

## 52. The Theory of Nuclear Spaces Treated by the Method of Ranked Space. VI

By Yasujirô NAGAKURA  
Science University of Tokyo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1972)

In the paper [8], we have studied the dual space of the extended nuclear space. In this paper we shall continue to do it.

### § 7. The dual space. (2).

**Lemma 39.** (1)  $V^*(0, h, i)$  is circled.

(2)  $V^*(0, h, i) + V^*(0, k, j) = V^*(0, [hk/h+k], \min(i, j))$  for  $h, k > 1$ .

**Proof.** (1) It is clear.

(2) Suppose  $i < j$ . Then we have

$$V^*(0, h, i) + V^*(0, k, j) \subseteq V^*(0, h, j) + V^*(0, k, j)$$

by Lemma 37 in [8]. Now, let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  belong to  $V^*(0, h, j)$  and  $V^*(0, k, j)$ , respectively. Then we have  $|F_1(g)| < \varepsilon_j/h$  and  $|F_2(g)| < \varepsilon_j/k$  to every  $g \in \hat{V}_j(0, 1, j)$ , hence we obtain  $|F_1(g) + F_2(g)| \leq |F_1(g)| + |F_2(g)| < \varepsilon_j(h+k)/hk < \varepsilon_j/l$ , where  $l = [hk/h+k]$ . This proof is complete. The sequence of neighbourhoods,  $\{V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))\}$ , where

$$V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h)) \supseteq V^*(0, \gamma(h+1), i(h+1)), \gamma(h) \leq \gamma(h+1)$$

and  $\gamma(h) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ .

**Lemma 40.** If  $\{V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))\}$  is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ , then  $F \in V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))$  for every integer  $h$  implies  $F=0$ , that is,  $F(g)=0$  for every  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 38 in [8], we have  $\min_n \{i(h)\} \geq 1$ . We write briefly  $\min_n \{i(h)\} = j$ . Hence there exists some integer  $N$  such that the relation  $h \geq N$  implies  $i(h) = j$ . The fact that  $F$  belongs to  $V^*(0, \gamma(h), j)$  for  $h \geq N$  follows  $F \in M_j^0$  and  $|F(g)| < \varepsilon_j/\gamma(h)$  for  $g \in \hat{V}_j(0, 1, j)$ . And since  $g/2\hat{P}_j(g)$  belongs to  $\hat{V}_j(0, 1, j)$  for any element  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$  with  $P_j(g) \neq 0$ , we see  $|F(g)/2\hat{P}_j(g)| < \varepsilon_j/\gamma(h)$ . Consequently we obtain

$$|F(g)| < 2\varepsilon_j\hat{P}_j(g)/\gamma(h).$$

That shows  $F(g)=0$  for every  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$ . This proof is complete.

Now, we can prove that the linear space  $\hat{\Phi}'$  is a linear ranked space, by M. Washihara, [3].

**Theorem 7.** The linear ranked space  $\hat{\Phi}'$  is complete with respect to the  $R$ -convergence.

**Proof.** Let  $\{F_n\}$  be an  $R$ -cauchy sequence of elements in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ . Then there exists some fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods

$$\{V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))\}$$

such that the relations  $n \geq h$  and  $m \geq h$  imply  $F_n - F_m \in V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))$ . When we write briefly  $\min_h \{i(h)\} = j$ , there exists some integer  $N$  such that the relations  $h \geq N$  implies  $i(h) = j$ . Hence we have

$$F_n - F_m \in V^*(0, \gamma(h), j)$$

to  $n, m \geq h \geq N$ , that is,  $|F_n(g) - F_m(g)| < \varepsilon_j / \gamma(h)$  to every  $g \in \hat{V}_j(0, 1, j)$ , and  $F_n - F_m \in M_j^0$ . Thus the sequence of numbers  $\{F_n(g)\}$  has a limit number depending on  $g \in \hat{V}_j(0, 1, j)$ . For all  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$ , with  $\hat{P}_j(g) \neq 0$  we have  $g/2\hat{P}_j(g) \in \hat{V}_j(0, 1, j)$ , so that we obtain a linear functional

$$F(g/2\hat{P}_j(g)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(g/2\hat{P}_j(g)), \text{ i.e., } F(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(g).$$

Hence we have  $F(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(g)$  for all  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$ . Then there exists some integer  $l$  such that  $F_n \in M_l^0$  for all  $n \geq N$  and  $F \in M_l^0$ .

Next, we shall prove that  $F(g)$  is  $R$ -continuous, that is,  $F(g_\varepsilon) \rightarrow F(g)$  as  $g_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{R} g$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(g) - F(g_\varepsilon)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_{k, n_{l-1}, n_l}(g - g_\varepsilon, \varphi_{k, n_l})_{n_l} F(\varphi_{k, n_{l-1}}) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_l \left( \sum_{k=1}^l (\lambda_{k, n_{l-1}, n_l} / \varepsilon_l)^2 |(g - g_\varepsilon, \varphi_{k, n_l})_{n_l}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l |F(\varphi_{k, n_{l-1}})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_l \hat{P}_l(g - g_\varepsilon) \left( \sum_{k=1}^l |F(\varphi_{k, n_{l-1}})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 8.** *A linear functional  $F$  belongs to  $V^*(0, h, i)$  if and only if  $F \in M_i^0$  and  $(\sum_{k=1}^i |F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2)^{1/2} \leq 1/h$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $F \in M_i^0$  and  $(\sum_{k=1}^i |F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2)^{1/2} \leq 1/h$ .

To any  $g \in \hat{V}_i(0, 1, i)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(g)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}) \right| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)^2 |(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon_i \left( \sum_{k=1}^i |F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \varepsilon_i / h, \end{aligned}$$

then  $F$  belongs to  $V^*(0, h, i)$ .

Next, suppose  $F$  belongs to  $V^*(0, h, i)$ . This means  $F \in M_i^0$  and  $|F(g)| < \varepsilon_i / h$  for all  $g \in \hat{V}_i(0, 1, i)$ , that is,  $|F(g)| \leq \varepsilon_i \hat{P}_i(g) / h$ .

On the other hand, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_i(g) &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\|_{n_{i-1}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)^2 |(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F(g) = \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}),$$

we obtain

$$h \left| \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i}(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}) \right| \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i})^2 |(g, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

In particular, we set

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i})^{-1} \overline{F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})} \varphi_{k, n_i} \quad \text{in the equation (1),}$$

then we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^i |F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq 1/h.$$

§ 8. The second dual space.

**Definition 12.** We say that a linear functional  $\mathfrak{F}$  defined on the linear ranked space  $\hat{\Phi}'$  is  $R$ -continuous, if we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}(F_n) = \mathfrak{F}(F)$  to any  $R$ -convergence sequence  $\{F_n\}$  such that  $F_n \xrightarrow{R} F$  in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ . Furthermore let  $\hat{\Phi}''$  be the set of all  $R$ -continuous linear functionals on  $\hat{\Phi}'$ . We call it the second dual space.

**Definition 13.** We define

$$V_i^{**}(0, r, i) = \{\mathfrak{F} \in \hat{\Phi}''; |\mathfrak{F}(F)| < \varepsilon_i r \text{ for all } F \in V^*(0, 1, i)\},$$

where  $r$  is a positive number, as a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\hat{\Phi}''$ . We denote briefly  $V_i^{**}(0) \equiv V_i^{**}(0, 1/i, i)$  and call it a neighbourhood of the origin with rank  $i$ .

Furthermore we define that the neighbourhood with rank 0,  $V_i^{**}$  is always the space  $\hat{\Phi}''$ .

**Lemma 41.** We have  $V_j^{**}(0, 1, j) \supseteq V_i^{**}(0, 1, i)$  if  $j \leq i$ .

**Proof.** If  $j \leq i$ , we have  $V^*(0, 1, j) \subseteq V^*(0, 1, i)$  by Lemma 37, and  $\varepsilon_j \geq \varepsilon_i$ . Hence if  $\mathfrak{F}$  belongs to  $V_i^{**}(0, 1, i)$ , we obtain  $|\mathfrak{F}(F)| < \varepsilon_i \leq \varepsilon_j$  to all  $F \in V^*(0, 1, j)$ .

**Lemma 42.** We have  $V_j^{**}(0) \supseteq V_i^{**}(0)$  if  $j \leq i$ .

**Proof.** It is clear from

$$V_i^{**}(0) \equiv V_i^{**}(0, 1/i, i) \subseteq V_j^{**}(0, 1/i, j) \subseteq V_j^{**}(0, 1/j, j) \equiv V_j^{**}(0).$$

**Lemma 43.** (1)  $V_i^{**}(0)$  is circled.

(2) To  $i, j > 1$ ,  $V_i^{**}(0) + V_j^{**}(0) \subseteq V_k^{**}(0)$  with  $k = \left\lfloor \frac{\min(i, j)}{2} \right\rfloor$ .

**Proof.** (1) It is evident.

(2) Suppose  $j \leq i$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_i^{**}(0) + V_j^{**}(0) &\subseteq V_j^{**}(0) + V_j^{**}(0) \equiv V_j^{**}(0, 1/j, j) + V_j^{**}(0, 1/j, j) \\ &\subseteq V_j^{**}(0, 2/j, j) \subseteq V_{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}^{**}(0, 1/\lfloor j/2 \rfloor, \lfloor j/2 \rfloor) = V_{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}^{**}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain (2).

Q.E.D.

Thus we see by M. Washihara, [3] that the linear space  $\hat{\Phi}''$  is the linear ranked space, and the sequence of neighbourhoods,  $\{V_{\gamma(i)}^{**}(0)\}$  with  $\gamma(i) \leq \gamma(i+1)$  and  $\gamma(i) \rightarrow \infty$ , is the fundamental sequence.

**Lemma 44.** If  $\{V_{\gamma(i)}^{**}(0)\}$  is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods in  $\hat{\Phi}''$ , then  $\mathfrak{F} \in V_{\gamma(i)}^{**}(0)$  to every integer  $i$  implies  $\mathfrak{F} = 0$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{F}(F) = 0$  to every  $F \in \hat{\Phi}'$ .

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be any element in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ , then there exists some integer  $j$  such that  $F \in M_j^0$ . Theorem 8 leads

$$\left\{ F / \left( \sum_{k=1}^j |F(\varphi_{k, n_{j-1}})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} \in V^*(0, 1, j).$$

Hence we have

$$\left\{ F / \left( \sum_{k=1}^j |F(\varphi_{k, n_{j-1}})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} \in V^*(0, 1, \gamma(i)) \quad \text{for } \gamma(i) \geq j.$$

Thus we obtain  $|\mathfrak{F}(F)| < (\sum_{k=1}^j |F(\varphi_{k, n_{j-1}})|^2)^{1/2} \varepsilon_{\gamma(i)} / \gamma(i)$  for every  $\gamma(i) \geq j$ . Since  $\gamma(i) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we assert  $\mathfrak{F}(F) = 0$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $g$  and  $F$  belong to  $\hat{\Phi}$  and  $\hat{\Phi}'$  respectively, then  $F(g)$  is a linear functional on  $\hat{\Phi}'$ . Furthermore  $F(g)$  is  $R$ -continuous on  $\hat{\Phi}'$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that  $F(g)$  is a linear functional on  $\hat{\Phi}'$ , then we shall prove that  $F(g)$  is  $R$ -continuous on  $\hat{\Phi}'$ , that is,  $F_n(g) \rightarrow F(g)$  if  $F_n \xrightarrow{R} F$  in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ .

Now, suppose  $F_n \xrightarrow{R} F$  in  $\hat{\Phi}'$ , then there exists some fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods,  $\{V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))\}$  such that the relation  $n \geq h$  implies  $F_n - F \in V^*(0, \gamma(h), i(h))$ . If we write briefly  $\min_h \{i(h)\} = j$ , there exists some integer  $N$  such that the relation  $h \geq N$  implies  $i(h) = j$ . Hence we have  $F_n - F \in V^*(0, \gamma(h), j)$  for  $n \geq h \geq N$ .

Consequently for any element  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$  such that  $\hat{P}_j(g) \neq 0$ , the relation  $n \geq h \geq N$  implies  $|(F_n - F)(g/2\hat{P}_j(g))| < \varepsilon_j / \gamma(h)$  and  $F_n - F \in M_j^0$ .

Since we have  $F(g) = F_n(g)$  for an element  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$  such that  $\hat{P}_j(g) = 0$ , we assert  $F_n(g) \rightarrow F(g)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 10.** *By Theorem 9, the correspondence between  $g \in \hat{\Phi}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} \in \hat{\Phi}''$  defines a linear operator  $J$  on  $\hat{\Phi}$  into  $\hat{\Phi}''$ . Then we have  $R(J) = \hat{\Phi}''$ , where  $R(J)$  is the range of  $J$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that  $J$  is a linear operator. Then we shall prove  $R(J) = \hat{\Phi}''$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be an  $R$ -continuous linear functional defined on  $\hat{\Phi}' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i^0$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  be the restriction of  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $M_i^0$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $R$ -continuous, we have  $\mathfrak{F}_i(F_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_i(F)$  if  $F_n \xrightarrow{R} F$  with  $F_n, F \in M_i^0$ . On the other hand,  $F_n \xrightarrow{R} F$  with  $F_n, F \in M_i^0$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{k=1}^i |(F_n - F)(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2 \rightarrow 0$  by Theorem 8. Since  $(\sum_{k=1}^i |F(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2)^{1/2}$  is a norm in the finite dimensional subspace  $M_i^0$  by Lemma 35 in [8],  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  is a continuous linear functional with respect to the norm on  $M_i^0$ .

By the paper [8],  $M_i^0$  is the dual space of  $N_i$ , which is the finite dimensional subspace of  $\hat{\Phi}$ .

First, suppose  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is the restriction of  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $M_1^0$ . Then there exists some element  $g_1$  in  $N_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1(F) = F(g_1)$  for all  $F \in M_1^0$ .

Second, suppose  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is the restriction of  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $M_2^0$ . Then we find some element  $g'_2$  in  $N_2$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_2(F) = F(g'_2)$  for all  $F \in M_2^0$ . By Lemma 28 in [8],  $N_1$  is a subspace in  $N_2$ , so then there exists a subspace  $L_1$  generated by  $\varphi_{2, n_1}$  such that  $N_2 = N_1 \oplus L_1$ . Thus we have  $g'_2 = g'_1 + g_2$  such that  $g'_1 \in N_1$  and  $g_2 \in L_1$ . Hence we have  $\mathfrak{F}_2(F) = F(g'_1 + g_2) = F(g'_1) + F(g_2)$  for all  $F \in M_2^0$ . If  $F \in M_1^0$ , then  $F \in M_2^0$ . Then we obtain  $F(g_1) = \mathfrak{F}_1(F) = \mathfrak{F}_2(F) = F(g'_1)$  for all  $F \in M_1^0$ . Hence we have  $g_1 = g'_1$  in  $N_1$ , and then we obtain  $g'_2 = g_1 + g_2$  such that  $g_1 \in N_1$  and  $g_2 \in L_1$ . In the same manner, the restriction  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $M_i^0$  corresponds to some element  $g'_i$  in  $N_i$  such

that  $\mathfrak{F}_i(F) = F(g'_i)$  for all  $F \in M_i^0$ , and  $g'_i$  satisfies the following conditions,

- (1)  $g'_i = g_1 + \dots + g_i$ ,
- (2)  $g_1 \in N_1$  and  $g_j \in L_{j-1}$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, i$ ,
- (3)  $N_i = N_1 \oplus L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_{i-1}$ ,
- (4)  $L_j$  is a subspace generated by  $\varphi_{j+1, n_j}$ .

Thus the sequence  $\{g'_i\}$  is an  $R$ -cauchy sequence of elements. Because, to any neighbourhood  $\hat{V}_i(0, r, i)$  the relation  $i < j$  implies  $g'_j - g'_i \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, i)$ , since  $g'_j - g'_i = g_{i+1} + \dots + g_j \in L_i \oplus \dots \oplus L_{j-1} \subset M_i$ .

Consequently there exists the limiting element of the sequence  $\{\sum_{n=1}^i g_n\}_i$  in  $\hat{\phi}$ . We denote it  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n$ . Since to any element  $F$  in  $\hat{\phi}'$  there exists  $M_i^0$  such that  $F \in M_i^0$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(F) = \mathfrak{F}_i(F) = F(g'_i) = F\left(\sum_{n=1}^i g_n\right) = F\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n\right).$$

This proof is complete.

**Theorem 11.** *The correspondence  $J(g) = \mathfrak{F}$  in Theorem 10 is bijective and we have  $\mathfrak{F} \in V_i^{**}(0, r, i)$  if and only if  $g \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, i)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  belong to  $V_i^{**}(0, r, i)$  and  $g$  in  $\hat{\phi}$  be such that  $J(g) = \mathfrak{F}$ . Then we have  $|F(g)| = |\mathfrak{F}(F)| < \varepsilon_i r$  for every  $F \in V^*(0, 1, i)$ . Now we shall prove  $g/r \in \hat{V}_i(0, 1, i)$ . Suppose it is not true, i.e.,  $g/r \notin \hat{V}_i(0, 1, i)$ . This means

$$\hat{P}_i(g/r) = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)(g/r, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} \varphi_{k, n_{i-1}} \right\|_{n_{i-1}} \geq 1.$$

Put  $A = (\sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)^2 |(g/r, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}|^2)^{1/2}$ , then  $A \geq 1$ .

We define a linear functional  $F_0 \in M_i^0$  such that

$$\begin{cases} F_0(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}) = \frac{1}{A} (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i) |(g/r, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}| & \text{for } k = 1, \dots, i, \\ F_0(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}) = 0 & \text{for } k > i. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^i |F_0(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}})|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{A} \left( \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)^2 |(g/r, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}|^2 \right)^{1/2} = 1.$$

Hence we obtain  $F_0 \in V^*(0, 1, i)$  by Theorem 8. On the other hand, we have by Lemma 36 in [8]

$$\begin{aligned} |F_0(g/r)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} (g/r, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i} F_0(\varphi_{k, n_{i-1}}) \right| \\ &= (\varepsilon_i / A) \sum_{k=1}^i (\lambda_{k, n_{i-1}, n_i} / \varepsilon_i)^2 |(g/r, \varphi_{k, n_i})_{n_i}|^2 = \varepsilon_i A \geq \varepsilon_i, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $|F_0(g)| \geq \varepsilon_i r$  for  $F_0 \in V^*(0, 1, i)$ . This is a contradiction. Next, we shall prove that  $\mathfrak{F} = 0$  implies  $g = 0$  for  $J(g) = \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\mathfrak{F} = 0$ , there exists a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods  $\{V_{r(i)}^{**}(0)\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \in V_{r(i)}^{**}(0)$  for all integer  $i$ . Hence  $g$  belongs to  $\hat{V}_{r(i)}(0) \equiv \hat{V}_{r(i)}(0, 1/\gamma(i), \gamma(i))$  for all integer  $i$ .

Since  $\{\hat{V}_{r(i)}(0)\}$  is a fundamental sequence of neighbourhoods in  $\hat{\phi}$ , we have  $g = 0$ . Thus the correspondence  $J$  is bijective. Finally, if

$g \in \hat{V}_i(0, r, i)$ , we have  $|F(g/r)| < \varepsilon_i$  for every  $F \in V^*(0, 1, i)$ . And then we obtain  $|\mathfrak{F}(F)| = |F(g)| < \varepsilon_i r$  for  $J(g) = \mathfrak{F}$ .

Hence we have  $\mathfrak{F} \in V_i^{**}(0, r, i)$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] I. M. Gel'fand and N. Ya. Vilenkin: Generalized Functions, Vol. 4 (1964).
- [ 2 ] K. Kunugi: Sur la méthode des espaces rangés. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 318–322, 549–554 (1966).
- [ 3 ] M. Washihara: On ranked spaces and linearity. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **45**, 238–242 (1969).
- [ 4 ] Y. Nagakura: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 337–341 (1971).
- [ 5 ] —: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **47**, 342–345 (1971).
- [ 6 ] —: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. III. Proc. Japan Acad., **47** (Supplement) (1971).
- [ 7 ] —: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. IV. Proc. Japan Acad., **47** (Supplement) (1971).
- [ 8 ] —: The theory of nuclear spaces treated by the method of ranked space. V. Proc. Japan Acad., **48**, 110–115 (1972).