ON A FORMALISM WHICH MAKES ANY SEQUENCE OF SYMBOLS WELL-FORMED

SHIGEO OHAMA

Any finite sequence of primitive symbols is not always well-formed in the usual formalisms. But in a certain formal system, we can normalize any sequence of symbols uniquely so that it becomes well-formed. An example of this kind has been introduced by Ono [2]. While we were drawing up a practical programming along Ono's line, we attained another system, a modification of his system. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce this modified system and its application. In 1, we will describe a method of normalizing sentences in LO^{1} having only two logical constants, implication and universal quantifier, so that any finite sequence of symbols becomes well-formed. In 2, we will show an application of 1 to proof. I wish to express my appreciation to Prof. K. Ono for his significant suggestions and advices.

1. NORMALIZING SENTENCES. In our formalism, similarly in Ono [2], we use only one category of variables and a pair of brackets "[" and "]" called HEAD- and TAIL-BRACKET, respectively. So a sentence \mathscr{A} in usual notation is transformed to A as follows;

(1) If \mathscr{A} is an *n*-ary relation R(x, ---, z), then A is [rx - --z], where r is denoted as a predicate variable corresponding to R,

(2) If \mathscr{A} is of the form $(x) - - -(z) \mathscr{B}$, then A is of the form x - - -zB,

(3) If \mathscr{A} is of the form $\mathscr{B} \to (---(\mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}) - --)$ and *B*, *C*, *D* are translated forms of $\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D}$, respectively, then *A* is of the form $B^* - --C^*D^*$, where B^* and C^* denote *B* and *C*, respectively, in the case of the left most symbols of *B* and *C* being corresponding head-brackets of the right most tail-brackets of them and otherwise [*B*] and [*C*], respectively, and D^* denote [*D*] in the case of the left most symbol of *D* being a variable and otherwise *D*.

Received October 21, 1966.

¹⁾ See Ono [1].

For example, a sentence

 $(x) (R(x, u) \to (y) (z) (S(y, z, u) \to R(y, z))) \to (R(w, u) \to S(w, v, u)),$

where R and S are binary and ternary relation, respectively, is translated as follows,

[x[rxu][yz[syzu][ryz]]][rwu][swvu].

Now, let us define SENTENCE and NORMAL SENTENCE.

DEFINITION 1. Any sequence of symbols is called SENTENCE.

DEFINITION 2. Any sentence A is called NORMAL SENTENCE if and only if

(1) A includes at least one barcket,

(2) any tail-bracket in A is not immediately followed by a variable(s),

(3) in any segment A_i (i.e. subsequence of A, from the first symbol to the *i*-th symbol), the number of tail-brackets does not exceed the number of head-brackets and the whole number of tail-brackets is equal to that of head-brackets.

We can uniquely normalize any sentence, if not normal, by the following operation.

OPERATION 1. If a given sentence does not satisfy the condition (2) in Definition 2, insert a head-bracket between the tail-bracket and the variable(s), and repeat this operation until a resulting sentence satisfies the condition (2) in Definition 2.

OPERATION 2. If the sentence resulting from Operation 1 does not satisfy the condition (1) or (3) in Definition 2, then add head-bracket(s) and tail-bracket(s) at the beginning and at the end of the sentence, respectively, so that it satisfies the condition (1) and (3) in Definition 2.

For example, a sentence

fuvw]]xyz[gxyzu]fxyz]][xyz[guvwz]fxyw

becomes by Operation 1

and this becomes normal by applying Operation 2

 $\downarrow \downarrow \\ [fuvw]][xyz[gxyzu][fxyz]][xyz[guvwz][fxyw] \downarrow,$

2

2. APPLICATION TO PROOF. Our normalization excludes sentences of the form $x - -zAu - -w^{(2)}$ which is regarded as normal sentence in Ono's system and is useful. But if we modify description of proof-notes a little, we can describe any proof-note without making use of sentences of the above form. Now let us rewrite the example proof in Ono [2] by our modified way.

[xy[rxy][ryx]][xyz[rxy][ryx]rxz]][xy[rxy][rxx]], a, b, a,, xy[rxy][ryx], xyz[rxy][ryz][rxz], b, xy[rxy][rxx], ba, be, ba,, [ruv], uv, bb, [ruv][rvu], uv, a, bc, [rvu], ba, bb, bd, [ruv][rvu][ruu], uvu, a, be, [ruu], ba, bc, bd.

In assumption step φa , we allow only one reference index which is a series of the same number of mutually distinct variables as the outest series of quantifiers in the step φ . And any variable of the reference index does not occur as free in any step beginning with φ or beginning with an index in the ground of φ . The φa step

 φa , α_1 , ---, α_k , σ ,

means "Take any series of variables of fixed length, say σ , satisfying the condition $\alpha_1, ---, \alpha_k$ ". where $\alpha_1, ---, \alpha_k$ are sentences. (cf. the step ba).

In assertion step φ , we allow the reference index following immediately after a sentence over two successive commas. The φ step

means "Any series of variables of fixed length satisfies condition α , so we take any one series of them and call it σ ", where α is a sentance, σ is an index, and "---" represents a sequence of indices (cf. steps bb, bd)."

²⁾ For two variable series, x = -z and u = -w being the same length and a sentence A, this means that free variables x, --, z in A are substituted by u, --, w, respectively.

SHIGEO ÕHAMA

References

- Ono. K: A certain kind of formal theories, Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 25 (1965), pp. 59-86.
 [2] _____: A formalism for primitive logic and mechanical proof-checking, Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 26 (1966), pp. 195-203.

Nagoya University