STRONGLY SEMIPRIME RINGS AND NONSINGULAR QUASI-INJECTIVE MODULES

MAMORU KUTAMI AND KIYOICHI OSHIRO

(Received February 3, 1979)

Following Handelman [8] we call a ring R is a right strongly semiprime ring provided if I is a two-sided ideal of R and is essential as a right ideal, then it contains a finite subset whose right annihilator is zero.

In this paper, we first show that a ring R is a right strongly semiprime ring if and only if

- (1) Q(R) is a direct sum of simple rings, and
- (2) eQ(R)eR=eQ(R) for all idempotents e in Q(R) where Q(R) denotes the maximal ring of right quotients of R.

Using these conditions (1) and (2), we shall investigate the following conditions:

- (a) Every nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is injective.
- (b) Any finite direct sum of nonsingular quasi-injective right R-modules is quasi-injective.
- (c) Any direct sum of nonsingular quasi-injective right R-modules is quasi-injective.
- (d) Any direct product of nonsingular quasi-injective right R-modules is quasi-injective.

It is shown that the conditions (a), (b) and (d) are equivalent; indeed, the rings satisfying one of these conditions are determined as rings R such that R/G(R) is a right strongly semiprime ring, where G(R) denotes the right Goldie torsion submodule of R. A ring R satisfying the condition (c) is also characterized as a ring R such that R/G(R) is a semiprime right Goldie ring.

1. Preliminaries and notations

Throughout this paper all rings considered have identity and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a ring. Q(R) denotes its maximal ring of right quotients. Let M be a right R-module. By $E_R(M)$, nM, Z(M) and G(M) we denotes its injective hull, the direct product of n-copies, its singular submodule and its Goldie torsion submodule, respectively. (Note that Z(M/Z(M)) = G(M)/Z(M).) For

a given two right R-modules N and M, we adopt the symbol $N \subseteq M$ to denote the fact that N is isomorphic to a submodule of M, and use the symbol $N \subseteq M$ to indicate N to be an essential submodule of M.

Now, for a nonsingular right R-module M, the following statements hold:

- (1) MG(R)=0; so M become a right R/G(R)-module by usual way,
- (2) M is also nonsingular as a right R/G(R)-module, and
- (3) M is R-injective (R-quasi-injective) if and only if M is R/G(R)-injective (R/G(R)-quasi-injective).

Noting that R/G(R) is a right nonsingular ring, we conclude from [4, Theorem 2.2] that any nonsingular injective right R-module has a unique right Q(R/G(R))-module structure compatible with the R-module structure. So, for a nonsingular right R-module M, we have $M \subseteq_{\epsilon} MQ(R/G(R)) \subseteq_{\epsilon} E_R(M)$.

It is well known (e.g. [4, Theorem 3.2]) that every finitely generated non-singular right module over a right self-injective regular ring is both projective and injective. Therefore, if M is a finitely generated nonsingular injective right R-module, then M is both Q(R/G(R))-projective and Q(R/G(R))-injective.

For a subset S of a ring R, $(0:S)_R^r((0:S)_R^l)$ denotes the right (left) annihilator of S in R.

Lemma 1.1. Let R be a ring and set $\bar{R}=R/G(R)$ and $Q=Q(\bar{R})$. If M is a nonsingular right Q-module, then the following statements hold:

- (a) M is nonsingular as a right R-module. (Of course, M becomes a right R-module by a natural way.)
 - (b) M is Q-quasi-injective if and only if M is R-quasi-injective.
- Proof. (a) Let x be an element in M such that $(0:x)_R^r \subseteq_e R$. Inasmuch as $G(R) \subseteq (0:x)_R^r \subseteq_e R$, we see from [4, Proposition 1.28] that $(0:x)_{\bar{R}}^r \subseteq_e \bar{R}$. Hence it follows $(0:x)_Q^r \subseteq_e Q$, whence x=0.
- (b) Clearly $M \subseteq_e E_{\bar{R}}(M)$ as a right Q-module. It is also easily seen that $M \subseteq_e E_Q(M)$ as a right \bar{R} -module. As a reuslt we get $E_{\bar{R}}(M) = E_Q(M)$, whence $E_R(M) = E_Q(M)$. On the other hand we see that $End_R(E_R(M)) = End_{\bar{R}}(E_{\bar{R}}(M))$ and $End_R(E_Q(M)) = End_{\bar{R}}(E_Q(M)) = End_Q(E_Q(M))$; consequently $End_R(E_R(M)) = End_Q(E_Q(M))$, where $End_*(\sharp)$ denotes the endomorphism ring of a right *-module \sharp . The proof is now easily done by applying the well known fact that a module is quasi-injective if and only if it is a fully invariant submodule of its injective hull.

The following lemma is frequently used in this paper.

Lemma 1.2. If M is a quasi-injective right R-module such that $R \subseteq nM$ for some positive integer n, then M is injective.

Proof. By virtue of Harada [9, Proposition 2.4], nM is also quasi-injective.

Hence we can easily see from $R \subseteq nM$ that nM is injective, whence so is M.

2. Strongly semiprime rings

We recall some definitions introduced by Handelman and Lawrence [7] and Handelman [8]. An right ideal I of a ring R is insulated if there exists a finite set $\subseteq I$ whose right annihilator in R is zero. For a non-zero element a in R, a finite set $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\} \subseteq R$ is a right insulator of a if the right annihilator of $\{ar_1, \dots, ar_n\}$ is zero. A ring R is said to be a right strongly prime ring provided every non-zero ideal of R is insulated as a right ideal, and said to be a right strongly semiprime ring if every ideal I of R with $I \subseteq_e R$ as a right ideal is insulated as a right ideal. As is easily seen, a ring R is right strongly prime if and only if every non-zero element in R has a right insulator.

The notion 'insulated' coincides with 'cofaithful' in Beachy-Blair [1] and is connected with 'finite intersection property on annihilator right ideals' in Zermanowitz [14]. The class of right strongly prime rings is just that of right absolutely torsion-free rings in the sense of Rubin [11]. For details of strongly prime rings and strongly semiprime rings, the reader is referred to [1], [6], [7], [8] and [11].

DEFINITION. For an element a in a ring R, we call a finite set $\{r_1, \dots, r_n; b\}$ $\subseteq R$ is a right semi-insulator of a when $RaR \cap RbR = 0$ and the right annihilator of $\{ar_1, \dots, ar_n\} \cup bR$ is zero.

Proposition 2.1. If R is a ring such that every element in R has a right semi-insulator, then R is a semi-prime right nonsingular ring.

Proof. Let $a \in R$. Then there exists a finite set $\{r_1, \dots, r_n; b\} \subseteq R$ satisfying $RaR \cap RbR = 0$ and $[\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (0: ar_i)_R^r] \cap (0: bR)_R^r = 0$. If $a \in Z(R)$ and $a \neq 0$, then $ar_i \in Z(R)$ for each i and $0 \neq ar \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (0: ar_i)_R^r$ for some $r \in R$. But it follows from bRar = 0 that ar = 0, a contradiction. If aRa = 0, then a = 0 because $a \in [\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (0: ar_i)_R^r] \cap (0: bR)_R^r = 0$. Thus R is a semiprime right nonsingular ring.

Lemma 2.2. Let R be a semiprime ring.

- (a) If I is an ideal of R and J is a right ideal of R such that $I \cap J=0$, then $I \cap RJ=0$ and moreover $Q(R)IQ(R) \cap Q(R)JQ(R)=0$.
- (b) For ideals I and J of R, $I \subseteq_{e} J$ as a right ideal if and only if $I \subseteq_{e} J$ as a left ideal.
- (c) If $\{I_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is an independent family of ideals of R, then so is $\{Q(R)I_{\lambda}Q(R) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

Proof. (b) and (c) easily follow from (a).

(a). Set Q=Q(R). Since $I \cap J=0$, we see JI=0 and it follows $(IQJ \cap R)^2=0$. Hence IQJ=0, from which we have $(QIQ \cap QJQ \cap R)^2=0$ and therefore $QIQ \cap QJQ=0$.

NOTE. Let I and J be ideals of a semiprime ring R. When we use ' $I \subseteq_{e} J$ ' instead of ' $I \subseteq_{e} J$ as a right ideal' or ' $I \subseteq_{e} J$ as a left ideal', no confusion arisies by Lemma 2.2(b).

Proposition 2.3. The following conditions are equivalent for a semiprime right nonsingular ring R:

- (a) Q(R) is a direct sum of prime rings.
- (b) The set of all central idempotents of Q(R) is a finite set.
- (c) R contains no infinite direct sums of ideals.
- (d) Every ideal of R is essentially cyclic generated, i.e., if I is an ideal of R, then there exists a in I such that $RaR \subseteq I$.

Proof. Set Q=Q(R). (a) \Rightarrow (b) is clear.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c). Suppose that R contains an infinite independent set $\{I_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of non-zero ideals. Lemma 2.2 (c) says that $\{QI_{\lambda}Q | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is independent and so is $\{E_{\varrho}(QI_{\lambda}Q) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. However, inasmuch as each $E_{\varrho}(QI_{\lambda}Q)$ is an ideal of Q, each $E_{\varrho}(QI_{\lambda}Q)$ is generated by a central idempotent in Q by [5, Corollary 1.10]. This contradicts (b).
- (c) \Rightarrow (d). Let I be a non-zero ideal of R. For $0 \neq a_1 \in I$, if Ra_1R is not essential in I, we can take $0 \neq a_2$ in I such that $\{Ra_1R, Ra_2R\}$ is independent by Lemma 2.2(a). Similarly when $Ra_1R \oplus Ra_2R$ is not essential in I, then there exists a_3 in I such that $\{Ra_1R, Ra_2R, Ra_3R\}$ is independent. Continuing this manner, by (c), we must reach to n such that $\{Ra_1R, \dots, Ra_nR\}$ is independent and $Ra_1R \oplus \dots \oplus Ra_nR \subseteq_e I$. Here we claim $R(a_1+\dots+a_n)R \subseteq_e I$. From Lemma 2.2(c), $\{Qa_1Q, \dots, Qa_nQ\}$ is independent. This implies $a_1Q \oplus \dots \oplus a_nQ = (a_1+\dots+a_n)Q$ since Q is a regular ring. Hence we see $(Ra_1R \oplus \dots \oplus Ra_nR)Q = R(a_1+\dots+a_n)Q$, which shows $R(a_1+\dots+a_n)R \subseteq_e Ra_1R \oplus \dots \oplus Ra_nR$. Therefore surely $R(a_1+\dots+a_n)R \subseteq_e I$.
- (d) \Rightarrow (a). It is easily seen from (d) that Q is a direct sum of indecomposable rings, say $Q=Q_1\oplus\cdots\oplus Q_n$. To show that each Q_i is prime, let X be an ideal of Q_i . Then $E_{Q_i}(X)$ is generated by a central idempotent in Q_i by again [5, Corollary 1.10]. So, $X\subseteq_{e}Q_i$ as a right Q_i -module from which we see that Q_i is a prime ring.

REMARK. The equivalence of (a) and (b) is due to J. Kado (see [10, Proof of Proposition 3.2]).

Lemma 2.4 ([8]). If R is a right strongly semiprime ring, then (a) R is a semiprime right nonsingular ring, and

- (b) Q(R) is a direct sum of simple rings.
- Proof. (a). Let I be an ideal of R such that $I^2=0$. Clearly $I^2=0$ implies $(0:I)_R^I \subseteq_{\epsilon} R$ as a right ideal. So $(0:I)_R^I$ is insulated as a right ideal. Inasmuch as $(0:I)_R^II=0$, it follows I=0. Hence R is a semiprime ring. Since R is semiprime, using Lemma 2.2(a), there exists an ideal $K\subseteq R$ such that $Z(R) \oplus K \subseteq_{\epsilon} R$. Since $Z(R) \oplus K$ is insulated as a right ideal, there exists a finite set $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subseteq Z(R)$ and $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subseteq K$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (0:z_i+k_i)_R^r = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n (0:z_i)_R^r) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n (0:k_i)_R^r)$. Let $a \in Z(R)$ and suppose $a \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq ar \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n (0:z_i)_R^r$ for some r in R. But, since each $k_j ar = 0$, we infer ar = 0, a contradiction. Thus Z(R) = 0.
- (b). Inasumuch as every non-zero essential ideal of R is insulated, clearly, R contains no infinite direct sums of non-zero ideals. Hence, by Proposition 2.3, Q(R) is a direct sum of prime rings, say $Q(R) = Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_n$. In order to show that each Q_i is simple, let X_i be a non-zero ideal of Q_i , $i=1,\dots,n$. Since Q_i is a prime right self-injective regular ring, we see $X_i \subseteq_e Q_i$ by [5, Proposition 1.10]. As a result, $(X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n) \cap R \subseteq_e R$. So $(X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n) \cap R$ is insulated as a right ideal, whence $R \subseteq k((X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n) \cap R) \subseteq k(X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n)$ for some positive integer k. Since $X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ is an ideal of Q, it is Q-quasi-injective and so is by Lemma 1.1, R-quasi-injective. Therefore we see that $X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ is R-injective, whence $Q(R) = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$. Therefore $Q_i = X_i$, $i=1,\dots,n$.

Theorem 2.5. For a given ring, R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) R is a right strongly semiprime ring.
- (b) (1) Q(R) is a direct sum of simple rings, and
- (2) Q(R)eR = Q(R)eQ(R), or equivalently, eQ(R)eR = eQ(R) for all idempotents e in Q(R).
 - (c) (1) R contains no infinite direct sums of ideals,
 - (2) every element of R has a right semi-insulator.
 - (d) Q(R)I = Q(R) for any essential right ideal I of R.
- (e) There exists a ring extension S of R with the same identity satisfying SI=S for any essential right ideal I of R.

Proof. Set Q=Q(R). (a) \Rightarrow (b). According to Lemma 2.4, Q is a direct sum of simple rings. So every ideal of Q is a direct summand. Let $e=e^2\in Q$ and take an ideal T of Q such that $QeQ\oplus T=Q$. Since $(QeR\cap R)\oplus (T\cap R)$ is essential in R, it is insulated as a right ideal, hence there exists a positive integer k such that $R\subseteq k((QeR\cap R)\oplus (T\cap R))$ as a right R-module. Since $QeR\oplus T$ is a left ideal of Q, $QeR\oplus T$ is Q-quasi-injective and so is R-quasi-injective (Lemma 1.1). Hence Lemma 1.2 says that $QeR\oplus T$ is R-injective, whence we have QeR=QeQ.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c). In order to show R to be semiprime, let $a \in R$ such that aRa=0. Since Q is a direct sum of simple rings, clearly it is a right nonsingular ring; whence it is a regular ring. Thus Qa=Qe for some $e=e^2$ in Q. Since QaR=QeR=QeQ=QaQ, we see 0=QaRa=QaQa, from which we have a=0. (1) now follows from Proposition 2.3. Let us write $Q=Q_1\oplus\cdots\oplus Q_n$, where each Q_i is simple, and let $1=e_1+\cdots+e_n$ in this decomposition. $\{e_1,\cdots,e_n\}$ is a set of non-zero central orthogonal idempotents. Now, to show (2), let $a\in R$. Then $QaR=QaQ=\sum_{i\in I}\oplus Q_i$ for some $I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $I=\{1,\cdots,s\}$. Let us express $e_1+\cdots+e_s$ in QeR as $e_1+\cdots+e_s=\sum_{i=1}^t q_i ar_i$, where $q_i\in Q$ and $r_i\in R$. We can take r in R satisfying $0\neq e_m r$ $ext{$\in R$}$, $m=s+1,\cdots,n$. Put $b=r(e_{s+1}+\cdots+e_n)$. Here we claim that $\{r_1,\cdots,r_t;b\}$ is right semi-insulator for a. $RaR\cap RbR=0$ is obvious. If x is in $[\bigcap_{i=1}^t (0:ar_i)_R^r]$ $\cap (0:bR)_R^r$, then $(e_1+\cdots+e_s)x=0$. Further, inasmuch as $Qe_m rQ=Q_m$ for $m=s+1,\cdots,n$, we infer $QbR=Q_{s+1}\oplus\cdots\oplus Q_n$; whence $(e_{s+1}+\cdots+e_n)x=0$. Therefore x=0 as required.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). Proposition 2.1 says that R is a semiprime right nonsingular ring. If I is an essential ideal of R, then there exists a in I such that $RaR \subseteq_e I$ ($\subseteq_e R$) by Proposition 2.3. Let $\{r_1, \dots, r_n; b\}$ be a right semi-insulator of a. Since $RaR \subseteq_e R$ and $RaR \cap RbR = 0$, we see b = 0. Consequently $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (0: ar_i) = 0$. Therefore I is insulated as a right ideal.
- (b) \Rightarrow (d). If I is an essential right ideal of R, then $QI \subseteq Q$ as a right R-module. As is seen in the proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c), it follows from (1) that Q is regular. Therefore (2) easily implies QI = QIQ. As a result $QI = QIQ < \bigoplus Q$ and hence QI = Q.
 - $(d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (a)$ is obvious.

Corollary 2.6. A ring R is a right strongly prime ring if and only if Q(R) is simple and Q(R)eR=Q(R)eQ(R) for all idempotents e in Q(R).

Corollary 2.7. The following conditions are equivalent for a given ring R.

- (a) R is a semiprime right Goldie ring.
- (b) R is a right finite dimensional right strongly semiprime ring.
- (c) IQ(R)=Q(R)I=Q(R) for every essential right ideal I of R.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Since every essential ideal of R contains a regular element, clearly R is a right strongly semiprime ring.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) follows from Lemma 2.4, and (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) follows from Theorem 2.5 and [12, Theorem 1.6].

Corollary 2.8 ([8, Corollary 16]). A regular right strongly semiprime ring R

is a direct sum of simple rings. Therefore R is also a left strongly semiprime ring.

Proof. Inasmuch as R contains no infinite direct sums of ideals, it is sufficient to show that R contains no proper essential ideals. Let I be an essential ideal of R. Then QI=Q by Theorem 2.5, whence it follows from regularity of R that 1=qe for some $q\in Q$ and $e=e^2\in I$. Then, clearly, 1=e. So I=R.

3. Nonsingular quasi-injective modules

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). If R is a right strongly prime ring, then every nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is injective.

Proof. Let M ($\neq 0$) be a nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module and let $0 \neq x \in M$. Since xQ(R) is Q(R)-projective there exists e in Q(R) and an isomorphism $\psi \colon xQ(R) \approx eQ(R)$ with $\psi(x) = e$. We can take r in R such that $0 \neq er \in R$. Then $R \subseteq n(erR)$ for some positive integer n, since er has a right insulator. Inasmuch as $R \subseteq n(erR) \approx n(xrR) \subseteq nM$, M is injective by Lemma 1.2.

Lemma 3.2. Let R be a right self-injective regular ring such that every nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is injective. Then R is a direct sum of simple rings.

Proof. According as every ideal of R is a nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module, every ideal of R is a direct summand. Hence R contains no infinite direct sums of ideals. Hence by Proposition 2.3, R is written as a direct sum of prime rings, say $R=R_1\oplus\cdots\oplus R_n$. Since R_i is prime and every ideal of R_i is a direct summand, R_i must be simple, $i=1,\cdots,n$.

Proposition 3.3. If R is a right nonsingular ring, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) Q(R) is a direct sum of simple rings.
- (b) $E_R(M)=MQ(R)$ for all nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module M.

Proof. Set Q=Q(R). (a) \Rightarrow (b). If M is a nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module, then MQ is nonsingular Q-quasi-injective. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, MQ is Q-injective; whence MQ is R-injective.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). If M is a nonsingular quasi-injective right Q-module, then M is nonsingular R-quasi-injective (Lemma 1.1). Hence $M=MQ=E_R(M)=E_Q$ (M), which shows that M is Q-injective. Thus, by Lemma 3.2, we conclude that Q is a direct sum of simple rings.

We are now in a proposition to show our main theorem.

Theorem 3.4. For a given ring R, the following conditions are equivalent: (a) R/G(R) is a right strongly semiprime ring.

- (b) Every nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is injective.
- (c) Any finite direct sum of nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is also quasi-injective.
- (d) Any direct product of nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is quasi-injective.

Proof. Set $\overline{R} = R/G(R)$ and Q = Q(R/G(R)). (b) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious.

- (a) \Rightarrow (b). Since \overline{R} is a right strongly semiprime ring, Theorem 2.5 says that Q is a direct sum of simple rings and $eQe\overline{R}=eQ$ for all idempotents e in Q. Now, let M (\neq 0) be a nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module. In order to show M is injective, we may show M=MQ by Proposition 3.3. Let $0 \neq x \in M$. Since xQ is Q-projective, there exists an idempotent e in Q and an isomorphism $\psi: xQ \approx eQ$ with $\psi(x)=e$. Inasmuch as xQ is Q-injective, $E_R(M)=xQ\oplus Y$ for some submodule Y. Since M is quasi-injective, this yields $M=(xQ\cap M)\oplus (Y\cap M)$. As a result, $xQ\cap M$ is quasi-injective. Put $Z=\psi(xQ\cap M)$. Inasumuch as $xR\subseteq_e xQ\cap M\subseteq_e xQ$, we infer that $E_R(xQ\cap M)=xQ$; whence $E_R(Z)=eQ$. Observing $eQ=eQeR=End_Q(eQ)eR=End_R(eQ)eR\subseteq End_R(eQ)Z=Z$, we see $eQ=Z=\psi(xQ\cap M)$. Consequently $xQ=xQ\cap M$ and it follows $xQ\subseteq M$. Therefore MQ=M as desired.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). In view of Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show that eQeR = eQ for all idempotents e in Q and Q is a direct sum of simple rings.

Let $e=e^2 \in Q$ and set $T=eQeR \oplus (1-e)Q(1-e)R$. Then T is a nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module because both eQeR and (1-e)Q(1-e)Rare so. Since $R \subseteq T$, it follows that T is injective; whence so is eQeR. Thus we get eQeR = eQeQ = eQ. Now, assume that Q can not be expressed as a direct sum of prime rings. Then, by Proposition 2.3, we see that there exist infinite orthogonal non-zero central idempotents $\{e_i|i=1,2,\cdots\}$ in Q. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i Q$ is nonsingular Q-quasi-injective, it is also nonsingular R-quasi-injective (Lemma 1.1). Putting $T=(1-e_1)Q\times(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}e_iQ)$, T is then a nonsingular quasiinjective right R-module, since both $(1-e_1)Q$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_iQ$ are so. As a result, it follows from $R \subseteq T$ that T is injective and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i Q \langle \bigoplus Q \rangle$, a contradiction. Hence Q must be written as a direct sum of prime rings, say $Q=Q_1\oplus\cdots\oplus Q_n$. Let X be a non-zero ideal of Q_i . Then X is a nonsingular quasi-injective right Q-module and hence it is nonsingular R-quasi-injective by Lemma 1.1. Take a non-zero idempotent e in X and consider $X\times(1-e)Q$. Since both X and (1-e)Q are nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module, so is $X\times(1-e)Q$. Inasmuch as $R \subseteq X \times (1-e)Q$, it follows that $X \times (1-e)Q$ is injective; whence $X \subset Q_i$. Since Q_i is a prime ring, this shows $X = Q_i$. Accordingly each Q_i is simple.

Combining Theorem 3.4 with Corollary 2.8, we have

Corollary 3.5. If R is a regular ring, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) R is a direct sum of simple rings.
- (b) Every nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is injective.
- (b') Every nonsingular quasi-injective left R-module is injective.

Corollary 3.6. If R is a right strongly semiprime ring, then its right socle is a direct summand of R as a ring.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4(b), we conclude that the right socle S of R is a direct summand of R as a right R-module. Since R is a semiprime ring and S is a two-sided ideal of R, it follows that S is a direct summand of R as a ring.

Boyle and Goodearl [3] showed that every nonsingular quasi-injective right module over a semiprime right Goldie ring is injective. However, according as every essential ideal of a semiprime right Goldie ring R has a regular element, R is a right and left strongly semiprime ring. Hence Theorem 3.4 guarantees the following result.

Corollary 3.7. If R is a semiprime right Goldie ring, then every nonsingular quasi-injective right R-module is injective and, at the same time, every nonsingular quasi-injective left R-module is also injective.

Finally we show the following result.

Theorem 3.8. For a given ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) R/G(R) is a semiprime right Goldie ring.
- (b) Any direct sum of nonsingular quasi-injective right R-modules is quasi-injective.

Proof. As is well known ([13]), the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) O(R/G(R)) is a semisimple artinian ring.
- (2) R/G(R) is right finite dimensional.
- (3) Any direct sum of nonsingular injective right R-modules is injective.

Convining this fact with Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.7, the proof is established.

REMARK. It seems to be also meaningful to study those rings whose nonsingular quasi-injective right modules are written as direct sums of indecomposable modules. Such rings were determined by Berry [2] as rings R such that R/G (R) is right finite dimensional.

References

- [1] J. Beachy and W.D. Blair: Rings whose faithful left ideals are cofaithful, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 1-13.
- [2] D. Berry: Modules whose cyclic submodules have finite dimension, Canad. Math. Bull. 19 (1976), 1-6.
- [3] Ann. K. Boyle and K.R. Goodearl: Rings over which certain modules are injective, Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 43-53.
- [4] K.R. Goodearl: Ring theory; Nonsingular rings and modules, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1976 (Pure and Applied Math. Series, 33).
- [5] K.R. Goodearl and Ann. K. Boyle: Dimension theory for nonsingular injective modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 177, 1976.
- [6] K.R. Goodearl and D. Handelman: Simple self-injective rings, Comm. Algebra 3 (1975), 797-834.
- [7] D. Handelman and J. Lawrence: Strongly prime rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 211 (1975), 209-223.
- [8] D. Handelman: Strongly semiprime rings, Pacific J. Math. 60 (1975), 115-122.
- [9] M. Harada: Note on quasi-injective modules, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965), 351-356.
- [10] J. Kado: Projective modules over simple regular rings, Osaka J. Math. 16 (1979), 405-412.
- [11] R. Rubin: Absolutely torsion-free rings, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 503-514.
- [12] F.L. Sandomierski: Semisimple maximal quotient rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967), 112-120.
- [13] M.L. Teply: Torsionfree injective modules, Pacific J. Math. 28 (1969), 441-453.
- [14] J.M. Zelmanowitz: The finite intersection property on annihilator right ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1976), 213-216.

Department of Mathematics Yamaguchi University Yamaguchi 753, Japan