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1. Starting with Kac's model of Boltzmann equation, ${ }^{2)}$ McKean [5]-[7] introduced an interesting class of Markov processes with nonlinear generators. These processes describe the motion of one particle under the interactions between infinite number of similar particles. ${ }^{3)}$

We construct a class of these processes by modifying the classical method of Feller [1]. The forward equation with possibly unbounded and temporally inhomogeneous equation is considered. Interactions can be infinitely multifold.

I thank S. Tanaka and H. Tanaka who sent me the manuscript of [9] and a part of [8], respectively, before publication.
2. First, we consider the simpliest model with binary interactions. Let $R$ be a locally compact space with countable bases and let $B(R)$ be the topological Borel field. The forward equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)=\int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y) A^{\left(P_{s, t}^{(f)}\right)}(t, y, E),  \tag{1}\\
& \quad-\infty \leq t_{0} \leq s<t \leq t_{1} \leq+\infty \\
& P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E) \rightarrow \delta_{x}(E), \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow s,
\end{align*}
$$

where initial distribution $f$ at time $s$ and the solution $P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)$ are substochastic measures, and

$$
P_{s, t}^{(f)}(E)=\int_{R} f(d x) P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)
$$

Kernel $A^{(u)}$ indexed by a substochastic measure $u$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(u)}(t, x, E)=\int_{R} u\left(d x_{1}\right) q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right)\left(\pi^{0}\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)-\delta_{x}(E)\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right)$ is non-negative and majorized by another function $q(t, x)$ which is bounded on any compact $(t, x)$-set. $\pi^{0}\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)$ is a probability measure with no mass at point $x$. $q(t, x), q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right)$ and $\pi^{0}\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)$ are measurable in $(t, x)$ and ( $x_{1}, t, x$ ), and continuous in $t$ when other variables are fixed. Intuitively, $\pi^{0}\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)$ indicates the

[^0]hitting measure to $R-\{x\}$ from point $x$ at time $t$ under the influence of another particle at point $x_{1}$. Similarly, $q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right)$ determines the waiting time until jump depending on the value of $x_{1}$. If $\pi^{0}$ and $q$ are independent on $x_{1}$, and hence $u$ of $A^{(u)}$ is ignored, then $A(t, x, E)$ reduces to an ordinary generator of a purely discontinuous Markov process in [1].
We rewrite (2) using $\pi\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)$ below:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(u)}(t, x, E) & =q(t, x) \int_{R} u\left(d x_{1}\right)\left(\pi\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)-\delta_{x}(E)\right) \\
\pi\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right) & =q(t, x)^{-1}\left\{q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right) \pi^{0}\left(x_{1} \mid t, x, E\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(q(t, x)-q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right)\right) \delta_{x}(E)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

By a solution of (1), we mean a substochastic measure $P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)$, absolutely continuous in $t$, for which the right side of (1) is finite and (1) holds excepting a $t$-set of Lebesque measure 0 . And we consider
(1) only for bounded set E.4) This is equivalent with

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)-\delta_{x}(E) \\
& \quad=\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \int_{R} P_{r, s}^{(f)}\left(d x_{1}\right)\left(\pi\left(x_{1} \mid t, y, E\right)-\delta_{y}(E)\right), \\
& E \text { bounded. }
\end{align*}
$$

Our main results about the forward equation are formulated in terms of
( 3 )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)=e^{-\int_{s}^{t} q(\sigma, x) d \sigma} \delta_{x}(E) \\
& \quad+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \int_{R} P_{s, r}^{(f)}\left(d x_{1}\right) \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, d z\right) e^{-\int_{r^{t}}^{t(\sigma, z) d \sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. i) For each initial distribution $f$, (3) has a substochastic solution $p^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)$ which is majorized by any substochastic solution of (3). ii) This minimal solution of (3) satisfies a version of Chapman-Kolmogorov equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(f)}(s, x, u, E)=\int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y) P^{\left(P_{s}^{(f)},\right.}(t, y, u, E), s<t<u \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) (1') has a stochastic solution if and only if (3) has a stochastic solution. A properly substochastic solution ${ }^{5)}$ of (3) never satisfies (1'), and conversely. In particular, if the minimal solution of (3) is stochastic, then the minimal solution is the unique solution of ( $1^{\prime}$ ) and (3).
3. Outline of the proof. Define, inductively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)=e^{-\int_{s^{q(\sigma, x) d \sigma}}^{t}} \delta_{x}(E) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) We call a set bounded, if it is contained in a compact set.
5) A substochastic kernel is called properly substochastic, if the total mass is less than 1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)=e^{-\int_{s}^{t}(\sigma(\sigma) d \sigma} \delta_{x}(E) \\
+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}\left(s, r, d x_{1}\right) \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, d z\right) e^{-\int_{r}^{t q(\sigma, z) d \sigma}}, \\
S_{n}^{(f)}(s, t, E)=\int_{R} f(d x) S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, for any $E \in B(R)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{E} S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y)  \tag{6}\\
& \quad=\delta_{x}(E)+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}\left(s, r, d x_{1}\right) \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, E\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This is proved with the existence of $S_{n+1}^{(f)}$ in (5) at the same time. In fact, assume (a), (b) and (c) below for $n$, which are clear for $n=0$.
(a) $\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y)<\infty$;
(b) $\quad S_{0}^{(f)} \leq \cdots \leq S_{n+1}^{(f)}$;
(c) $S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, t, R) \leq 1$.

Here, $S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)$ in (b) exists because of (a). Compute for bounded $E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{s}^{t} d r & \int_{E} S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y)=\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{E} e^{-\int_{s}^{r} q(\sigma, x) d \sigma} \delta_{x}(d y) q(r, y) \\
& +\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{s}^{r} d \tau \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, \tau, d y) q(\tau, y) \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}\left(s, \tau, d x_{1}\right) \\
& \times \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid \tau, y, d z\right) e^{-\int_{\tau}^{r} q(\sigma, z) d \sigma} q(r, z) \\
= & \left(1-e^{\left.-\int_{s}^{t q(\sigma, x) d \sigma}\right)} \delta_{x}(E)-\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{\tau}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f) \prime \prime} \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid \tau, y, d z\right) \frac{d}{d r} e^{-\int_{\tau}^{r} q(\sigma, z) d \sigma}\right. \\
= & \left(1-e^{-\int_{s}^{t} q(\sigma, x) d \sigma}\right) \delta_{x}(E)-\int_{s}^{t} d \tau \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f) \prime \prime} \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid \tau, y, d z\right)\left(e^{-\int_{\tau}^{r} q(\sigma, z) d \sigma}-1\right) \\
= & \delta_{x}(E)-S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \\
& \times \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}\left(s, r, d x_{1}\right) \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, E\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

implying (6). Taking bounded $E_{k} \nearrow R$ in (6) and noting (a) for $n$, we have (6) for all $E \in B(R)$. Substitution $E=R$ in (6) implies (a) for $n+1$, and hence $S_{n+2}(s, x, t, R)<\infty$. Now, (b) for $n+1$ is clear by (b) for $n$. (c) for $n+1$ is obtained by letting $E=R$ in (6) and by noting that the second term on the right side of (6) is bounded by

$$
\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y)
$$

Let $P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)$ be the limit of $S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)$. This satisfies (3) and (7) below by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5) and (6), respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)-\delta_{x}(E) \\
& \quad=\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y)\left(\int_{R} P_{s, r}^{(f)}\left(d x_{1}\right) \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, E\right)-\delta_{y}(E)\right), \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since every solution of (3) majorizes $S_{n}^{(f)}$ inductively, $P^{(f)}$ is the minimal solution.

Chapman-Kolmogorov equation (4) is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, u, E) \leq \int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y) P^{\left(P_{s, t}^{(f)}\right)}(t, y, u, E), \quad s<t<u \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (8) is clear for $n=0$, we assume (8) for $n$. By (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, u, E)=e^{-\int_{s}^{t} q(\sigma, x) d \sigma} e^{-\int_{t}^{u q(\sigma, x) d \sigma}} \delta_{x}(E) \\
& \quad+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}\left(s, r, d x_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, d z\right) e^{-\int_{r}^{t} q(\sigma, z) d \sigma} e^{-\int_{t}^{u} q(\sigma, z) d \sigma} \delta_{z}(E) \\
& \quad+\int_{t}^{u} d r \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) q(r, y) \int_{R} S_{n}^{(f)}\left(s, r, d x_{1}\right) \int_{E} \pi\left(x_{1} \mid r, y, d z\right) e^{-\int_{r}^{u(\sigma, z) d \sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5), the sum of the first and second terms above coincides with

$$
\int_{E} S_{n+1}^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y) e^{-\int_{t}^{u} q(\sigma, y) d \sigma} \leq \int_{E} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y) e^{-\int_{t}^{u} q(\sigma, y) d \sigma}
$$

By (3) and the assumption (8) for $n$, the third term is bounded by

$$
\int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y)\left(P^{\left(P_{s, t}^{(f)}\right)}(t, y, u, E)-e^{-\int_{t}^{u} q(\sigma, y) d \sigma} \delta_{y}(E)\right),
$$

implying (8) for $n+1$. (9) is proved similarly, rewriting $P^{(f)}(s, x, u, E)$ by (3).

To prove iii), note that ( $1^{\prime}$ ) coincides with (7) if and only if $P_{s, t}^{(f)}(\cdot)$, equivalently, $P^{(f)}(s, x, t, \cdot)$ is stochastic. On the other hand, (7) and (3) are equivalent. In fact, integrate $q(t, y)$ by both hand sides of (3) on a bounded set $E$, and then integrate from $s$ to $u$ as a function of $t$. This implies (7). Similarly, (7) implies (3), where $q(t, y) e^{-\int_{t}^{u} q(\sigma, y) d \sigma}$ is integrated instead of $q(t, y)$. The last statement about the minimal solution is clear.
4. The general case. Consider equation (1), replacing (2) by

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(u)}(t, x, E)=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} u( & \left.d x_{k}\right) q_{N}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \mid t, x\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \quad \times\left(\pi_{N}^{0}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \mid t, x, E\right)-\delta_{x}(E)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{N}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \mid t, x\right)$ are non-negative and majorized by $q_{N}(t, x)$, and $q(t, x)=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} q_{N}(t, x)$ is bounded on any compact $(t, x)$-set. Measurability and continuity assumptions for $q_{N}, q$ and $\pi_{N}^{0}$ are similar. $\pi_{N}^{0}$ are probability measures with no mass at point $x$. Then, this equation gives a model with infinitely multifold interactions.

Our main theorem in 2 holds true for this equation, where ( $1^{\prime}$ ) and (3) are replaced by the following equations with clear modification of $\pi_{N}^{0}$ by $\pi_{N}$ as in ( $2^{\prime}$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E) & -\delta_{x}(E)=\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} q_{N}(r, y) \\
& \times \int_{R^{N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} P_{s, r}^{(f)}\left(d x_{k}\right)\left(\pi_{N}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \mid r, y, E\right)-\delta_{y}(E)\right)  \tag{11}\\
P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E) & =e^{-\int_{s^{q} q(\sigma, x) d \sigma}} \delta_{x}(E)+\int_{s}^{t} d r \int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, r, d y) \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} q_{N}(r, y)  \tag{12}\\
& \times \int_{R^{N}} \prod_{k=1}^{N} P_{s, r}^{(f)}\left(d x_{k}\right) \int_{E} \pi_{N}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N} \mid r, y, d z\right) e^{-\int_{r^{t} t(\sigma, z) d \sigma}^{t}}
\end{align*}
$$

Each step of the proof in 3 can be applied for this equation with clear modifications.

Thus, keeping the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation in mind, we can construct an ordinary temporally inhomogeneous Markov process with initial distribution $f$ at time $s_{0}$ and the transition probability $P(s, x, t, E) \equiv P^{\left(P_{s_{0 s}}^{(f)}\right)}(s, x, t, E) .{ }^{6)} \quad$ Properties as a stochastic process such as properties of path functions, strong Markov property, can be discussed in an ordinary way.

It is expected that the class of solutions for (3) or ( $1^{\prime}$ ) is explained in terms of an appropriate ideal boundary induced by $q_{N}$ and $\pi_{N}^{0}$. ${ }^{7)}$ The gap between (3) and ( $1^{\prime}$ ), or equivalently (1), should be explained in an appropriate way, where (3) seems to be more natural in view of the theorem in 2, Note that (3) and (7) are equivalent with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E)=\int_{R} P^{(f)}(s, x, t, d y)\left\{\int_{R} P_{s, t}^{(f)}\left(d x_{1}\right) \pi\left(x_{1} \mid t, y, E\right)-\delta_{y}(E)\right\},  \tag{13}\\
P^{(f)}(s, x, t, E) \rightarrow \delta_{x}(E), \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow s .
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, it should be noted that the condition $q\left(x_{1} \mid t, x\right)$ $\leq q(t, x)$ is so restrictive that a model like the gas of hard balls is excluded, where $q\left(x_{1} \mid x\right)$ is proportional to $\left|x_{1}-x\right|$.

A branching model related with (3) will be discussed. Details of proofs and explanations will be published elsewhere.
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