78. On K. Yosida's Class (A) of Meromorphic Functions

By Shinji YAMASHITA Tokyo Metropolitan University

(Comm. by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., June 11, 1974)

1. Introduction. The class (A) in K. Yosida's sense [5] consists of all functions f meromorphic in the plane $C: |z| < +\infty$ such that the family $\{f_a\}, \alpha \in C$, of functions $f_a(z) = f(z+\alpha), z \in C$, is normal in the sense of P. Montel in C. We set $k(f) = \sup_{z \in C} f^*(z)$ for $f \in (A)$, where $f^*(z) = |f'(z)|/(1+|f(z)|^2)$; we know that $k(f) < +\infty$ [5, Theorem 1]. Plainly, k(f) > 0 if and only if f is non-constant. Given a function fmeromorphic in C and a point $z \in C$, let u(z) = u(z, f) be the supremum of r > 0 such that f is univalent in the disk $D(z, r) = \{w \in C; |w-z| < r\}$; if such an r does not exist, we set u(z) = u(z, f) = 0. Then u(z) = 0 if and only if $f^*(z) = 0$. Except for the case that f is linear, $u(z) < +\infty$ at each $z \in C$. Furthermore, a non-linear f is univalent in D(z, u(z))and the function u is continuous in C (Lemma). Here and elsewhere a meromorphic function f is called non-linear if f is non-constant and not linear. We begin with

Theorem 1. Given a non-linear f of class (A), we have at each $z \in C$,

(1)
$$f^*(z) \leq (32/\pi^2)k(f)^2u(z, f).$$

Of course, the estimate (1) has the good meaning if $u(z, f) < \pi^2/\{32k(f)\}$. As an application of Theorem 1 we know that $u(z_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ implies $f^*(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ for each sequence of points $\{z_n\} \subset C$ converging to a point of C or else to the point at infinity. However, the converse is not valid; the exponential function $E(z) = e^z$ belongs to (A) with $u(z, E) = \pi$ at each $z \in C$ but $E^*(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, n being positive integers.

Our next result concerns the derived function.

Theorem 2. Given a non-linear f of class (A), we have at each $z \in C$,

(2) $f'^*(z) \leq 2[\min\{k(f)^{-1}, u(z, f)\}]^{-1} + 1,$ where $f'^*(z) = |f''(z)|/(1 + |f'(z)|^2).$

The function $E \in (A)$ has the property that $E' \in (A)$, which suggests the following application of Theorem 2. We have $f' \in (A)$ if $f \in (A)$ and if $\inf_{|z|>R} u(z, f)>0$ for a certain constant R>0. Indeed, f'^* is bounded in |z|>R by (2), while f'^* is bounded in $|z|\leq 2R$ because f'^* is continuous in C, whence f'^* is bounded in C. Therefore $f' \in (A)$ by [5, Theorem 1]. We remark that all rational functions (and their derivatives) belong to (A).

We next consider the holomorphic case.

Theorem 3. Given a non-linear entire function f, we have at each $z \in C$,

(3) $f'^*(z) \leq 2u(z, f)^{-1}$.

We do not assume $f \in (A)$ in this case. Thus, if f is non-linear and entire, and further $\inf_{|z|>R} u(z, f) > 0$ for some constant R > 0, we have $f' \in (A)$.

2. Proofs. First of all we need

Lemma. For a non-linear meromorphic f in C we have for each pair $z, w \in C$,

$$(4) \qquad |u(z,f)-u(w,f)| \leq |z-w|.$$

Proof. By the symmetry of z and w in (4) we have only to consider the case u(z) < u(w). If $z \in D(w, u(w))$, then $u(w) \leq |z-w|$, whence follows (4). If $z \in D(w, u(w))$, then f is univalent in D(z, u(w) - |z-w|), from which follows $u(w) - |z-w| \leq u(z)$, or $u(w) - u(z) \leq |z-w|$, again (4).

Proof of Theorem 1. Since u is continuous in C by (4) and since f^* is continuous in C, we have only to prove (1) for z with u(z) > 0 and $f(z) \neq \infty$. Actually, the set of points $z \in C$ where u(z)=0 or $f(z)=\infty$ is isolated. Set $b=\pi/\{4k(f)\}$ and consider the function of w:

(5)
$$g(w) = \frac{f(w+z) - f(z)}{1 + \overline{f(z)}f(w+z)}$$

in D(0, b). Then $g(0)=0, |g'(0)|=f^*(z)$ and |g|<1 in D(0, b). In effect, $g^*(\zeta)=f^*(\zeta+z)\leq k(f), \zeta\in D(0, b)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \arctan |g(w)| &= \int_{0}^{|g(w)|} \frac{dt}{1+t^{2}} \leq \int_{g(S_{w})} \frac{|dw|}{1+|w|^{2}} \\ &= \int_{S_{w}} g^{*}(\zeta) |d\zeta| \leq k(f) |w| < \pi/4, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(S_w)$ denotes the Riemannian image by g of the line segment S_w connecting 0 and $w \in D(0, b)$. Consider $h(\zeta) = g(b\zeta)/\{bg'(0)\}$ in $|\zeta| < 1$. Then $|h(\zeta)| < (b | g'(0) |)^{-1} = (bf^*(z))^{-1} = M$ in $|\zeta| < 1$ and h(0) = 0, h'(0) = 1. We may apply the result of J. Dieudonné (cf. [3, p. 259]) to h. Then h is univalent in $|\zeta| < c = 1/(2M) < \{M + (M^2 - 1)^{1/2}\}^{-1}$, whence g is univalent in |w| < bc, which implies $bc \leq u(z)$. With a slight calculation we obtain (1).

Proof of Theorem 2. Since (2) is trivial if u(z)=0 we may assume u(z)>0. Moreover, by the continuity of f'^* and u we may again assume $f(z)\neq\infty$. Set $d=\min\{k(f)^{-1}, u(z)\}$. Then the function g of (5) is univalent and holomorphic in D(0, d). Actually, $g^*(\zeta) \leq k(f)$ for each $\zeta \in D_1 = D(0, k(f)^{-1})$, whence

348

Nos. 5, 6]

dis
$$(g(w), 0) \leq \int_{S_w} g^*(\zeta) |d\zeta| \leq k(f) |w| < 1$$

for each $w \in D_1$, dis (\cdot, \cdot) being the chordal distance. Therefore g has no pole in D_1 . Consider $H(\zeta) = g(d\zeta)/\{dg'(0)\}$ in $|\zeta| < 1$. Then H(0) = 0, H'(0) = 1 and H is univalent in $|\zeta| < 1$. Consequently, by the celebrated L. Bieberbach inequality $|a_2| \leq 2$ for $H(\zeta) = \zeta + a_2\zeta^2 + \cdots$, we have $|H''(0)| \leq 4$ or $|g''(0)/g'(0)| \leq 4/d$. After a short computation we obtain

$$\left|\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{2\overline{f(z)}f'(z)}{1+|f(z)|^2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{d},$$

from which follows

$$\begin{aligned} f'^*(z) &= \frac{|f''(z)|}{|f'(z)|} \frac{|f'(z)|}{1+|f'(z)|^2} \leq \frac{4}{d} \frac{|f'(z)|}{1+|f'(z)|^2} + \frac{2|f(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2} \frac{|f'(z)|^2}{1+|f'(z)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{d} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

because $t/(1+t^2) \leq 1/2$ and $t^2/(1+t^2) < 1$ for $t \geq 0$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3. We consider the function G(w)=f(w+z) $-f(z), w \in D(0, u(z))$, for a fixed z with u(z) > 0. Then the function $F(\zeta) = G(u(z)\zeta)/\{u(z)f'(z)\} = \zeta + b_2\zeta^2 + \cdots$ is univalent and holomorphic in $|\zeta| \le 1$. Hence, again,

 $|u(z)f''(z)/f'(z)| = |F''(0)| = 2|b_2| \le 4,$

whence $f'^{*}(z) \leq 2u(z)^{-1}$.

Remark. K. Noshiro [1] obtained the notion of class (A) in the disk D=D(0,1) following the cited paper of Yosida; about twenty years after [1] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen discovered again the class (A) in D and called the members of (A) normal meromorphic functions in D (cf. [2, p. 86 ff.]). The results analogous to Theorems 1 and 2 for normal meromorphic functions in D may easily be obtained and will be enunciated for the details elsewhere; the result analogous to (3) of Theorem 3 is seen in [4, (2)].

References

- K. Noshiro: Contributions to the theory of meromorphic functions in the unit-circle. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., 7, 149-159 (1938).
- [2] ——: Cluster Sets. Berlin (1960).
- [3] M. Tsuji: Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory. Tokyo (1959).
- [4] S. Yamashita: The derivative of a holomorphic function in the disk (to be published in Michigan Math. J.).
- [5] K. Yosida: On a class of meromorphic functions. Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, 16, 227-235 (1934).