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#### Abstract

We consider the group $G$ generated by automorphisms belonging to Galois points of $S_{8}$, which is the quartic surface with the maximal number of Galois points. We obtain several exact sequences of groups, from which we see that the order of $G$ is $2^{5} 3^{2}$. Moreover, we show that $S_{8}$ has a structure of $C_{4}$-fiber space, where $C_{4}$ is the quartic curve with the maximal number of Galois points.


## 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We fix it as the ground field of our discussion. Let $V$ be a smooth curve or surface of degree $d$ in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or in the projective three space $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ respectively. Let $K=k(V)$ be the rational function field of $V$. For a point $P \in V$, let $\pi_{P}: V \cdots \rightarrow W$ be a projection of $V$ from $P$ to a line or hyperplane $W$. The rational map $\pi_{P}$ induces the extension of fields $K / k(W)$. The structure of this extension does not depend on the choice of $W$, but on $P$, so that we write $K_{P}$ instead of $k(W)$. We have been studying the structure of this extension using geometrical methods (cf. [4], [5], [10]). The point $P$ is called a Galois point if the extension is Galois. The number of Galois points is finitely many if $d \geq 4$ (cf. [4], [10]). Hence we denote it by $\delta(V)$. An automorphism $\sigma$ of $V$ is called the one belonging to Galois point $P$ if $\sigma$ is the automorphism induced by an element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / K_{P}\right)$. It is not only an automorphism of $V$ over $W$ but also a projective transformation of $V$ (cf. [10]).
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Let $(X: Y: Z)$ [resp. $(X: Y: Z: W)]$ be homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ [resp. $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ]. Let $C_{4}$ [resp. $S_{8}$ ] be the curve [resp. surface] given by the equation
$Y Z^{3}+X^{4}+Y^{4}=0\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.F(X, Y, Z, W)=X Y^{3}+Z W^{3}+X^{4}+Z^{4}=0\right]$.
These varieties have the following special properties, which characterize them (cf. [4], [10]).

Theorem 0. Let $C$ [resp. S] be a smooth quartic curve [resp. surface]. Then we have that $\delta(C) \leq 4[$ resp. $\delta(S) \leq 8]$. Moreover $\delta(C)=4$ [resp. $\delta(S)=8$ ] if and only if $C$ [resp. $S$ ] is projectively equivalent to $C_{4}$ [resp. $S_{8}$ ].

Therefore $C_{4}$ and $S_{8}$ have the maximal number of automorphisms belonging to Galois points. It seems interesting to study the structure of the group generated by these automorphisms. The purpose of this article is to study the group and the structure of $S_{8}$. Especially we will obtain a new example for a maximal finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of $K 3$ surfaces (cf. [6]).

We use the following notation:

- $\zeta$ : a primitive sixth root of unity
- $\langle\cdots\rangle$ : the group generated by the elements of the set $\{\cdots\}$
- $E$ : the elliptic curve with an automorphism of order three
- $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ : the automorphism group of $V$
- $\mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$ : the set of automorphisms of $S_{8}$ induced by projective transformations
- Let $A_{i}$ be a square matrix of size two $(i=1,2)$ and $M$ be of size four such that

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we denote $M$ by $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$. Moreover, we denote $A_{2} \oplus A_{1}$ by $M^{\star}$, i.e.,

$$
M^{\star}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{2} & 0 \\
0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 2. Statement of results

Let $G(V)$ denote the group generated by the automorphisms belonging to the Galois points on $V=C_{4}$ or $S_{8}$. Since $G(V)$ has an injective representation in $P G L(n, k)(n=3$ or 4$)$, we use the same notation of an element of $G(V)$ as the projective transformation induced by it.

## 2.1. the case of $C_{4}$

From [9, Proposition 5 and Lemma 11], we see easily that the coordinates of four Galois points of $C_{4}$ are $P_{1}=(0: 0: 1)$, $P_{2}=(0: \zeta: 1), P_{3}=\left(0: \zeta^{3}: 1\right)$ and $P_{4}=\left(0: \zeta^{5}: 1\right)$. We have the following assertion.

Lemma 1. If $\sigma_{i}(\neq \mathrm{id})$ is an automorphism belonging to the Galois point $P_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$, then $\sigma_{i}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\sigma_{i}{ }^{2}\right)$ has the following representation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \zeta^{2}
\end{array}\right), & \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{-\zeta-1}{3} \\
0 & \frac{4 \zeta-2}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right), \\
\sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{-\zeta+2}{3} \\
0 & \frac{-2 \zeta+4}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right), & \sigma_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} \\
0 & \frac{-2 \zeta-2}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

We put

$$
\tau=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad \rho=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Of course $\tau=\rho^{2}$ (in $P G L(3, k)$ ). Let $G(C)=G\left(C_{4}\right)=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{4}\right\rangle$ and let $l$ be the line $X=0$. Then each element of $G(C)$ induces an automorphism on $l$, hence we put $G(l)=\left\{\left.\sigma\right|_{l} \mid \sigma \in G(C)\right\}$.

Theorem 1. The group $G(l)$ is isomorphic to the alternating group on four letters and there exist exact sequences of groups

$$
1 \longrightarrow\langle\tau\rangle \longrightarrow G(C) \xrightarrow{r_{1}} G(l) \longrightarrow 1
$$

and

$$
1 \longrightarrow\langle\rho\rangle \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{4}\right) \xrightarrow{r_{2}} G(l) \longrightarrow 1,
$$

where the map $r_{i}$ is defined as $r_{i}(\sigma)=\left.\sigma\right|_{l}(i=1,2)$.

### 2.2. THE CASE OF $S_{8}$

From [10, Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3], we see easily that the coordinates of eight Galois points are $P_{1}=(0: 0: 0: 1)$, $P_{2}=(0: 0: \zeta: 1), P_{3}=\left(0: 0: \zeta^{3}: 1\right), P_{4}=\left(0: 0: \zeta^{5}: 1\right)$, $P_{5}=(0: 1: 0: 0), P_{6}=(\zeta: 1: 0: 0), P_{7}=\left(\zeta^{3}: 1: 0: 0\right)$ and $P_{8}=\left(\zeta^{5}: 1: 0: 0\right)$.

We have the following assertion.
Lemma 2. If $\tilde{\sigma}_{i}(\neq \mathrm{id})$ is an automorphism belonging to the Galois point $P_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 8)$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}{ }^{2}\right)$ has the following representation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\sigma_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{-\zeta-1}{3} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{4 \zeta-2}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right), \\
\widetilde{\sigma_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{-\zeta+2}{3} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{-2 \zeta+4}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad \widetilde{\sigma_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{-2 \zeta-2}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\sigma_{j+4}}=\left(\widetilde{\sigma_{j}}\right)^{\star}(j=1, \ldots, 4) .
$$

We put $\tilde{\tau}=I \oplus(-I)$, where $I$ is the unit matrix of size two and put $(\tilde{\tau})^{\diamond}=(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau})$ in $\operatorname{PGL}(4, k) \times \operatorname{PGL}(4, k)$.

Let $G(S)=G\left(S_{8}\right)=\left\langle\widetilde{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, \tilde{\sigma_{8}}\right\rangle$ and let $l_{1}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.l_{2}\right]$ be the line given by the equations $X=Y=0$ [resp. $Z=W=0]$. Then each element of $G(S)$ induces an automorphism on $l_{i}(i=1,2)$. Hence we put $G\left(l_{i}\right)=\left\{\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{i}} \mid \sigma \in G(S)\right\}$. Moreover we put $\widetilde{G_{1}}=\left\langle\widetilde{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, \widetilde{\sigma_{4}}\right\rangle$ and $\widetilde{G_{2}}=\left\langle\widetilde{\sigma_{5}}, \ldots, \widetilde{\sigma_{8}}\right\rangle$. It is clear that $G(C) \cong \widetilde{G_{1}} \cong \widetilde{G_{2}}$. Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 2. There exist exact sequences of groups

$$
1 \longrightarrow\langle\widetilde{\tau}\rangle \longrightarrow G(S) \xrightarrow{s_{1}} G\left(l_{1}\right) \times G\left(l_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

and

$$
1 \longrightarrow\left\langle(\widetilde{\tau})^{\circ}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \widetilde{G_{1}} \times \widetilde{G_{2}} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} G(S) \longrightarrow 1,
$$

where $s_{1}(\sigma)=\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{1}},\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{2}}\right)$ and $s_{2}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2}$. Especially the order of $G(S)$ is $2^{5} 3^{2}$.

We put

$$
\Xi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \Upsilon=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is clear that $\Xi$ and $\Upsilon$ are elements of $\mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$ and $\Xi^{2}=\Upsilon^{2}=\mathrm{id}$.
Theorem 3. The order of $\mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$ is $2^{7} 3^{2}$, hence it is a solvable group. Moreover, there exists an exact sequence of groups

$$
1 \longrightarrow G(S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right) \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1,
$$

where $H$ is the group generated by the cosets $\Xi G(S)$ and $\Upsilon G(S)$, that is, $H$ is isomorphic to the Klein's four group.

Here we remark that there exists automorphisms of $S_{8}$ not belonging to $\mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$. Indeed the following fact holds true.

Remark 3. Since $S_{8}$ is a singular $K 3$ surface, the order of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{8}\right)$ is infinite (cf. [8]).

### 2.3. GEOMETRY OF $S_{8}$

First we note that $C_{4} /\left\langle\sigma_{i}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{P}^{1},(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ and $C_{4} /\langle\tau\rangle \cong E$. There exists a close relation between $C_{4}$ and $S_{8}$ as we see below. The surface $S_{8}$ has the structure of $E$-fiber space (cf.[10]), in addition to this structure it has also a structure of $C_{4}$-fiber space. Let $H_{a, b}$ be the hyperplane given by the equation $a X+b Y=0$, which contains the line $l_{1}$. Then the set $\left\{S_{8} \cap H_{a, b}\right\}$ forms a linear system $\Lambda$ on $S_{8}$. The base points of $\Lambda$ are just $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}\right\}$. Let $f$ be the rational map associated to $\Lambda$. Then, by blowing up these points, we obtain the surface $\widetilde{S}_{8}$ and the morphism $\widetilde{f}: \widetilde{S_{8}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Theorem 4. The fibration $\tilde{f}: \widetilde{S_{8}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ has the following properties:
(1) There exist four singular fibers, each of which consists of four smooth rational curves meeting at one point with distinct tangents.
(2) Except the singular fibers, each fiber is isomorphic to $C_{4}$.

Moreover $S_{8}$ has the following structure. The automorphism $\widetilde{\tau}$ has eight fixed points that are just Galois points. Blowing up these points, we obtain the surface $\widehat{S_{8}}$ and the automorphism $\widehat{\tau}$ induced by $\widetilde{\tau}$. The surface $T=\widehat{S_{8}} / \widehat{\tau}$ is a Kummer surface $K m(E \times E$ ) (cf. [2]). Clearly $T$ has an elliptic fibration $\bar{f}: T \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with four singular fibers, which are of type $I_{0}^{*}$ in the sense of Kodaira's classification table in [3]. Except the singular fibers, each fiber is isomorphic to $E$.

Finally we mention one more special property of $S_{8}$.
Remark 4. It is known that there exist at most 64 lines on smooth quartic surfaces (see, [7]). Moreover, an example of quartic surface with 64 lines is given in [1, p. 33], which coincides with our $S_{8}$.

## 3. Proofs

A generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / K_{P_{1}}\right)$ is easily found, which coincides with $\sigma_{1}$ in Lemma 1 or $\widetilde{\sigma_{1}}$ in Lemma 2, corresponding to the case of the curve or the surface. However, it is little difficult to find generators for the other Galois points, so that we use the following lemma. The proof of it may be clear from the definition.

Lemma 5. A projective transformation $M$ belongs to some Galois point $P_{i}$ if and only if $M$ satisfies the following three conditions:
(1) $M\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{i}$.
(2) $M(l)=l$, for each line $l$ passing through $P_{i}$.
(3) $M \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, where $V=C_{4}$ or $S_{8}$.

First, we prove Theorem 1. Since $\sigma \in G(C)$ maps a Galois point to a Galois one, it induces a permutation of the four points. Hence we get the injective representation $\phi: G(l) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{4}$, where $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$ is the symmetric group on four letters. Indeed we have that $\phi\left(r_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\right)=(243)$ and $\phi\left(r_{1}\left(\sigma_{3}\right)\right)=(142)$. Since we have

$$
\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{4} \quad \text { and } \sigma_{3}^{-1} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}=\sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}=\sigma_{2}
$$

the group $G(C)$ is generated by $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{3}$.
Moreover, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(\sigma_{3}\right)^{3}=\mathrm{id} \text { and } \\
& \left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}\right)^{3}=\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}\right)^{2}=\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2}\right)^{3}=\left(\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}= \\
& \left(\sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(\sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)^{3}=\tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

We notice that $\tau$ is commutable with each element of $G(C)$, therefore we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(C) /\langle\tau\rangle=\left\{\operatorname{id}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3},\right. & \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the products of matrices, we obtain that

$$
\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}^{2}=\tau \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}=\tau \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(C) /\langle\tau\rangle=\left\{\mathrm{id}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{3}^{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}^{2},\right. \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}, \\
& \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1},\left.\sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain the first exact sequence. Since each automorphism $\sigma$ of the curve $C_{4}$ is the restriction of some projective transformation, $\sigma$ maps a Galois point to some Galois one. If $\sigma$ is in the kernel of the restriction map $r_{2}$, then it fixes each Galois point. Since $\sigma\left(C_{4}\right)=C_{4}$, $\sigma$ has the representation as $\rho^{i}(i=0, \ldots, 3)$. Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Before proceeding with the proof of Theorem 2, we prove Lemma 2. To find a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / K_{P_{i}}\right)(i=2,3,4)$, we observe the following projective transformation:

$$
T_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{2 i-3} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{4 i-6} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{array}\right),(i=2,3,4)
$$

The transformation $T_{i}$ has the following properties: $T_{i}^{-1}=T_{i}$, $T_{i}\left(P_{1}\right)=P_{i}$ and $T_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$. Hence we have that $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}=T_{i}^{-1} \widetilde{\sigma_{1}} T_{i}$. By the similar way we obtain $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}(i=5,6,7,8)$.

Now we prove Theorem 2. Clearly $s_{1}$ is surjective, so it is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{ker} s_{1}=\langle\widetilde{\tau}\rangle$. If $s_{1}(\sigma)=\mathrm{id}$, then $\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{1}}$ and $\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{2}}$ are identities. Then, by Theorem 1, we conclude that $\sigma \in\langle\widetilde{\tau}\rangle$. By definition $s_{2}$ is surjective, so we prove that $\operatorname{ker} s_{2}=\left\langle(\widetilde{\tau})^{\circ}\right\rangle$. Since each element of $\widetilde{G_{1}}$ and $\widetilde{G_{2}}$ is commutative in $G(C)$, any element of $G(C)$ can be expressed as a product $\alpha_{1} \cdot \alpha_{2}$, where $\alpha_{i} \in \widetilde{G_{i}}(i=1,2)$. By the same reasoning above we conclude that $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in\left\langle(\widetilde{\tau})^{\circ}\right\rangle$.

Next we prove Theorem 3. First, we prove the former part. Let $L_{1}$, $L_{2}$ and $L$ be the sets defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\left.\alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \beta \gamma \\
2 \beta^{2} & \gamma
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \alpha^{4}=1 / 9, \beta^{3}=-1 \text { and } \gamma^{3}=-1\right\} \\
\left\{\left.\alpha^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \beta^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \alpha^{\prime 4}=1 \text { and } \beta^{\prime 3}=1\right\} \text { and } \\
\left\{A_{1} \oplus A_{2}, \Xi\left(A_{1} \oplus A_{2}\right) \mid A_{1}, A_{2} \in L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right\}, \text { respectively. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we see $L \subset \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$ and $\# L=2^{7} 3^{2}$. Especially, we have $\# \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right) \geq 2^{7} 3^{2}$. On the other hand, we can prove $\# \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right) \leq 2^{7} 3^{2}$ as follows. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$, then, for a Galois point $P_{i}$, we have that $\sigma\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{j}$ for some j . Hence $\sigma$ has one of the following properties:
(a) $\sigma\left(l_{1}\right)=l_{1}$ and $\sigma\left(l_{2}\right)=l_{2}$.
(b) $\sigma\left(l_{1}\right)=l_{2}$ and $\sigma\left(l_{2}\right)=l_{1}$.

Now let $L_{a}$ [resp. $L_{b}$ ] denote the subset of $\mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right)$ consisting of elements with the property (a) [resp. (b)]. Let $H_{1}$ [resp. $H_{2}$ ] be the hyperplane given by the equation $Y=0$ [resp. $W=0$ ]. Then, noting that $D_{i}:=S_{8} \cap H_{i}(i=1,2)$ is isomorphic to the curve $C_{4}$, we infer that if $\sigma \in L_{a}$, then $\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{i}} \in G\left(l_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$, since $\left.\sigma\right|_{D_{i}} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{i}\right)$ and from Theorem 1. Thus, noting $\Xi L_{b}=L_{a}$, we can define the homomorphism $r: \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times G\left(l_{1}\right) \times G\left(l_{2}\right)$ as follows:

$$
r(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\left(0+2 \mathbb{Z},\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{1}},\left.\sigma\right|_{l_{2}}\right), & \text { when } \sigma \in L_{a} \\ \left(1+2 \mathbb{Z},\left.(\Xi \sigma)\right|_{l_{1}},\left.(\Xi \sigma)\right|_{l_{2}}\right), & \text { when } \sigma \in L_{b}\end{cases}
$$

By Theorem 1 we have the following exact sequence:

$$
1 \longrightarrow\left\langle\rho^{\prime}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right) \xrightarrow{r} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times G\left(l_{1}\right) \times G\left(l_{2}\right),
$$

where $\rho^{\prime}=(\sqrt{-1} I) \oplus I$ ( $I$ is the unit matrix of size two). Therefore noting that $G\left(l_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ is isomorphic to the alternating group on four letters, we conclude $\# \mathcal{L}\left(S_{8}\right) \leq 2^{7} 3^{2}$, and we obtain the former assertion. The proof of the latter one is done as follows. First we recall that $\Xi$ and $\Upsilon$ have order two, and note that $\Xi G(S) \Xi=G(S)$ and $\Upsilon G(S) \Upsilon=G(S)$. Looking at the components of matrices, we see that $\Xi \notin G(S)$. We now prove that $\Upsilon \notin\left\langle\widetilde{\sigma_{1}}, \cdots, \widetilde{\sigma_{8}}, \Xi\right\rangle$. Suppose the contrary. Then we have a relation that $\lambda \Upsilon=\Pi \beta_{i}$ in $G L(4, k)$, where $\beta_{i}$ is $\widetilde{\sigma_{1}}, \cdots, \widetilde{\sigma_{8}}$ or $\Xi$ and $\lambda \in k \backslash 0$. Comparing the $(i, j)$ component of both sides, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, we infer that $\lambda= \pm 1$, since $\lambda I$ ( $I$ is the unit matrix of size two) is expressed as the product of the following matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \zeta^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{2 \zeta-1}{3} & \frac{-\zeta+2}{3} \\
\frac{-2 \zeta+4}{3} & \frac{\zeta+1}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, taking the determinant of both sides of the relation $\lambda \Upsilon=\Pi \beta_{i}$, we get the equality $-1=\left(\zeta^{2}\right)^{m}$ for some integer $m$. This is a contradiction, hence we get the exact sequence.

The proof of Theorem 4 is clear. We mention the proof of Remark 4. Choosing three lines from $S_{8} \cap\{Z=0\}$ and forming a divisor $D$, for example let $D$ be given by the equations $Z=0$ and $X^{3}+Y^{3}=0$. There are four possibilities of the choices. Let $g$ be the morphism $S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ associated to the complete linear system $|D|$. The singular fibers of $g$ are $D$ and the curves given by the equations

$$
\begin{cases}X & =0 \\ Z^{3}+W^{3} & =0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
X-\lambda Z & =0 \\
\lambda Y^{3}+W^{3} & =0
\end{array} \quad \text { where } \lambda^{4}+1=0\right.
$$

Similarly, we consider the morphism defined by the other choice of the four lines $S_{8} \cap\{Z=0\}$ and observe the singular fibers. Counting the number of the components of singular fibers, we can find 64 lines on $S_{8}$. Since the maximum number of lines lying on a quartic surface is 64 (cf. [1] or [7]), the proof of the remark is complete.
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