## Dependance of Dirichlet integrals upon lumps of Riemann surfaces

By Mitsuru NAKAI<sup>\*)</sup>

Professor Emeritus, Nagoya Institute of Technology (Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 2005)

Abstract: Take a simple arc  $\gamma$  in an open Riemann suface R carrying a nonconstant harmonic function u with finite Dirichlet integral D(u; R). Form a Riemann surface  $R_{\gamma}$  with lump  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma$  by pasting  $R \setminus \gamma$  with  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma$  crosswise along  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $R_{\gamma} := (R \setminus \gamma) \boxtimes_{\gamma} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma)$ , and the transplant  $u_{\gamma}$  of u on R to  $R_{\gamma}$  characterized by its being harmonic on  $R_{\gamma}$  with  $D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) < +\infty$  and  $u_{\gamma} = u$  at the ideal boundary of  $R_{\gamma}$  and hence of R in a suitable sense. We are interested in the comparison of  $D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma})$  with D(u; R) when we take a variety of choices of pasting arcs  $\gamma$  in R, and we will prove that  $D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) < D(u; R)$  for any u level arc  $\gamma$  in R,  $D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) > D(u; R)$  for any u conjugate level arc  $\gamma$  in R, and as a consequence of these two facts there is a nondegenerate arc  $\gamma$  (i.e. not a point arc  $\gamma$ ) in R such that  $D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) = D(u; R)$ .

**Key words:** Conjugate level arc; Dirichlet integral; level arc; pasting arc; Riemann surface with lump; Royden decomposition.

Take a simple arc  $\gamma$  in a Riemann surface R. Since  $\gamma$  is simple we can embed  $\gamma$  conformally in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$  so that we can view R and  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ have the arc  $\gamma$  in common. We form a new Riemann surface  $R_{\gamma}$  by pasting  $R \setminus \gamma$  with  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma$  crosswise along  $\gamma$ , where  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is the complex sphere with  $\infty$  the point at infinity of  $\mathbf{C}$ . We have been using the following impressive notation for  $R_{\gamma}$ :

$$R_{\gamma} := (R \setminus \gamma) \boxtimes_{\gamma} (\mathbf{C} \setminus \gamma).$$

The surface  $R_{\gamma}$  will be referred to as a Riemann surface with a *lump*  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma$  obtained from R by hitting R at  $\gamma$ .

The Dirichlet integral D(f; R) of a real valued function f in  $W_{loc}^{1,2}(R)$ , the local Sobolev space on R, over R is the quantity given by

$$D(f;R) := \int_R df \wedge *df.$$

We denote by  $L^{1,2}(R)$  the Dirichlet space (cf. [3]) which is the class of functions  $f \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(R)$  with finite Dirichlet integrals  $D(f;R) < +\infty$  over R. For two functions f and g in  $L^{1,2}(R)$  we can consider the quantity

$$D(f,g;R) := \int_R df \wedge *dg.$$

This is a convenient tool for the computation of Dirichlet integrals and is referred to as the *mutual* Dirichlet integral of f and g over R.

It is traditional in the classification theory of Riemann surfaces (cf. e.g. [5]) to use the notation HD(R) for the class of harmonic functions u on Rwith finite Dirichlet integrals D(u; R) taken over Rand  $O_{HD}$  the class of Riemann surfaces R such that HD(R) is trivial, i.e.  $HD(R) = \mathbf{R}$  (the set of real numbers). It is known that  $O_G < O_{HD}$  (strict inclusion), where  $O_G$  is the class of parabolic (i.e. not hyperbolic) Riemann surfaces characterized by the nonexistence of Green functions on them. Hence, as far as we require for a Riemann surface R to have a nontrivial harmonic function with finite Dirichlet integral we must assume first of all that R is hyperbolic. For such surfaces R, we set

$$\mathcal{D}(R) := L^{1,2}(R) \cap C(R), \ \mathcal{D}_0(R) := L^{1,2}(R) \cap C_0(R),$$

where  $C_0(R)$  is the class of  $f \in C(R)$  with compact supports in R, and finally we denote by

 $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}(R)$ 

the class of  $f \in \mathcal{D}(R)$  such that there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_{n\geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_0(R)$  converging to f almost uniformly on R (i.e. uniformly on each compact subset of R) and at the same time  $D(f - f_n; R) \to 0$   $(n \to$ 

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 31A15, 31C15; Secondary 30C85, 30F15.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast)}$  Present address: Eguchi 52, Hinaga, Chita, Aichi 478-0041.

[Vol. 81(A),

 $\infty$ ). Clearly  $\mathcal{D}_0(R) \subset \mathcal{D}_\Delta(R) \subset \mathcal{D}(R)$  and  $HD(R) \subset \mathcal{D}(R)$ . The function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Delta(R)$  is referred to as a *Dirichlet potential* on R since  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Delta(R)$  is characterized as a function  $f \in \mathcal{D}(R)$  such that there exists a potential (a positive superharmonic function with the vanishing greatest harmonic minorant on R)  $p_f$  on R with  $|f| \leq p_f$  on R (cf. e.g. [2]). Therefore we may impressively say that a function f in  $\mathcal{D}_\Delta(R)$  vanishes at the ideal boundary of R and similarly, for two functions f and g in  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ , f equals g at the ideal boundary of R if  $f - g \in \mathcal{D}_\Delta(R)$ .

Fix a compact subset K of R with connected complement  $R \setminus K$ . We say that a subregion  $\Omega$  of Rwhose relative boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$  consists of a finite number of mutually disjoint piecewise smooth Jordan curves is a *smooth ideal boundary neighborhood* of R excluding K if  $R \setminus \Omega$  is compact and  $K \subset R \setminus$  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Suppose we have two functions  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}(R \setminus K)$ and  $g \in \mathcal{D}(R \setminus K)$ . Then we have the following consequence (cf. [5]) of the Green formula if moreover the second function g is supposed to be smooth in a vicinity of  $\partial\Omega$ :

(1) 
$$\int_{\Omega} df \wedge *dg + \int_{\Omega} fd * dg = \int_{\partial\Omega} f * dg.$$

Here formally in general we have to add something like the term  $\int_{\delta} f * dg$  on the right hand side of the above identity (1) to obtain the complete Green formula, where  $\delta$  is the ideal boundary of  $\Omega$  (so that of R) but, since f = 0 on  $\delta$ , we can disregard this term. This is the intuitive explanation of the significance of (1).

We have the following direct sum decomposition of  $\mathcal{D}(R)$  (cf. e.g. [2] and [5]), which is referred to as the *Royden decomposition* of  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ :

(2)<sub>1</sub> 
$$\mathcal{D}(R) = HD(R) + \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}(R)$$

with  $HD(R) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}(R) = \{0\}$  in the sense that every  $f \in \mathcal{D}(R)$  can be uniquely expressed as f = u + g with  $u \in HD(R)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}(R)$  satisfying the Dirichlet principle:

$$(2)_2 D(f;R) = D(u;R) + D(g;R).$$

The function u in the Royden decomposition f = u + g is referred to as the *harmonic part* of the Royden decomposition of f.

Now we assume that there is nontrivial harmonic function u on R with finite Dirichlet integral D(u; R). For a simple arc  $\gamma \subset R$  form the Riemann surface  $R_{\gamma}$  with lump  $\hat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma$  by hitting R at  $\gamma$ . It is easy to find an  $f \in \mathcal{D}(R_{\gamma})$  such that f = u on a smooth ideal boundary neighborhood  $\Omega$  excluding  $\gamma$ so that  $\overline{\Omega} \subset R \setminus \gamma \subset R_{\gamma}$  and  $R_{\gamma} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  contains the closure of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$  in  $R_{\gamma}$ . Let  $u_{\gamma}$  be the harmonic part of fwhich is determined only by u and  $\gamma$  not depending on the particular choice of f. We say that  $u_{\gamma}$  is the *transplant* of u on R to  $R_{\gamma}$ . Observe that  $\gamma$  in  $R_{\gamma}$ gives rise to a Jordan curve  $\alpha$  in  $R_{\gamma}$  such that the relative boundary  $\partial(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \gamma)$  considered in  $R_{\gamma}$  is  $\alpha$  and the relative boundary  $\partial(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \gamma)$  also considered in  $R_{\gamma}$ is  $-\alpha$ . If  $\gamma \subset R$  is piecewise smooth, then so is  $\alpha$ and (1) takes the form

(3) 
$$D(u_{\gamma}-u,u;R\setminus\gamma) = \int_{\alpha} (u_{\gamma}-u) * du.$$

As before we consider R carring a  $u \in HD(R) \setminus$ **R**. A simple arc  $\gamma$  in R is said to be a *u* level arc if  $du \wedge *du \neq 0$  on  $\gamma$  and du = 0 along  $\gamma$ , or equivalently, u is a constant on  $\gamma$ . Similarly we say that a simple arc  $\gamma$  in R is a u conjugate level arc if  $du \wedge$  $*du \neq 0$  on  $\gamma$  and \*du = 0 along  $\gamma$  so that any branch of the conjugate harmonic function of u is a constant on  $\gamma$ . We will state and prove the following three theorems. In all of these three theorems we assume that R is a hyperbolic Riemann surface, u is a nonconstant harmonic function on R with the finite Dirichlet integral  $D(u; R) < +\infty$  over R, and we denote by  $u_{\gamma}$  for any simple arc  $\gamma$  on R the transplant of u on R to  $R_{\gamma} = (R \setminus \gamma) \boxtimes_{\gamma} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma)$ , the Riemann surface with lump obtained from R by hitting Rat  $\gamma$ .

**Theorem 1.** For any u level arc  $\gamma$  in R the following strict inequality holds:

(4) 
$$D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) < D(u; R).$$

Proof. Observing that  $u = \lambda$  (a constant) on  $\gamma$ , define the new function v on  $R_{\gamma}$  given by v = u on  $R \setminus \gamma, v = \lambda$  on  $\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma$ , and  $v = \lambda$  on  $\gamma$ . Note that  $u_{\gamma}$ is the harmonic part of v on  $R_{\gamma}$  and clearly  $u_{\gamma} \neq v$ on  $R_{\gamma}$ . Therefore by the Dirichlet principle (2)<sub>2</sub>

$$D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) < D(v; R_{\gamma}) = D(v; R) = D(u; R),$$

which is nothing but (4).

**Theorem 2.** For any u conjugate level arc  $\gamma$  in R the following strict inequality holds:

(5) 
$$D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma}) > D(u; R).$$

*Proof.* By (3) and \*du = 0 along  $\gamma$  and hence along  $\alpha$ , we see that

$$D(u_{\gamma} - u, u; R \setminus \gamma) = \int_{\alpha} (u_{\gamma} - u) * du = 0$$

By the above and the Schwarz inequality

$$D(u; R \setminus \gamma) = D(u_{\gamma}, u; R \setminus \gamma)$$
  
$$\leq D(u_{\gamma}; R \setminus \gamma)^{1/2} D(u; R \setminus \gamma)^{1/2}$$

and we deduce  $D(u; R \setminus \gamma)^{1/2} \leq D(u_{\gamma}; R \setminus \gamma)^{1/2}$  and a fortiori

$$D(u; R) = D(u; R \setminus \gamma) \le D(u_{\gamma}; R \setminus \gamma) < D(u_{\gamma}; R_{\gamma})$$

since  $D(u_{\gamma}; \widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \gamma) > 0$  and thus we can conclude the validity of (5).

Fix a nonsingular point of u (i.e. a point at which  $du \wedge *du$  does not vanish) and a closed parametric disc  $V: |z| \leq 1$  centered at the above point such that  $du \wedge *du \neq 0$  on V. We denote by  $\rho(\zeta)$  the radius of V terminating at  $\zeta \in \partial V$ . Let  $\gamma(\zeta_1)$  ( $\gamma(\zeta_2)$ , resp.) be the u level arc (u conjugate level arc, resp.) starting from the origin 0, passing through the interior of V, and terminating at  $\zeta_1 \in \partial V$  ( $\zeta_2 \in \partial V$ , resp.) for the first time, and  $\gamma(\zeta_1) \cap \gamma(\zeta_2) = \{0\}$ . We can have such a situation as described above by taking V small enough if necessary and we may assume the subarc of the circle  $\partial V$  bounded by  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  is  $\widehat{\zeta_1\zeta_2} := \{\zeta \in \partial V: \arg \zeta_1 \leq \arg \zeta_1 \leq \arg \zeta \leq \arg \zeta_2\}$ , where  $0 \leq \arg \zeta_1 < \arg \zeta_2 < 2\pi$ , and we denote by  $A := \widehat{\zeta_1\zeta_2} \setminus \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\}$  so that  $\overline{A} = \widehat{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$ .

**Theorem 3.** While there exist two points  $\zeta_1$ and  $\zeta_2$  on  $\overline{A}$  such that for any arcs  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  connecting the origin 0 and  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  respectively contained in the interior of V except for their terminal points

(6) 
$$D(u_{\gamma_1}; R_{\gamma_1}) < D(u; R) < D(u_{\gamma_2}; R_{\gamma_2}),$$

there is the third point  $\zeta_3$  in A such that for any simple arc  $\gamma_3$  connecting 0 and  $\zeta_3$  contained in the interior of V except for its terminal point

(7) 
$$D(u_{\gamma_3}; R_{\gamma_3}) = D(u; R).$$

*Proof.* First of all observe that any simple arc  $\gamma$ in V connecting 0 and  $\zeta \in \partial V$  and contained in the interior of V except for its end point  $\zeta$  is homotopic to  $\rho = \rho(\zeta)$  in V with a homotopy bridge contained in the interior of V except for terminal points  $\zeta$  and of course in R and the same is true of  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  in **C** if we embed V naturally to **C**. Hence  $R_{\gamma} = R_{\rho}$ and thus  $u_{\gamma} = u_{\rho}$ . Therefore (6) is certainly correct in view of Theorems 1 and 2 and the proof of (7) will be over if it is shown for the particular case of  $\gamma = \rho(\zeta_3)$ . Consider the function

$$d(\zeta) := D(u_{\rho(\zeta)}; R_{\rho(\zeta)}) \qquad (\zeta \in \overline{A})$$

on  $\overline{A}$ , which is easily seen to be continuous on  $\overline{A}$ (cf. [4]) by the standard normal family argument (cf. e.g. [1, 6], etc.). Since  $d(\zeta_1) < D(u; R) < d(\zeta_2)$ , the intermediate value theorem for continuous functions implies the existence of a  $\zeta_3 \in A$  such that  $d(\zeta_3) =$ D(u; R).

By using Theorem 2 above we can complete the proof of the existence of supercritical pasting arcs introduced in [4], where the existence was only established in [4] under an additional technical condition so that we can now remove this unpleasant assumption thanks to our present simple Theorem 2.

At the last but not the least we appreciate Professors Junichiro Narita first of all and then Shigeo Segawa for their important suggestions which gave us an incentive to complete the present work.

## References

- S. Axler, P. Bourdon and W. Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2001.
- [2] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [3] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio, Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1993.
- [4] M. Nakai, Types of pasting arcs in two sheeted spheres, in Potential theory (Matsue, 2004), Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2005. (To appear).
- [5] L. Sario and M. Nakai, Classification theory of Riemann surfaces, Springer, New York, 1970.
- [6] J.L. Schiff, Normal families, Springer, New York, 1993.

No. 7]