}+A n_{k}^{\beta} k^{\gamma} \geqq k^{\delta}+A k^{\delta \beta+\gamma}, \\
& (k+1)^{\delta}=k^{\delta}+\delta k^{\delta-1}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $n_{k+1} \geqq(k+1)^{\delta}$ since $\alpha \beta+\gamma \geqq \delta-1$. Now, by Theorem 1 , taking $F\left(n_{k}\right)=n_{k}^{\beta} k^{\gamma}$, we have ${ }^{5)}$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n_{k}} e^{i n_{k} x}\right| \leqq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{-\alpha \beta_{k}-\alpha \gamma} \leqq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha \beta \delta-\alpha \gamma}
$$

which is finite when $\alpha \beta \delta+\alpha \gamma>1$. $\delta$ may be taken near to $\frac{\gamma+1}{1-\beta}$, therefore (1) converges absolutely when $\alpha \beta+\alpha \gamma+\beta>1$.

[^1]4. Proof of Theorem 3. We need the following

Lemma. $\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=O(1) \quad$ if $\alpha \beta>1$;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=O(\log K) & \text { if } \alpha \beta=1 ; \\
=O\left(n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta}\right) & \\
\text { if } \alpha \beta<1 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof of this Lemma, a) $\alpha \beta>1$ and b) $\alpha \beta=1$ are trivial cases. c) $\alpha \beta<1$. We divide again into 3 cases according to the order relations between $n_{k}$ and $k$.
i) $n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta}>k$; In this case, $n_{j+1}^{1-\alpha \beta}(j+1)^{-1}>n_{j}^{1-\alpha \beta_{j}-1}$ for all $j$. Hence

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1} \leqq K n_{K}^{1-\alpha \beta} K^{-1}=O\left(n_{K}^{1-\alpha \beta}\right)
$$

ii) $n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} \sim k$; In this case,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=O(K)=O\left(n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta}\right)
$$

iii) $n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta}<k$; We may suppose $n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} \sim k^{\delta}$ for some $\delta<1$. Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} k^{-(1-\delta)}\right)=O\left(K^{1-(1-\delta)}\right)=O\left(K^{\delta}\right)=O\left(n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta}\right)
$$

Therefore our Lemma is proved.
Proof of Theorem 3. By the argument in the proof of Theorem 2, in the case $\gamma=1 / \alpha$, we see that the series (1) converges uniformly to $f$, i.e.

$$
f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_{k}} e^{i n_{k} x}
$$

Now, by Theorem 1, ${ }^{\text {6 }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(x+h)-f(x)| & =\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_{k}} e^{i n_{k} x}\left(e^{i n_{k} h}-1\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_{k}} e^{i n_{k} x} e^{i n_{k} h / 2} 2 i \sin n_{k} h / 2\right| \\
& \leqq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n_{k}}\right|\left|\sin n_{k} h / 2\right| \leqq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}\left|\sin n_{k} h / 2\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h$ is small, then there is a $K$ such that $n_{K+1}^{-1}<|h| \leqq n_{K}^{-1}$ and

$$
|f(x+h)-f(x)| \leqq A\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}+\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\right) n_{k}^{-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}\left|\sin n_{k} h / 2\right|=A(S+T), \text { say. }
$$

We can suppose that, by some modifications, ${ }^{7}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2 k} / n_{k} \geqq \lambda>1 \quad \text { for all } k . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T| & \leqq \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{\nu}(K+1)}^{2^{\nu+1}(K+1)} n_{k}^{-\alpha \beta} k^{-1} \\
& \leqq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} n_{2^{\nu}(K+1)}^{-\alpha \beta}\left[2^{\nu}(K+1)\left(2^{\nu}(K+1)\right)^{-1}\right]=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} n_{2^{\nu}(K+1)}^{-\alpha \beta} \\
& =n_{K+1}^{-\alpha \beta}\left[1+\left(\frac{n_{2(K+1)}}{n_{K+1}}\right)^{-\alpha \beta}+\left(\frac{n_{2(K+1)}}{n_{K+1}}\right)^{-\alpha \beta}\left(\frac{n_{2^{2}(K+1)}}{n_{2(K+1)}^{-\alpha \beta}}\right)^{-\cdots}+\cdots\right] \\
& \leqq n_{K+1}^{-\alpha \beta}\left(1+\lambda^{-\alpha \beta}+\lambda^{-2 \alpha \beta}+\cdots\right)=O\left(n_{K+1}^{-\alpha}\right)=O\left(|h|^{\alpha \beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

By Lemma, we have:
i) For $\alpha \beta<1$;

$$
|S| \leqq \frac{1}{2}|h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=O\left(|h| n_{K}^{1-\alpha \beta}\right)=O\left(|h|^{\alpha \beta}\right)
$$

Hence $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha \beta$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$.
ii) For $\alpha \beta=1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S| & \leqq \frac{1}{2}|h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=O(|h| \log K) \\
& =O\left(|h|^{\delta} n_{k}^{-1+\delta} \log K\right)=O\left(|h|^{\delta}\right) \quad \text { for any } \delta<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f \in \operatorname{Lip} \delta$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$.
iii) For $\alpha \beta>1$;

$$
|S| \leqq \frac{1}{2}|h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha \beta} k^{-1}=O(|h|)
$$

Hence $f \in \operatorname{Lip} 1$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$. Therefore the proof is completed.
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    1) If $F$ satisfies some regularity condition, for example, $F\left(n_{k} / 2\right)>A F\left(n_{k}\right)$, then (G) may be replaced by ( $G^{\prime}$ )

    $$
    n_{k+1}-n_{k} \geqq A F\left(n_{k}\right) .
    $$

    For if $n_{k} / n_{k-1} \leqq 2$, say, then by a suitable choice of $A$, we have

    $$
    n_{k}-n_{k-1} \geqq A F\left(n_{k-1}\right) \geqq A F\left(n_{k} / 2\right) \geqq A F\left(n_{k}\right)
    $$

    2) " $A$ " may be included in $F$, but this form is convenient for later use.
[^1]:    5) In this special case, the gap condition $\left(G_{3}\right)$ implies, by a suitable change of $A, n_{k+1}-n_{k} \geqq A n_{k}^{\beta} k^{\gamma}, n_{k}-n_{k-1} \geqq A n_{k}^{\beta} k^{\gamma} ; \quad$ c.f. [1].
[^2]:    6) See the foot-note 5).
    7) If not, we insert several terms between the $n_{k}$-th and $n_{2 k}$-th terms of given Fourier series so that (2) holds and the coefficients of inserted terms are small enough. Then, following the same arguments, we have, $f+g$ has the desired result, where $g$ is the sum of inserted terms which may be taken as differentiable. Therefore so is $f$.
