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In the book of Mitchell [5] he has defined a category of a commutative diagrams over an abelian category $\mathfrak{A}$. Especially he has developed this idea to a finite commutative diagrams and obtained many interesting results on global dimension of this diagram. Among them he has shown in [5], p. 237, Corollary 10. 10 that if $I$ is a linearly ordered set, then $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim}[I, \mathfrak{N}]=1+\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A}$ for an abelian category $\mathfrak{A}$ with projectives. This is a generalization of Eilenberg, Rosenberg and Zelinsky [1], Theorem 8.

On the other hand, the author has studied a semi-primary hereditary ring and shown that it is a special type of generalized triangular matrix ring in [2].

In this note we shall generalize the notion of a generalized triangular matrix ring to an abelian category of generalized commutative diagram $\left[I, \mathscr{A}_{i}\right]$ over abelian categories $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ and obtain the similar results in it to [2], Theorem 1, where $I$ is a finite linearly ordered set. The method in this note is quite similar to [5], IX, $\S 10$ and different from that of [2]. Finally we shall show that if the $\mathfrak{H}_{i}$ are the abelian category of right $R_{i}$-modules, then [ $I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}$ ] is equivalent to a generalized triangular matrix ring over $R_{i}$ in [2], where $R_{i}$ is a ring.

The author has shown many applications of generalized triangular matrix ring to semi-primary rings with suitable conditions in [2], [3] and [4]. However we do not study any applications of our results in this note and he hopes to continue this work on some other day.

## 1. Abelian categories of generalized commutative diagrams

Let $I=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ be a linearly ordered set and $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ be abelian categories. We consider additive covariant functors $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ of $\mathfrak{\mathscr { N }}_{i}$ to $\mathfrak{N}_{j}$ for $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}$. For objects $A_{i} \in \mathfrak{Y}_{i}, A_{j} \in \mathfrak{A}_{j}$ we define an arrow $\mathrm{D}_{i j}: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{i j}=d_{i j} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}, \quad \text { where } d_{i j} \text { is a morphism in } \mathfrak{A}_{j} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using those $\mathrm{D}_{i j}$ we can define a category $\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ of diagrams over $\left\{\mathfrak{N}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Namely, the objects of $\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ consist of sets $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $\mathrm{D}_{i j}\left(A_{i} \in \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right)$ and the morphism of $\left[I, \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]$ consist of sets $\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\left(f_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i j}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(f_{i}\right)=f_{j} d_{i j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}: A_{i} A_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{i j}=d_{i j} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}, \mathrm{D}_{i j}^{\prime}=d_{i j}^{\prime} \mathrm{T}_{i j}$ are arrows in $\boldsymbol{A}=\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}=$ ( $A_{i}^{\prime}$ ), respectively.

Let $\boldsymbol{f}=\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a morhphism of $\boldsymbol{A}$ to $\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}$. Then we $\operatorname{define}$ a set $\left(\operatorname{Im} f_{i}\right)$, ( $\operatorname{coker} f_{i}$ ) and so on. If $\left(\operatorname{Im} f_{i}\right)$, $\left(\operatorname{coker} f_{i}\right) \cdots$ coincide with $\operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{f}$, coker $\boldsymbol{f} \cdots$ in $\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$, respectively, we shall call $\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ a category induced naturally from $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$.

Proposition 1.1. Let $I$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ be as above. [I, $\left.\mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ is an abelian category induced naturally from $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ if and only if $T_{i j}$ is cokernel preserving.

Proof. We assume that $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is cokernel preserving. Let $f=\left(f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}:\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be a morphism in $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$. Then we can easily see that $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is Kerf in $\mathfrak{A}$ and that (coker $\left.f_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is in $\mathfrak{A}$ since $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is cokernel preserving. Hence, we know from [1], p. 33, Theorem 20.1 that $\mathfrak{A}$ is an abelian category. Conversely, we assume $\mathfrak{A}$ is an abelian category as above. We may assume $I=(1,2)$. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow C_{1}$ be an epimorphism in $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}=\operatorname{im} \mathrm{T}(f)$, where $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{1,2}$. Put $A=\left(A_{1}, \mathrm{~T}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) C=\left(C_{1}, \mathrm{~T}\left(C_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{f}=(f, \mathrm{~T}(f))$. Then $\operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{f}=\left(C_{1}, B_{2}\right),(\boldsymbol{f}:$ $\left.A \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{f} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{i}} C\right)$. By the assumption $\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}$ and $i$ are morphisms in $\mathfrak{A}$. Hence, there exists an morphism $d: \mathrm{T}\left(C_{1}\right) \rightarrow B_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{2}$ such that $d \mathrm{~T}$ is an arrow in im $\boldsymbol{f}$. Namely

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{T}\left(A_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}(f)} \mathrm{T}\left(C_{1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\| d_{12} & \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}{ }_{2} d \\
\mathrm{~T}\left(A_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{f}_{2}} & B_{2}
\end{array}
$$

is commutative, where $i \boldsymbol{f}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathrm{T}(f)$.
Therefore, $\boldsymbol{f}_{2}^{\prime}=d T(f)=d i \boldsymbol{f}_{2}^{\prime}$. Since $\boldsymbol{f}_{2}^{\prime}$ is epimorphic $d i=\mathrm{I}_{B_{2}}$. On the other hand, we obtain similarly from an morphism $i$ that $i d=\mathrm{I}_{\boldsymbol{T}\left(C_{1}\right)}$. Hence, $d$ is isomorphic and T is an epimorphic functor. Let $A_{1}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{g} A_{1} \xrightarrow{f} A_{1} / \mathrm{g}\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ be exact and $B_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{im~} \mathrm{T}(g)$. Put $A=\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}, B_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right), \mathrm{C}=\left(A_{1}, \mathrm{~T}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)$, and $f=(g, i)$, where $\mathrm{T}(g): \mathrm{T}\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow B_{2}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{T}\left(A_{1}\right) . \quad$ From the assumption coker $f=\left(A_{1} / \mathrm{g}\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right), \mathrm{T}\left(A_{1}\right)!\right.$ $\left.B_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence there exists $d: \mathrm{T}\left(A_{1} / \mathrm{g}\left(A_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}\left(A_{1}\right) / B_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $d \mathrm{~T}(f)=h$, where $h=\operatorname{coker}\left(B_{2}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{~T}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)$, (cf. (3)). Hence, $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}(f) \subseteq B_{2}^{\prime \prime} . B_{2}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{T}(f)$ is clear, since $f g=0$. Therefore, T is cokernel preserving.

From this proposition we always assume that $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is cokernel preserving.

Let $A=\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{i}(A) & =A_{i}  \tag{4}\\
\left.\mathrm{~T}_{j} \widetilde{S}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) & =0 \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{j} \widetilde{S}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i<i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}<j} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{i_{k j}} \mathrm{~T}_{i_{k-1} i_{k}} \cdots \mathrm{~T}_{i_{1}}\left(A_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j},
$$

with arrow $\mathrm{D}_{i k}=\mathrm{T}_{j k}$ for $\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$.
Then we have a natural equivalence $\eta:\left[\widetilde{S}_{i}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{i}\right), \mathrm{D}\right] \approx\left[\mathrm{A}_{i}, \mathrm{~T}_{i}(\mathrm{D})\right]$ for any $\mathrm{A}_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{D} \in \mathfrak{Q}$. Hence, we have from [5], p. 138, Coro. 7.4.

Proposition 1.2. We assume that each $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ has a projective class $\varepsilon_{i}$, and $T_{i j}$ is cokernel preserving. Then $\cap T_{i}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ is a projective class in $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I . \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]$, whose projectives are the objects of the form $\oplus_{i \in I} \widetilde{S}_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and their retracts, where $P_{i}$ is $\varepsilon_{i}$ projective for all $i \in I$.

## 2. Commutative diagrams with special arrows

In the previous section we study a general case of abelian categories of commutative diagrams. However, it is too general to discuss them. Hence, we shall consider the following conditions:
[I] $T_{i j}$ is cokernel preserving.
[II] There exist natural transformations

$$
\psi_{i j k}: \mathrm{T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{i k} \quad \text { for any } \quad i<j<k
$$

[III] For any $i<j<k<l$ and $N$ in $A_{i}$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(N) \\
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\mid \mathrm{T}_{k l}\left(\psi_{i j k}\right) \\
\psi_{j k l}
\end{array}\right. & \mathrm{~T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{i k}(N) \\
\mathrm{T}_{j l} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(N)
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\psi_{i j l}} \begin{aligned}
& \downarrow \psi_{i k l} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{i l}(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

is commutative
[IV] For arrows $d_{i j}: \mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow A_{j}$ in $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I, \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]$

is commutative.
From now on we always assume I, II and for any arrows in $\mathfrak{A}$, we require the condition IV.

We note that IV implies $\mathrm{D}_{j k} \mathrm{D}_{i j}\left(A_{i}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{D}_{i k}\left(A_{i}\right)$ for any $A=\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathfrak{N}$.
First we shall show that $\mathfrak{A}$ is still an abelian category under the assumption I even if we require IV in $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left(\mathfrak{H}_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be abelian categories. We assume II. Then
$\mathfrak{U}=\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ requiring $I V$ is abelian if and only if $I$ is satisfied.
Proof. Let $f=\left(f_{i}\right):\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. We consider a diagram


We only prove from Proposition 1.1 that for any morphism $g=\left(g_{i}\right)$, $\left(\operatorname{ker} g_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ (coker $\left.g_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ satisfy IV. Put $A_{i}=\operatorname{ker} g_{i}$ and $f_{i}=$ inclusion morphism in the above. Then all squares except the rear in (5) are commutative from II, IV and (2). Since $f_{k}$ is monomorphic, the rear one is commutative. Which shows $\left(\operatorname{ker} g_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ satisfies IV. Similarly if $A_{i}=\left(\right.$ coker $\left.g_{i}\right)$ and $f_{i}$ epimorphism of cokernel, then (coker $g_{i}$ ) satisfies IV, since $\mathrm{T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(f_{i}\right)$ is epimorphic from I.

Next, we shall define functors similarly to $\widetilde{S}_{i}$. For $A_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{i}$ we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=\left(0,0, \cdots, A_{i}, \mathrm{~T}_{i i+1}\left(A_{i}\right), \cdots, \mathrm{T}_{i n}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \text { with arrows }  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{D}_{t k}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad t<i \\
& \mathrm{D}_{i k}=\mathrm{T}_{i k} \quad \text { for } \quad k>i \\
& \mathrm{D}_{j k}=\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \quad \text { for } \quad k>j>i
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ 's satisfy III, then $\mathrm{S}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is an object in $\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ requiring IV. Furthermore, we can prove easily $\left[\mathrm{S}_{i}\left(A_{i}\right), D\right] \approx\left[A_{i}, \mathrm{~T}_{i}(D)\right]$ for $D \in\left[I, \mathfrak{Y}_{i}\right]$. Hence, we have similarly to Proposition 1.2

Proposition 1.2'. We assume that each $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ has a projective class $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $I \sim$ III are satisfied. Then $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ requiring $I V$ has a projective class $\cap T_{i}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ whose projectives are the objects of the form $\underset{i \in I}{ } S_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and their retracts, where $P_{i}$ is $\varepsilon_{i}$-projective for all $i \in I$.

In the rest of the paper we always assume that $\left[I, \mathscr{N}_{i}\right]$ is an abelian category
of the commutative diagrams whose arrows are required IV and that I $\sim$ III are satisfied.

Proposition 2.2. $\left(D_{k l} D_{j k}\right) D_{i j}=D_{k l}\left(D_{j k} D_{i j}\right)$ for $i<j<k<l$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\text { Proof. } \quad\left(\mathrm{D}_{k l} \mathrm{D}_{j k}\right) \mathrm{D}_{i j}(A) & =d_{j l} \psi_{j k l}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\right)\left(d_{i j}\right) \mathrm{T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(A) \\
& \left.=d_{j l} \mathrm{~T}_{j l}\left(d_{i j}\right) \psi_{j k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(A) \quad \text { (naturality of } \psi\right) \\
& =d_{i l} \psi_{i j l} \psi_{j k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(A) & \text { (IV) } \\
& =d_{i l} \psi_{i k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k l}\left(\psi_{i j k}\right) \mathrm{T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(A) \quad \text { (III) } \\
& =d_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k l}\left(d_{i k}\right) \mathrm{T}_{k l}\left(\psi_{i j k}\right) \mathrm{T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(A) \quad \text { (IV) }  \tag{IV}\\
& =d_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k l}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{i k} \psi_{i j k}\right) \mathrm{T}_{k l} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}(A) \\
& =\mathrm{D}_{k l}\left(\mathrm{D}_{j k} \mathrm{D}_{i j}\right)(A) \quad \text { for any } \quad A \in \text { N }_{i} .
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 2.3. (cf. [1], p. 234, Lemma 9.3) Let $I=I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ and $I_{1}=\{1,2 \cdots$,
 a suitable functor $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}:\left[I_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{k}\right] \rightarrow\left[I_{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{k^{\prime}}\right]$.

Proof. First we define a functor $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$. Let $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}=\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{1}}$. For any $k \geqslant i$ we consider a diagram $\mathrm{D}_{k}=\left\{\mathrm{T}_{l_{k}}\left(A_{l}\right), \mathrm{T}_{l^{\prime} k} \mathrm{~T}_{l^{\prime}} A_{l}\right)$ for $l<l^{\prime}<i<k$ with arrows $\mathrm{T}_{l^{\prime} k} \mathrm{~T}_{l l^{\prime}}\left(A_{l}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{T}_{l_{k}}\left(A_{l}\right)$ and $\left.\left.\mathrm{T}_{l^{\prime} k} \mathrm{~T}_{l l^{\prime}}\left(A_{l}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}_{l^{\prime} k}\left(d_{l l^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{T}_{l^{\prime}{ }_{k}} A_{l^{\prime}}\right)\right\} . \quad \mathrm{D}_{k}$ has a colimit $A_{k}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{k}$ by [1], p. 46, Coro. 2.5, $\left(\left\{D_{k}\right\} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k}} A_{k}\right)$. Put $A_{2}=\left(A_{i}, \cdots, A_{n}\right)$. We shall show that $\boldsymbol{A}_{2}$ is in $\left[I_{2}, \mathfrak{Y}_{k^{\prime}}\right]$. We have to define $\mathrm{D}_{k k^{\prime}}$ for $i \leq k<k^{\prime}$. Consider a diagram

The upper and lower squares are commutative by III and naturality of $\psi$, respectively. Then (7) implies that these exist compatible morphism: $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(D_{k}\right)\right\} \rightarrow A_{k^{\prime}} . \quad$ Since $\mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}$ is colimit preserving by [5], p. 55. Proposition 6.4, we have a unique morphism $d_{k k^{\prime}}: \mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}\right) \rightarrow A_{k^{\prime}}$. Hence we can define $\mathrm{D}_{k k^{\prime}}=$ $d_{k k^{\prime}} \mathrm{T}_{\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}}$. Next we show that those $\mathrm{D}_{\boldsymbol{k} k^{\prime}}$ satisfy IV. For $i \leqslant k<k^{\prime}<k^{\prime \prime}$ we have a diagram
( 8 )


All squares except bottom are commutative by III and the definitions $d_{k k^{\prime}}$, $d_{k k^{\prime \prime}}$ and $d_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}}$. On the other hand, it is clear that $\varphi_{k}: \mathrm{T}_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(D_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{TT}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}$ $\mathrm{T}_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime \prime}}\left(A_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{k k^{\prime \prime}}} \mathfrak{A}_{k^{\prime \prime}}$ is compatible. Since $\mathrm{T}_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}$ is colimit preserving, we have a unique morphism $\Phi: \mathrm{T}_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}\right) \rightarrow A_{k^{\prime \prime}}$ such that $\psi_{k}=$ $\Phi \mathrm{TT}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$. Therefore, the bottom square is also commutative, which means II. Thus we have shown that $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$ is a functor. Let $\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)$ be in $\mathfrak{A}{ }^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}=$ $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I_{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_{2}=\left(B_{j}\right)_{j \in I_{2}}$. From the definition of $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$ we have a morphism: $\mathrm{T}_{j k}\left(A_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k}} A_{k} \xrightarrow{d_{k}} B_{k}$ for $j \in I_{1}, k \in I_{2}$, where $\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \in I}: \boldsymbol{T}_{12}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}_{2}$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}_{j k}^{\prime}=d_{k} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \quad \text { for } \quad j<i<k \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{D}_{s t}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{s t} \quad \text { for } \quad s, t \in I_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad T_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall show that $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}{ }_{i j}$ satisfy IV. Take $j<h<k$. If $j \in I_{2}$ or $k \in I_{1}$, then it is obvious. We assume $j \in I_{1}$ and $h, k \in I_{2}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{T}_{h k} \mathrm{~T}_{j h}\left(A_{j}\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}\left(\alpha_{h}\right)} & \mathrm{T}_{h k}\left(A_{h}\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}\left(d_{k}\right)} & \mathrm{T}_{h k}\left(B_{h}\right)  \tag{9}\\
\downarrow & & \|_{k k} & & \int_{k} d^{\prime}{ }_{n k} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{j}\right) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k}} & d_{k} & \xrightarrow{d_{k}} & B_{k}
\end{array}
$$

where $d^{\prime}{ }_{h k}$ is a given morphism in $\boldsymbol{A}_{2}$. The left side is commutative by the definition of $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$ and so is the right side, since $h, k \in \mathrm{I}_{2}$. Hence, the out side square means IV. We can easily see by the defininition of $\left\{D_{k}\right\}$ that IV is satisfied for $j, h \in \mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $k \in \mathrm{I}_{2}$. Hence, $\boldsymbol{T}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\right)=\left(A_{1}, \cdots, A_{i-1}, B_{i}, \cdots, B_{n}\right)$ is an object in $\mathfrak{A}$. Conversely, for $\boldsymbol{A}=\left(A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}\right)$ we put $\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{A})=\left(\left(A_{1}, \cdots, A_{i-1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(A_{i}, \cdots, A_{n}\right)\right)$. Then it is clear that $\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{A}) \in \mathfrak{Y}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{S}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathfrak{A}}, \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{T}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathfrak{\not}}{ }^{\prime} . \quad$ This
shows that $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$ is cokernel preserving by Proposition 1.1.

## 3. Hereditary categories

In this section, we always assume that I IV are satisfied and every $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ has projectives and hence $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I, \mathscr{\mathscr { ~ }}_{i}\right]$ has projectives by Proposition 1.2'.

If every object in an abelian category $\mathfrak{B}$ is projective, we call $\mathfrak{B}$ a semisimple category, which is equivalent to a fact $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{B}=0$. If $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{B} \leqq 1$ we call $\mathfrak{B}$ hereditary.

Proposition 3.1. ([5], p. 235, Coro. 10.3). We assume that $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ has projectives and that $T_{i j}$ is projective preserving. Let $D=\left(D_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be an object in $\left[I, \mathfrak{H}_{i}\right]$ and $m=\max \left(h d D_{i}\right), n=$ the number of elements of $I$. Then $h d D \leqslant n+m-1$.

Since $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is projective preserving, we can prove it similarly to [1], p. 235.
Corollary. Let $I=(1,2)$ and $T_{12}$ be projective preserving. Then
$\max \left(\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right) \leqq \operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim}\left[(1,2), \mathfrak{A}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right] \leqslant \max \left(\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right)+1$.
Proof. The right side inequality is clear from Proposition 3.1. Let $A$ be an object in $\mathfrak{\Re}_{1}$. It is clear that $\operatorname{hd}(A, 0) \geqq$ hd $A$. Since $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ is projective preserving, we have similarly $\operatorname{hd}\left(0, A^{\prime}\right) \geqq \operatorname{hd} A^{\prime}$ for $A^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{A}_{2}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{A}=\left[(1,2), \mathfrak{U}_{1}, \mathfrak{U}_{2}\right]$. If $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{U} \leqq 1$, then $T_{12}$ is projective preserving.

Proof. Let $P_{1}$ be projective in $\mathfrak{\Re}_{1}$. Then $\left(P_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{t} 2}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}$ by Proposition 1.2. Let $0 \leftarrow \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \leftarrow Q$ be an exact sequence in $\mathfrak{A}_{2}$ with $Q$ projective. Then $(0.0) \leftarrow\left(P_{1}, 0\right) \leftarrow\left(P_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)\right) \leftarrow(0, Q)$ is exact in $\mathfrak{N}$. Since $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A} \leqq 1,\left(0, \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}\left(\left(0, \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)\right) \subset\left(P_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)\right.$. Hence, $\mathrm{T}\left(P_{1}\right) \leftarrow Q$ is retract and $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}_{2}$.

Similarly to the category of modules we have
Lemma 3.3. Let $A$ be an abelian category. If $A \oplus B=A^{\prime} \oplus C$ and $A \supset A^{\prime}$, then $A^{\prime}=A \oplus A^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime}=A \cap C$ and $C=A^{\prime \prime} \oplus C^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $I=(1,2)$ and $\mathfrak{Y}=\left[I, \mathfrak{Y}_{i}\right] . \quad$ If $T_{12}$ is projective preserving, then every projective object $A$ in $\mathfrak{U}$ is of a form $\left(P_{1}, T_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}\right)$ and the arrow $d_{12}$ in $A$ is monomorphic, where $P_{i}$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$.

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{A}=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is a retraction of an object of a form $\boldsymbol{P}=$ $\left(P_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}\right)$ with $P_{i}$ projective in $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$. Hence, $0 \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}$ splits. Let $P_{1}=$ $A_{1} \oplus Q_{1}$. Then $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{12}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $A_{2}$ is a coretract of $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus$ $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(A_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{12}} A_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus$ $P_{2}$, and the right side is monomorphic. Hence, $d_{12}$ is monomorphic. Thus we
may assume $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(A_{1}\right) \subset A_{2} \subset \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}$. Therefore, $A_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus A_{2}^{\prime}$ by Lemma 3.3. Since $P_{1}$ is projective and $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ is projective preserving, $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}_{2}$. Hence, $A_{2}^{\prime}$ is projective by Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{N}_{1}, \mathfrak{N}_{2}$ be hereditary and $T_{12}$ projective preserving. If $T_{12}\left(P_{2}\right)$ is a coretract of $T_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)$ for any projective objects $P_{1} \supset P_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{1}$, then $\mathfrak{A}=$ $\left[(1,2), \mathfrak{H}_{1}, \mathfrak{A}_{2}\right]$ is hereditary.

Proof. Let $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ be any object in $\mathfrak{N}$ and $0 \leftarrow\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} P$ be exact, where $P$ श-projective. Then $P=\left(P_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}\right)$ with $P_{i}$ projective by Lemma 3.4. Put ker $f=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{N}_{1}$ is hereditary, $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ is projective. Hence, $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)$ is a coretract of $\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)$ by the assumption. Hence, $\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{12}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}_{2}^{\prime}$ by Lemma 3.3. Since $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ is projective, $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-projective.

Theorem 3.6. Let $I=(1,2, \cdots, n)$ be a linearly ordered set, $\mathfrak{U}_{i}$ abelian categories with projectives. Let $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I, \mathfrak{N}_{i}\right]$ be the abelian category of commutative diagrams over $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ with functors $T_{i j}$ satisfying $I \sim I V$. If $\mathfrak{\vartheta}$ is hereditary, then we have:
i) Every projective object of $\mathfrak{A}$ is of a form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} S_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)$, where $P_{i}$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$.
ii) $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is projective preserving for any $i<j$.
iii) $\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(P_{2}\right)$ is a coretract of $\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(P_{1}\right)$ for any projective objects $P_{1} \supset P_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$.
iv) $\left[\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{t}\right), A_{i_{1}}, A_{i_{2}}, \cdots, A_{i_{t}}\right] \equiv \mathfrak{A}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{t}\right)$ is hereditary for any $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{t}$.
v) If $P=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is projective in $\mathfrak{A}$, then every $d_{i j}$ in $P$ is a coretract. $\left(P_{i_{1}}, P_{i_{2}}, \cdots, P_{i_{t}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{U}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{t}\right)$-projective.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem by the induction on the number $n$ of element of $I$. We obtain $\mathfrak{A} \approx\left[(1,2), \mathfrak{\mathscr { A } _ { 1 }}, \mathfrak{A}(I-1)\right] \equiv \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ from Theorem 3.2. Then $\mathfrak{A}(I-1)$ is hereditary by Lemma 3.2 and Corollary to Proposition 3.1. Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$ in $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ is projective preserving. i) Let $P=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be projective in $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $P=\left(P_{1}, \boldsymbol{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{P}_{2}\right)$ by Lemma 3.4, where $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ is projective in $\mathfrak{\because}(I-1)$. We obtain, by the definition of $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}$, that $\boldsymbol{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(P_{1}\right)_{i \in I-1}\right.$. Hence, $P=$ $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{~S}_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)$ by the induction hypothesis. ii) Every component of projective object in $\mathfrak{A}(I-1)$ is projective by the induction. Hence, $\mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(P_{1}\right)$ is projective in $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$. iii) Let $P_{1} \supset P_{2}$ be projective in $\mathfrak{\Re}_{1}$. Put $A=\left(P_{1} / P_{2}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$. Then we have an exact sequence $0 \leftarrow A \leftarrow\left(P_{1}, \boldsymbol{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is hereditary, its kernel $\left(P_{2}, \boldsymbol{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)$ is projective. Therefore, $\mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(P_{2}\right)$ is a coretract from i). iv) We may show that $\mathfrak{A}(I-i)$ is hereditary for any $i$. $\mathfrak{Y} \approx\left[I_{1}, i, I_{2}, \mathfrak{X}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{Y}_{i}, \mathfrak{Y}_{2}^{\prime}\right]$, where $I_{1}=$ $(1, \cdots, i-1), I_{2}=(i+1, \cdots, n), \mathfrak{U}_{1}=\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{2}=\mathfrak{A}\left(I_{2}\right)$. From Lemma $3.2 \boldsymbol{T}_{13}$ is projective preserving and hence $\mathfrak{A}(I-i)$ is hereditary from iii) and Lemma 3.5 and the definition of $\boldsymbol{T}_{13}$. v) Since $P=\left(P_{1}, \boldsymbol{T}_{12}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus P_{2}\right), d_{1 i}: \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(P_{1}\right) \rightarrow P_{i}$ is a coretract.
$P \approx\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}, \boldsymbol{P}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{\prime}=\left(P_{j}\right)_{j \in I_{1}}$ and $P_{3}^{\prime}=\left(P_{j}\right)_{j \in I_{2}}$. Then it is clear from i) and induction that $\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{P}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{U}(I-i)$-projective.

Next we shall study a condition of every projective objects in $\mathfrak{A}$ being of a form $\oplus \mathrm{S}_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)$, when $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is projective preserving.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{i}$ be as above and $T_{i j}$ projective preserving. If we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(P_{i}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{i+1 j} \mathrm{~T}_{i, i+1}\left(P_{i}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i+2 j}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i+2}\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j-1 j}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j-1}\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j}\left(P_{i}\right) \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any projective object $P_{i}$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ for all $i$, then every object $A=\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathfrak{N}$ is of a form $\oplus S_{i}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ whenever $A$ is subobject of $P=\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I}$ and $A_{i}$ is a coretract of $Q_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i$, where $K^{j}\left(P_{i}\right)$ is an object in $\mathfrak{U}_{j}, Q_{i}$ and $Q_{i}^{\prime}$ are $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$-projective, and the equality in $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$is given by taking suitable transformution from the right side to the left in (*).

Proof. We may assume $P=\oplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{~S}_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and $P_{i}$ is $\mathfrak{U}_{i}$-projective. Put $P=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. From the assumption $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}=A_{1} \oplus Q_{1}$. We shall show the following fact by the induction on $i$.
i)

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{i}=\mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(K^{i-1}\right) \oplus K^{i} \\
K^{i} \oplus Q_{i}=P_{i} \oplus \Re^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \Re^{i}\left(Q_{2}\right) \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{1}\left(Q_{i-2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(Q_{i-1}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

ii)
and this is a coretract of $P_{i}$, where $\mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{j}\right)$ is the object in (*) for projective $Q_{i}$ and the equalities are considered in $P_{i}$ by suitable imbedding mappings. If $i=1,2$, i) and ii) are clear (see the proof of Lemma 3.4). We assume i) and ii) are true for $k<i$. Using this assumption we first show for $2<j<i-1$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{P}_{i}= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j i}\left(K^{j}\right) \\
& \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}\left(P_{j+1} \oplus\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j+1}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j+1}\left(Q_{j-1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j j+1}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+2 i}\left(P_{j+2} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{j+2}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j+2}\left(Q_{j-1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j+2}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \oplus \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(P_{i-1} \oplus\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{j-1}\right) \mathrm{K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \oplus P_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{j-1}\right)+\mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{i}= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(P_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(P_{i-1}\right) \oplus P_{i} \\
= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(P_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(P_{2}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{\prime} \quad\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{T}_{3 i}\left(P_{3}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{i}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(P_{2}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{\prime} \\
= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{T}_{2 i} \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{3 i}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{3}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(P_{2}\right) \oplus \boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{\prime} \quad\left(\left(^{*}\right)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm { T } _ { 2 i } ( P _ { 2 } \oplus \mathrm { T } _ { 1 2 } ( Q _ { 1 } ) ) \oplus \left(\mathrm{T}_{3 i}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{3}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right)+\boldsymbol{P}_{i}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \\
& \oplus \mathrm{T}_{3 i}\left(P_{3} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{3}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{23}\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oplus \mathrm{T}_{4 i}\left(P_{4} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{3}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{4}\left(Q_{2}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \\
& \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(P_{i-1} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \oplus P_{i} \oplus \Omega^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a case of $j=2$ in iii). We assume iii) is true for $k \leqq j$. Since $j+1<i$, we obtain from ii) and taking $\mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}\left(K^{j+1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+i i}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}\left(P_{j+1} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{j+1}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j+1}\left(Q_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j+1}\left(Q_{j-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j j+1}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{j+2 i} \mathrm{~T}_{j+1 j+2}\left(Q_{j+1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+3 i}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j+3}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \\
& \quad \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{i+1}\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Q_{j+1}$ is a coretract of $\boldsymbol{P}_{j+1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{j+1}\right)$ is a coretract of $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ by the following Lemma 3.8, we may regard the above objects on the both sides as sub objects in $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{i}= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j i}\left(K^{i}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+1 i}\left(K^{j+1}\right) \\
& \left.\oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+2 i}\left(P_{j+2} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{j+2}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j+2}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j+1 j+2}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \\
& \left.\oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(P_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{j}\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{j+1}\right)\right) \\
& \oplus P_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{j}\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{j+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain from i) and ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_{i}= & \mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-2 i}\left(K^{i-2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(P_{i-1} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots\right. \\
& \left.\left.\oplus \mathrm{K}^{i-1}\left(Q_{i-3}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-2 i-1}\left(Q_{i-2}\right)\right) \oplus\left(P_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{i-2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left\{\mathrm{T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-2 i}\left(K^{i-2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(K^{i-1}\right)\right\} \oplus\left\{P_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots\right. \\
& \left.\oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{i-2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(Q_{i-1}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{l} \supset K^{l}$ and $A_{i} \supset \mathrm{~T}_{1 i}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{2 i}\left(K^{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(K^{i-1}\right)=A_{i}^{\prime}$, we obtain $A_{i}=A_{i}^{\prime} \oplus K^{i}$ and $Q_{i}$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ such that

$$
K^{i} \oplus Q_{i}=P_{i} \oplus \mathrm{~K}^{i}\left(Q_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{i}\left(Q_{i-2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i-1 i}\left(Q_{i-1}\right),
$$

and hence, $K^{i} \oplus Q_{i}$ is a coretract of $\boldsymbol{P}_{i}$. Therefore, $A=\underset{i \geqslant 2}{\oplus} \mathrm{~S}_{i}\left(K^{i}\right) \oplus \mathrm{S}_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is projective preserving, each $K^{i}$ is $\mathscr{A}_{i}$-projective.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathfrak{\Re}_{i}$ and $T_{i j}$ be as above. We assume that $T_{i j}$ satisfies the condition (*). Then $T_{i j}\left(P_{i}\right)$ is a coretract of $P_{j}$ for any projective object $P=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$.

Proof. We may assume $P=\oplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{~S}_{i}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ by Lemma 3.3, where $Q_{i}$ is $\mathfrak{U}_{i}$-pro-
jective. Then $P_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{k i}\left(Q_{k}\right) \oplus Q_{i}$. We shall show under the assumption of Lemma 3.8 that $\mathrm{T}_{j l} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(P_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{i j l}} \mathrm{~T}_{i l}\left(P_{i}\right)$ is a coretract. Let $t=l-i$. If $t=2$, then the fact is clear from ( ${ }^{*}$ ). We assume it for $t<k$ and $k=l-i$. $\quad \mathrm{T}_{j l} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(P_{i}\right)=$ $\mathrm{T}_{j l} \mathrm{~T}_{i+1 j} \mathrm{~T}_{i i+1}\left(R_{i}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j l}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{i+2 j}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i+2}\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j-1 j}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j-1}\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j}\left(P_{j}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{i l}\left(P_{i}\right)= & \mathrm{T}_{i+1 l} \mathrm{~T}_{i i+l}\left(P_{i}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i+2 l}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i+2}\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j l}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j}\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \\
& +\mathrm{T}_{j+1 l}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j+1}\left(P_{i}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{K}^{l}\left(P_{i}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain $\psi_{i j l}$ is a coretract from the assumption III, naturality of $\psi$ and induction hypothesis. From those facts we can easily prove Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ be as above, and $I^{\prime}$ a subset of $I$. Then there exist functors $M:\left[I^{\prime}, \mathfrak{Y}\right] \rightarrow[I, \mathfrak{X}], F:\left[I, \mathfrak{X}_{X}\right] \rightarrow\left[I^{\prime}, \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]$ such that $F M=I_{\left[I^{\prime}, \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]}$, where $F$ is the restriction functor.

Proof. We may assume $I=I^{\prime} \cup\{i\}$ by the induction. Let $I_{1}=\{j \mid \in I, j<i\}$ $I_{2}=\{j \mid \in I, j>i\}$ and $A=\left(A_{j}\right)_{j \in I^{\prime}}$. If $I_{1}=\phi$, we put $A_{1}=0$. We assume $I_{1}=\phi$. We consider a family $D_{i}=\left\{\mathrm{T}_{k i}\left(A_{k}\right), \mathrm{T}_{k^{\prime} i} \mathrm{~T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{k k^{\prime} i}} \mathrm{~T}_{k i}\left(A_{k}\right)\right.$ and $\mathrm{T}_{k i} \mathrm{~T}_{k k^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}\right)$ $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}_{k i}\left(d_{k k^{\prime}}\right)} \mathrm{T}_{k i}\left(A_{k}\right)$ for $\left.k<k^{\prime}<i\right\}$. Put $A_{i}$ is a colimit of $D_{i}$. Then we have defined arrows $D_{k i}$ and $D_{i l}$ for $k \in I_{1}, l \in I_{2}$ from (7). It is easily seen from the definition of colimit that those $\mathrm{D}_{i j}$ satisfy IV. Then $\mathrm{M}(A)=\left(A_{k}\right)_{k \in I}$ is a desired functor.

Remark. We note that if $A=\left(A_{k}\right)$ is a coretract of $B=\left(B_{k}\right)_{k \in I^{\prime}}$, then $\mathrm{M}(A)$ is a coretract of $\mathrm{M}(B)$, (cf. [5], p. 47, Coro. 2.10).

Proposition 3.10. Let $\left\{\mathfrak{H}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be abelian categories with projective class $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{A}(I)=\left[I, \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]$. We assume $T_{i j}$ is projective preserving. Then every projective object $P=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i \in I^{\prime}}$ in $\mathfrak{A}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ is of a form $\underset{i \in I^{\prime}}{ } S_{i}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ with $Q_{i}$ projective in $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ for any subset $I^{\prime}$ of $I$ and $\left(P_{j}\right)_{j \in I^{\prime \prime}}$ is $\mathfrak{2}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)$-projective for any subset $I^{\prime \prime}$ of $I^{\prime}$ if and only if $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is satisfied.

Proof. "only if". Let $P_{i}$ be projective in $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathrm{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(P_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{\Re}$-projective, and hence, $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{i i+1}\left(P_{i}\right), \cdots, \mathrm{T}_{i n}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)$ is $\mathfrak{2}(I-\{1, \cdots, i\}]$-projective. Therefore, the fact $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}=\underset{k \geqslant i+1}{\oplus} \mathrm{~S}_{k}\left(Q_{k}\right)$ from the assumption is equivalent to (*). "if". Let $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}=\left(P_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{k \in I^{\prime}}$ be projective in $\mathfrak{A}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}$ is a retract of $\bigoplus_{t \in I^{\prime}} \bar{S}_{t}\left(P_{t}\right)$, where $P_{t}$ is $\mathfrak{N}_{t}$-pojectrive and $\bar{S}_{t}$ is functor: $\mathfrak{N}_{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ in (6). Let $M$ be a functor in Lemma 3.9. Then $\mathrm{M}\left(\underset{t \in I^{\prime}}{ } \bar{S}_{t}\left(P_{t}\right)\right)=\bigoplus_{i \in I^{\prime}} \mathrm{S}_{t}\left(P_{t}\right)$ from the construction of $\mathrm{M}_{t}$ and $\mathrm{M}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is its retract from the above remark. Hence, $\mathrm{M}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-projective.

Therefore, $\mathrm{M}\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{~S}_{i}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ with $Q_{i}$ projective in $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ by Lemma 3.7. Let $I^{\prime}=$ $\left\{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{t}\right\}$. We shall show $A_{i_{k}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{i_{k^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{i}_{k}}\left(Q_{i_{k^{\prime}}}\right)\right)_{k=k^{\prime}}^{t}=\sum_{k=k^{\prime}}^{t} \oplus \bar{S}_{i_{k}}\left(P_{i k^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\mathrm{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}_{k^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}}$ $=I_{\mathfrak{N}_{i_{k}^{\prime}}}$ and $P_{i_{k}}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathfrak{N}_{i_{k}}$-projective. We obtain from Lemma 3.7 that $\mathrm{T}_{i_{k^{\prime}} i_{k-1}}\left(Q_{i_{k}{ }^{\prime}}\right)$
 Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{i_{k}^{\prime} i_{t}}\left(Q_{i_{k}^{\prime}}\right) & =\mathrm{T}_{i_{t-1} i t} \mathrm{~T}_{i_{t-2} i_{t-1}} \mathrm{~T}_{i_{k}^{\prime} i_{t-2}}\left(Q_{i_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i_{t-1} i_{t}}\left(P_{i_{t-1}}^{\prime}\right) \oplus P_{i_{t}}^{\prime} \\
& =\mathrm{T}_{i_{t-2} i_{t}} \mathrm{~T}_{i_{k}^{\prime} i_{t-2}}\left(Q_{i_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i_{t-1} i_{t}}\left(P_{i_{t-1}}^{\prime}\right) \oplus P_{i_{t}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

from III. Repeating this argument we have $A_{\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{k}}}=\sum_{k=k^{\prime}}^{t} \oplus \bar{S}_{i_{\boldsymbol{k}}}\left(P_{i_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{P}=\sum_{k=1}^{t} \oplus A_{i_{k}}=\bigoplus_{i_{k^{\prime}} \in I^{\prime}} \mathrm{S}_{i_{\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}}}\left(P_{i_{k}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.11. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{i}$ be as above. We assume $T_{i j}$ is projective preserving and satisfies (*), then for $D=\left(D_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathfrak{A}$

$$
h d D \leqq \max \left(h d D_{i}\right)+1
$$

Proof. Put $n=\max \left(h d D_{i}\right)$. Let $0 \leftarrow D \leftarrow P_{0} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow P_{n-1} \stackrel{d_{n}}{\longleftrightarrow} P_{n}$ be a projective resolution of $D$ and $K_{n}=\operatorname{ker} d_{n}$. Since $n \geqq$ hd $D_{i}$, every component of im $d_{n}$ is projective. Hence, $K_{n}$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-projective by Lemma 3.7.

Corollary. Let $A_{i}, A$ and $T_{i j}$ be as above. Then

$$
\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A} \geqq \operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A}\left(I^{\prime}\right)
$$

for any subset of $I^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{A} \leqq \max \left(\mathrm{gl} \mathrm{dim} \mathfrak{A}_{i}\right)+n-1$.
Proof. Let $A$ be in $\mathfrak{A}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ and $0 \leftarrow \mathrm{M}(A) \leftarrow P_{1} \leftarrow P_{2} \leftarrow \cdots$ be a projective resolution of $\mathrm{M}(A)$ in $\mathfrak{Y}$. Then $0 \leftarrow A \leftarrow \mathrm{~F}\left(P_{1}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{F}\left(P_{2}\right) \leftarrow$ is a projective resolution of $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathfrak{A}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ from Proposition 3.10.

We recall that $\mathfrak{A}$ is semi-simple if and only if every object of $\mathfrak{A}$ is projective.
Theorem 3.12. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ be semi-simple abelian categories and I a linearly ordered finite set. Then $\mathfrak{A}=\left[I, \mathfrak{\Re}_{i}\right]$ with $T_{i j}$ satisfying $I \sim I V$ is hereditary if and only if

$$
\mathrm{T}_{i j}(M)=\mathrm{T}_{i+1 j} \mathrm{~T}_{i i+1}(M) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{i+2 j}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{i+2}(M)\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_{j-1 j}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{j-1}(M)\right) \oplus \mathrm{K}^{j}(M)
$$

for every object $M$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ for all $i$, where $K^{t}(M) \in \mathfrak{A}_{t}$. Furthermore, gl dim $\mathfrak{U}=1$ if and only if there exists not a zero functor $T_{i j}$, (cf. [2], Theorem 1).

Proof. The first half is clear from Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 and Proposition 3.11. If $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is not a zero functor, then $\boldsymbol{A}=(A, 0)$ is not projective in $\mathrm{A}(i, \mathrm{j})$ for any $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathrm{T}_{i j}(\mathfrak{2}) \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.10. Hence, gl dim $\mathfrak{X} \geqq \operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{Y}(i, j) \geqq 1$. If $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is a zero functor for all $i<j$, then $\mathfrak{Y}=\sum \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{i}$. Hence, gl dim $\mathfrak{A}=0$.

Let $\left\{R_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be rings. Finally we assume that $\mathfrak{N}_{i}$ is the abelian category of right $R_{i}$-modules. By [5], p. 121., Propo. 1.5 we know $U=\oplus_{i} \mathrm{~S}_{i}\left(R_{i}\right)$ is a small, projective generator in $\mathfrak{A}$. Put $R=[U, U]$. Let $r, r^{\prime}$ be elements in $R_{i}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right)$, respectively. By $r_{l}, r^{\prime}$, we denote morphisms in $\left[R_{i}, R_{i}\right]$ and $\left[R_{j}, \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$ such that $r_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)=r x_{i}$ and $r_{l}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=r^{\prime} x_{j}$, respectively where $x_{t} \in R_{t}$. We can naturally regard $\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right)$ a left $R_{i}$-module by setting $\bar{r} y=\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(r_{l}\right) y$ for any $r \in R_{i}$ and $y \in \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right)$. Furthermore, we define $\bar{r}_{l}^{\prime} z=\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i k}\left(r_{l}\right)$ for any $k>j$ and $z \in \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(R_{j}\right)$, where we assume $\mathrm{T}_{i i}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathfrak{A}_{i}}$. Then we identify $R$ with the set

$$
\boldsymbol{R}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{12} \cdots \cdots \cdots \mathrm{r}_{1 n} \\
\mathrm{r}_{2} \mathrm{r}_{22} \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
0 \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\mathrm{r}_{2 n} \\
\mathrm{r}_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathrm{r}_{i j} \in \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right), \mathrm{r}_{i} \in R_{i}\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.13. $\quad \bar{r}_{i j} \bar{r}_{j k}=\bar{r}_{i j}\left(r_{j k}\right)$ and $\bar{r}_{i j} \bar{r}_{j}=\overline{r_{i j} r_{j}}, \bar{r}_{i} \bar{r}_{i j}=\overline{r_{i} r_{i j}}$.
Proof. For any $k \geqq j$ we have $\bar{r}_{i j} \bar{r}_{j}=\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right) \mathrm{T}_{j k}\left(\left(r_{j}\right)_{l}\right)=\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(r_{i j}^{\prime} r_{l}\right)$ $=\overline{r_{i j} r}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{r}_{i} \bar{r}_{i j} & \left.=\mathrm{T}_{i k}\left(\left(r_{i}\right)_{l}\right) \psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right)=\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(\left(r_{i}\right)_{l}\right) \mathrm{T}_{j k}\left(\left(r_{i j}\right) 0_{l}\right) \quad \text { (naturality of } \psi\right) \\
& =\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(\left(r_{i}\right)_{l}\right)\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right) \\
& =\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(\left(r_{i} r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right) \quad \text { (definition of } R_{i} \text { module } \mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right) . \\
& =\bar{r}_{i} r_{i j} . \\
\bar{r}_{i j} \bar{r}_{j k} & \left.=\psi_{i j t} \mathrm{~T}_{j t}\left(\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right) \psi_{i k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k t}\left(r_{j k}\right)_{l}\right) \\
& \left.=\psi_{i j t} \psi_{j k l} \mathrm{~T}_{k t}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right) \mathrm{T}_{k t}\left(\left(r_{j k}\right)_{l}\right) \quad \text { (naturality of } \psi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{i k}= & \left.\bar{r}_{i j}\left(r_{j k}\right)=\left(\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right)\right)\left(r_{j k}\right)\left(r_{i k}\right)_{l}: R_{k} \xrightarrow{\left(r_{j k}\right)_{l}} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(R_{j}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}_{j k}\left(r_{i j}\right)} \mathrm{T}_{j k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right) . \quad \text { Hence }, \\
\bar{r}_{i k}= & \left(\psi_{i j t} \mathrm{~T}_{k t}\right)\left(\psi_{i j k} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(\left(r_{i j}\right)_{l}\right)\left(r_{j k}\right)_{l}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\overline{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i j} \bar{r}_{j \boldsymbol{k}}=\overline{\overline{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i j}\left(r_{j \boldsymbol{k}}\right)}$ by the assumption III.
If we define a multiplication on $\boldsymbol{R}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j} r_{j k}=\bar{r}_{i j}\left(r_{j k}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have from [5], p. 104, Theorem 4.1 and p. 106, Theorem 5.1
Theorem 3.14. Let $\mathscr{S}^{R_{i}}$ be the abelian category of right $R_{i}$-module. Then $\left[I, \mathscr{S S}_{i}{ }^{R_{i}}\right.$ is equivalent to the abelian category of a left $R$-module, where

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{12}\left(R_{1}\right) \cdots \cdots \cdot \mathrm{T}_{1 n}\left(R_{1}\right) \\
R_{2} \cdots \cdots \cdot \mathrm{~T}_{2 n}\left(R_{2}\right) \\
\ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \\
R_{n}
\end{array}\right) \text { with product }\left({ }^{* *}\right)
$$

And $\mathrm{T}_{i j}\left(M_{i}\right) \approx M \otimes \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right)$ for any $M_{i} \in A_{i}\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ is given by an $R_{i}-R_{j}$ homomorphism $\psi_{i k} \mathrm{~T}_{i j}\left(R_{i}\right) \bigotimes_{R_{j}} \mathrm{~T}_{j k}\left(R_{j}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{i k}\left(P_{i}\right)$ (cf. [2], Theorem 1).
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