## 70. On Fourier Series with Gaps<sup>\*</sup>

By Jia-Arng Chao

Aeronautical Research Laboratory, Taichung, Taiwan, China

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., April 12, 1966)

1. Introduction. Let  $\{n_k\}(k=1, 2, \cdots)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers. Let f(x) be a real function, *L*-integrable over  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and having a period  $2\pi$ , whose Fourier coefficients  $a_n, b_n$  vanish except for  $n=n_k$ . Namely

(1) 
$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{n_k} \cos n_k x + b_{n_k} \sin n_k x),$$

supposing for simplicity that the constant term also vanishes.

Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point and  $\alpha > 0$ , we write  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha(P)$  if

$$f(x_0+h)-f(x_0) \mid \leq A \mid h \mid$$

holds for all small h. We assume throughout that "A" denotes an absolute constant and two A's might be not equal even in the same equation for the sake of conveniency. M. and S. Izumi [1] proved the following

Theorem A. If f has the Fourier series (1) with the gap condition

 $\begin{array}{ll} (G_1) & n_{k+1} - n_k \geq A n_k^{\beta} & (0 < \beta \leq 1) \\ and \ f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P), \ (\alpha > 0), \ then \end{array}$ 

$$u_{n_k}, b_{n_k} = O(n_k^{-\alpha\beta})$$

Theorem B. If f has the F.s. (1) with the Hadamard gap  $(G_2)$   $n_{k+1}/n_k \ge \lambda > 1$ and f  $\in$  Lip  $\alpha(P)$  ( $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ) them f below so to Lip  $\alpha$  diverses in (

and 
$$f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha(P)$$
,  $(0 < \alpha < 1)$ , then f belongs to  $\operatorname{Lip} \alpha$  class in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ .  
Using the Izumis' method in Theorem A, we shall prove a group  
of theorems under a general gap condition<sup>1),2)</sup>

(G)  $n_{k+1} - n_k \ge AF(n_k), n_k - n_{k-1} \ge AF(n_k)$ 

where  $F(n_k) \uparrow \infty$  as  $k \uparrow \infty$  and  $F(n_k) \leq n_k$  for all k.

Theorem 1. If f has the F.s. (1) with the gap (G) and  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha(P), (\alpha > 0)$ , then

 $(G') n_{k+1} - n_k \ge AF(n_k).$ 

For if  $n_k/n_{k-1} \leq 2$ , say, then by a suitable choice of A, we have

$$n_k - n_{k-1} \ge AF'(n_{k-1}) \ge AF'(n_k/2) \ge AF'(n_k).$$

2) "A" may be included in F, but this form is convenient for later use.

<sup>\*)</sup> This paper is a part of the thesis which the author submitted to the Institute of Mathematics of National Tsing Hua University (Taiwan, China) for the M. S. degree. The author wishes to express here his hearty thanks to Professors S. M. Lee and S. Izumi for their valuable suggestions.

<sup>1)</sup> If F satisfies some regularity condition, for example,  $F(n_k/2) > AF(n_k)$ , then (G) may be replaced by

No. 4]

$$a_{n_k}, b_{n_k} = O(F(n_k)^{-\alpha}).$$

In order to have a similar estimation as in Theorem B for a weaker gap condition, we now consider a new gap

(G)  $n_{k+1} - n_k \ge A n_k^{\beta} k^{\gamma}$  (0< $\beta$ <1,  $\gamma$ >0).

This is weaker than  $(G_2)$ , but stronger than  $(G_1)$ . A simple example of this kind of gap is  $(k^{\lceil \log k \rceil})_{k=1,2,\dots}$ .

We first derive a theorem concerning the absolute convergence. Theorem 2. If f has the F.s. (1) with the gap  $(G_3)$  and

 $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha(P), (\alpha > 0), \text{ then } (1) \text{ converges absolutely when } \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + \beta > 1.$ Supposing  $\alpha = 0$  throughout the proof (G) becomes (G) we can

Supposing  $\gamma = 0$  throughout the proof,  $(G_3)$  becomes  $(G_1)$ , we can easily get the following

Corollary.<sup>3)</sup> If f has the F.s. (1) with gap  $(G_1)$  and  $f \in \text{Lip} \alpha(P)$ ,  $(\alpha > 0)$ , then (1) converges absolutely when  $\alpha\beta + \beta > 1$ .

Finally we shall prove the following

Theorem 3. If f has the F.s. (1) with the gap (G<sub>3</sub>) and  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha(P), (\alpha > 0)$ , putting  $\gamma = 1/\alpha$ , then

(i) f belongs to the Lip  $\alpha\beta$  class in  $(-\pi, \pi)$  when  $\alpha\beta < 1$ ;

(ii) f belongs to the Lip  $\delta$  class in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ , for any  $\delta < 1$ , when  $\alpha\beta = 1$ ;<sup>4)</sup>

(iii) f belongs to the Lip1 class in  $(-\pi, \pi)$  when  $\alpha\beta > 1$ .

2. Proof of Theorem 1. a)  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We can suppose that  $x_0=0$ . Let  $c_{n_k}$  be the  $n_k$ -th complex Fourier coefficient of f, then

$$c_{n_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) T_{M_k}(x) e^{-in_k x} dx,$$

where  $T_{M_k}(x)$  is a trigonometrical polynomial of degree  $M_k = AF(n_k)$ and with constant term 1. Now

$$\begin{split} c_{n_{k}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-in_{k}x} dx \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) T_{M_{k}}\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) e^{-in_{k}x} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ f(x) T_{M_{k}}(x) - f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) T_{M_{k}}\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) \right] e^{-in_{k}x} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ f(x) - f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) \right] T_{M_{k}}(x) e^{-in_{k}x} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) \left[ T_{M_{k}}(x) - T_{M_{k}}\left(x + \frac{\pi}{n_{k}}\right) \right] e^{-in_{k}x} dx \\ &= I + J \quad \text{say} \end{split}$$

Since the Fourier exponents of  $f(x + \pi/n_k)$  with non-vanishing Fourier coefficients are the same as that of f(x) and the trigonometrical

<sup>3)</sup> This corollary appeared as part of Theorem 2 in [1].

<sup>4)</sup> We really proved that  $f(x+h)-f(x)=o(|h|^{\delta})$ , and then  $f \in \lambda_{\delta}$ , by the notation in [2].

polynomial  $T_{M_k}(x) - T_{M_k}(x + \pi/n_k)$  is of degree not exceeding  $M_k$  and with the constant term 0, we have J=0. We take  $T_{M_k}(x)=2K_{M_k}(x)$ , where  $K_{M_k}(x)$  is the Fejér kernel of order  $M_k$ . Then we get

$$\mid T_{{}_{M_k}}(x) \mid = rac{\sin^2{(M_k+1)rac{1}{2}x}}{(M_k+1)\sin^2{rac{1}{2}x}} \leq AM_k \quad ext{and} \quad \mid T_{{}_{M_k}}(x) \mid \leq rac{A}{M_k x^2}.$$

Now

$$\begin{split} c_{n_k} &= I = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x) - f(x + \pi/n_k)] T_{M_k}(x) e^{-in_k x} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \Big( \int_{-1/M_k}^{1/M_k} + \int_{1/M_k}^{\pi} + \int_{-\pi}^{-1/M_k} \Big) [f(x) - f(x + \pi/n_k)] T_{M_k}(x) e^{-in_k x} dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \text{ say,} \end{split}$$

where

$$|I_{1}| \leq AM_{k} \int_{-1/M_{k}}^{1/M_{k}} |f(x) - f(x + \pi/n_{k})| dx \leq AM_{k}^{-\alpha} = O(F(n_{k})^{-\alpha})$$

and

$$|I_{2}| \leq AM_{k}^{-1} \int_{1/M_{k}}^{\pi} |f(x) - f(x + \pi/n_{k})| \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$$

$$\leq AM_{k}^{-1} \int_{1/M_{k}}^{\pi} x^{\alpha-2} dx \leq AM_{k}^{-\alpha} = O(F(n_{k})^{-\alpha}).$$

Similarly we can get  $|I_3| = O(F(n_k)^{-\alpha})$ . Therefore we have  $a_{n_k}, b_{n_k} = O(F(n_k)^{-\alpha})$ .

b)  $\alpha \ge 1$ . In this case we use the trigonometrical polynomial

$$T_{M_k}(x) = (2K_{[M_k/p]}(x))^p / \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (2K_{[M_k/p]}(x))^p dx,$$

instead of Fejér kernel, then we have

 $\mid T_{{\scriptscriptstyle M}_k}(x) \mid \leq AM_k \quad ext{and} \quad \mid T_{{\scriptscriptstyle M}_k}(x) \mid \leq AM_k^{1-2p}x^{-2p}.$ 

Therefore, in the estimation of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ ,  $\alpha$  may be greater than or equal to 1. Thus the theorem holds also for  $\alpha \ge 1$ .

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall prove first that  $n_j \ge j^{\delta}$  for all sufficient large j and  $\delta \le \frac{\gamma+1}{1-\beta}$ . Suppose  $n_k \ge k^{\delta}$  for some  $k \ge k_0$ , then

then

$$n_{k+1} \ge n_k + A n_k^{eta} k^{\gamma} \ge k^{\delta} + A k^{\delta eta + \gamma},$$
  
 $(k+1)^{\delta} = k^{\delta} + \delta k^{\delta - 1} + \cdots.$ 

We have  $n_{k+1} \ge (k+1)^{\delta}$  since  $\alpha\beta + \gamma \ge \delta - 1$ . Now, by Theorem 1, taking  $F(n_k) = n_k^{\beta} k^{\gamma}$ , we have<sup>5</sup>

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{n_k} e^{in_k x}| \leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k^{-\alpha\beta_k - \alpha\gamma} \leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha\beta\delta - \alpha\gamma}$$

which is finite when  $\alpha\beta\delta + \alpha\gamma > 1$ .  $\delta$  may be taken near to  $\frac{\gamma+1}{1-\beta}$ , therefore (1) converges absolutely when  $\alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + \beta > 1$ .

<sup>5)</sup> In this special case, the gap condition  $(G_3)$  implies, by a suitable change of A,  $n_{k+1}-n_k \ge A n_k^{\beta} k^{\gamma}$ ,  $n_k-n_{k-1} \ge A n_k^{\beta} k^{\gamma}$ ; c.f. [1].

4. Proof of Theorem 3. We need the following

Lemma.  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(1) \qquad if \ \alpha\beta > 1;$  $= O(\log K) \qquad if \ \alpha\beta = 1;$  $= O(n_k^{1-\alpha\beta}) \qquad if \ \alpha\beta < 1.$ 

Proof of this Lemma. a)  $\alpha\beta > 1$  and b)  $\alpha\beta = 1$  are trivial cases. c)  $\alpha\beta < 1$ . We divide again into 3 cases according to the order relations between  $n_k$  and k.

i) 
$$n_k^{1-lphaeta} > k$$
; In this case,  $n_{j+1}^{1-lphaeta}(j+1)^{-1} > n_j^{1-lphaeta_{j-1}}$  for all  $j$ . Hence  
 $\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k^{1-lphaeta} k^{-1} \leq K n_K^{1-lphaeta} K^{-1} = O(n_K^{1-lphaeta}).$ 

ii)  $n_k^{1-\alpha\beta} \sim k$ ; In this case,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(K) = O(n_k^{1-\alpha\beta}).$$

iii) 
$$n_k^{1-\alpha\beta} \prec k$$
; We may suppose  $n_k^{1-\alpha\beta} \sim k^{\delta}$  for some  $\delta < 1$ . Then  
 $\sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} k^{-(1-\delta)}\right) = O(K^{1-(1-\delta)}) = O(K^{\delta}) = O(n_k^{1-\alpha\beta}).$ 

Therefore our Lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3. By the argument in the proof of Theorem 2, in the case  $\gamma = 1/\alpha$ , we see that the series (1) converges uniformly to f, i.e.

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_k} e^{i n_k x}.$$

Now, by Theorem 1,<sup>6)</sup>

$$|f(x+h)-f(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_k} e^{in_k x} (e^{in_k h} - 1) \right|$$
  
=  $\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n_k} e^{in_k x} e^{in_k h/2} 2i \sin n_k h/2 \right|$   
 $\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{n_k}| |\sin n_k h/2| \leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k^{-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} |\sin n_k h/2|.$ 

If h is small, then there is a K such that  $n_{K+1}^{-1} < |h| \le n_{K}^{-1}$  and

$$|f(x+h)-f(x)| \leq A\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\right) n_{k}^{-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} |\sin n_{k}h/2| = A(S+T), \text{ say.}$$

We can suppose that, by some modifications,<sup> $\tau_1$ </sup>

$$(2) n_{2k}/n_k \ge \lambda > 1 for all k.$$

Then

<sup>6)</sup> See the foot-note 5).

<sup>7)</sup> If not, we insert several terms between the  $n_k$ -th and  $n_{2k}$ -th terms of given Fourier series so that (2) holds and the coefficients of inserted terms are small enough. Then, following the same arguments, we have, f+g has the desired result, where g is the sum of inserted terms which may be taken as differentiable. Therefore so is f.

Ј.-А. Снао

$$\begin{split} |T| &\leq \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} n_{k}^{-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{\nu}(K+1)}^{2^{\nu}(K+1)} n_{k}^{-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} n_{2^{\nu}(K+1)}^{-\alpha\beta} [2^{\nu}(K+1)(2^{\nu}(K+1))^{-1}] = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} n_{2^{\nu}(K+1)}^{-\alpha\beta} \\ &= n_{K+1}^{-\alpha\beta} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{n_{2(K+1)}}{n_{K+1}} \right)^{-\alpha\beta} + \left( \frac{n_{2(K+1)}}{n_{K+1}} \right)^{-\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{n_{2^{2}(K+1)}}{n_{2(K+1)}} \right)^{-\alpha\beta} + \cdots \right] \\ &\leq n_{K+1}^{-\alpha\beta} (1 + \lambda^{-\alpha\beta} + \lambda^{-2\alpha\beta} + \cdots) = O(n_{K+1}^{-\alpha\beta}) = O(|h|^{\alpha\beta}). \\ \text{By Lemma, we have:} \\ \text{i) For } \alpha\beta < 1; \\ &|S| \leq \frac{1}{2} |h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(|h| n_{K}^{1-\alpha\beta}) = O(|h|^{\alpha\beta}). \\ \text{Hence } f \in \text{Lip } \alpha\beta \text{ in } (-\pi, \pi). \\ \text{ii) For } \alpha\beta = 1; \\ &|S| \leq \frac{1}{2} |h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(|h| \log K) \\ &= O(|h|^{\delta} n_{k}^{-1+\delta} \log K) = O(|h|^{\delta}) \text{ for any } \delta < 1. \\ \text{Hence } f \in \text{Lip } \delta \text{ in } (-\pi, \pi). \\ \text{iii) For } \alpha\beta > 1; \\ &|S| \leq \frac{1}{2} |h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(|h| \log K) \\ &= O(|h|^{\delta} n_{k}^{-1+\delta} \log K) = O(|h|^{\delta}) \text{ for any } \delta < 1. \\ \text{Hence } f \in \text{Lip } \delta \text{ in } (-\pi, \pi). \\ \text{iii) For } \alpha\beta > 1; \\ &|S| \leq \frac{1}{2} |h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(|h|). \\ \text{Hence } f \in \text{Lip } 1 \text{ in } (-\pi, \pi). \\ \text{iii) For } \alpha\beta > 1; \\ &|S| \leq \frac{1}{2} |h| \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{k}^{1-\alpha\beta} k^{-1} = O(|h|). \\ \text{Hence } f \in \text{Lip } 1 \text{ in } (-\pi, \pi). \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence  $f \in \text{Lip 1}$  in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . Therefore the proof is completed.

## References

- [1] M. Izumi and S. Izumi: On lacunary Fourier series. Proc. Japan Acad., 41 (8), 648-651 (1965).
- [2] A. Zygmund: Trigonometrical Series (2nd ed.), Vol. 1, Cambridge (1959).