

93. Amenable Transformation Groups. II

By Kôkichi SAKAI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., June 12, 1973)

Introduction. Let X be a nonvoid set and G be a group of transformations of X onto itself. Then we shall say the pair $X=(G, X)$ is a *transformation group*. Let $m(X)$ be the Banach space of all bounded real functions on X and $m(X)^*$ the conjugate Banach space of $m(X)$. For every $s \in G$, define the mapping $l_s: m(X) \rightarrow m(X)$ by $l_s f = {}_s f$ for any $f \in m(X)$ where ${}_s f(x) = f(sx)$ for $x \in X$, and denote by L_s the adjoint of l_s . For $\varphi \in m(X)^*$ it is called a *mean* if $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi(I_X) = 1$ where I_X is the constant one function on X . A mean φ is called *multiplicative* if $\varphi(f \cdot g) = \varphi(f) \cdot \varphi(g)$ for any $f, g \in m(X)$. For a subset K of G , a mean φ is *K-invariant* if $L_s \varphi = \varphi$ for all $s \in K$. We denote by δ_x the Dirac measure at $x \in X$. Let $IM(X)$ [βX] be the set of all G -invariant [multiplicative] means. We shall say the transformation group $X=(G, X)$ is *amenable* if $IM(X)$ is nonempty.

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the transformation group $X=(G, X)$ such that $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$ is nonempty where $Co(\beta X)$ is the convex hull of βX and to study the extreme point of the convex set $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$. For semigroups the analogous problem is investigated by A. T. Lau in [3] and [4].

§ 1. Multiplicative means. In this section we give the Lemmas used in later sections. Let $X=(G, X)$ be a transformation group and $\varphi \in m(X)^*$ be a mean. For any subset A of X , we write $\varphi(A)$ instead of $\varphi(I_A)$ where I_A is the characteristic function of A . We put $H(\varphi) = \{s \in G : L_s \varphi = \varphi\}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_i \in \beta X : i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and } \varphi_i \neq \varphi_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$ and $\Psi = \{\psi_i \in \beta X : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } \psi_i \neq \psi_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \varphi_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \psi_i$ where λ_i 's and μ_i 's are positive numbers, then $\Phi = \Psi$.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi_0 \in \beta X$. For a subset $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ of G put $\varphi_i = L_{a_i} \varphi_0 \in \beta X$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ are mutually distinct, there is a subset $A_0 \subset X$ such that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ $\varphi_i(A_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$) where $A_i = a_i A_0$.

Now for a mean φ we consider the condition (#): there is a positive constant c such that $\varphi(A) \geq c$ or $\varphi(A) = 0$ for any $A \subset X$. If the condition (#) is satisfied, there is a subset $A \subset X$ such that $\varphi(A) > 0$ and that $\varphi(A \cap B)$ is equal to $\varphi(A)$ or 0 for any $B \subset X$. For example, every $\varphi \in Co(\beta X)$ satisfies the condition (#).

Lemma 3. Let $\varphi \in IM(X)$ satisfy the condition (#) and A be a

subset of X such that $\varphi(A) > 0$ and that $\varphi(A \cap B)$ is equal to $\varphi(A)$ or 0 for any $B \subset X$. Putting $H = \{s \in G : \varphi(sA \cap A) = \varphi(A)\}$ and $\varphi_A(g) = \varphi(I_A \cdot g) / \varphi(A)$ for any $g \in m(X)$, we have the following:

- (1) H is a subgroup of G with finite index.
- (2) For any $B, C \subset X$ and $s \in H$, $\varphi(A \cap B \cap C) = \varphi(sA \cap B \cap C) = \varphi(A \cap sB \cap C)$.
- (3) For any $f, g \in m(X)$ and $s \in H$, $\varphi_A(f \cdot_s g) = \varphi_A(f \cdot g)$.
- (4) $H = \{s \in G : \varphi_A(f \cdot_s g) = \varphi_A(f \cdot g) \text{ for any } f, g \in m(X)\} = H(\varphi_A)$.

§ 2. Main theorem. In this section we give various characterizations of a transformation group $X = (G, X)$ with G -invariant mean in the convex hull of βX . For any finite set M denote by $|M|$ the cardinality of M .

Theorem 1. *The following conditions on a transformation group $X = (G, X)$ are equivalent:*

- (1) $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$ is nonempty.
- (2) There is $\varphi \in IM(X)$ such that the subgroup $H(\varphi)$ of G has finite index.
- (3) There is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that for any finite subset K of G there exists a finite subset F_K of X having the properties $|F_K| = n$ and $sF_K = F_K$ for all $s \in K$.
- (4) For some integer $n \geq 1$ there is a net $\{p^\alpha = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i^\alpha}\}$ in $Co(\beta X)$ such that $\lim_\alpha \|L_s p^\alpha - p^\alpha\| = 0$ for any $s \in G$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi_i \in IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$ where φ_i 's are mutually distinct elements in βX . Then, by the G -invariance of φ and Lemma 1, we have $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\} = \{L_s \varphi_1, L_s \varphi_2, \dots, L_s \varphi_n\}$ for all $s \in G$. So each $H(\varphi_i)$ has finite index in G .

(2) \Rightarrow (3): For $\varphi \in \beta X$ assume that $H(\varphi)$ has finite index in G . Let $\{a_1 = e, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a representative system of the left coset space $G/H(\varphi)$ and put $\varphi_i = L_{a_i} \varphi$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, by Lemma 2, there is a subset $A \subset X$ such that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ $\varphi_i(A_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$) where $A_i = a_i A$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $s \in G$ there correspond an integer k and $h_{si} \in H(\varphi)$ such that $sa_i = a_k h_{si}$. Now for any finite subset K of G put $H_K = \{h_{si} : s \in K \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $\varphi = \varphi_1$ is a multiplicative $H(\varphi)$ -invariant mean, by Theorem 3 in [5], there is $x \in A_1$ such that $hx = x$ for all $h \in H_K$. Putting $F_K = \{a_1 x, a_2 x, \dots, a_n x\}$, clearly we have $|F_K| = n$ and $sF_K = F_K$ for all $s \in K$.

The other implications (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1) are obtained by the same way as in A. T. Lau [2, Theorems 5.3 and 5.5]. q.e.d.

Similarly we have

Theorem 2. *Let $X = (G, X)$ be a transformation group and n be a fixed positive integer. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) There is a G -invariant mean φ of the form $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi_i$ where

for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ $\varphi_i \in \beta X$ and $\lambda_i > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and $\varphi_i \neq \varphi_j$ if $i \neq j$.

(2) There are mutually disjoint subsets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of X such that for any finite subset K of G there exists a finite subset $F_K = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n : x_i \in A_i \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ with the property $sF_K = F_K$ for all $s \in K$.

§ 3. Extreme points of $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$. Let $X=(G, X)$ be an amenable transformation group such that $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$ is nonempty. Each extreme point of the convex set $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$ is also an extreme point of $IM(X)$. For $\varphi \in \beta X$ assume that $H(\varphi)$ has finite index in G . Let $\{a_1=e, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a representative system of $G/H(\varphi)$ and put $\varphi_i=L_{a_i}\varphi$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\psi=1/n \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$ is an extreme point of $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$. In this case $\tilde{H}=\bigcap_{i=1}^n H(\varphi_i)$ has finite index in G and each φ_i is \tilde{H} -invariant. Moreover, using Lemma 3, we can conclude that \tilde{H} is equal to $\{s \in G : \psi(f \cdot_s g) = \psi(f \cdot g) \text{ for any } f, g \in m(X)\}$.

Conversely every extreme point of $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$ is given in the above form.

Theorem 3. Let $X=(G, X)$ be an amenable transformation group and φ be an extreme point of the convex set $IM(X)$. If $H=\{s \in G : \varphi(f \cdot_s g) = \varphi(f \cdot g) \text{ for any } f, g \in m(X)\}$ has finite index in G , then φ is in $IM(X) \cap Co(\beta X)$.

Proof. Let $\{a_1=e, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a representative system of G/H and fix an arbitrary $f \in m(X)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$. Now define $\nu \in m(X)^*$ as follows:

$$\nu(g) = \varphi(f) \cdot \varphi(g) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(f \cdot_{a_i} g)$$

for any $g \in m(X)$. Then $\nu(I_X) = 0$ and $L_s \nu = \nu$ for any $s \in G$. Put $\varphi^\pm = \varphi \pm \nu$. Then we have easily $\varphi^\pm \in IM(X)$ and $\varphi = (\varphi^+ + \varphi^-)/2$. Since φ is extreme, we have $\nu \equiv 0$. Consequently we have for any $f, g \in m(X)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$

$$(\#\#) \quad \varphi(f) \cdot \varphi(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(f \cdot_{a_i} g).$$

By the linearity of φ , ($\#\#$) is also valid for any $f, g \in m(X)$. For any $A \subset X$, by ($\#\#$), it holds $\varphi(A)^2 = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(A \cap a_i^{-1}A) \geq (1/n)\varphi(A)$. So $\varphi(A) = 0$ or $\varphi(A) \geq 1/n$. Thus φ satisfies the condition ($\#$) in § 1. Let $A \subset X$ have the properties that $\varphi(A) > 0$ and that $\varphi(A \cap B)$ is equal to $\varphi(A)$ or 0 for any $B \subset X$. Then the subgroup $H_A = \{s \in G : \varphi(sA \cap A) = \varphi(A)\}$ contains H . Let $\{b_1=e, b_2, \dots, b_m\} [\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}]$ be a representative system of $G/H_A [H_A/H]$. Clearly it holds $\varphi(b_i A \cap b_j A) = \delta_{ij} \varphi(A)$ and $\varphi(b_i c_j A \cap A) = \delta_{ij} \varphi(A)$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Since $\{b_i c_j : i=1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and } j=1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a representative system of G/H , by ($\#\#$), we have:

$$\varphi(A)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi(b_i c_j A \cap A) = \frac{k}{n} \varphi(A) = \frac{1}{m} \varphi(A),$$

$$\varphi(A) \cdot \varphi(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(I_{A \cdot b_i c_j} g) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi(I_{b_i A} \cdot g)$$

for any $g \in m(X)$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, put $A_i = b_i A$ and $\varphi_i(g) = \varphi(I_{A_i} \cdot g) / \varphi(A) = m\varphi(I_{A \cdot b_i} g)$ for any $g \in m(X)$. Then each $\varphi_i = L_{b_i} \varphi_1$ is an H -invariant mean and we have $\varphi = 1/m \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i$. It remains to prove that each φ_i is multiplicative. Now again using the relation (##) we have

$$\varphi(I_{A \cdot f}) \cdot \varphi(I_{A \cdot g}) = (1/m) \varphi(I_{A \cdot f \cdot g})$$

for any $f, g \in m(X)$. So $\varphi_1(f) \cdot \varphi_1(g) = m^2 \varphi(I_{A \cdot f}) \varphi(I_{A \cdot g}) = m \varphi(I_{A \cdot f \cdot g}) = \varphi_1(f \cdot g)$, that is, φ_1 is multiplicative. Consequently each φ_i is also multiplicative. q.e.d.

The following is a sufficient condition in order that every extreme point of $IM(X)$ is contained in $Co(\beta X)$, which is a generalization of Theorem 4 in [5].

Theorem 4. *Let $X = (G, X)$ be an amenable transformation group and H a subgroup of G with finite index. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *For every $\varphi \in IM(X)$, $f, g \in m(X)$ and $s \in H$ we have $\varphi(f \cdot_s g) = \varphi(f \cdot g)$.*

(2) *Every extreme point of $IM(X)$ is contained in the convex hull of all the H -invariant multiplicative means.*

(3) *Let $A \subset X$ have the property that $\varphi(A) > 0$ for some $\varphi \in IM(X)$. Then, for any $s \in H$, there is $x \in A$ such that $sx = x$.*

References

- [1] E. E. Granirer: Extremely amenable semigroups. II. *Math. Scand.*, **20**, 93–113 (1967).
- [2] E. E. Granirer and A. T. Lau: Invariant means on locally compact groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, **15**, 249–257 (1971).
- [3] A. T. Lau: Topological semigroups with invariant means in the convex hull of multiplicative means. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **148**, 69–84 (1970).
- [4] —: Functional analytic properties of topological semigroups and N -extreme amenability. *ibid.*, **152**, 431–439 (1970).
- [5] K. Sakai: Extremely amenable transformation semigroups. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **49**, 424–427 (1973).
- [6] —: Amenable transformation groups. *Sci. Report of Kagoshima Univ.*, **22**, 1–7 (1973).