Luh, J. Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), 267-274

## THE STRUCTURE OF PRIMITIVE GAMMA RINGS

## JIANG LUH

(Received November 4, 1969)

### 1. Introduction

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was first introduced by Nobusawa [7]. The class of  $\Gamma$ -rings contains not only all rings but also all Hestenes ternary rings. In [7], Nobusawa generalized the Wedderburn-Artin Theorem for simple  $\Gamma$ -rings and for semi-simple  $\Gamma$ -rings. Barnes [1] obtained analogues of the classical Noether-Lasker theorems concerning primary representations of ideals for  $\Gamma$ -rings. The author [5] gave a characterization of primitive  $\Gamma$ -rings with minimal one-sided ideals by means of certain  $\Gamma$ -rings of continuous semilinear transformations. He [6] also established several structure theorems for simple  $\Gamma$ -rings having minimal one-sided ideals. Recently, Coppage and the author [2] introduced the notions of Jacobson radical, Levitzki radical, nil radical for  $\Gamma$ rings and obtained some basic radical properties and inclusion relations for these radicals together with the prime radical defined by Barnes [1].

The object of this paper is to study the structure of primitive  $\Gamma$ -rings. One of its main results is a generalization of the Jacobson - Chevalley density theorem. This generalizes further a result given by Smiley and Stephenson for Hestenes ternary rings [8].

We refer to [4] for all notions relevent to ring theory.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let M and  $\Gamma$  be two additive abelian groups. If for all x, y,  $z \in M$  and all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \Gamma$  the conditions

(1)  $x \alpha y \in M$ 

(2)  $(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z,$  $x(\alpha+\beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z,$  $x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$ 

(3)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ 

are satisfied then we call  $M \ a \ \Gamma$ -ring.

If these conditions are strengthened to

(1')  $x\alpha y \in M, \alpha x \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

(2') the same as (2),

(3')  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x(\alpha y\beta)z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ 

(4')  $x\alpha y=0$  for all  $x, y \in M$  implies  $\alpha=0$ , then M is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa.

Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $S, T \subseteq M$ , we write  $S\Gamma T$  for the set of finite sums  $\Sigma_i s_i \alpha_i t_i$  where  $s_i \in S, t_i \in T, \alpha_i \in T$ . A subgroup I of M is a left (right) ideal of M if  $M\Gamma I \subseteq I (I\Gamma M \subseteq I)$ . If I is both a left and a right ideal of M, then I is an ideal of M. A one-sided ideal I is strongly nilpotent if  $I^n = I\Gamma I \cdots \Gamma I = 0$  for some positive integer n. A non-zero right (left) ideal is minimal if the only right (left) ideals of M contained in I are 0 and I itself. It has been shown that every minimal right ideal which is not strongly nilpotent can be expressed as the form  $e\gamma M$ , where  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $e \in M$  and  $e\gamma e = e$  (see [5] Theorem 3.2).

Let F be the free abelian group generated by the set of all ordered pairs  $(\alpha, x)$  where  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \in M$ . Let K be the subgroup of elements  $\sum_i m_i (\alpha_i, x_i) \in F$ , where  $m_i$  are integers such that  $\sum_i m_i (x \alpha_i x_i) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Denote by R the factor group F/K and by  $[\alpha, x]$  the coset  $K+(\alpha, x)$ . Clearly every element in R can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i]$ . We define multiplication in R by

$$\Sigma_i[\alpha_i, x_i] \cdot \Sigma_j[\beta_j, y_j] = \Sigma_{i,j}[\alpha_i, x_i\beta_j y_j].$$

Then R forms a ring. Furthermore, M is a right R-module with the definition

$$x \Sigma_i[\alpha_i, x_i] = \Sigma_i x \alpha_i x_i$$
, for  $x \in M$ .

We call the ring R the right operator ring of M. Similarly, we can define the left operator ring L. Every element in L can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j]$  where  $x_j \in M$ ,  $\beta_j \in \Gamma$ . These two operator rings play important roles in studying the structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings. We recall that a  $\Gamma$ -ring M is right primitive if (i)  $M\Gamma x=0$  implies x=0 and (ii) the right operator ring R of M is a right primitive ring.

**Theorem 1.** If M is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring, then the left operator ring of M is a right primitive ring.

Proof. Let R and L be respectively the right and left operator rings of M.

Let G be a faithful irreducible right R-module. Let A be the free abelian group generated by the set of ordered pairs  $(g, \gamma)$ , where  $g \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , and let B be the subgroup of elements  $\sum_i m_i(g_i, \gamma_i) \in A$  where  $m_i$  are integers such that  $\sum_i m_i g_i[\gamma_i, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Denote by H the factor group A/B and, without causing any ambiguity, by  $[g, \gamma]$  the coset  $B + (g, \gamma)$ . Every element in H therefore can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]$ . H forms a right L-module with the definition

268

$$\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] \cdot \Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] = \Sigma_{i,j}[g_i[\gamma_i, x_j], \beta_j]$$

for  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] \in H$  and  $\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j] \in L$ . We claim that H is a faithful irreducible right L-module. Assume  $H \sum_j [x_j, \beta_j] = 0$ . Then for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $g \in G$ , we have  $\sum_j [g[\gamma, x_j], \beta_j] = [g, \gamma] \sum_j [x_j, \beta_j] = 0$ , i.e.  $g \sum_j [\gamma, x_j] [\beta_j, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . By the faithfulness of the R-module of G,  $[\gamma, \sum_j x_j \beta_j x] = \sum_j [\gamma, x_j] [\beta_j, x] = 0$ , so  $M \Gamma \sum_i x_j \beta_j x = 0$ . By the condition (i),  $\sum_j x_i \beta_j x = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . This means that  $\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j] = 0$  and H is faithful. To see that H is irreducible, let  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]$ be an arbitrary non-zero element in H. Then the set  $G' = \{\sum_i g_i [\gamma_i, x] : x \in M\}$ is a non-zero R-submodule of G. Since G is irreducible, G' = G. For any  $\sum_j [g_j', \gamma_j'] \in H$ , we may write  $g_j' = \sum_i g_i [\gamma_i, x_j]$  where  $x_j \in M$ . Thus  $\sum_j [g_j', \gamma_j'] = \sum_j [\sum_i g_i [\gamma_i, x_j], \gamma_j'] = \sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] \sum_j [x_j, \gamma_j] \in \sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] L$ . Hence H is irreducible and L is a right primitive ring.

#### 3. Irreducible $\Gamma$ -rings of homomorphisms on groups

Let G and H be non-zero additive abelian groups. If M and  $\Gamma$  are respectively subgroups of Hom (H, G) and Hom (G, H) such that  $g\Gamma = H$  and hM = G whenever  $0 \neq g \in G$  and  $0 \neq h \in H$ , and moreover if  $x\alpha y \in M$  and  $\alpha x\beta \in \Gamma$  for all  $x, y \in M$ , then M forms a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa under the composition of mappings. We shall call such a  $\Gamma$ -ring an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups.

A  $\Gamma$ -ring M and a  $\Gamma'$ -ring M' are said to be isomorphic if there exist a group isomorphism  $\theta$  of M onto M' and a group isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma'$  such that  $(x\alpha y)\theta = (x\theta)(\alpha\phi)(y\theta)$  for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . It is clear that M is right primitive if and only if M' is right primitive.

# **Theorem 2.** A $\Gamma$ -ring M is a right primitive $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if and only if it is isomorphic to an irreducible $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups.

Proof. Necessity. Let M be a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa with right operator ring R and left operator ring L and let G be a faithful irreducible right R-module, from the proof of Theorem 1, we can construct the faithful irreducible right L-module H. Now, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ let  $\gamma \phi \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$  defined by  $g(\gamma \phi) = [g, \gamma]$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism of  $\Gamma$  into Hom (G, H). Moreover, if  $\gamma_1 \phi = \gamma_2 \phi$ , then  $[g, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2]$ = 0 i.e.  $g[\gamma_1 - \gamma_1, x] = 0$  for all  $g \in G, x \in M$ . By the faithfulness of G as an R-module,  $[\gamma_1 - \gamma_2, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Consequently  $M(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) M = 0$  and, by the condition (4') in the definition of  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ . Thus  $\phi$  is a group isomorphism of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \phi$ .

Likewise, for each  $x \in M$ , let  $x\theta$  be the mapping of H into G defined by  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i](x\theta) = \sum_i g_i[\gamma_i, x]$ . It can be shown easily that  $x\theta \in \text{Hom}(H, G)$  and

that  $\theta$  is a group homomorphism of M into Hom (H, G). We claim that  $\theta$  is oneto-one. Indeed, if  $x\theta = y\theta$ , where  $x, y \in M$ , then  $g[\gamma, x-y] = g[\gamma, x] - g[\gamma, y] = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Again by the faithfulness of G,  $[\gamma, x-y] = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , or equivalently that  $M\Gamma(x-y)=0$ . Hence x=y and  $\theta$  is a group isomorphism of M onto  $M'=M\theta$ . It is easy to see that the  $\Gamma$ -ring M is isomorphic to the  $\Gamma'$ -ring M'.

It remains to show that M' is an irreducible  $\Gamma'$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups. Let  $0 \pm g \in G$ . Since gR = G, every element in H can be expressed as  $\sum_j [g\sum_i [\gamma_{ij}, x_{ij}], \beta_j] = g(\gamma \phi)$  where  $\gamma_{ij}, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ ,  $x_{ij} \in M$  and  $\gamma = \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} x_{ij} \beta_j$ . Hence  $H = g\Gamma'$ . Now, let h be an arbitrary non-zero element in H. Then  $h = g(\gamma \phi) = [g, \gamma]$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that  $h(x\theta) = [g, \gamma] (x\theta) = g[\gamma, x]$ for all  $x \in M$ . Thus hM' is a non-zero R-submodule of G and hence hM' = G.

Sufficiency. We may assume that M is an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homorphisms on groups, and that  $0 \pm \Gamma \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, H)$ ,  $0 \pm M \subseteq \text{Hom}(H, G)$  where Hand G are abelian groups with the property that for any  $0 \pm g \in G$  and  $0 \pm h \in H$ ,  $g\Gamma = H$  and hM = G. Clearly,  $M\Gamma x = 0$  for  $x \in M$  implies x = 0. For  $g \in G$  and  $\sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] \in R$ , the right operator ring of M, we define composition

$$g\Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] = \Sigma_i(g\gamma_i)x_i$$
.

This composition is well defined. For if  $\Sigma_j[\gamma_i, x_i] = \Sigma_j[\beta_j, y_j]$  in R, then  $\Sigma_i x \gamma_i x_i - \Sigma_j x \beta_j y_j = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . By noting that  $g \in g \Gamma M$ , we obtain  $\Sigma_i(g \gamma_i) x_i - \Sigma_j(g \beta_j) y_j = g(\Sigma_i \gamma_i x_i - \beta_j y_j) \in g \Gamma M(\Sigma_i \gamma_i x_i - \Sigma_j \beta_j y_j) = 0$ , so  $g \Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] = g \Sigma_j[\beta_j, y_j]$ . Clearly G forms an irreducible right R-module. Moreover, if  $\Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] \in R$  and if  $G \Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] = 0$ , then  $HM \Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] = G \Gamma M$  $\Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] = G \Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x] = 0$ , and hence  $M \Sigma_i[\gamma_i x_i] = 0$ . Consequently,  $\Sigma_i[\gamma_i, x_i] = 0$  and G is a faithful R-module. Thus, R is a right primitive ring and M is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa.

Observe the definition of irreducible  $\Gamma$ -rings of homomorphisms on groups. We can easily see that M is irreducible  $\Gamma$ -rings of homomorphisms on groups if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a irreducible  $\Gamma'$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups, where  $\Gamma'=M$ . Thus from Theorem 2, we immediately have the following

**Corollary.** Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then M is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a right primitive ' $\Gamma$ '-ring in the sense of Nobusawa, where  $\Gamma'=M$ .

## 4. Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem

Let G and H be non-zero right vector spaces over division rings  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  respectively, and let  $\sigma$  be an isomorphism of  $\Delta$  onto  $\Delta'$ . A group N of semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma$ ) of G into H is said to be dense if, for every positive integer n and every n linearly independent elements  $g_1, g_2$ ,

**27**0

 $\dots, g_n$  in G and every *n* elements  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n$  in H, there exists  $x \in N$  such that  $g_i x = h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now, if  $\Gamma$  is a dense group of semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma$ ) of G into H and M is a dense group of semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma^{-1}$ ) of H into G, and if the compositions of mappings  $x\alpha y \in M$  and  $\alpha x\beta \in \Gamma$  for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then M forms a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa under the composition of mappings. We shall call such a  $\Gamma$ -ring a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations.

Following is a generalization of the well known Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let M be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then M is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if and only if it is isomorphic to a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations.

Proof. Sufficiency. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2, since a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations evidently is an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups.

Necessity. We assume that M is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. According to the proof of Theorem 1 we can construct a faithful irreducible right R-module G and a faithful irreducible right L-module H, where R and L are respectively the right operator ring and the left operator ring of M. Set  $\Delta = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(G, G)$  and  $\Delta' = \operatorname{Hom}_{L}(H, H)$ . By Schur's Lemma,  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are division rings.

First, we shall show that  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are isomorphic. For  $\delta \in \Delta$ , we define the mapping  $\delta^{\sigma}: H \rightarrow H$  by

$$(\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i])\delta^{\sigma} = \Sigma_i[g\delta_i, \gamma_i]$$

for  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] \in H$ . Here  $\delta^{\sigma}$  is well defined. For, if  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] = \Sigma_j[g_j', \gamma_j']$ then for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\Sigma_i g_i[\gamma_i, x] = \Sigma_j g_j'[\gamma_j, x]$ , and hence  $\Sigma_i(g_i\delta) [\gamma_i, x] = (\Sigma_i g_i [\gamma_i, x])\delta = (\Sigma_j g_j'[\gamma_j', x])\delta = \Sigma_j(g_j'\delta) [\gamma_j', x]$ . Thus  $\Sigma_i[g_i\delta, \gamma_i] = \Sigma_j[g_j'\delta, \gamma_j']$ as we desired. Clearly,  $\delta^{\sigma}$  preserves addition. Moreover, for  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] \in H$  and  $\Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] \in L$ , we have  $(\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i]\Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j])\delta^{\sigma} = (\Sigma_{i,j}[g_i[\gamma_i, x_j], \beta_j])\delta^{\sigma} = (\Sigma_{i,j}[g_i, \gamma_i]\beta_j)\delta^{\sigma} = (\Sigma_{i,j}[g_i\delta, \gamma_i x_j\beta_j])\delta^{\sigma} = (\Sigma_{i,j}[g_i, \gamma_i]\delta^{\sigma})\Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j]$ . Hence  $\delta^{\sigma} \in \Delta'$ . It can be easily verified that  $\sigma : \delta \to \delta^{\sigma}$  is a monomorphism of  $\Delta$  into  $\Delta'$ . To show that  $\sigma$  is an onto mapping, we note that since H is a faithful irreducible right L-module and G is a faithful irreducible right R-module there exist  $g_0 \in G$  and  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $\{[g_0, \gamma_1] : \gamma \in \Gamma\} = H$  and  $\{g_0[\gamma_0, x] : x \in M\} = G$ . Let  $\delta'$  be an arbitrary element in  $\Delta'$  and  $[g_0, \gamma_0]\delta' = [g_0, \gamma_1]$ , where  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\delta : G \to G$  be defined by  $(g_0[\gamma_0, x])\delta = g_0[\gamma_1, x]$  for  $x \in M$ . This is well defined. In fact, if  $g_0[\gamma_0, x] = g_0[\gamma_0, y]$ , then, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $[g_0, \gamma_1] [x, \gamma] = ([g_0, \gamma_0]\delta')$  $[x, \gamma] = ([g_0, \gamma_0] [x, \gamma])\delta' = [g_0, \gamma_0] [y, \gamma])\delta' = ([g_0, \gamma_1]\delta')$   $[y, \gamma] = [g_0, \gamma_0] [y, \gamma]$ 

and hence, by the construction of H,  $g_0[\gamma_1 x \gamma, z] = g_0[\gamma_1 y \gamma, z]$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $z \in M$ . It follows that  $(g_0[\gamma_1, x] - g_0[\gamma_1, y])R = 0$ . Since G is a faithful irreducible right R-module,  $g_0[\gamma_1, x] = g_0[\gamma_1, y]$ . Clearly  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $\delta^{\sigma} = \delta'$ . Therefore  $\Delta \simeq \Delta'$ .

In the proof of Theorem 2 we have known already that the  $\Gamma$ -ring M is isomorphic to a  $\Gamma'$ -ring M', where  $\Gamma'$  is a subgroup of Hom (G, H) and M'is a subgroup of Hom (H, G). More precisely, two group isomorphisms  $\theta: M \to M'$  and  $\phi: \Gamma \to \Gamma'$  exist such that  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] (x\theta) = \sum_i g_i [\gamma_i, x]$  and  $g(\gamma \phi)$  $= [g, \gamma]$  for all  $g_i, g \in G, \gamma_i, \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in M$ .

Now we consider G and H as right  $\Delta$ -vector space and right  $\Delta'$ -vector space respectively. For any  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $(g\delta)(\gamma\phi)=[g\delta, \gamma]$  $=[g, \gamma]\delta^{\sigma}=(g(\gamma\phi))\delta^{\sigma}$  and  $([g, \gamma]\delta^{\sigma})(x\theta)=[g\delta, \gamma](x\theta)=g\delta[\gamma, x]=(g[\gamma, x])\delta^{\sigma}=([g, \gamma](x\theta))\delta$ . Thus  $\gamma\phi$  and  $x\theta$  are semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$  respectively).

It remains to show the density property for  $\Gamma'$ . The density property for M' can be obtained similarly. We shall show that for any  $n \Delta$ -independent elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$  and any n elements  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in H$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_i(\gamma \phi) = h_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We proceed by induction on n.

From Theorem 2, the assertion is obviously true for n=1. Now we assume that the assertion is true for n-1. We want first to show the existence of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that  $g_i(\gamma\phi)=0$  for i < n and  $g_n(\gamma\phi) \neq 0$ . Suppose such a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  does not exist. Then, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $g_i(\gamma \phi) = 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , implies  $g_n(\gamma \phi) = 0$ . Thus for any  $h \in H$ , by the induction hypothesis, there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_1(\gamma_0 \phi)$ =h and  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi)=0$ ,  $1 < i \le n-1$ . If also  $g_i(\gamma_1\phi)=h$  and  $g_i(\gamma_1\phi)=0$ ,  $1 < i \le n-1$ , for some  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ , then since  $g_i((\gamma_0 - \gamma_1)\phi) = 0$ , for  $1 \le i \le n-1$  it follows that  $g_n((\gamma_0 - \gamma_1)\phi) = 0$ , i.e.  $g_n(\gamma_0 \phi) = g_n(\gamma_1 \phi)$ . Hence the mapping  $\psi: H \rightarrow H$  defined by  $h\psi = g_n(\gamma_0\phi)$  whenever  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi) = h$  and  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi) = 0$  for 1 < i < n, is well defined. It is easy to see that  $\psi$  preserves addition. Let us recall that  $g_0$  is an element in G with  $\{[g_0, \gamma]: \gamma \in \Gamma\} = H$ . Let  $[g_0, \gamma] \in H$  and  $\sum_i [x_i, \gamma_i] \in L$ . Then  $[g_0, \gamma]\psi = g_n(\gamma_0\phi)$  for some  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , where  $g_1(\gamma_0\phi) = [g_0, \gamma]$  and  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi) = 0, 2 \le i \le j$ . Thus,  $([g_0, \gamma]\psi) \quad \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i] = (g_n(\gamma_0\phi)) \quad \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i] = [g_n, \gamma_0] \quad \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i]$ n - 1.  $=g_n(\gamma_1\phi)$ , where  $\gamma_1=\sum_i\gamma_0x_i\gamma_i$ . On the other hand, since  $g_1(\gamma_1\phi)=[g_0,\gamma]$  $\Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i]$  and  $g_i(\gamma_i \phi) = 0, 2 \le i \le n-1$ , by the definition of  $\psi$ ,  $([g_0, \gamma] \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i])$  $\psi = g_n(\gamma_1 \phi)$ . Consequently,  $([g_0, \gamma] \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i]) \psi = ([g_0, \gamma] \psi) \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i]$ and hence  $\psi \in \Delta'$ . Let  $\psi = \delta^{\sigma}$  where  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Since  $g_1 \delta - g_n, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}$  are  $\Delta$ -linearly independent, by the induction hypothesis, there exists  $\gamma' \in \gamma$  such that  $(g_1 \delta - g_n)$  $(\gamma'\phi) \neq 0$  and  $g_i(\gamma'\phi) = 0$  for 1 < i < n. But by the definition of  $\psi$ ,  $(g_1\delta - g_n)$  $(\gamma'\phi) = (g_1\delta)(\gamma'\phi) - g_n(\gamma'\phi) = (g_1(\gamma'\phi))\psi - g_n(\gamma'\phi) = 0$ , a contradiction. This proves the existence of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_n(\gamma \phi) \neq 0$  and  $g_i(\gamma \phi) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Since  $g_n(\gamma\phi)L=H$ , there exists  $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_n(\gamma_n\phi)=h_n$ , and  $g_i(\gamma_n\phi)=0$ for  $1 \leq i < n$ .

272

Likewise, there exist  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma, 1 \leq i < n$ , such that  $g_i(\gamma_i \phi) = h_i$  and  $g_j(\gamma_i \phi) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Now let  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \cdots + \gamma_n$ . Then  $g_i(\gamma \phi) = h_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  as we desired. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We recall the definition of Hestense ternary rings. Let G and H be additive abelian groups. M and  $\Gamma$  be subgroups of Hom (H, G) and Hom (G, H) respectively. If there is a mapping \* of M onto  $\Gamma$  such that a  $b^* \ c \in M$  whenever  $a, b, c \in M$  then M is called a Hestenes ternary ring. The set of all finite sums  $\sum_i a_i^* b_i$  with  $a_i, b_i \in M$  form a ring R and the set of all finite sums  $\sum_i c_i d_i^*$  with  $c_i, d_i \in M$  form a ring L. Clearly M is a right R-module and is a left Lmodule. If M is irreducible as a R-module and as an L-module then M is called an irreducible Hestenes ternary ring. Evidently, if M is an irreducible Hestenes ternary ring then M is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa and the rings R and L are respectively the right operator ring and the left operator ring of M. Therefore Theorem 3 generalizes further the extension of the Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem given by Smiley and Stephenson (see [8, 9]).

#### 5. Primitive $\Gamma$ -rings with non-zero socles

In [6], we have introduced the notion of socles for  $\Gamma$ -rings. The right (left) socle  $S_r(S_l)$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring M is the sum of all minimal right (left) ideals of M. In the case M has no minimal right (left) ideals, the right (left) socle of M is defined to be 0. It has been shown that if M is an one-sided primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring having minimal one-sided ideals then M is a two sided primitive and its right socle and left socle coincide (see [5, Theorem 4.2] and [6, Theorem 4.3]).

In this section we shall present a characterization for primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring with non-zero socle which is different from the one given in [5].

**Theorem 4.** A  $\Gamma$ -ring M in the sense of Nobusawa is primitive with nonzero socle if and only if it is isomorphic to a dense  $\Gamma'$ -ring M' of semi-linear transformations containing non-zero semilinear transformations of finite rank. Moreover, the socle of M' is the set of semilinear transformations of finite rank contained in M'.

Proof. Necessity. Assume that M is a primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa with non-zero socle. According to Theorem 3, M can be regarded as a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations. Let G and H be vector spaces over division rings  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ ,  $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  be an isomorphism, M be a dense group of semilinear transformations of H into G (associated with  $\sigma^{-1}$ ) and  $\Gamma$  be a dense group of semilinear transformations of G into H (associated with  $\sigma$ ). Let  $e\gamma M$  be a minimal right ideal of M, where  $e \in M$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $e\gamma e = e$ . We claim that e is a rank 1, for otherwise, there would exist  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that  $h_1e$  and  $h_2e$  are  $\Delta$ -linearly independent. By the density property of  $\Gamma$  and M, there would exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $h_1 e \gamma_0 = 0$  and  $h_2 e \gamma_0 \pm 0$  and  $h_2 e \gamma_0 M = G$ .

Since  $e\gamma M$  is minimal and  $h_1e\gamma(e\gamma_0M)=h_1e\gamma_0M=0$ , the right ideal  $\{x \in e\gamma M: h_1x=0\}=e\gamma M$ , i.e.  $h_1e\gamma M=0$ . Particularly,  $h_1e=h_1e\gamma e=0$ , a contradiction. Thus M contains non-zero semilinear transformations of finite rank. In addition, since the socle S of M is the sum of minimal right ideals, every element in S is of finite rank.

Sufficiency. Assume that M is a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations on vector spaces G and H described above, and assume that M contains semilinear transformations of finite rank. By density property, M contains semilinear transformations of rank 1. Let  $a \in M$  be of rank 1, and let  $Ha = \langle g_1 \rangle$ , the subspace of G generated by  $g_1$ . Consider  $I = \{x \in M : Hx \subseteq \langle g_1 \rangle\}$ , a left ideal of M. We claim that I is minimal. Let  $0 \neq x_1 \in I$ . Then  $Hx_1 = \langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $h_1x_1 = g_1$  for some  $h_1 \in H$ . By the density property of  $\Gamma$ , there exists  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_1\gamma_1 = h_1$ . Thus  $g_1 = g_1\gamma_1x_1$ . Now let x be an arbitrary element in I. For any  $h \in H$ , there exists  $\delta \in \Delta$  such that  $hx = g_1 \delta = (g_1\gamma_1x_1)\delta$  $= (g_1\delta)\gamma_1x_1 = hx\gamma_1x_1$ . Hence  $x = x\gamma_1x_1 \in M\Gamma x_1$ , so  $I = M\Gamma x_1$  for every  $0 \neq x_1 \in I$ . Thereofre I is a minimal left ideal containing a, a is in the socle of M, and Mhas a non-zero socle S.

The argument just used shows that every element in M of rank 1 is in S. But the density property of M and  $\Gamma$  insures that every element in M of finite rank is a sum of finitely many elements in M of rank 1. Therefore S contains all elements in M of finite rank. This completes the proof.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY AT RALEIGH

#### References

- [1] W.E. Barnes: On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math. 18 (1966), 411-422.
- [2] W.E. Coppage and J. Luh: Radicals of gamma rings (to appear).
- [3] M.R. Hestenes: A ternary algebra with applications to matrices and linear transformations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 11 (1962), 138–194.
- [4] N. Jacobson: Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. 37, Providence, 1964.
- [5] J. Luh: On primitive Γ-rings with minimal one-sided ideals, Osaka J. Math. 5 (1968), 165–173.
- [6] —— : On the theory of simple  $\Gamma$ -rings, Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969), 65–75.
- [7] N. Nobusawa: On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math. 1 (1964), 81-89.
- [8] M.F. Smiley: An introduction to Hestenes ternary rings, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 245-248.
- [9] R.A. Stephenson: Jacobson structure theory for Hestenes ternary rings, Ph. D. dissertation, University of California, Riverside, 1968.