91. Singular Cauchy Problems for a Class of Weakly Hyperbolic Differential Operators

By Kanehisa TAKASAKI

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1981)

In these notes singular Cauchy problems of Hamada's type are studied in the category of holomorphic functions and hyperfunctions for a class of hyperbolic differential operators with non-involutive multiple characteristics. Integral representations of their solutions are given.

1. Introduction. Let $P(t, x, D_t, D_x)$ be a differential operator of order m of the form

 $P(t, x, D_t, D_x) = D_t^m + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(t, x, D_x) D_t^{m-i},$ where $D_t = (1/\sqrt{-1})(\partial/\partial t)$, $D_x = (1/\sqrt{-1})(\partial/\partial x)$ and $A_i(t, x, D_x)$ is a differential operator at most of order *i*, not containing D_t , whose coefficients are holomorphic functions defined in a neighborhood of (t, x)= (0, 0) in $C \times C^n$.

We assume the following conditions :

(A-1) (Degeneracy of characteristic roots). There exists a nonnegative integer q such that the principal symbol $P_m(t, x, \tau, \xi)$ of $P(t, x, D_t, D_x)$ is expressed in the form

$$P_m(t, x, \tau, \xi) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\tau - t^q \lambda_j(\xi)),$$

where $\lambda_j(\xi)$ $(1 \le j \le m)$ are holomorphic functions defined in a conic open neighborhood Ω_0 of $\xi_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in $C^n - 0$ and homogeneous of degree 1 such that

 $\lambda_j(\xi) \neq \lambda_k(\xi), \qquad ext{if } j \neq k ext{ and } \xi \in arOmega_0.$

(A-2) (Hyperbolicity). $\lambda_j(\xi)$ $(1 \le j \le m)$ are real if ξ is real.

(A-3) (Levi condition). Let $A_{i,j}(t, x, \xi)$ be the homogeneous part of $A_i(t, x, \xi)$ of degree j with respect to ξ and let

$$A_{i,j}(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k A_{i,j,k}(x, \xi)$$

be the Taylor expansion of $A_{i,j}(t, x, \xi)$ with respect to t. Then

$$A_{i,j,k}(x,\xi) = 0,$$
 if $k < (q+1)j-i.$

Alinhac [1], Amano [2], Amano-Nakamura [13], Nakamura-Uryu [6], Nakane [7], Taniguchi-Tozaki [10] and Yoshikawa [12] studied the Cauchy problem for weakly hyperbolic operators of the above type, and constructed parametrices, using a type of ordinary differential operators with polynomial coefficients which determine the principal K. TAKASAKI

parts of parametrices. (Nakamura-Uryu [6] and Amano-Nakamura [13] studied a more general case.) All of these authors, except Nakane [7], treated these subjects in the category of C^{∞} functions.

We deal with the singular Cauchy problem of Hamada's type $(P(t, x, D_t, D_x)u_t(t, x, y, \xi) = 0,$

$$\begin{array}{c} (CP)_i \\ D_i^j u_i|_{i=0} = \delta_{j,i} (\langle x-y, \xi \rangle + \sqrt{-1} \ 0)^{-n} \\ \text{and its version in the complex domains} \end{array} \quad \text{for } 0 \le j \le m-1,$$

 $(CP)^{c} \quad \{P(t, x, D_i, D_x)u_i(t, x, y, \xi)=0,$

$$D_i^j u_i|_{t=0} = \delta_{j,i} \langle x-y, \xi \rangle^{-n} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m-1,$$

for $0 \le i \le m-1$, where $\delta_{j,i}$ is Kronecker's delta, and show that the solution u_i is obtained as an infinite series of Radon integrals (see (1.2)). Parametrices are obtained as integrals

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} u_i(t,x,y,\xi)\omega(\xi) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq m-1),$$

where

$$\omega(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} \xi_j d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_{j-1} \wedge d\xi_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n.$$

Our construction of solutions is similar to those of Yoshikawa [12] and Nakamura-Uryu [6], but we need much more delicate estimations. Our Radon integrals are modifications of those studied by Kataoka [4] and Aoki [3].

Before stating our main theorems we introduce the notations
$$\begin{split} &\psi_j(t,\xi) = (q+1)^{-1} \lambda_j(\xi) t^{q+1}, \\ &\varphi_j(t,x,y,\xi) = \langle x-y,\xi \rangle + \psi_j(t,\xi), \\ &r_j(t,\xi) = \max_{1 \le k \le m} |\psi_j(t,\xi_1^{-1}\xi) - \psi_k(t,\xi_1^{-1}\xi)|, \\ &d(t,\xi_1) = (|t|^{(q+1)} + |\xi_1|^{-1})^{1/(q+1)}, \\ &X = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^n; |x| < a\}, \\ &\Omega = \{\xi = (\xi_1,\xi') \in \mathbf{C}^n - 0; |\xi'| < b |\xi_1|, |\arg(\xi_1)| < b\}, \\ &S_\sigma = \{t \in \mathbf{C} - 0; |\arg(\sigma t)| < (2q+2)^{-1}\pi - \varepsilon\}, \\ &Z_\sigma = S_\sigma \times X \times \Omega, \qquad Z = \mathbf{C} \times X \times \Omega, \\ &D_0(r) = \{p \in \mathbf{C}; \operatorname{Im}(p) > r\}, \\ &D_1(d,r,R) = \bigcup_{-b < \theta < b} \{p \in \mathbf{C}; \operatorname{Im}(pe^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) > r, de^{R(|p|+r)} < 1\}, \end{split}$$

for positive constants a, b, d, r, R and $\sigma = \pm 1, 1 \le j \le m$.

Under Assumptions (A-1)–(A-3) we have

Theorem 1. For any sufficiently small positive constant ε , there exist positive constants a, b, h and holomorphic functions $u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}$ ($\sigma = \pm 1$, $0 \le i \le m-1, 1 \le j \le m, \nu \ge 0$) defined in Z such that the following hold: (i) A solution u_i of $(CP)_i^c$ is obtained in the form

(1.1)
$$u_i(t, x, y, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m u_{\sigma,i,j,R}(t, x, \xi; \varphi_j(t, x, y, \xi)) + h_{\sigma,i,R}(t, x, y, \xi),$$

for $\sigma = \pm 1$, where $u_{\sigma,i,j,R}$ is given by
(1.2) $u_{\sigma,i,j,R}(t, x, \xi; p)$

$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\int_{(\nu+1)R}^{\infty}\exp{(\sqrt{-1}\xi_{1}^{-1}p\rho)\xi_{1}^{-n}u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t,x,\rho\xi_{1}^{-1}\xi)\rho^{n-1}d\rho}$$

for any positive constant $R > h^{q+1}$, and $h_{\sigma,i,R}$ is a holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of $(t, x, y, \xi) = (0, 0, 0, \xi_0)$ and homogeneous of degree (-n) with respect to ξ .

(ii) $u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}$ satisfies (2.1)–(2.4).

(iii) The series (1.2) converges uniformly in every compact subset of the domain

$$egin{aligned} &\{(t,x,\xi,p)\in Z_{\mathfrak{o}}\!\times\!C\,;\,d(t,R)h\!<\!1,\,\xi_{1}^{-1}p\in D_{\mathfrak{0}}(0)\}\ &\cup \bigcap_{j=1}^{m}\,\{(t,x,\xi,p)\in Z\!\times\!C\,;\,d(t,R)h\!<\!1,\,\xi_{1}^{-1}p\in D_{\mathfrak{0}}(r_{j}(t,\xi))\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence it is a holomorphic function which is defined in this domain and homogeneous of degree (-n) with respect to (ξ, p) .

(iv) By deforming the integration path of the ν -th term of (1.2) into

 $\begin{array}{l}C_{\nu,R,\theta} = \{(\nu+1)R \exp\left(\sqrt{-1}s\theta\right); 0 \leq s \leq 1\} \cup \{(\nu+1)Rs \exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\theta\right); 1 \leq s\} \\ (|\theta| < b), \ u_{\sigma,i,j,R} \text{ is continued to a holomorphic function defined in the domain}\end{array}$

$$egin{aligned} &\{(t,x,\xi,p)\in Z_{s} imes C\,;\,\xi_{1}^{-1}p\in D_{1}(d(t,R)h,\,0,R)\}\ &\cupigcap_{i=1}^{m}\{(t,x,\xi,p)\in Z imes C\,;\,\xi_{1}^{-1}p\in D_{1}(d(t,R)h,\,r_{j}(t,\xi),R)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. The solution of $(CP)_i$ is given by the "boundary value hyperfunction" of (1.1), namely,

(1.3) $u_i(t, x, y, \xi)$

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{\sigma,i,j,R}(t,x,\xi;\varphi_{j}(t,x,y,\xi)+\sqrt{-1}\,0)+h_{\sigma,i,R}(t,x,y,\xi).$$

The singularity support and the singularity spectrum of u_i , if we regard ξ as a parameter, are estimated as follows:

(1.4) sing. supp.
$$(u_i) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{\varphi_j = 0\},$$

S.S. $(u_i) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{(t, x, y; \sqrt{-1}d\varphi_j(t, x, y, \xi)\infty); \varphi_j = 0\}.$

(As for the terminologies of hyperfunctions and singularity spectra, we refer to Sato-Kawai-Kashiwara [9].)

Remark 1. As Amano [2] and Amano-Nakamura [13] pointed out, our method for the construction of solutions will be effective in the analysis of the "branching of singularities" at multiple characteristic points. Alinhac [1], Nakane [7] and Taniguchi-Tozaki [10] carried out the analysis in the case m=2, using special functions of the hypergeometric or confluent hypergeometric type.

Remark 2. (1.4) implies that the singularities of solutions are concentrated on the union of bicharacteristic strips associated with $\tau - t^{q}\lambda_{j}$ $(1 \le j \le m)$ which pass $(t, x, y, \xi) = (0, 0, 0, \xi_{0})$. This result is entirely different from those in the case of involutive multiple charac-

No. 8]

teristics. (See, for example, Kawai-Nakamura [5].)

2. Outline of the proof. We choose $u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t,x,\xi)$ to be "semi-homogeneous" of degree $(-i-\nu)/(q+1)$, namely, for $c \in C-0$,

(2.1)
$$u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(c^{-1}t,x,c^{q+1}\xi) = c^{-i-\nu}u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t,x,\xi)$$

holds. Then, at least formally, we can reduce the problem to the "transport equation"

$$(2.2) \qquad \left(D_{t}^{m} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{k}^{(0)}(t, x, \xi) D_{t}^{m-k} \right) (\exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\psi_{j}(t, \xi)\right) u_{\sigma, i, j}^{(\nu)}) \\ = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\kappa, \lambda, \alpha \ge 0, \\ \nu = \kappa + \lambda + (q+1) |\alpha|, \\ \nu \neq \lambda}} \frac{1}{\alpha !} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} A_{k}^{(\kappa)}) D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{t}^{m-k} \\ \times (\exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\psi_{j}(t, \xi)\right) u_{\sigma, i, j}^{(\lambda)}),$$

with the "initial condition"

(2.3)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} D_{i}^{k}(\exp(\sqrt{-1}\psi_{j})u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)})|_{t=0} = \delta_{k,i}\delta_{\nu,0}(\sqrt{-1})^{-n}/(n-1)!,$$

for $0 \le k \le m-1$, $1 \le k \le m$, $\nu \ge 0$, where $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} = (\partial/\partial \xi)^{\alpha}$, and $A_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t, x, \xi)$ is defined by

$$A_i^{(\nu)}(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{\substack{k \ge 0, \ 0 \le j \le i \\
u = k - (q+1)j + i}} t^k A_{i, j, k}(x, \xi).$$

Actually we can show that the proof is reduced to the construction of solutions of (2.2) and (2.3) which satisfy the "growth condition" (2.4) $|D_t^k u_{a,i,j}^{(v)}| \leq Ch^{\nu} |\xi_1|^{k-(q+1)-it} d(t, \xi_1)^{kq} d(t, (\nu+1)^{-i}\xi_1)^{\nu}$

for $0 \le k \le m$ and $\nu \ge 0$, where we set

$$\pi_{j}(x,\xi) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \{ (q/2)(m-i+1)(m-i)A_{i-1,i-1,q(i-1)}(x,\xi) + \sqrt{-1}A_{i,i-1,q(i-1)-1}(x,\xi) \}$$
$$\times \lambda_{j}(\xi)^{m-i} \prod_{k=1,k\neq j}^{m} (\lambda_{j}(\xi) - \lambda_{k}(\xi))^{-1},$$
$$\mu_{j}(x,\xi) = \operatorname{Re} (\pi_{j}(x,\xi)), \qquad M(x,\xi) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \mu_{j}(x,\xi).$$

Since $\psi_j(t,\xi)$ and $A_i^{(\nu)}(t,x,\xi)$ are semi-homogeneous of degree 0 and $(i-\nu)/(q+1)$ respectively, (2.2)–(2.4) are compatible with the semihomogeneity. Namely, if $u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t,x,\xi)$ ($\sigma = \pm 1$, $1 \le j \le m$, $0 \le i \le m-1$, $\nu \ge 0$) satisfy (2.2)–(2.4) with the constraint $\xi_1 = 1$ (which we abbreviate to $(2.2)|_{\xi_1=1}$, $-(2.4)|_{\xi_1=1}$), and if we set

 $u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t,x,\xi) = \xi_1^{(-i-\nu)/(q+1)} u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}(t\xi_{\nu}^{1/(q+1)},x,\xi_1^{-1}\xi'),$

then they are solutions of (2.2)–(2.4). Thus essentially we have only to consider the case $\xi_1 = 1$.

We construct $u_{\sigma,i,j}^{(\nu)}$ by the induction on ν .

For $\nu = 0$, $(2.2)|_{\varepsilon_{1}=1}$ is a homogeneous ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients with respect to t, and has an irregular singular point of Poincaré's rank (q+1) at $t = \infty$. It has formal solutions of the form $v_j = \hat{v}_j t^{\pi_j(x,1,\xi')} \exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\psi_j(t,1,\xi')\right) \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le m,$

where \hat{v}_j is a formal power series in $t^{-(q+1)}$ whose coefficients are holomorphic functions in (x, ξ') . By a version of the "asymptotic existence theorem" (Wasow [11, Theorem 12.3]), there exists, for each j, a holomorphic solution (not formal) of $(2.2)|_{\xi_{i}=1}$ whose asymptotic expansion in the sector S_{τ} coincides with v_j . Using these solutions we get solutions of (2.2)-(2.4) for $\nu=0$.

For $\nu \neq 0$, we construct solutions by the "method of the variation of constants", using the same integration paths as those which appeared in Nishimoto [8]. Through some delicate estimations we can show that these solutions actually satisfy the former half of (2.4).

To show the latter half of (2.4), we choose a suitable family of finitely many sectors which cover the whole plane C. Then we repeat similar arguments to express $u_{\sigma,i,k}^{(\nu)}$, for each sector S of this family, in such a manner that

$$u_{\sigma,i,k}^{(\nu)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \exp(\sqrt{-1}(\psi_j - \psi_k)) u_{\sigma,i,k;S,j}^{(\nu)}$$

holds, where $u_{\sigma,i,k;S,j}^{(\nu)}$ satisfies the transport equations and the former half of (2.4) in S, instead of S_{σ} . This implies the latter half of (2.4).

The last argument is indispensable, because "Stokes' phenomena" (Wasow [11, § 15]) may occur and the factor $\exp(\sqrt{-1}(\psi_j - \psi_k))(j \neq k)$ may break the validity of the former half of (2.4) in the sector $S (\neq S_{\sigma})$. This is the reason why we need the latter half of (2.4). This relates to the "branching of singularities". (See Remark 1.)

The detailed proof will appear elsewhere.

References

- [1] S. Alinhac: Indiana Univ. Math. J., 27, 1027-1037 (1978).
- [2] K. Amano: Proc. Japan Acad., 56A, 206-209 (1980).
- [3] T. Aoki: Invertibility for microdifferential operators of infinite order (to appear in Publ. RIMS., Kyoto Univ.).
- [4] K. Kataoka: On the theory of Radon transformations of hyperfunctions (to appear in J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo).
- [5] T. Kawai and G. Nakamura: Publ. RIMS., Kyoto Univ., 14, 415-439 (1978).
- [6] G. Nakamura and H. Uryu: Comm. in P. D. E., 5, 837-896 (1980).
- [7] S. Nakane: Propagation of singularities and uniqueness in the Cauchy problem at a class of doubly characteristic points (to appear in Comm. in P. D. E.).
- [8] T. Nishimoto: Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep., 18, 61-84 (1966).
- [9] M. Sato, T. Kawai, and M. Kashiwara: Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 287, Springer (1973).
- [10] K. Taniguchi and Y. Tozaki: Math. Japonica, 25, 279-300 (1980).
- [11] W. Wasow: Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations. Interscience (1965).
- [12] A. Yoshikawa: Hokkaido Math. J., 6, 313-344 (1977).
- [13] K. Amano and G. Nakamura: Proc. Japan Acad., 57A, 164-167 (1981).