## EXPOSING CONDITIONS IMPLYING UNIFORMITY OF ROTUNDITY

JOHN R. GILES \* AND WARREN B. MOORS†

Abstract. If every functional which exposes a subset of the unit ball of a Banach space does so uniformly strongly (uniformly weakly) then the space is uniformly rotund (weakly uniformly rotund).

AMS subject classifications. 46B10, 46B20.

Key words. Uniform rotundity, uniform differentiability of the norm, subdifferential mapping, weak uniform rotundity.

A normed linear space X is rotund if every point of its unit sphere S(X) is an extreme point of its closed unit ball B(X). The space X is uniformly rotund if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $||x-y|| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $||x+y|| \ge 2 - \delta(\varepsilon)$  and  $x,y \in S(X)$ . X is weakly uniformly rotund if for each  $g \in S(X^*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon,g) > 0$  such that  $|g(x-y)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $||x+y|| \ge 2 - \delta(\varepsilon,g)$  and  $x,y \in S(X)$ .

If X is uniformly rotund then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S(X)$  and  $f \in S(X^*)$  with f(x) = 1 we have that  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq x + \varepsilon B(X)$  where  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon))$  denotes the slice  $\{y \in B(X) : f(y) > 1 - \delta(\varepsilon)\}$ . If X is weakly uniformly rotund then for each  $g \in S(X^*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon, g) > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S(X)$  and  $f \in S(X^*)$  with f(x) = 1 we have that  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon, g)) \subseteq x + \{y \in X : |g(y)| < \varepsilon\}$ . We show that uniformity of slicing of the ball, apart from rotundity, is sufficient to imply uniform rotundity properties.

For each  $f \in S(X^*)$  we will denote by  $E_f \equiv \{x \in B(X) : f(x) = 1\}$  and we will say that f exposes B(X) if  $E_f \neq \emptyset$ . The Bishop-Phelps Theorem guarantees that if X is a Banach space then the set of all functionals in  $S(X^*)$  that expose B(X) is dense in  $S(X^*)$ . Given an  $f \in S(X^*)$  that exposes B(X) we will say that  $E_f$  is strongly exposed by f if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  so that  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq E_f + \varepsilon B(X)$  and that  $E_f$  is weakly exposed by f if for each  $g \in S(X^*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon, g) > 0$  such that  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon, g)) \subseteq E_f + \{y : |g(y)| < \varepsilon\}$ . Our results are a consequence of the following general considerations.

A set-valued mapping  $\Phi$  from a topological space A into subsets of the dual  $X^*$  of a normed linear space X is  $weak^*$  upper semi-continuous  $t_0 \in A$  if for each weak\* open subset W of  $X^*$  such that  $\Phi(t_0) \subseteq W$  there exists a neighbourhood U of  $t_0$  such that  $\Phi(U) \subseteq W$ . If  $\Phi$  is weak\* upper semi-continuous and  $\Phi$  has non-empty weak\* compact convex images at each point of A then we say that  $\Phi$  is a weak\* cusco on A. Further,  $\Phi$  is a minimal weak\* cusco on A if its graph does not properly contain the graph of any other weak\* cusco on A. We use the following characterisation of minimality.

**Lemma** 1. ([2], Lemma 2.5) A weak\* cusco  $\Phi$  from a topological space A into subsets of the dual  $X^*$  of a normed linear space X is a minimal weak\* cusco if and only if for any non-empty open subset V of A and weak\* closed convex subset K of  $X^*$ , with  $\Phi(V) \not\subseteq K$ , there exists a non-empty open subset  $V_1 \subseteq V$  such that  $\Phi(V_1) \cap K = \emptyset$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, The University of Newcastle, N.S.W. 2308 Australia

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand. Research supported by a Marsden Fund Grant VUW703, administered by The Royal Society of New Zealand.

A set-valued mapping  $\Phi$  from a metric space (A,d) into subsets of the dual  $X^*$  of a normed linear space X is said to be Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous at  $t_0 \in A$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon, t_0) > 0$  such that  $\Phi(t) \subseteq \Phi(t_0) + \varepsilon B(X^*)$  for all  $t \in A$  with  $d(t,t_0) < \delta(\varepsilon,t_0)$  and is said to be Hausdorff weak\* upper semi-continuous at  $t_0 \in A$  if for each  $x \in S(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon,x,t_0) > 0$  such that  $\Phi(t) \subseteq \Phi(t_0) + \{f \in X^* : |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  for all  $t \in A$  with  $d(t,t_0) < \delta(\varepsilon,x,t_0)$ . We will say that  $\Phi$  is uniformly Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous on a subset D of A if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\Phi(s) \subseteq \Phi(t) + \varepsilon B(X^*)$  for all  $s,t \in D$  with  $d(s,t) < \delta(\varepsilon)$  and is said to be uniformly Hausdorff weak\* upper semi-continuous on D if for each  $x \in S(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon,x) > 0$  such that  $\Phi(s) \subseteq \Phi(t) + \{f \in X^* : |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  for all  $s,t \in D$  with  $d(s,t) < \delta(\varepsilon,x)$ . Uniformly Hausdorff upper semi-continuous mappings have significant single-valuedness properties, as shown in ([2], Proposition 3.4).

**Proposition** 1. Given a metric space (A,d) and a normed linear space X, with dual  $X^*$ , a minimal weak\* cusco  $\Phi$  from A into subsets of  $X^*$  which is uniformly Hausdorff weak\* upper semi-continuous on some dense subset D of A is single-valued on A and for each  $x \in S(X)$  the mapping  $t \mapsto \hat{x}(\Phi(t))$  is uniformly continuous on A. Further, if  $\Phi$  is uniformly Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous on D then  $\Phi$  is single-valued and uniformly norm continuous on A.

*Proof.* First we will show that  $\Phi$  is single-valued on D. So let us suppose for the purpose of obtaining a contradiction that  $\Phi$  is not single-valued at  $t_0 \in D$ . Then there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in \Phi(t_0), r > 0$  and  $x \in S(X)$  such that  $(f_1 - f_2)(x) > 3r > 0$ . Consider  $K \equiv \{f \in X^* : f(x) \geq f_1(x) - 2r\}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is uniformly Hausdorff weak\* upper semicontinuous on D there exists a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\Phi(s) \subseteq \Phi(t) + \{f \in X^* : |f(x)| < r\}$ whenever  $s,t\in D$  and  $d(s,t)<\delta$ . Now,  $\Phi(B(t_0,\delta))\not\subseteq K$  since  $f_2\not\in K$  so there exists a non-empty open subset  $V_1$  of  $B(t_0, \delta)$  such that  $\Phi(V_1) \cap K = \emptyset$ . Now for any  $t \in V_1 \cap D$  we have that  $f_1 \notin \Phi(t) + \{f \in X^* : |f(x)| < r\}$ . But on the otherhand,  $d(t_0,t) < \delta$ , which means that  $f_1 \in \Phi(t_0) \subseteq \Phi(t) + \{f \in X^* : |f(x)| < r\}$ ; which is impossible. Hence  $\Phi$  is single-valued on D. For each  $x \in X$  the mapping  $T_x : D \to R$ defined by  $T_x(t) \equiv \hat{x}(\Phi(t))$  is uniformly continuous on D and hence has a uniformly continuous extention  $T_x^*$  to A. It now follows from the weak\* upper semi-continuity of  $\Phi$  on A that  $T_x^*(t) \in \hat{x}(\Phi(t))$  for all  $t \in A$ . Now, from ([4], Proposition 1.4) we have that  $t \mapsto \hat{x}(\Phi(t))$  is a minimal cusco on A. Therefore for each  $x \in S(X)$  the mapping  $t\mapsto \hat{x}(\Phi(t))=T_x^*(t)$  is uniformly continuous on A. In particular, this implies that  $\Phi$ is single-valued on A.

In the case when  $\Phi$  is uniformly Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous on D we have from the previous argument that  $\Phi$  is single-valued on A and so the mapping  $\Phi_D:D\to X^*$  defined by  $\Phi_D(t)\equiv\Phi(t)$  is uniformly norm continuous on D and hence has a uniformly norm continuous extension  $\Phi_D^*$  to A. It now follows from the weak\* continuity of  $\Phi$  on A that  $\Phi_D^*=\Phi$  and so  $\Phi$  is uniformly norm continuous on A.  $\square$ 

We now relate the exposure of subsets of the unit ball of a normed linear space to continuity properties of the subdifferential mapping of the dual norm of the space. Given a normed linear space X, the subdifferential of the norm at  $x \in X$  is the subset  $\partial ||x|| \equiv \{f \in B(X^*) : f(x) = ||x||\}$ . The subdifferential mapping  $x \mapsto \partial ||x||$  is a weak\* cusco from X into subsets of  $B(X^*)$ .

**Lemma** 2. Let  $f_0 \in S(X^*)$ . If  $E_{f_0}$  is strongly exposed (weakly exposed) by  $f_0 \in S(X^*)$  then the subdifferential mapping  $f \mapsto \partial ||f||$  from  $X^*$  into subsets of  $B(X^{**})$  is Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous (Hausdorff weak\* upper semi-continuous) at  $f_0$  and  $\widehat{E_{f_0}}$  is weak\* dense in  $\partial ||f_0||$ .

*Proof.* For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon)/2) \subseteq S(B(X), f_0, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq E_{f_0} + \varepsilon B(X)$$

for each  $f \in X^*$  with  $||f - f_0|| \le \delta(\varepsilon)/2$ . Hence by Goldstine's theorem we have that:

$$\partial ||f|| \subseteq S(B(X^{**}), \hat{f}, \delta(\varepsilon)/2) \subseteq \overline{S(B(\hat{X}), \hat{f}, \delta(\varepsilon)/2)}^{w^*}$$

$$\subseteq \overline{S(B(\hat{X}), \hat{f}_0, \delta(\varepsilon))}^{w^*}$$

$$\subseteq \overline{\widehat{E_{f_0}}}^{w^*} + \varepsilon B(X^{**}) \subseteq \partial ||f_0|| + \varepsilon B(X^{**})$$

for each  $f \in X^*$  with  $||f_0 - f|| < \delta(\varepsilon)/2$ . This shows that  $f \mapsto \partial ||f||$  is Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous at  $f_0$  and that

$$\partial ||f_0|| \subseteq \overline{\widehat{E_{f_0}}}^{w^*} + \varepsilon B(X^{**})$$
 for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

which gives the first result. The proof for the case when  $E_{f_0}$  is weakly exposed by  $f_0$  is similar, except with  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  replaced by  $\delta(\varepsilon, g)$ ,  $\varepsilon B(X)$  replaced by  $\{y \in X : |g(y)| < \varepsilon\}$  and  $\varepsilon B(X^{**})$  replaced by  $\{F \in X^{**} : |\hat{g}(F)| \le \varepsilon\}$ .

For a normed linear space X the restriction of the subdifferential mapping  $x \mapsto \partial ||x||$  to S(X), is a minimal weak\* cusco, ([2], Lemma 3.5).

**Lemma** 3. Consider a subset D of  $S(X^*)$ .

- (i) If for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  so that for every  $f \in D$ ,  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq E_f + \varepsilon B(X)$  then the restriction of the mapping  $f \mapsto \partial ||f||$  to  $S(X^*)$  is uniformly Hausdorff norm upper semi-continuous on D.
- (ii) If for each  $g \in S(X^*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon, g) > 0$  so that for every  $f \in D$ ,  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon, g)) \subseteq E_f + \{y \in X : |g(y)| < \varepsilon\}$ , then the restriction of the mapping  $f \mapsto \partial ||f||$  to  $S(X^*)$  is uniformly Hausdorff weak\* upper semi-continuous on D.

*Proof.* This follows directly from examining the proof of Lemma 2.  $\Box$ 

By combining Proposition 1 with Lemma 3 we obtain the following geometrical consequences.

**Theorem** 1. Consider a dense subset D of  $S(X^*)$ .

- (i) X is uniformly rotund if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $f \in D$ ,  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq E_f + \varepsilon B(X)$ .
- (ii) X is weakly uniformly rotund if for each  $g \in S(X^*)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon, g) > 0$  such that for every  $f \in D$ ,  $S(B(X), f, \delta(\varepsilon, g)) \subseteq E_f + \{y \in X : |g(y)| < \varepsilon\}$ .

*Proof.* In the first case we see that the restriction of the mapping  $f \mapsto \partial ||f||$  to  $S(X^*)$  is single-valued and uniformly norm continuous, which implies that the dual norm is uniformly Fréchet differentiable, ([1], p.25) and which gives the result by ([1], p.134). In the second case we see that the mapping  $f \mapsto \partial ||f||$  is single-valued on  $S(X^*)$  and for each  $g \in S(X^*)$  the mapping  $f \mapsto \hat{g}(\partial ||f||)$  on  $S(X^*)$  is uniformly continuous, which implies that the dual norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable, ([1], p.25) and which gives the result by ([1] p.63).

As a further application of our theory we establish similar results for a dual space.

**Theorem** 2. Consider a dense subset D of S(X).

- (i)  $X^*$  is uniformly rotund if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for every  $x \in D$ ,  $S(B(X^*), \hat{x}, \delta(\varepsilon)) \subseteq E_{\hat{x}} + \varepsilon B(X^*)$ .
- (ii)  $X^*$  is weakly uniformly rotund if for each  $G \in S(X^{**})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon, G) > 0$  such that for every  $x \in D$ ,  $S(B(X^*), \hat{x}, \delta(\varepsilon, G)) \subseteq E_{\hat{x}} + \{f \in X^* : |G(f)| < \varepsilon\}$ .

Proof. The proof of (i) follows directly from Proposition 1 and Lemma 3. For the proof of (ii), it follows from Proposition 1 and Lemma 3 that the restriction of the subdifferentiable mapping  $x\mapsto \partial ||x||$  to S(X) is single-valued on S(X). Hence for each  $G\in S(X^{**})$  and  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon,G)>0$  so that for every  $x\in D$ ,  $\sup\{|G(f-g)|:f,g\in S(B(X^*),\hat{x},\delta(\varepsilon,G))\le 2\varepsilon.$  Given  $F\in S(X^{**})$  with  $E_F\neq\emptyset$  consider  $S(B(X^*),F,\delta(\varepsilon,G)).$  For f,g any two elements of  $S(B(X^*),F,\delta(\varepsilon,G))$  we have  $[f,g]\cap (1-\delta(\varepsilon,G))B(X^*)=\emptyset.$  Hence, by the strong separation theorem there exists an  $x\in S(X)$  so that  $[f,g]\subseteq S(B(X^*),\hat{x},\delta(\varepsilon,G)).$  Since D is dense in S(X) we may assume that  $x\in D$  and so  $|G(f-g)|\le 2\varepsilon$ ; which in particular, implies that  $S(B(X^*),F,\delta(\varepsilon,G))\subseteq E_F+\{h\in X^*:|G(h)|\le 2\varepsilon\}.$  The proof now follows from Theorem 1 part (ii).

The interesting aspect of Theorem 2 part(ii) is that it has recently been shown that there are non-reflexive Banach spaces whose dual norms are weakly uniformly rotund, [3].

## REFERENCES

- R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, Smoothness and Renormings in Banach space, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 64, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1993.
- [2] John R. Giles and Warren B. Moors, "A continuity property related to Kuratowski's index of non-compactness, its relevance to the drop property and its applications for differentiability theory," J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 178 (1993), 247-268.
- [3] P. Hájek, "Dual renormings of Banach spaces," Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 37 (1996), 241-253.
- [4] Warren B. Moors, "A characterisation of minimal subdifferential mappings of locally Lipschitz functions," Set-Valued Anal. 3 (1995), 129-141.