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#### Abstract

We consider the equation $f_{x x t}+f f_{x x x}-a f_{x} f_{x x}=\nu f_{x x x x}, x \in(0,1), t>0$, where $a \in \mathbf{R}$ is a constant, with the periodic boundary condition. We show that any solution exists globally in time if $-3 \leq a \leq 1$.
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1. Introduction. We consider the generalized Proudman-Johnson equation proposed by [7]. It is an equation for $f=f(x, t)$ and is written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{x x t}+f f_{x x x}-a f_{x} f_{x x}=\nu f_{x x x x}  \tag{1.1}\\
0<t, \quad x \in(0,1)
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\nu>0$ is a constant called viscosity, $t$ the time variable, $x$ the space, and subscripts stand for differentiation. For the sake of simplicity we only consider it with the periodic boundary condition. Also, $\int_{0}^{1} f(x, t) d x \equiv 0$ is assumed. It is possible to make $\nu$ be unity by a suitable change of scales. But we do not employ this and leave $\nu$ as it is.

Equation (1.1) with $a=-(m-3) /(m-1)$ is derived from the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible viscous fluid in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ by assuming a special similarity form on the velocity field; see [7] and the references therein. The case of $m=2$ was considered by Proudman and Johnson [6], whence (1.1) with $a=$ 1 is now called the Proudman-Johnson equation.

Based on their numerical experiments, Okamoto and Zhu [7] suggested that the solution of (1.1) exists globally in time if $a_{0} \leq a \leq 1$ and that some solutions may blow up in finite time if $a<a_{0}$ or $1<a$. (They were actually unable to determine $a_{0}$, the lower limit of the global existence.) As for the global existence, they could prove it mathematically only in the case where $a=0,-2$, and $a=-1 /(2 k)$ $(k=1,2,3, \cdots)$. In our previous paper [1] we proved that the conjecture was true for $a=1$. The purpose

[^0]of the present paper is to prove the conjecture in the case where $-3 \leq a<1$ :

Theorem 1. Suppose that $-3 \leq a \leq 1$. Then any solution exists for all $t \in[0, \infty)$.

This theorem is not rigorously stated in that it does not specify the class of solutions but this will be clear after we have explained a local-existence theorem in the next section.

Remark 1.1. [7] suggested $a_{0} \sim-3$ but Fig. 6 in [7] is misleading because it suggests $a_{0}>-3$, though the figure shows the case of a different boundary condition.

Remark 1.2. Based on the result of [7], we believe that, if $a<-3$ or $1<a$, solutions with large initial data blow up in finite time, while solutions with small initial date exist globally in time. We are, however, unable to prove this.
2. Proof of the Theorem. Proof is carried out separately in the cases of $-3 \leq a<-1,-1 \leq$ $a<0$, and $0<a<1$. The global existence in the case of $a=0$ is known in [7]. The global existence in this case is a consequence of the fact that the maximum principle holds for $f_{x x}$. Accordingly $\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|f_{x x}(0)\right\|_{\infty}$ holds true. (Hereafter $\left\|\|_{p}\right.$ denote the norm of $L^{p}(0,1)(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ and $g(\cdot, t)$, which is regarded as a function of $x$ only and $t$ is regarded as a parameter, is denoted by $g(t)$.) In the case where $a \neq 0$, we will derive similar but different a priori estimates to prove the global existence.

To begin with, we remark the following local existence theorem:

Theorem 2. Let a be any real number. For all $g \in L^{2}(0,1)$ satisfying $\int_{0}^{1} g(x) d x=0$, there exists $a T>0$ such that (1.1) has a unique solution in $0 \leq$ $t \leq T$ satisfying the periodic boundary condition and $f_{x}(x, 0)=g(x)$.

Accordingly, the global existence holds true if we have shown that $\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2}$ is bounded in $0 \leq t \leq$ $T$ for any $T>0$. The proof of Theorem 2 is given in section 3 and we are now going to derive a priori estimates.
2.1. The case of $0<a<1$. We differentiate (1.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x x x t}+f f^{(4)}+(1-a) f_{x} f_{x x x}-a f_{x x}^{2}=\nu f^{(5)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $\Phi(u)$ by

$$
\Phi(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
|u|^{1 /(1-a)} & (u<0) \\
0 & (0 \leq u)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x=\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x x}\right)\left(a f_{x x}^{2}+\nu f^{(5)}\right) d x \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x x}\right)\left(f f^{(4)}+(1-a) f_{x} f_{x x x}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

It holds that $\int_{0}^{1} f_{x x}^{2} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x \leq 0$, since $\Phi$ is a monotone decreasing function. Further

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f^{(5)} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x=-\int_{0}^{1}\left(f^{(4)}\right)^{2} \Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x \leq 0
$$

since $\Phi$ is a convex function. Finally we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f f^{(4)} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x=-\int_{0}^{1} f_{x} \Phi\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x
$$

Since $\Phi(u)=(1-a) u \Phi^{\prime}(u)$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x x x}\right) d x \leq 0
$$

This implies that

$$
\int_{\left\{f_{x x x}<0\right\}}\left|f_{x x x}(x, t)\right|^{1 /(1-a)} d x \leq c
$$

where $c$ is a constant independent of $t$. Hereafter $c$ denotes a positive constant which is independent of $t$ but may be different in different contexts. By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\int_{\left\{f_{x x x}<0\right\}}\left|f_{x x x}(x, t)\right| d x \leq c
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{0}^{1} f_{x x x} d x \\
& =\int_{\left\{f_{x x x}>0\right\}} f_{x x x} d x+\int_{\left\{f_{x x x}<0\right\}} f_{x x x} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{x x x}\right| d x \leq c
$$

whence

$$
\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|f_{x x}(x, t)\right| \leq c
$$

This a priori estimate and the local existence theorem guarantee the global existence.
2.2. The case of $\mathbf{- 1} \leq \boldsymbol{a}<\mathbf{0}$. We define $\Phi(u)$ by $\Phi(u)=|u|^{-1 / a}$. Suppose for the moment that $-1<a<0$ and compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x x}\right) d x= & \nu \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x}\right) f_{x x x x} d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x}\right)\left(a f_{x} f_{x x}-f f_{x x x}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x}\right) f f_{x x x} d x=-\int_{0}^{1} f_{x} \Phi\left(f_{x x}\right) d x
$$

Since $\Phi(u)=-a u \Phi^{\prime}(u)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x x}\right) d x & =\nu \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x x}\right) f_{x x x x} d x \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{x x}\right) f_{x x x}^{2} d x \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here $\Phi^{\prime \prime}$ appears and we have to assume that $-1<$ a.) We therefore obtain the following bound:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{x x}(x, t)\right|^{-1 / a} d x \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{x x}(x, 0)\right|^{-1 / a} d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the solutions depend continuously on $a$, this inequality holds for $a=-1$, too. (2.2) implies that

$$
\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|f_{x}(x, t)\right| \leq c
$$

and the global existence follows.
2.3. The case of $-\mathbf{3} \leq a<-1$. We first consider the case of $a=-3$. If we differentiate the Burgers equation $f_{t}+f f_{x}=\nu f_{x x}$ twice, we then obtain (1.1) with $a=-3$. The global existence follows from that of the Burgers equation.

We next consider the case where $-3<a<-1$. In this case we integrate (1.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x t}+f f_{x x}-\frac{1+a}{2} f_{x}^{2}=\nu f_{x x x}+\gamma(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(t)$ depends only on $t$. Integrating this equation in $0<x<1$, we see that

$$
\gamma(t)=-\frac{3+a}{2} \int_{0}^{1} f_{x}^{2} d x \leq 0
$$

Define $\Phi(u)$ by

$$
\Phi(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (u \leq 0) \\
u^{-2 /(1+a)} & (0<u)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x}\right) d x= & \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x}\right)\left(-f f_{x x}+\frac{1+a}{2} f_{x}^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x}\right)\left(\nu f_{x x x}+\gamma(t)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\gamma(t) \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x}\right) d x \leq 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x}\right) f_{x x x} d x=-\int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{x}\right) f_{x x}^{2} d x \leq 0
$$

since $\Phi$ is monotone increasing and convex. Further,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f f_{x x} \Phi^{\prime}\left(f_{x}\right) d x=-\int_{0}^{1} f_{x} \Phi\left(f_{x}\right) d x
$$

Since $\Phi(u)=-(1+a / 2) u \Phi^{\prime}(u)$, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x}(x, t)\right) d x \leq \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(f_{x}(x, 0)\right) d x
$$

By the same argument in section 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{x}(x, t)\right| d x \leq c \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality, however, is insufficient for our purpose. Accordingly, we return to (1.1): we multiply it by $f$ and integrate by parts to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} & =(2+a) \int_{0}^{1} f_{x}^{3} d x-2 \nu\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq c\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{1}\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{\infty}^{2}-2 \nu\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg theorem (see e.g., $[2,3]$ ) it holds that

$$
\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq c\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2 / 3}\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{1 / 3}
$$

Also, the following inequality is well-known:

$$
\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{1 / 2}\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{1 / 2}
$$

By these inequalities we have

$$
\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq c\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{4 / 3}
$$

Here use has been made of (2.4). We therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq c\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{4 / 3}-2 \nu\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq c\left(\frac{\delta^{p}}{p}\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{4 p / 3}+\frac{1}{\delta^{q} q}\right)-2 \nu\left\|f_{x x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

where $\delta>0, p>1, q>1$ and $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Taking $p=3 / 2$ and $\delta^{p}=2 p \nu / c$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq c
$$

Consequently $\left\|f_{x}(t)\right\|_{2}$ is bounded in any bounded interval of $t$, which, together with the local existence theorem, gives us the global existence.
3. Local existence. Let

$$
X=\left\{g \in L^{2}(0,1) ; \int_{0}^{1} g(x) d x=0\right\}
$$

Then we show in this section that the equation (1.1) has a unique solution such that $f_{x} \in C^{0}([0, T] ; X)$ for some $T>0$.

We start with (2.3) for an arbitrary $a$. It can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}-\nu u_{x x}=-(f u)_{x}+\frac{3+a}{2}\left(u^{2}-\|u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& f_{x}=u, \quad f, u \in X \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(t) \equiv u(\cdot, t)$ is viewed as a function : $[0, T] \rightarrow$ $X$. By the Duhamel principle, we can rewrite (3.1) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=e^{-t A} u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A} F(u(s)) d s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined $A$ as $-\nu\left(d^{2} / d x^{2}\right)$ with the periodic boundary condition, and $F$ is defined as

$$
F(u)=-(f u)_{x}+\frac{3+a}{2} P\left(u^{2}\right)
$$

with $P y(x)=y(x)-\int_{0}^{1} y(\xi) d \xi$. We now prove that the integral equation (3.3) has a unique solution in $C^{0}([0, T] ; X)$ for a small $T>0$. Note that $"(3.1) \&(3.2) \Longleftrightarrow(3.3) "$ can be verified in a standard way (see [4, 5], or [8]).

In order to construct a solution of (3.3), we choose an arbitrary $g \in X$ and fix it. We then define an operator $K$ by

$$
K u(t)=e^{-t A} g+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A} F(u(s)) d s
$$

The existence is proved by showing that the mapping $u \mapsto K u$ is a contraction mapping of $u$ in $\mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{Y}$ is a closed convex subset of $C^{0}([0, T] ; X)$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Y}=\left\{u \in C^{0}([0, T] ; X)\right. \\
& \left.\quad u(0)=g, \quad \max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|u(t)\|_{2} \leq 2\|g\|_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

To this end we first recall that $-A$ is a generator of a contraction semigroup in $X$, i.e., $\left\|e^{-t A} u\right\|_{2} \leq$ $\|u\|_{2}$. We then follow the standard argument such as in $[4,5,8]$.

Suppose that we are given a $u \in \mathbf{Y}$. Then (3.2) defines $f \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; W^{1,2}(0,1) \cap X\right)$, where $W^{1,2}$ denotes the Sobolev space. It is easy to see that

$$
\left\|A^{-1 / 2}(f u)_{x}\right\|_{2}=\|f u\|_{2} \leq c\|u\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|A^{-1 / 2} P\left(u^{2}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq c\|u\|_{2}^{2}
$$

We now rewrite $K u$ as

$$
K u(t)=e^{-t A} g+\int_{0}^{t} A^{1 / 2} e^{-(t-s) A} A^{-1 / 2} F(u(s)) d s
$$

which gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K u(t)\|_{2} \leq\|g\|_{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-1 / 2}\|u(s)\|_{2}^{2} d s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and a similar inequality for $K u(t)-K u\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ show that $K u \in C^{0}([0, T] ; X)$. Note next that $u \in \mathbf{Y}$ and (3.4) imply that

$$
\|K u(t)\|_{2} \leq\|g\|_{2}+8 c\|g\|_{2}^{2} \sqrt{t} .
$$

Consequently, $K$ sends $\mathbf{Y}$ into itself if $8 c\|g\|_{2} \sqrt{T} \leq$ 1. We now fix such a $T$.

Note finally that, for any $u \in \mathbf{Y}$ and $v \in \mathbf{Y}$, we have
$\left\|A^{-1 / 2}(F(u)-F(v))\right\|_{2} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{2}+\|v\|_{2}\right)\|u-v\|_{2}$.

This inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|K u(t)-K v(t)\|_{2} \\
& \quad \leq c\|g\|_{2} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-1 / 2}\|u(s)-v(s)\|_{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that $K$ is a contraction mapping from $\mathbf{Y}$ to itself, if $T$ is sufficiently small.
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