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## 1. Introduction

Let $L^{n}(q)=S^{2 n+1} / Z_{q}$ be the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional standard lens space mod $q$. As defined in [10], we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{q}^{2 n+1}=L^{n}(q) \\
& L_{q}^{2 n}=\left\{\left[z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}\right] \in L^{n}(q) \mid z_{n} \text { is real } \geq 0\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By the several papers, we determined the $K O$-groups $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}\right)\right)$ of the suspensions of the stunted lens spaces $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ for the cases $j \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ [25], $q=2$ [12], $q=4$ [20] and $q=8$ [21]. Moreover we determined the $J$-groups $\widetilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}\right)\right)$ for the cases odd primes $q$ [19], $q=2$ [18], $q=4$ [20] and $q=8$ [21]. The purpose of this paper is to determine the $K O$-groups $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2_{p}}^{m} / L_{2_{p}}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $J$-groups $\mathcal{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right)$ for odd primes $p$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state the main theorems: Theorem 2 gives a direct sum decomposition of $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r} q}^{m} / L_{2_{q} r_{q}}^{n}\right)\right.$ for $j \equiv 0(\bmod$ 2), Theorem 3 gives a direct sum decomposition of $\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2}^{m} r_{q} / L_{2}^{n} r_{q}\right)\right)$ for $j \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$ and $n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, Theorem 4 gives the structure of $\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right)$ for $j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and the necessary conditions for $L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}$ and $L_{2 p}^{m+t} \mid L_{2 p}^{n+t}$ to be of the same stable homotopy type are given by Theorem 5 which is an application of Theorems 3 and 4 . In section 3 we prepare some lemmas and recall known results in [12], [19] and [25]. The proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are given in section 4. The proof of Theorem 4 is given in section 5. In the final section we give the proof of Theorem 5.

The author would like to express her gratitude to Mr. Susumu Kono for helpful suggestions.

## 2. Statement of results

Let $\nu_{p}(s)$ denote the exponent of the prime $p$ in the prime power decomposition of $s$, and $\mathfrak{m}(s)$ the function defined on positive integers as follows (cf.
[3]):

$$
\nu_{p}(\mathfrak{m}(s))= \begin{cases}0 & (p \neq 2 \text { and } s \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))) \\ 1+\nu_{p}(s) & (p \neq 2 \text { and } s \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))) \\ 1 & (p=2 \text { and } \\ 2 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)) \\ 2+\nu_{2}(s) & (p=2 \text { and } s \equiv 0(\bmod 2))\end{cases}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{Z} / k$ denote the cyclic group $\boldsymbol{Z} / k \boldsymbol{Z}$ of order $k$. For the case $j \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, the following result is known.

Theorem 1. Let $q, j, m$ and $n$ be non-negative integers with $m>n, j \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 2)$ and $q>1$.
(1) ([20] and [25]) If $q \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}\right)\right) \cong \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}(R P(m) / R P(n))\right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}\right)\right) \simeq \tilde{J}\left(S^{j}(R P(m) / R P(n))\right) .
$$

(2) ([19] and [25]) If $q \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}\right)\right) \cong B(j, m) \oplus B(j+1, n)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}\right)\right) \cong C(j, m) \oplus C(j+1, n)
$$

where

$$
B(j, m)= \begin{cases}\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+m}\right) & (m \equiv 1(\bmod 2)) \\ 0 & (m \equiv 0(\bmod 2))\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
C(j, m)= \begin{cases}\tilde{J}\left(S^{j+m}\right) & (m \equiv 1(\bmod 2)) \\ 0 & (m \equiv 0(\bmod 2))\end{cases}
$$

Remark. (1) The groups $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}(R P(m) / R P(n))\right)$ and $\widetilde{J}\left(S^{j}(R P(m) / R P(n))\right)$ are determined in [12] and [18] respectively.
(2) $J$-groups of the spheres are well known (cf. [3]).

Theorem 2. Let $j, m, n, q$ and $r$ be non-negative integers with $m>n, j \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2), q \equiv 1(\bmod 2), q>1$ and $r>0$.
(1) If $n+j+1$ 丰 $0(\bmod 4)$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2}^{m} r_{q} / L_{2}^{n} r_{q}\right)\right) \cong \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2}^{m} / L_{2}^{n}\right)\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right)
$$

(2) If $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ or $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n+j+1 \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{m} r_{q}}^{m} / L_{2_{q} r_{q}}^{n}\right)\right) \cong \widetilde{Z} \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r} r}^{m} / L_{2^{r}}^{n+1}\right)\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{n+1}\right)\right)
$$

(3) If $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r} q}^{m} / L_{2^{2} q}^{n}\right)\right) \cong \widetilde{Z} \oplus\left(\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r}}^{m} / L_{2^{r}}^{n+1}\right)\right) / G\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{n+1}\right)\right),
$$

where $G$ denotes the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\left(p_{m, n}\right)^{t}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r}}^{m} / L_{2_{r}^{r}}^{n+1}\right)\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{m} r}^{m} / L_{2^{n} r}^{n}\right)\right)
$$

and ord $G$ is equal to 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{p} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{p^{2} p(q)}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{p^{p} p(q)}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ runs over all prime divisors of $q$.
Remark. The partial results for the case $j=n=0$ of this theorem have been obtained (cf. [10]).

Theorem 3. Let $j, m, n, q$ and $r$ be non-negative integers with $m>n, j \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2), q \equiv 1(\bmod 2), q>1$ and $r>0$.
(1) If $n+j+1 \neq 0(\bmod 4)$, then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2_{q} r_{q}}^{m} / L_{2}^{n} r_{q}\right)\right) \cong \tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2}^{m} / L_{2^{r}}^{n}\right)\right) \oplus \tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right) .
$$


where $p$ runs over all prime divisors of $q$.
Remark. (1) In the cases $r=1,2$ and 3, the groups $\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r}}^{m} / L_{2^{r}}^{n}\right)\right)$ are determined in [18], [20] and [21] respectively.
(2) For odd primes $p, \tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{p}^{m} / L_{p}^{n}\right)\right)$ are determined in [19].
(3) The partial results for the case $j=n=0$ of this theorem have been obtained (cf. [10]).

For an integer $n, A(n)$ denotes the group defined by

$$
A(n)= \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 & (n \equiv 0(\bmod 8))  \tag{2.1}\\ \boldsymbol{Z} / 2 & (n \equiv 1 \operatorname{or} 7(\bmod 8)) \\ 0 & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

As defined in [1], we denote by $\varphi(m, n)$ the number of integers $s$ with $n<s \leq m$ and $s \equiv 0,1,2$ or $4(\bmod 8)$. Set

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(m, n)= \begin{cases}\varphi(m, n) & (n \neq 3(\bmod 4))  \tag{2.2}\\ \varphi(m, n+1) & (n \equiv 3(\bmod 4))\end{cases}
$$

In order to state next theorem, we set $M=\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2)$,

$$
a(j, m, n)= \begin{cases}\tilde{\varphi}(m, n) & (j=0)  \tag{2.3}\\ \min \left\{\nu_{2}(j)+1, \tilde{\mathscr{\rho}}(m+j, n+j)\right\} & (j>0)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
b(j, m, n)= \begin{cases}b_{0}(m, n) & (j=0)  \tag{2.4}\\ \min \left\{\nu_{p}(j)+1, b_{0}(m+j, n+j)\right\} & (j>0)\end{cases}
$$

where $b_{0}(m, n)=[m / 2(p-1)]-[(n+1) / 2(p-1)]$.
Theorem 4. Let $j, m, n$ be non-negative integers with $m>n$, and $p$ be an odd prime.
(1) If $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / M \cdot 2^{a(j, m, n)-i_{2}} \cdot p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / p^{i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2^{i_{2}}
$$

where $i_{2}=\min \left\{a(j, m, n), \nu_{2}(n+1)\right\}$ and $i_{p}=\min \left\{b(j, m, n), \nu_{p}(n+1), \nu_{p}(M)\right\}$.
(2) If $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $m>n+2$, Then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right) \cong Z \mid M \cdot p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}} \oplus Z / p^{i_{p}} \oplus A(m+j-1)
$$

where $i_{p}=\min \left\{b(j, m, n), \nu_{p}(n+1), \nu_{p}(M)\right\}$ and $A(m+j-1)$ is the group defined by (2.1).

Remark. In the case $m=n+1, S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{n+1} / L_{q}^{n}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the sphere $S^{n+j+1}$. Moreover in the case $m=n+2$, we have the homotopy equivalences

$$
S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{n+2} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right) \simeq \begin{cases}S^{j+n+2} \vee S^{j+n+1} & (n: \text { odd }) \\ S^{j+n}\left(L_{2 p}^{2}\right) & (n: \text { even })\end{cases}
$$

Hence, $J$-groups $\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2_{p}}^{m} / L_{2_{p}}^{n}\right)\right.$ are determined completely.
Finally we consider the application of the above results. A space $X$ is said to be stably homotopy equivalent to a space $Y$ if there are non-negative integers $u$ and $v$ such that the $u$-fold suspension $S^{u} X$ of $X$ is homotopy equivalent to the $v$-fold suspension $S^{\nu} Y$ of $Y$. In order to state next theorem, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathscr{\varphi}}(m, n)=\max \{\tilde{\varphi}(m, n), \tilde{\varphi}(-n-2,-m-2)\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ is the function defined by (2.2).
Theorem 5. Let $m, n$ and $t$ be non-negative integers with $m>n+2$, and $p$ be an odd prime. If $L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}$ is stably homotopy equivalent to $L_{2 p}^{m+t} / L_{2 p}^{n+t}$, then $\nu_{2}(t) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi(m-n-1,0)\left(m \leq n+9 \text { or } \max \left\{\nu_{2}(n+1), \nu_{2}(m+1)\right\} \geq \varphi(m-n-1,1)\right) \\ \overline{\mathcal{\rho}}(m, n)-1 \quad \text { (otherwise) }\end{array}\right.$
and
$\nu_{p}(t) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}b(m, n) \quad\left(n+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2 p^{b(m, n)}\right) \text { or } m+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2 p^{b(m, n)}\right)\right) \\ b(m, n+2) \quad(\text { otherwise }),\end{array}\right.$
where $b(m, n)=[([m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)]$.
Remark. Theorem 5 shows that the necessary conditions for $q=2 p$ coincide with the product of those for $q=2$ and $q=p$ (cf. [8] and [14]).

In order to state the final theorem, we prepare function $\alpha$ defined by

$$
\alpha(k, n)= \begin{cases}1 & (n \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \quad \text { and } \quad k \equiv 1(\bmod 8)  \tag{2.6}\\ & \text { or } n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \quad \text { and } k=0) \\ 0 & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 6. If $k=m-2[(n+1) / 2] \geq 2$ and $t \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod 2^{\varphi(k, 0)-\alpha(k, n)} p^{[k / 2(p-1)]}\right)$, then $L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}$ and $L_{2 p}^{m+t} \mid L_{2 p}^{n+t}$ are of the same stable homotopy type.

## 3. Preliminaries

In this section we recall known results and set up some lemmas needed later.

We begin by setting some notation. Let $\alpha_{i}(u, v)(1 \leq i \leq 8)$ be the integers defined by
(1) $\alpha_{1}(u, v)=\binom{2 u}{u-v}(-1)^{u-v}$,
(2) $\quad \alpha_{2}(u, v)=\binom{u+v}{u-v}+\binom{u+v-1}{u-v-1}$,
(3) $\quad \alpha_{3}(u, v)=\left(\binom{2 u-1}{u-v}-\binom{2 u-1}{u-v-1}\right)(-1)^{u-v}$,
(4) $\alpha_{4}(u, v)=\binom{u+v-1}{u-v}$,
(5) $\quad \alpha_{5}(u, v)=\binom{v}{u-v}+\binom{v-1}{u-v-1}$,
(6) $\quad \alpha_{6}(u, v)=\binom{2 u-v-1}{u-v}(-1)^{u-v}$,
(7) $\quad \alpha_{7}(u, v)=\binom{v-1}{u-v}$,
(8) $\left.\quad \alpha_{8}(u, v)=\binom{2 u-v-2}{u-v}-\binom{2 u-v-2}{u-v-1}\right)(-1)^{u-v}$.

Then we have following lemma.
Lemma 3.2. We have the following equalities:
(1) $\alpha_{1}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{1}(u, v+1)-2 \alpha_{1}(u, v)+\alpha_{1}(u, v-1)$,
(2) $\alpha_{2}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{2}(u, v-1)+2 \alpha_{2}(u, v)-\alpha_{2}(u-1, v)$,
(3) $\alpha_{3}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{3}(u, v+1)-2 \alpha_{3}(u, v)+\alpha_{3}(u, v-1)$,
(4) $\alpha_{4}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{4}(u, v-1)+2 \alpha_{4}(u, v)-\alpha_{4}(u-1, v)$,
(5) $\alpha_{5}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{5}(u, v-1)+\alpha_{5}(u-1, v-1)$,
(6) $\alpha_{6}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{6}(u, v-1)-\alpha_{6}(u+1, v+1)$,
(7) $\alpha_{7}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{7}(u, v-1)+\alpha_{7}(u-1, v-1)$,
(8) $\alpha_{8}(u+1, v)=\alpha_{8}(u, v-1)-\alpha_{8}(u+1, v+1)$.

Proof. By the definition (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}(u+1, v) & =\binom{2 u+2}{u-v+1}(-1)^{u+1-v} \\
& =\left(\binom{2 u}{u-v+1}+2\binom{2 u}{u-v}+\binom{2 u}{u-v-1}\right)(-1)^{u+1-v} \\
& =\alpha_{1}(u, v-1)-2 \alpha_{1}(u, v)+\alpha_{1}(u, v+1), \\
\alpha_{4}(u+1, v) & =\binom{u+v}{u-v+1} \\
& =\binom{u+v-2}{u-v+1}+2\binom{u+v-2}{u-v}+\binom{u+v-2}{u-v-1} \\
& =\binom{u+v-2}{u-v+1}+2\binom{u+v-1}{u-v}-\binom{u+v-2}{u-v-1} \\
& =\alpha_{4}(u, v-1)+2 \alpha_{4}(u, v)-\alpha_{4}(u-1, v), \\
\alpha_{6}(u+1, v) & =\binom{2 u-v+1}{u-v+1}(-1)^{u-v+1} \\
& =\left(\binom{2 u-v}{u-v+1}+\binom{2 u-v}{u-v}\right)(-1)^{u+1-v} \\
& =\alpha_{6}(u, v-1)-\alpha_{6}(u+1, v+1), \\
\alpha_{7}(u+1, v) & =\binom{v-1}{u-v+1} \\
& =\binom{v-2}{u-v+1}+\binom{v-2}{u-v} \\
& =\alpha_{7}(u, v-1)+\alpha_{7}(u-1, v-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the equalities (1), (4), (6) and (7) are established.
By making use of the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2}(u, v)=\alpha_{4}(u+1, v+1)-\alpha_{4}(u-1, v+1), \\
& \alpha_{3}(u, v)=\alpha_{1}(u-1, v-1)-\alpha_{1}(u-1, v+1), \\
& \alpha_{5}(u, v)=\alpha_{7}(u+1, v+1)+\alpha_{7}(u-1, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\alpha_{8}(u, v)=\alpha_{6}(u-1, v-1)+\alpha_{6}(u, v+1),(2),(3),(5)$ and (8) follows from (4), (1), (7) and (6) respectively.
q.e.d.

Lemma 3.3. In the polynomial ring $\boldsymbol{Z}[x]$, the following equalities hold, where $i$ denotes a positive integer.
(1) $\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{k} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k}=x^{i}$.
(2) $\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{4}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{3}(u, k) x^{k}=x^{i}$.
(3) $\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{6}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{5}(u, k) x^{k}=x^{i}$.
(4) $\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{8}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{7}(u, k) x^{k}=x^{i}$.

Proof. (1) Since $\alpha_{1}(1,1)=\alpha_{2}(1,1)=\alpha_{1}(2,2)=\alpha_{2}(2,2)=1$ and $-\alpha_{1}(2,1)$ $=\alpha_{2}(2,1)=4$, the equality holds for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. We argue by induction over $i$; let us assume that $i \geq 2$ and the result is true for $i$ and $i-1$. Using Lemma 3.2 and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=1}^{i+1} \alpha_{2}(i+1, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k} \\
= & \sum_{u=1}^{i+1}\left(\alpha_{2}(i, u-1)+2 \alpha_{2}(i, u)-\alpha_{2}(i-1, u)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k} \\
= & \sum_{u=1}^{i+1} \alpha_{2}(i, u-1) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k}+2 \sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k} \\
& \quad-\sum_{u=1}^{i i-1} \alpha_{2}(i-1, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k} \\
= & \sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u+1} \alpha_{1}(u+1, k) x^{k}+2 x^{i}-x^{i-1} \\
= & \sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u+1}\left(\alpha_{1}(u, k+1)-2 \alpha_{1}(u, k)+\alpha_{1}(u, k-1)\right) x^{k}+2 x^{i}-x^{i-1} \\
= & \sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u=1} \alpha_{1}(u, k+1) x^{k}-2 \sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k} \\
& \quad+\sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u+1} \alpha_{1}(u, k-1) x^{k}+2 x^{i}-x^{i-1} \\
= & \sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=2}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) x^{k-1}-2 x^{i}+x^{i+1}+\sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \alpha_{1}(u, 0) x \\
& \quad+2 x^{i}-x^{i-1} \\
= & x^{i-1}-\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \alpha_{1}(u, 1)+x^{i+1}+\sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \alpha_{1}(u, 0) x-x^{i-1} \\
= & x^{i+1}+\sum_{u=0}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u)\left(\alpha_{1}(u+1,1)-\alpha_{1}(u, 2)+2 \alpha_{1}(u, 1)\right) x \\
= & x^{i+1}+\sum_{u=1}^{i+1} \alpha_{2}(i, u-1) \alpha_{1}(u, 1) x-0^{i-2} x \\
= & x^{i+1}+\sum_{u u=1}^{i+1}\left(\alpha_{2}(i+1, u)+\alpha_{2}(i-1, u)-2 \alpha_{2}(i, u)\right) \alpha_{1}(u, 1) x-0^{i-2} x \\
= & x^{i+1}+\sum_{u=1}^{i=1} \alpha_{2}(i-1, u) \alpha_{1}(u, 1) x-0^{i-2} x=x^{i+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the induction.
The proof of (2) is similar to that of (1).
(3) Let $\beta_{3}(i, k)$ be the integer defined by

$$
\beta_{3}(i, k)=\sum_{u=k}^{i} \alpha_{6}(i, u) \alpha_{5}(u, k) .
$$

It suffices to prove

$$
\beta_{3}(i, k)= \begin{cases}1 & (i=k \geq 0)  \tag{*}\\ 0 & (i>k \geq 0) .\end{cases}
$$

Since $\alpha_{5}(i, i)=\alpha_{6}(i, i)=1$ and $\alpha_{5}(k+1, k)=-\alpha_{6}(k+1, k)=k+1,(*)$ holds for $k \leq i \leq k+1$. Assume that $i \geq k+2 \geq 2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{3}(i, k)=\sum_{u=k}^{i} \alpha_{6}(i, u) \alpha_{5}(u, k) \\
& \quad=\binom{2 i-k-1}{i-k}(-1)^{i-k}+\sum_{u=k+1}^{i}\binom{2 i-u-1}{i-u}(-1)^{i-u}(u /(u-k))\binom{k-1}{u-k-1} \\
& \quad=\binom{2 i-k-1}{i-k}(-1)^{i-k}+\sum_{u=k+1}^{i}\binom{2 i-u-1}{i-u}(-1)^{i-u}((2 i-u) /(i-k))\binom{k-1}{u-k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{u=k+1}^{i}\binom{2 i-u-1}{i-u}(-1)^{i-u}((u /(u-k))-((2 i-u) /(i-k)))\binom{k-1}{u-k-1} \\
= & \binom{2 i-k-1}{i-k}(-1)^{i-k}-\sum_{u=k}^{i-1}\binom{2 i-u-2}{i-u-1}(-1)^{i-u}((2 i-u-1) /(i-k))\binom{k-1}{u-k} \\
& +\sum_{u=k+1}^{i-1}\binom{2 i-u-1}{i-u}(-1)^{i-u}((2 k-u)(i-u) /(u-k)(i-k))\binom{k-1}{u-k-1} \\
= & -\sum_{u=k+1}^{i-1}\binom{2 i-u-1}{i-u}(-1)^{i-u}((i-u) /(i-k))\binom{k-1}{u-k} \\
& +\sum_{u=k+1}^{i-1}\binom{2 i-u-1}{i-u}(-1)^{i-u}((i-u) /(i-k))\binom{k-1}{u-k} \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (3).
(4) Let $\beta_{4}(i, k)$ be the integer defined by

$$
\beta_{4}(i, k)=\sum_{u=k}^{i} \alpha_{8}(i, u) \alpha_{7}(u, k)
$$

It suffices to prove

$$
\beta_{4}(i, k)= \begin{cases}1 & (i=k \geq 1)  \tag{**}\\ 0 & (i>k \geq 1)\end{cases}
$$

Since $\alpha_{7}(i, i)=\alpha_{8}(i, i)=1$ and $\alpha_{7}(k+1, k)=-\alpha_{8}(k+1, k)=k-1,(* *)$ holds for $k \leq i \leq k+1$. Assume that $i \geq k+2 \geq 3$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{4}(i, k)=\sum_{u=k}^{i} \alpha_{8}(i, u) \alpha_{7}(u, k) \\
& \quad=\sum_{u=k}^{i}\binom{2 i-u-2}{i-u}((u-1) /(i-1))(-1)^{i-u}\binom{k-1}{u-k} \\
& \quad=\sum_{u=k-1}^{i-1}\binom{2 i-u-3}{i-u-1}(u /(i-1))(-1)^{i-u-1}\binom{k-1}{u-k+1} \\
& \quad=\sum_{u=k-1}^{i-1}\binom{2 i-u-3}{i-u-1}(-1)^{i-u-1}((k-1+u-k+1) /(i-1))\binom{k-1}{u-k+1} \\
& \quad=((k-1) /(i-1)) \sum_{u=k-1}^{i=1}\binom{2 i-u-3}{i-u-1}(-1)^{i-u-1}\left(\binom{k-1}{u-k+1}+\binom{k-2}{u-k}\right) \\
& =((k-1) /(i-1)) \sum_{u=k-1}^{i-1} \alpha_{6}(i-1, u) \alpha_{5}(u, k-1) \\
& =\left((k-1) /((i-1)) \beta_{3}(i-1, k-1)=0 .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (4).
q.e.d.

In the rest of this section $j$ denotes non-negative integer with $j \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Considering the ( $\boldsymbol{Z} / q)$-action on $S^{2 n+1} \times \boldsymbol{C}$ given by

$$
\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / q)(z, u)=(z \cdot \exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / q), u \cdot \exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / q))
$$

for $(z, u) \in S^{2 n+1} \times \boldsymbol{C}$, we have a complex line bundle

$$
\eta_{q}:\left(S^{2 n+1} \times C\right) /(\boldsymbol{Z} / q) \rightarrow L_{q}^{2 n+1}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{q}=\eta_{q}-1 \in \tilde{K}\left(L_{q}^{2 n+1}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $\sigma_{q}$ the restriction of $\sigma_{q}$ to $L_{q}^{2 n}$. Considering the $(\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 q)$-action on $S^{2 n+1} \times R$ given by

$$
\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / 2 q)(z, u)=(z \cdot \exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / 2 q),-u)
$$

for $(z, u) \in S^{2 n+1} \times \boldsymbol{R}$, we have a real line bundle

$$
\nu_{2 q}:\left(S^{2 n+1} \times \boldsymbol{R}\right) /(\boldsymbol{Z} / 2 q) \rightarrow L_{2 q}^{2 n+1} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{2 q}=\nu_{2 q}-1 \in \widetilde{K O}\left(L_{2 q}^{2 n+1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote by $\kappa_{2 q}$ the restriction of $\kappa_{2 q}$ to $L_{2 q}^{2 n}$.
For each integer $n$ with $0 \leq n<m$, we denote the inclusion map of $L_{q}^{n}$ into $L_{q}^{m}$ by $i_{n}^{m}$, and the kernel of homomorphism

$$
\left(i_{n}^{m}\right)^{!}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{n}\right)
$$

by $V O_{m, n}^{j}(q)$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
U O_{m, n}^{j}(q)=\sum_{k} \cap_{e} k^{e}\left(\psi^{k}-1\right) V O_{m, n}^{j}(q) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{i}(q), b_{i}(q)$ and $c_{i}(q)(i>0)$ be elements of $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{m}\right)$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}(q)=r\left(I^{j / 2}\left(\eta_{q}^{i}-1\right)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
b_{i}(q)= \begin{cases}\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{1}(i, u) a_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)) \\
\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{3}(i, u) a_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 2(\bmod 4))\end{cases} \\
c_{i}(q)=r\left(I^{j / 2}\left(\sigma_{q}^{i}\right)\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r: K \rightarrow K O$ denotes the real restriction and $I: \tilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}\left(S^{2} X\right)$ is the Bott periodicity isomorphism.

We define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{q}: \boldsymbol{Z} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $\mu_{q}(k)$ to be the remainder of $k$ divided by $q$ for every $k \in Z$.
Lemma 3.9. The elements $a_{i}(q), b_{i}(q)$ and $c_{i}(q)$ satisfy following relations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}(q)=b_{1}(q)=c_{1}(q) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\quad a_{i}(q)= \begin{cases}\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) b_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)) \\ \sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{4}(i, u) b_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)) .\end{cases}$
(3) $a_{i}(q)=\sum_{u=1}^{i}\binom{i}{u} c_{u}(q)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i}(q) & =\sum_{u=1}^{i}\binom{i}{u}(-1)^{i-u} a_{u}(q) .  \tag{4}\\
c_{i}(q) & = \begin{cases}\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{5}(i, u) b_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)) \\
\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{7}(i, u) b_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 2(\bmod 4))\end{cases} \\
b_{i}(q) & = \begin{cases}\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{6}(i, u) c_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)) \\
\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{8}(i, u) c_{u}(q) & (j \equiv 2(\bmod 4))\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

(7) $\quad a_{i}(q)=a_{\mu_{q}(i)}(q)= \begin{cases}a_{q-\mu_{q}(i)}(q) & (j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)) \\ -a_{q-\mu_{q}(i)}(q) & (j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)) .\end{cases}$
(8) For the Adams operation $\psi^{k}$, we have $\psi^{k}\left(a_{i}(q)\right)=k^{j / 2} a_{k i}(q)$.

Proof. (1), (3) and (4) are evident from the definition (3.7).
(2) Suppose that $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. It follows from the definition (3.7) that wc have

$$
\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) b_{u}(q)=\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{2}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{1}(u, k) a_{k}(q)=a_{i}(q)
$$

by (1) of Lemma 3.3. The proof of the case $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ is similar by making use of (2) of Lemma 3.3.
(5) Suppose that $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4) . \quad$ By (4) and (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i}(q) & =\sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} a_{k}(q) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \sum_{u=1}^{k} \alpha_{2}(k, u) b_{u}(q) \\
& =\sum_{u=1}^{i} \sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u) b_{u}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u)=\alpha_{5}(i, u) \quad(i \geq u \geq 1) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u)=\sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k}(2 k /(k+u))\binom{k+u}{k-u} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{i-u}\binom{i}{u+j}(-1)^{i-u-j}(2(u+j) /(2 u+j))\binom{2 u+j}{j} \\
& \quad=(2(i!) /((i-u)!(2 u)!)) \sum_{j=0}^{i-u}\binom{i-u}{j}(-1)^{i-u-j}(2 u+j-1) \cdots(u+j) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}0 & (i>2 u) \\
2 & (i=2 u)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

by [22, Lemma 3.7], (*) holds for $i \geq 2 u$. Since we have

$$
\sum_{k=u}^{u}\binom{u}{k}(-1)^{u-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u)=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=u}^{u+1}\binom{u+1}{k}(-1)^{u-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u)=-(u+1) \alpha_{2}(u, u)+\alpha_{2}(u+1, u) \\
& \quad=-(u+1)+2 u+1+1=u+1=\alpha_{5}(u+1, u)
\end{aligned}
$$

$(*)$ holds for $u \leq i \leq u+1$. In particular, (*) holds for $u=1$. We argue by induction over $i-u$ and $u$; let us assume that $i \geq u+1 \geq 3$ and the result is true for ( $i, u-1$ ) and ( $i-1, u-1$ ). Using Lemma 3.2 and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=u}^{i+1}\binom{i+1}{k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-1
\end{array}\right)^{i-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u) \\
&= \sum_{k=u}^{i+1}\left(\binom{i-1}{k}+2\binom{i-1}{k-1}+\binom{i-1}{k-2}\right)(-1)^{i-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u) \\
&= \sum_{k=u}^{i=1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u)+2 \sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i-1}{k-1}(-1)^{i-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u) \\
&+\sum_{k=u}^{i+1}\binom{i-1}{k-2}(-1)^{i-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u) \\
&= \sum_{k=u}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-k+1} \alpha_{2}(k, u)+2 \sum_{k=u-1}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k+1, u) \\
&+\sum_{k=u-2}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-k-1} \alpha_{2}(k+2, u) \\
&= \sum_{k=u-2}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-k-1}\left(\alpha_{2}(k, u)-2 \alpha_{2}(k+1, u)+\alpha_{2}(k+2, u)\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=u-2}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-k-1} \alpha_{2}(k+1, u-1) \\
&= \sum_{k=u-1}^{i}\binom{i-1}{k-1}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u-1) \\
&= \sum_{k=u-1}^{i}\left(\binom{i}{k}-\binom{i-1}{k}\right)(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u-1) \\
&= \sum_{k=u-1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u-1)+\sum_{k=u-1}^{i-1}\binom{i-1}{k}(-1)^{i-1-k} \alpha_{2}(k, u-1) \\
&= \alpha_{5}(i, u-1)+\alpha_{5}(i-1, u-1)=\alpha_{5}(i+1, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the induction.
Suppose that $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4) . \quad$ By (4) and (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i}(q) & =\sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} a_{k}(q) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \sum_{u=1}^{k} \alpha_{4}(k, u) b_{u}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{u=1}^{i} \sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{4}(k, u) b_{u}(q)
$$

It suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{4}(k, u)=\alpha_{7}(i, u) \quad(i \geq u \geq 1) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k} \alpha_{4}(k, u)=\sum_{k=u}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{k+u-1}{k-u} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{i-k}\binom{i}{u+j}(-1)^{i-u-j}\binom{2 u+j-1}{j} \\
& \quad=((i!) /((i-u)!(2 u-1)!)) \sum_{j=0}^{i-u}\binom{i-u}{j}(-1)^{i-u-j}(2 u+j-1) \cdots(u+j+1) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}0 & (i \geq 2 u) \\
1 & (i=2 u-1)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

by [22, Lemma 3.7], (**) holds for $i \geq 2 u-1$. Since we have

$$
\sum_{k=u}^{u}\binom{u}{k}(-1)^{u-k} \alpha_{4}(k, u)=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=u}^{u+1}\binom{u+1}{k}(-1)^{u-k+1} \alpha_{4}(k, u)=-(u+1) \alpha_{4}(u, u)+\alpha_{4}(u+1, u) \\
& \quad=-(u+1)+2 u=u-1=\alpha_{7}(u+1, u)
\end{aligned}
$$

(**) holds for $u \leq i \leq u+1$. In particular, ( $* *$ ) holds for $u=1$. The rest of the proof is similar to that for the case $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
(6) Suppose that $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. It follows from (5) that we have

$$
\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{6}(i, u) c_{u}(q)=\sum_{u=1}^{i} \alpha_{6}(i, u) \sum_{k=1}^{u} \alpha_{5}(u, k) b_{k}(q)=b_{i}(q)
$$

by (3) of Lemma 3.3. The proof of the case $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ is similar by making use of (4) of Lemma 3.3.
(7) is obtained by the properties $\eta_{q}^{q}=1$ and $r \circ t=r$, where $t: K \rightarrow K$ denotes the complex conjugation.
(8) is immediately obtained by [1] and [4].
q.e.d.

Now we prepare some notations. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1) } \quad A(d, u, i)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i) \text {. } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\quad \beta_{u, i}=(-1)^{i}\binom{2 u-1}{i}+2 \sum_{v=0}^{i=1}(-1)^{v}\binom{2 u-1}{v}$.
(3) $B(d, u, i)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k} \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i)$.

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.11. Let u be a positive integer. Then we have
(1) $A(d, u, i)=\alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1)$.
(2) $\beta_{u, i}=0 \quad(i \geq 2 u$ or $i<0)$.
(3) $\beta_{u, 2 u-1-i}=\beta_{u, i}$.
(4) $\beta_{u, i+1}-\beta_{u, i}=\alpha_{3}(u, u-i-1)$.
(5) $B(d, u, i)=A(d, u, i)$.

Proof. (1) If $u=1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(d, u, i) & =\sum_{k=0}^{1}(-1)^{1-k}\binom{1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k, i) \\
& =\alpha_{2}(d+1, i)-\alpha_{2}(d, i) \\
& =\binom{d+1+i}{d+1-i}-\binom{d-1+i}{d-1-i} \\
& =\binom{d+i}{d+1-i}+\binom{d+i-1}{d-i} \\
& =\alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies (1) for the case $u=1$. If $u+1>1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(d, u+1, i)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 u+1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u+1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u, i) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u+1}(-1)^{k+1}\left(\binom{2 u-1}{k}+2\binom{2 u-1}{k-1}+\binom{2 u-1}{k-2}\right) \alpha_{2}(d+k-u, i) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u, i) \\
& \quad-2 \sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i)+\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \\
& \quad \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+2, i) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\alpha_{2}(d+k-u, i)-2 \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\alpha_{2}(d+k-u+2, i)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i-1)=A(d, u, i-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (1) is proved by the induction with respect to $u$.
(2) is evident from the definition (3.10) (2).
(3) Suppose $0 \leq i \leq 2 u-1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{u, i}-\beta_{u, 2 u-1-i} \\
& \quad=2(-1)^{i}\binom{2 u-1}{i}+2 \sum_{v=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{v}\binom{2 u-1}{v}-2 \sum_{v=0}^{2 u-i-2}(-1)^{v}\binom{2 u-1}{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 \sum_{v=0}^{i}(-1)^{v}\binom{2 u-1}{v}+2 \sum_{v=i+1}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{v}\binom{2 u-1}{v} \\
& =2 \sum_{v=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{v}\binom{2 u-1}{v}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) Suppose $-1 \leq i \leq 2 u-1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{u, i+1}-\beta_{u, i} & =(-1)^{i+1}\binom{2 u-1}{i+1}+2(-1)^{i}\binom{2 u-1}{i}-(-1)^{i}\binom{2 u-1}{i} \\
& =\alpha_{3}(u, u-i-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(d, u, i)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k} \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i)  \tag{5}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-2 \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{4}(d+k-u, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{k, k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& -\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& -\sum_{k=-1}^{2 u-2} \beta_{u, k+1}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=-1}^{2 u-1}\left(\beta_{u, k}-\beta_{u, k+1}\right)\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=-1}^{2 u-1}-\alpha_{3}(u, u-k-1)\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=-1}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\left(\binom{2 u-1}{k}-\binom{2 u-1}{k+1}\right)\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)\right. \\
& \left.-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=-1}^{2 u-2}(-1)^{k+2}\binom{2 u-1}{k+1}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)\right. \\
& \left.-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{u-1}{k}\left(\alpha_{4}(d+k-u+2, i+1)-\alpha_{4}(d+k-u, i+1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i) \\
& =A(d, u, i) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.12. Let $q \geq 3$ be an odd integer and $d=(q-1) / 2$. Then we have

$$
b_{d+u}(q)=-\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{5}(q, d+i) b_{i+u-1}(q),
$$

where $u$ is a positive integer.
Proof. Suppose $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Then by Lemma 3.9, we have

$$
a_{q-i}(q)=a_{i}(q) \quad(0 \leq i \leq q)
$$

If $0<u \leq d+1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} a_{d+k-u+1}(q) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d+k-u+1} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d+u}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d+u} A(d, u, i) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=u}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u>d+1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \sum_{k=u-d-1}^{u+d}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} a_{d+k-u+1}(q) \\
= & -\sum_{k=u+d+1}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} a_{-d+k-u}(q) \\
& +\sum_{k=u-d}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} a_{d+k-u+1}(q) \\
= & -\sum_{k=u+d+1}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{-d+k-u} \alpha_{2}(k-d-u, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=u-d}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d+k-u+1} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{u-d-1}\left(\sum_{k=d+u+1}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(k-d-u, i)\right) b_{i}(q) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d+u}\left(\sum_{k=u-d}^{2 u-1}(-1)^{2 u-1-k}\binom{2 u-1}{k} \alpha_{2}(d+k-u+1, i)\right) b_{i}(q) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{u-d-1}-A(-d-1, u, i) b_{i}(q)+\sum_{i=1}^{d+u} A(d, u, i) b_{i}(q) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{u-d-1}-\alpha_{5}(-2 d-1,-d+i-u) b_{i}(q)+\sum_{i=1}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q) \\
= & \sum_{i=u}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Then by Lemma 3.9, we have

$$
a_{q-i}(q)=-a_{i}(q) \quad(0 \leq i \leq q)
$$

If $0<u \leq d+1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k} a_{d+k-u+1}(q) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{2 \mu-1} \beta_{u, k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d+k-u+1} \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d+u}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k} \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i)\right) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d+u} B(d, u, i) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=u}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u>d+1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{k=u-d-1}^{u+d} \beta_{u, k} a_{d+k-u+1}(q) \\
& =-\sum_{k=d+u+1}^{2 \mu-1} \beta_{u, k} a_{-d+k-u}(q)+\sum_{k=u-d}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k} a_{d+k-u+1}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\sum_{k=d+u+1}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{-d+k-u} \alpha_{4}(-d+k-u, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=u-d}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d+k-1,-k+1} \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i) b_{i}(q)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i=1}^{\mu-d_{1}^{-1}}\left(\sum_{k=-d+\mu+1}^{2 \mu-1} \beta_{\mu, k} \alpha_{4}(-d+k-u, i)\right) b_{i}(q) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d+u}\left(\sum_{k=u-d}^{2 u-1} \beta_{u, k} \alpha_{4}(d+k-u+1, i)\right) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{u=-1}-B(-d-1, u, i) b_{i}(q)+\sum_{i=1}^{d+\mu} B(d, u, i) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{u=d-1}-\alpha_{5}(-2 d-1,-d+i-u) b_{i}(q)+\sum_{i=1}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q) \\
& =\sum_{i=u}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
0=\sum_{i=u}^{d+u} \alpha_{5}(2 d+1, d+i-u+1) b_{i}(q)=\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \alpha_{5}(q, d+i) b_{i+u-1}(q) .
$$

This completes the proof of lemma 3.12.
q.e.d.

Lemma 3.13. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $d=(p-1) / 2, u=s d+j(1 \leq j \leq d)$ and $i \geq 0$. Then we have

$$
b_{x+i}(p) \equiv(-p)^{s} b_{j+1}(p)
$$

modulo the subgroup

$$
\left\langle\left\{p^{s+1} b_{1+i}(p), \cdots, p^{s+1} b_{j+i}(p), p^{s} b_{j^{+1+i}}(p), \cdots, p^{s} b_{d+i}(p)\right\}\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. We choose inductively integers $B_{u, k}(u \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq d)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{u+i}(p)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} B_{u, k} b_{k+i}(p) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
B_{u, k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) & (k<j) \\
(-p)^{s} & \left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) & (k=j) \\
0 & \left(\bmod p^{s}\right) & (k>j) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $1 \leq u \leq d+1$, we put

$$
B_{u, k}= \begin{cases}0 & (1 \leq k \leq d \text { and } \quad k \neq u \leq d) \\ 1 & (1 \leq k=u \leq d) \\ -\alpha_{5}(p, d+k) & (1 \leq k \leq d \text { and } \quad u=d+1) .\end{cases}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3.12 that $B_{u, k}(1 \leq k \leq d)$ satisfy $(*)(1 \leq u \leq d+1)$. Assume that $u \geq d+1$ and $B_{u, k}(1 \leq k \leq d)$ have been chosen to satisfy the condition (*). Put $B_{w+1,1}=B_{u, d} B_{d+1,1}$ and $B_{u+1, k}=B_{u, k-1}+B_{u, d} B_{d+1, k}(2 \leq k \leq d)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{k+1+i}(p)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} B_{u, k}^{d} b_{k+1+i}(p)=\sum_{k+2}^{d+1} B_{u, k-1} b_{k+i}(p) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=2}^{d} B_{u, k-1} b_{k+i}(p)+B_{u, d} b_{d+1+i}(p) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k k-2}^{d B_{u, k-1} b_{k+i}(p)+B_{u, d} \sum_{k=1}^{d} B_{d+1, k} b_{k+i}(p)} \\
& \quad=B_{u, d} B_{d+1,1} b_{1+i}(p)+\sum_{k-2}^{d}\left(B_{u, k-1}+B_{u, d} B_{d+1, k} b_{k+i}(p)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{k=1}^{d} B_{x+1, k} b_{k+i}(p)
$$

and

$$
B_{u+1, k} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \left(\bmod p^{r+1}\right) & (k<l) \\
(-p)^{r} & \left(\bmod p^{r+1}\right) & (k=l) \\
0 & \left(\bmod p^{r}\right) & (k>l)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u+1=r d+l(1 \leq l \leq d)$. The lemma is a direct consequence of the condition (*).
q.e.d.

The part (1) of the following proposition is obtained by making use of Lemmas 3.9 and 3.13.

Proposition 3.14. (1) Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then the group $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\right.$ $\left.\left(L_{p}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{p}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $V O_{2[m / 2], 2[n / 2]}^{j}(p)$, which is isomorphic to the direct sum of cyclic groups of order $p^{b_{0}(m+j-4 i, j)-b_{0}(n+j-4 i, j)}$ generated by $p^{b_{0}(n+j-4 i, j)+1} b_{i}(p)$ $(1 \leq i \leq(p-1) / 2)$, where $b_{0}$ is the function defined in (2.4).
(2) ([12]) Assume that $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then the group $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\right.$ ( $\left.L_{2}^{m} / L_{2}^{n}\right)$ ) is isomorphic to $V O_{m, n}^{j}(2)$, and

$$
V O_{m, n}^{j}(2) \simeq \begin{cases}\left\langle 2^{\varphi(n, 0)} I_{R}^{j / 8}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)\right\rangle \mid\left\langle 2^{\varphi(m, 0)} I_{R}^{j / 8}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)\right\rangle & (j \equiv 0(\bmod 8)) \\ \left\langle 2^{\varphi(n+4,4)} c_{1}(2)\right\rangle \mid\left\langle 2^{\varphi(m+4,4)} c_{1}(2)\right\rangle & (j \equiv 4(\bmod 8)) .\end{cases}
$$

Remark. The partial result for the case $j=n=0$ of Proposition 3.14. (1) has been obtained in [13].

We define the function $h(q, k)$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(q, k)=\operatorname{ord}\left\langle J\left(r\left(\sigma_{q}\right)\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J\left(r\left(\sigma_{q}\right)\right)$ is the image of $r\left(\sigma_{q}\right) \in \widetilde{K O}\left(L_{q}^{k}\right)$ by the $J$-homomorphism $J: \widetilde{K O}\left(L_{q}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{J}\left(L_{q}^{k}\right)$.

Remark. The function $h(q, k)$ have been determined completely by K. Fujii (cf. [9], [11] and [10]).

We recall the following lemma from [17] for the proof of Theorems 5 and 6.
Lemma 3.16. Suppose that $k=2[m / 2]+1-2[(n+1) / 2] \geq 3, N \equiv 0(\bmod$ $2 h(q, k))$ and $N>m+1$. Then the $S$-dual of $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ is $L_{q}^{N-n-2} / L_{q}^{N-m-2}$.

From [6, Propositions (2.6) and (2.9)] and Lemma 3.16, we have
(1) If $k=m-2[(n+1) / 2] \geq 2$ and $t \equiv 0(\bmod 2 h(q, k))$, then $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ and $L_{q}^{m+t} / L_{q}^{n+t}$ are of the same stable homotopy type.
(2) If $k=m-2[(n+1) / 2] \geq 2$ and $n+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2 h(q, k))$, then $t \equiv 0(\bmod 2 h$
( $q, k$ ) ) if and only if $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ and $L_{q}^{m+t} / L_{q}^{n+t}$ have the same stable homotopy type.
(3) If $l=2[m / 2]-n \geq 2$ and $t \equiv 0(\bmod 2 h(q, l))$, then $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ and $L_{q}^{m+t} / L_{q}^{n+t}$ are of the same stable homotopy type.
(4) If $l=2[m / 2]-n \geq 2$ and $n+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2 h(q, l))$, then $t \equiv 0(\bmod 2 h(q, l))$ if and only if $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ and $L_{q}^{m+t} / L_{q}^{n+t}$ have the same stable homotopy type.

From [17] we have the following.
(3.18) Suppose that $q \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $m \geq n+2$. Then $\nu_{2}(t) \geq\left[\log _{2} 2(m-n-1)\right]$ if $L_{q}^{m} / L_{q}^{n}$ and $L_{q}^{m+t} / L_{q}^{n+t}$ are of the same stable homotopy type.

Proposition 3.19 ([18]). Suppose that $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
(1) If $n \neq 3(\bmod 4)$, then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}(R P(m) / R P(n))\right) \cong Z / 2^{a(j, m, n)}
$$

where $a(j, m, n)$ is the integer defined by (2.3).
(2) If $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}(R P(m) / R P(n))\right) \cong Z / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot 2^{a(j, m, n)-i_{2}} \oplus Z / 2^{i_{2}}
$$

where $a(j, m, n)$ is the integer defined by (2.3) and

$$
i_{2}=\min \left\{a(j, m, n), \nu_{2}(n+1)\right\} .
$$

Proposition 3.20 ([19]). Let $p$ be an odd prime, and suppose that $j \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$.
(1) If $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{p}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{p}^{n}\right) \cong Z / p^{b(j, m, n)}\right.
$$

where $b(j, m, n)$ is the integer defined by (2.4).
(2) If $n \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then we have

$$
\tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{p}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{p}^{n}\right)\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / p^{i_{p}}
$$

where $b(j, m, n)$ is the integer defined by (2.4) and

$$
i_{p}=\min \left\{b(j, m, n), \nu_{p}(n+1), \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2)\right\}
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

We denote the projection map of $L_{2^{r}}^{m}\left(\operatorname{resp} . L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)$ into $L_{2^{r} q}^{m}$ by $\pi_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\pi_{q}\right)$. Then we have

Lemma 4.1. Let $j$ be a positive integer with $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4) . \quad$ Then we have (1) The induced homomorphism $\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{t}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)$ is an epimorphism.
(2) The induced homomorphism $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)$ is an epimorphism.
(3) If $m+j+1 \neq 0(\bmod 4)$, the induced homomorphism

$$
\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{\prime}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{\prime} q}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. (1) In the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2_{q}}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\pi_{q}\right)!} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right) \\
\uparrow r^{\prime} & \uparrow r \\
\tilde{K}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{\prime} q}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{q, c}^{j}} \tilde{K}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right) \\
\uparrow I^{j / 2} & \uparrow I^{j / 2} \\
\tilde{K}\left(L_{2_{q} q}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{q, c}} & \tilde{K}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$r$ is an epimorphism [19, Lemma 3.1] and $I^{j / 2}$ is an isomorphism. There exist an element $\sigma_{2^{r} q} \in \widetilde{K}\left(L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right)$ which maps to a generator $\sigma_{q} \in \tilde{K}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)$ by $\pi_{q, c}$. This implies that $\pi_{q, c}$ is an epimorphism. Thus, $\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{!}$is an epimorphism. This completes the proof of (1).
(3) If $m+j+1 \equiv 5,6$ or $7(\bmod 8)$, then we have

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right) \cong \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \cong 0 .
$$

If $m+j+1 \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$, then in the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{m+j+1}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{m+j}\right) \cong \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1}\left(L_{2^{r} q}^{m} / L_{2^{r} q}^{m-2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\left(p_{m-2}^{m}\right)^{1}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{\prime} q}^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{deg} g=q$ and both $\left(p_{m-2}{ }^{m}\right)^{!}$are isomorphisms [25, Remark of (3.3)]. Since $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 2), g^{1}$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{!}$is an isomorphism.

Next consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{m+j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(p_{m-1}{ }^{m}\right)^{!}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2_{q} q}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(i_{m-1}{ }^{m}\right)^{!}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2_{q}{ }^{m-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the rows are exact and

$$
\operatorname{deg} g= \begin{cases}1 & (m \equiv 0(\bmod 2)) \\ q & (m \equiv 1(\bmod 2))\end{cases}
$$

If $m+j+1 \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, then we have $\left.\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{m}}^{m-1}\right) \cong \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m-1}\right)\right) \cong Z$ and $\left.\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right) \cong \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)\right) \cong \widetilde{Z} / 2$. Hence both $\left(p_{m-1}{ }^{m}\right)^{\text {! }}$ are epimor-
phisms. Since $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{m+j+1}\right) \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / 2, g^{1}$ and both $\left(p_{m-1}{ }^{m}\right)^{t}$ are isomorphisms. Thus $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}$ is an isomorphism.

If $m+j+1 \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, then in the above diagram we have $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{m+j+1}\right) \cong$ 0 . Hence upper $\left(i_{m-1}{ }^{m}\right)^{1}$ is a monomorphism. By the proof in the case $m+j+$ $1 \equiv 2(\bmod 8),\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}$ is a monomorphism. Since $\left.\operatorname{ord} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right)=\operatorname{ord} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)\right)=2,\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of (3).
(2) We consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{K}\left(S^{j+2} L_{2 r q}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{r I^{-1}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2 r_{q}}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2 r_{q}}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{K}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2 r_{q}}^{m}\right) \\
& \downarrow \pi_{2, c}^{j+2}{ }_{r I^{-1}} \quad \downarrow\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1} \quad \downarrow\left(\pi_{2}^{j+1}\right)^{1} \quad \downarrow \pi_{2, c}^{j+1} \\
& \tilde{K}\left(S^{\downarrow+2} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{r I^{-1}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \stackrel{\downarrow}{\rightarrow} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{K}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the rows are exact ([5] and [7, (12.2)]), where $c: \widetilde{K O}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}(X)$ is the complexification and $\delta$ is the homomorphism defined by the exterior product with the generator of $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{1}\right)$.

If $m+j+1 \neq 1,2$ and $3(\bmod 8)$, then $\left.\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)\right)$ is a free group and ord $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)$ is finite. Hence $\delta$ is a zero-map. Since lower $r I^{-1}$ and $\pi_{2}^{j+2}$ are epimorphisms, $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{!}$is also an epimorphism.

If $m+j+1 \equiv 1,2$ or $3(\bmod 8)$, then $\left(\pi_{2}^{j+1}\right)^{!}$is an isomorphism by $(3), \pi_{2}^{j+2}, c$ is an epimorphism and $\pi_{2}^{j+c}$ is a monomorphism. Thus $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}$ is an epimorphism from 4-lemma. This completes the proof of (2). q.e.d.

Now we define the homomorphism

$$
f_{1}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)
$$

by $f_{1}(x)=\left(\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{t}(x),\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{t}(x)\right)$ for $x \in \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right)$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $j$ be a positive integer with $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Then $f_{1}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By [25, Theorems 1 and 2]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{ord} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right)=2^{h(m+j)+1}\left(2^{r-1} q\right)^{[(m+2) / 4]} \\
& \text { ord } \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)=q^{[(m+2) / 4]} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ord } \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right)=2^{h(m+j)+1}\left(2^{r-1}\right)^{[(m+2) / 4]} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h: \boldsymbol{Z} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$ is the function defined by

$$
h(s)= \begin{cases}2 & (s \equiv 1(\bmod 8)) \\ 1 & (s \equiv 0 \text { or } 2(\bmod 8)) \\ 0 & (\text { otherwise })\end{cases}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{m}\right)=\operatorname{ord}\left(\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 2]}\right)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}$ and $\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{!}$are epimorphisms. For each element $(x, y) \in$ $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{m}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[m / 21}\right)$, there exist elements $v$ and $w \in \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{\prime} q}^{m}\right)$ such that $\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}(\eta)=x$ and $\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{1}(w)=y$. Now we put $h(m+j)+1+(r-1)[(m+2) / 4]=s$ and $[(m+2) / 4]=t$ for the sake of simplicity. Since $2^{s}$ is relatively prime to $q^{t}$, we can choose integers $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a 2^{s}+b q^{t}=1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $z=b q^{t} v+a 2^{s} w$. Then by (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(z) & =b q^{t} f_{1}(v)+a 2^{s} f_{1}(w) \\
& =\left(b q^{t}\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{t}(v)+a 2^{s}\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{t}(w), b q^{t}\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{1}(v)+a 2^{s}\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{t}(w)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(1-a 2^{s}\right)\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{1}(v),\left(1-b q^{t}\right)\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{1}(w)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\pi_{2}\right)^{!}(v),\left(\pi_{q}\right)^{t}(w)\right) \\
& =(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f_{1}$ is an epimorphism. By (4.5), $f_{1}$ is an isomorphism.
q.e.d.

We have the homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{2}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r} q}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2^{r}}^{n}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right), \\
& f_{3}: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r} q}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{2^{r}}^{n}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+1} L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
f: \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{2} q}^{m} / L_{2^{r} q}^{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r}}^{m} / L_{2^{r}}^{n}\right)\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right)
$$

defined similarly as $f_{1}$. In the following commutative diagram

the columns are exact.
If $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4), n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $m \geq n+3$, then $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are isomorphisms by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. From 4-lemma, $f$ is an epimorphism.

By [25, Theorems 1 and 2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ord}\left(\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r} q}^{m} / L_{2^{r} q}^{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{ord}\left(\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2^{r}}^{m} / L_{2^{r}}^{n}\right)\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{q}^{2[m / 2]} / L_{q}^{2[n / 2]}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof for the case $j \equiv 2$ (mod $4)$ of the part (1) of Theorem 2. The corresponding proof for the case $j \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$ is quite similar to that of the above case.

Combining the part (1) and [25, Theorem 2], we obtain the parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.

The proof of the part (4) of Theorem 2 is similar to that of the part (1).
Since the isomorphisms of the parts (1) and (4) of Theorem 2 are $\psi$-maps, Theorem 3 is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.

## 5. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{4}$

Assume that $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. It follows from [25] and Theorem 2 that we have the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow V O_{m, n+1}^{j}(2) \oplus V O_{2[m / 2], n+1}^{j}(p) \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+n+1}\right) \rightarrow 0  \tag{5.1}\\
& \downarrow \downarrow f_{3} \\
& 0 \| V O_{m, n+1}^{j}(2) \oplus V O_{2[m / 2], n+1}^{j}(p) \rightarrow \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{2}^{m}\right) \oplus \widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j} L_{p}^{2[m / 2]}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

in which the rows are exact. For each $i$ prime to $p$ (resp. 2), $N_{p}(i)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.N_{2}(i)\right)$ denote the integer chosen to satisfy the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
i N_{p}(i) \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{m}\right) \quad\left(\text { resp. } i N_{2}(i) \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As defined in [19], let $w$ be the remainder of $j / 2$ divided by $p-1$ and set $v=p-$ $1-w, N_{v}=N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\binom{v}{i}(-1)^{v-i} N_{p}\left(i^{p j / 2}\right)\right)$ and $C_{l}(p)=c_{l}(p)-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\binom{l}{i}(-1)^{l-i}$ $N_{p}\left(i^{p j / 2}\right) N_{v} c_{v}(p)(1 \leq l \leq p-1)$. In order to state the next lemma, we set
(2) $\quad u_{p}= \begin{cases}(-p)^{s} c_{v}(p) & (n+j+1 \equiv 4(\bmod 8) \quad \text { and } l=v) \\ (-p)^{s} C_{l}(p) & (n+j+1 \equiv 4(\bmod 8) \quad \text { and } l \neq v) \\ N_{p}(2)(-p)^{s} c_{v}(p) & (n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \quad \text { and } l=v) \\ N_{p}(2)(-p)^{s} C_{l}(p) & (n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \quad \text { and } l \neq v),\end{cases}$
where $s=[n / 2(p-1)]$ and $l=(n+1) / 2-s(p-1)$.
According to Lemmas 3.9, 3.13 and 3.14, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 5.4. If $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right)$ has
an element $x$, which satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $f_{2}(x)$ generates the group $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+n+1}\right)$,
(2) $f_{3}(x)=\left(u_{2}, u_{p}\right)$.

In the diagram (5.1), since $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+n+1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{Z}$, we have a direct decomposition

$$
\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right) \cong f_{1}\left(V O_{m, n+1}^{j}(2) \oplus V O_{2[m / 2], n+1}^{j}(p)\right) \oplus Z\{x\}\right.
$$

where $\boldsymbol{Z}\{x\}$ means the infinite cyclic group generated by $x$.
For the Adams operation, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 5.5. If $j \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then ihe Adams operation $\psi^{k}$ is given as follows.

$$
\psi^{k}(x)=k^{(n+j+1) / 2} x+f_{1}\left(b_{2}, b_{p}\right)
$$

where $b_{2} \in V O_{m, n+1}^{j}(2), b_{p} \in V O_{2[m / 2], n+1}^{j}(p)$,

$$
b_{p} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad(k \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad(n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))) \\
-\left(\left(\left(k^{(n+j+1) / 2}-1\right)+(j / 2)\left(k^{p-1}-1\right)\right) / p\right)\left(p u_{p}\right) \\
\quad(k \equiv 0(\bmod p) \text { and } \quad(n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1)))
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\left(\bmod U O_{2[m / 2], n+1}^{j}(p)\right) \quad$ and

$$
b_{2}= \begin{cases}-\left(k^{(n+j+1) / 2} / 2\right)\left(2 u_{2}\right) & (k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)) \\ -\left(\left(k^{(n+j+1) / 2}-k^{j / 2}\right) / 2\right)\left(2 u_{2}\right) & (k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)) .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We necessarily have

$$
\psi^{k}(x)=\alpha x+f_{1}\left(b_{2}, b_{p}\right)
$$

for some integer $\alpha$ and an element

$$
\left(b_{2}, b_{p}\right) \in V O_{m, n+1}^{j}(2) \oplus V O \dot{z}_{[m / 2], n+1}(p) .
$$

By using the $\psi$-map $f_{2}$, we see that $\alpha=k^{(n+j+1) / 2}$. Under $f_{3}, f_{1}\left(b_{2}, b_{p}\right)$ maps $\left(b_{2}, b_{p}\right)$ and $x$ maps into $f_{3}(x)$, and by above Lemma we see that

$$
\psi^{k}\left(u_{2}, u_{p}\right)=k^{(n+j+1) / 2}\left(u_{2}, u_{p}\right)+\left(b_{2}, b_{p}\right) .
$$

It follows from [18, Lemma 2.3] and [19, Lemma 2.13] that

$$
\psi^{k}\left(u_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & (k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)) \\ k^{j / 2} u_{2} & (k \equiv 1(\bmod 2))\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\psi^{k}\left(u_{p}\right) \equiv \begin{cases}k^{(n+j+1) / 2} u_{p} & (n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1)) \\ \left(1+(j / 2)\left(1-k^{p-1}\right)\right) u_{p} & (n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))\end{cases}
$$

$\left(\bmod U O_{\dot{2}[m / 2], n+1}^{\dot{j}}\right)(k \neq 0(\bmod p)) . \quad$ Therefore,

$$
b_{2}= \begin{cases}-k^{(x+j+1) / 2} u_{2} & (k \equiv 0(\bmod 2)) \\ \left(k^{j / 2}-k^{(n+j+1) / 2}\right) u_{2} & (k \equiv 1(\bmod 2))\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
b_{p} \equiv \begin{cases}0 & ((n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1)) \\ \left(1+(j / 2)\left(1-k^{p-1}\right)-k^{(n+j+1) / 2}\right) u_{p} & ((n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))\end{cases}
$$

$\left(\bmod U O_{[m / 2], n+1}^{j}(p)\right) \quad(k \neq 0(\bmod p)) . \quad$ q.e.d.
We now recall some definition in [3], set $Y=\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right)$ and let $f$ be a function which assigns to each integer $k$ a non-negative integer $f(k)$. Given such a function $f$, we define $Y_{f}$ to be the subgroup of $Y$ generated by

$$
\left\{k^{f(k)}\left(\psi^{k}-1\right)(y) \mid k \in Z, y \in Y\right\} ;
$$

that is

$$
Y_{f}=\left\langle\left\{k^{f(k)}\left(\psi^{k}-1\right)(y) \mid k \in Z, y \in Y\right\}\right\rangle .
$$

Then the kernel of the homomorphism $J^{\prime \prime}: Y \rightarrow J^{\prime \prime}(Y)$ coincides with $\bigcap_{f} Y_{f}$, where the intersection runs over all functions $f$.

Suppose that $f$ satisfies
(5.6) $f(k) \geq m+\max \left\{\nu_{p}(\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2)) \mid p\right.$ is a prime divisor of $\left.k\right\}$ for every $k \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.

In the following calculation we put $(n+j+1) / 2=u$ and

$$
U_{n+1}=V O_{m, n+1}^{j}(2) \oplus V O_{[m / 2], n+1}^{i}(p)
$$

for the sake of simplicity.
Now we consider the case $(n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))$. From Lemma 5.5 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{f(k)}\left(\psi^{k}-1\right)(x) \\
& \equiv k^{f(k)}\left(k^{u}-1\right) x-k^{f(k)}\left(\left(\left(k^{u}-1\right)+(j / 2)\left(k^{p-1}-1\right)\right) / p\right) f_{1}\left(p u_{p}\right) \\
& -k^{f(k)}\left(\left(k^{u}-k^{j / 2}\right) / 2\right) f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right) \quad\left(\bmod f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
& \equiv k^{f(k)}\left(k^{u}-1\right) x \\
& -k^{f(k)} N_{p}\left(u / p^{\nu_{p}(u)}\right)\left(\left(u\left(k^{u}-1\right)-(j / 2)\left(k^{u}-1\right)\right) / p^{\nu_{p}(u)+1}\right) f_{1}\left(p u_{p}\right) \\
& -k^{f(k)} N_{2}\left(u / 2^{v_{2}(u)}\right)\left(\left(u\left(k^{u}-1\right)-(j / 2)\left(k^{u}-1\right)\right) / 2^{v_{2}(u)+1}\right) f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right) \\
& \left(\bmod f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(k^{f(k)}\left(k^{u}-1\right) / p^{\nu}{ }^{p^{(u)+1}} 2^{\nu_{2}(u)+1}\right)\left(2^{\nu_{2}(u)+1} p^{\nu_{p}(u)+1} x\right. \\
& -N_{p}\left(u / p^{\nu_{p}(u)}\right)((n+1) / 2) 2^{\nu_{2}(u)+1} f_{1}\left(p u_{p}\right) \\
& \left.-N_{2}\left(u / 2^{v_{2}(u)}\right)((n+1) / 2) p^{\nu_{p}(u)+1} f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of [3, Theorem (2.7) and Lemma (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right) \cup\left\{k^{f(k)}\left(\psi^{k}-1\right)(x) \mid k \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right\}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langlef _ { 1 } ( U _ { n + 1 } ) \cup \left\{( \operatorname { m } ( u ) / p ^ { \nu _ { p } ( u ) + 1 } 2 ^ { v _ { 2 } ( u ) + 1 } ) \left( 2^{v_{2}(u)+1} p^{\nu_{p}(u)+1} x\right.\right.\right. \\
& \quad-N_{p}\left(u / p^{\nu_{p}(u)}\right)((n+1) / 2) 2^{v_{2}(u)+1} f_{1}\left(p u_{p}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad-N_{2}\left(u / 2^{\nu_{2}(u)}\right)((n+1) / 2) p^{\nu_{p}(u)+1} f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right)\right)\right\}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
Y_{f}=\left\langle f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right) \cup\left\{\mathfrak{m}(u) x-M_{p} f_{1}\left(p u_{p}\right)-M_{2} f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right)\right\}\right\rangle
$$

where $u=(n+j+1) / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p}=\left(\mathfrak{m}(u) / p^{v_{p}(u)+1}\right) N_{p}\left(u / p^{v_{p}(u)}\right)((n+1) / 2), \\
& M_{2}=\left(\mathfrak{m}(u) / 2^{v_{2}(u)+2}\right) N_{2}\left(u / 2^{v_{2}(u)}\right)(n+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is true for every function $f$ which satisfies (5.6), we have

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(Y) \cong Y \mid\left\langle f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right) \cup\left\{\mathfrak{m}(u) x-M_{p} f_{1}\left(p u_{p}\right)-M_{2} f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right)\right\}\right\rangle .
$$

Therefore,

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(Y) \cong\left\langle\left\{x, u_{2}, u_{p}\right\}\right\rangle\left\langle\left\langle\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}\right\rangle\right.
$$

where $M_{0}=\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2), X_{1}=M_{0} x-M_{2} u_{2}-M_{p} u_{p}, X_{2}=2^{a(j, m, n)} u_{2}$ and $X_{3}=$ $p^{b(j, m, n)} u_{p}$.
we set

$$
i_{2}=\min \left\{a\left(j, m, v_{n}\right), \nu_{2}(n+1)\right\}
$$

and

$$
i_{p}=\min \left\{b(j, m, n), \nu_{p}(n+1), \nu_{p}(\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2))\right\}
$$

Since $\nu_{2}\left(M_{2}\right)=\nu_{2}(n+1)$ and $\nu_{p}\left(M_{p}\right)=\nu_{p}(n+1)$, the greatest common divisor of $2^{a(j, m, n)}$ and $M_{2} p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}}$ is equal to $2^{i_{2}}$, and the greatest common divisor of $p^{b(j, m, n)}$ and $M_{p} 2^{a(j, m, n)-i_{2}}$ is equal to $p^{i} p$. Choose integers $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ with

$$
e_{1} 2^{a(j, m, n)}+e_{2} M_{2} p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}}=2^{i_{2}}
$$

and

$$
e_{3} p^{b(j, m, n)}+e_{4} M_{p} 2^{a(j, m, n)-i_{2}}=p^{i_{p}} .
$$

For the sake of simplicity, we put $a=a(j, m, n)$ and $b=b(j, m, n)$ in the following calculation. Set

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2^{a-i_{2}} p^{b-i_{p}} & p^{b-i_{p}} M_{2} / 2^{i_{2}} & 2^{a-i_{2}} M_{p} / p^{i_{p}} \\
e_{2} p^{b-i_{p}} & -e_{1} & e_{2} M_{p} / p^{i_{p}} \\
e_{4} 2^{a-i_{2}} & e_{4} M_{2} / 2^{i_{2}} & -e_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
A\left(\begin{array}{c}
M_{0} x-M_{2} u_{2}-M_{p} u_{p} \\
2^{a} u_{2} \\
p^{b} u_{p}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2^{a-i_{2}} p^{b-i_{p}} M_{0} x \\
2^{i_{2}\left(e_{2} p^{b-i_{p}} M_{0} x / 2^{i_{2}}-u_{2}\right)} \\
p^{i_{p}\left(e_{4} 2^{a-i_{2}} M_{0} x / p^{i_{p}}-u_{p}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{det} A=1$. This implies that

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(Y) \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot 2^{a(j, m, n)-i_{2}} \cdot p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / p^{i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2^{i_{2}} .
$$

Thus the proof of $(1)$ for the case $(n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))$ is completed by [24].

We now turn to the case $u=(n+j+1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{f(k)}\left(\psi^{k}-1\right)(x) \\
& \quad \equiv\left(k^{f(k)}\left(k^{k}-1\right) / 2^{v_{2}(u)+1}\right)\left(2^{v_{2}(u)+1} x-N_{2}\left(u / 2^{v_{2}(u)}\right)((n+1) / 2) f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\bmod f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right)\right.$. Hence

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(Y) \cong Y \mid\left\langle f_{1}\left(U_{n+1}\right) \cup\left\{\mathfrak{m}(u) x-M_{2} f_{1}\left(2 u_{2}\right)\right\}\right\rangle .
$$

Therefore,

$$
J^{\prime \prime}(Y) \cong\left\langle\left\{x, u_{2}, u_{p}\right\}\right\rangle\left\langle\left\langle X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}\right\rangle
$$

where $M_{0}=\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2), X_{1}=M_{0} x-M_{2} u_{2}, X_{2}=2^{a(j, m, n)} u_{2}$ and $X_{3}=p^{b(j, m, n)} u_{p}$. We set

$$
i_{2}=\min \left\{a(j, m, n), \nu_{2}(n+1)\right\} .
$$

Since $\nu_{2}\left(M_{2}\right)=\nu_{2}(n+1)$ the greatest common divisor of $2^{a(j, m, n)}$ and $M_{2}$ is equal to $2^{i^{i}}$. Choose integers $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ with

$$
e_{1} 2^{a(j, m, n)}+e_{2}=2^{i_{2}} .
$$

Set

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2^{a-i_{2}} & \left.M_{2}\right|^{i^{i}} & 0 \\
e_{2} & -e_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
B\left(\begin{array}{c}
M_{0} x-M_{2} u_{2} \\
2^{a} u_{2} \\
p^{b} u_{p}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2^{a-i_{2}} M_{0} x \\
2^{i_{2}}\left(\left(e_{2} M_{0} / 2^{2}\right) x-u_{2}\right) \\
p^{b} u_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{det} B=-1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime \prime}(Y) & \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot \cdot^{a\left(j(j, m, n)-i_{2}\right.} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} /\left.\right|^{b(j, m, n)} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2^{i_{2}} \\
& \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot 2^{a(j, m, n)-i_{2}} \cdot p^{b(i, m, n)} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2^{2_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the proof of (1) is completed by [24].
Now we turn to the case $j \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. In the corresponding diagram of (5.1), $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right)$ has an element $x$, which satisfies the following conditions:
i) $f_{2}(x)$ generates the group $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j+n+1}\right)$,
ii) the 2 -component of $f_{3}(x)$ is equal to 0 .

Since the Adams operations are given by $\psi^{k}=k-2[k / 2]$ on the 2 -component of $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right)$, the rest of the proof of (2) is similar to that of (1).

## 6. Proofs of Theorems $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$

In this section we state proofs of Theorems 5 and 6.
Proof of Theorem 5. Suppose that the spaces $L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}$ and $L_{2 p}^{m+t} / L_{2 p}^{n+t}$ are of the same stable homotopy type with $m>n+2$. Then there exists a homotopy equivalence

$$
f: S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right) \rightarrow S^{j-t}\left(L_{2 p}^{m+t} / L_{2 p}^{n+t}\right),
$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(f!): \widetilde{J}\left(S^{j-t}\left(L_{2 p}^{m+t} / L_{2 p}^{n+t}\right)\right) \rightarrow \tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume that $\nu_{2}(j) \geq \max \{3, \tilde{\rho}(m, n)\} . \quad$ By $(3.18), t \equiv 0(\bmod 4) . ~ I t$ follows from Proposition 3.19, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, that we have

$$
\min \left\{\nu_{2}(j)+1, \widetilde{\varphi}(m, n)\right\}=\min \left\{\nu_{2}(j-t)+1, \widetilde{\rho}(m, n)\right\}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{2}(t) \geq \tilde{q}(m, n)-1 . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m \geq n+9$ and $\nu_{2}(n+1) \geq \varphi(m-n-1,0)-1$, then we have the following from Theorem 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{J}\left(S^{j-t}\left(L_{2 p}^{m+t} / L_{2 p}^{n+t}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot 2^{\varphi(n, n+1)-k_{2}} \cdot p^{b(j-t, m+t, n+t)-k_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / p^{k_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2^{k_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{J}\left(S^{j}\left(L_{2 p}^{m} / L_{2 p}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \cong \boldsymbol{Z} / \mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2) \cdot 2^{\varphi(m, n+1)-i_{2}} \cdot p^{b(j, m, n)-i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / p^{i_{p}} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} / 2^{i_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}=\min \left\{\varphi(m, n+1), \nu_{2}(n+t+1)\right\}, \\
& k_{p}=\min \left\{b(j-t, m+t, n+t), \nu_{p}(n+t+1), \nu_{p}(\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2))\right\}, \\
& i_{2}=\min \left\{\varphi(m, n+1), \nu_{2}(n+1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
i_{p}=\min \left\{b(j, m, n), \nu_{p}(n+1), \nu_{p}(\mathfrak{m}((n+j+1) / 2))\right\}
$$

By the isomorphism (6.1), we have $k_{2}=i_{2}$. This implies that we have $\nu_{2}(n+t+1)$ $\geq \varphi(m, n+1)$ if $\nu_{2}(n+1) \geq \varphi(m, n+1)$ and $\nu_{2}(n+t+1)=\varphi(m, n+1)-1$ if $\nu_{2}(n+1)$ $=\varphi(m, n+1)-1$. Since $\nu_{2}(n+1)+1 \geq \varphi(m-n-1,0)=\varphi(m, n+1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } m \geq n+9 \text { and } \nu_{2}(n+1) \geq \varphi(m-n-1,0)-1, \text { then we have } \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\nu_{2}(t) \geq \varphi(m-n-1,0)
$$

On the other hand, we can assume that

$$
j \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2 p^{[([m / 2]-[(n+3) / 2]) /(p-1)]}\right)
$$

and $j / 2 \equiv p-2-[(n+1) / 2](\bmod (p-1))$. It follows from Proposition 3.20, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & \left\{\nu_{p}(j-t)+1,[(m+j) / 2(p-1)]-[(n+j+1) / 2(p-1)]\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\nu_{p}(j)+1,[(m+j) / 2(p-1)]-[(n+j+1) / 2(p-1)]\right\} \\
& =[(m+j) / 2(p-1)]-[(n+j+1) / 2(p-1)] \\
& =[[(m+j) / 2] /(p-1)]-([(n+1) / 2]-p+2+(j / 2)) /(p-1) \\
& =[([m / 2]-[(n+3) / 2]) /(p-1)]+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{p}(t) \geq[([m / 2]-[(n+3) / 2]) /(p-1)] . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $n+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2 p^{[([m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)]}\right)$, we assume that

$$
j \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2 p^{[[(m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)]}\right)
$$

and $n+j+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2(p-1))$. It follows from Theorem 4 that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & \left\{\nu_{p}(n+t+1),[(m+j) / 2(p-1)]-[(n+j+1) / 2(p-1)]\right\} \\
= & \min \left\{\nu_{p}(n+1),[(m+j) / 2(p-1)]-[(n+j+1) / 2(p-1)]\right\} \\
= & \min \left\{\nu_{p}(n+1),[([m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)]\right\} \\
= & {[([m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies
(6.5) If $n+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2 p^{[([m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)]}\right)$, we have

$$
\nu_{p}(t) \geq[([m / 2]-[(n+1) / 2]) /(p-1)] .
$$

Combining (6.2), (6.3), (6.4), (6.5), Lemma 3.16 and (3.18), we obtain Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 6. According to [10], we have

$$
h(2 p, k)=2^{\varphi(k, 0)-1} p^{[k / 2(p-1)]} .
$$

Then Theorem 6 follows from (3.17).
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