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# On the domination number of a graph defined by containment 

Peter Frankl

Let $n>k>2$ be integers. Define a bipartite graph between all $k$-element and all 2-element subsets of an $n$-element set by drawing an edge if and only if the first one contains the second. The domination number of this graph is determined up to a factor of $1+o(1)$. The short proof relies on some extremal results concerning hypergraphs.

## 1. Introduction

For a graph $\mathcal{G}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ a subset $D \subset V$ is called a dominating set if for every vertex $x \in V \backslash D$ there is an edge $E \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $x \in E$ and $E \cap D \neq \varnothing$. The domination number $\varrho(\mathcal{G})$ is the minimum of $|D|$ over all dominating sets.

To determine $\varrho(\mathcal{G})$ for a given graph is very difficult in general. In the present paper we address this problem for a bipartite graph defined via containments of sets.

For $n$ and $k$ positive integers, with $n>k$, we denote by $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ the standard $n$-element set and by $\binom{[n]}{k}$ the collection of all $k$-element subsets of [n]. For integers $n>k>\ell \geq 2$, we define the bipartite graph $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, \ell)$ on the vertex $\operatorname{set}\binom{[n]}{k} \cup\binom{[n]}{\ell}$ by drawing an edge between $F \in\binom{[n]}{k}$ and $G \in\binom{[n]}{\ell}$ if and only if $G \subset F$.

The problem of determining or estimating $\varrho(\mathcal{B})$ was raised in [Badakhshian et al. 2019] by Badakhshian, Katona and Tuza. They determined $\varrho\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(3,2)\right)$ up to a factor $1+o(1)$, where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the present paper we extend their work to all $k \geq 3$.

## Theorem 1.1.

$$
\varrho\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, 2)\right)=(1+o(1))\binom{n}{2} \frac{k+3}{k^{2}-1} .
$$

To prove the lower bound we use a result from [Erdős et al. 1986] extending the celebrated RuzsaSzemerédi theorem [1978]. To obtain the matching upper bound we apply a probabilistic construction based on a result of [Frankl and Rödl 1985]. To prove similar results for $\varrho\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, \ell)\right)$ where $\ell \geq 3$ appears to be much harder (Section 4).

## 2. Proof of the lower bound

Let $k \geq 3$ be fixed and $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrarily small. Choose $\mathcal{G} \subset\binom{[n]}{2}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$ is a dominating set for $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, 2)$. Our aim is to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}|+|\mathcal{G}|>\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{k+3}{k^{2}-1}-\varepsilon\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Since $\frac{k+3}{k^{2}-1} \leq \frac{3}{4}$ for $k \geq 3$, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1.

$$
|\mathcal{G}|>\frac{1-\varepsilon}{k-1}\binom{n}{2} \quad \text { for all } n>n_{0}(k, \varepsilon)
$$

Proof of the proposition. Let $m$ be an integer (later qualified) and consider an $m$-element set $R \subset[n]$. If $R$ contains no $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then the assumption on domination is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{G}_{\mid R}:=\mathcal{G} \cap\binom{R}{2}$ has no independent set of $k$ vertices. By Turán's theorem [1941] (or see [Bollobás 1978]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{G} \cap\binom{R}{2}\right|>(k-1)\binom{m /(k-1)}{2} & =\frac{m(m-k+1)}{2(k-1)} \\
& >\binom{m}{2} \frac{1-\varepsilon / 2}{k-1} \text { for } m>2 k / \varepsilon \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We now assume $m$ is large enough that (3) is satisfied. Let us choose the set $P \in\binom{[n]}{m}$ uniformly at random.
Claim 2.2. Let $n>m^{3} / \varepsilon$. Then the probability of $\binom{P}{k} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \varnothing$ is smaller than $\varepsilon / 2$.
Proof. Since each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is contained in $\binom{n-k}{m-k}$ subsets $R \in\binom{[n]}{m}$, (2) implies the upper bound $\frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-k}{m-k}$ on the number of $R$ in question. Using $k \geq 3$ we obtain the upper bound

$$
\frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-3}{m-3}=\binom{n}{m} \cdot \frac{m-2}{n-2}\binom{m}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4}<\binom{n}{m} \frac{m^{3}}{2 n}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\binom{n}{m} .
$$

In view of the claim, for $n>m^{3} / \varepsilon$ a proportion of more than $(1-\varepsilon / 2)$ of $R \in\binom{[n]}{m}$ satisfy (3). Now $(1-\varepsilon / 2)^{2}>1-\varepsilon$ implies the inequality in Proposition 2.1, with $n_{0}(k, \varepsilon)>(2 k / \varepsilon)^{3} / \varepsilon$.

Let $\mathcal{H}=\binom{[n]}{2} \backslash \mathcal{G}$ be the graph of those edges $H \in\binom{[n]}{2}$ that are not in $\mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$ is a dominating set for $\mathcal{B}$, for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $H \subset F$. From this we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{\binom{k}{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4) together with Proposition 2.1 one can show that

$$
|\mathcal{F}|+|\mathcal{G}| \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{k-1}\binom{n}{2}+\frac{k-2+\varepsilon}{(k-1)} \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{k}{2}}
$$

which is slightly weaker than (1). To prove (1), we would need (4) with $\binom{k}{2}-1$ in the denominator.
Our strategy is relatively simple. We try and list (some of) the edges of $\mathcal{F}$ : $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{q}$ such that $\binom{F_{1}}{2} \cap \mathcal{G} \neq \varnothing$, then $\binom{F_{2}}{2} \cap\left(\mathcal{G} \cup\binom{F_{1}}{2}\right) \neq \varnothing$, etc. That is, we choose sequentially $F_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq q$, so that $\binom{F_{i}}{2} \cap \mathcal{G} \neq \varnothing$ or $\left|F_{j} \cap F_{i}\right| \geq 2$ for some $1 \leq j<i$. For each $F_{i}$ let $\mathcal{E}\left(F_{i}\right)$ consist of those $E \in \mathcal{H}$ that $E \notin F_{j}$ for $1 \leq j<i$. From the construction it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)\right| \leq\binom{ k}{2}-1 \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq q \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Should $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{q}\right\}$ hold, (1) would follow. In the opposite case set $\mathcal{F}_{0}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{q}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0}=\left(\binom{F_{1}}{2} \cup \ldots \cup\binom{F_{q}}{2}\right) \backslash \mathcal{G}$.

Choosing $q$ maximal, $\binom{F}{2} \cap \mathcal{G}=\varnothing$ and $\left|F \cap F_{i}\right| \leq 1$ follow for $F \in \mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}_{0}, 1 \leq i \leq q$.
We define $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{q_{1}}\right\}$ similarly. We choose $F_{1} \in \mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}_{0}$ arbitrarily and once $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{s-1} \in$ $\mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}_{0}$ are fixed, we choose an arbitrary $F_{s} \in \mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{F}_{0}$ from the rest, satisfying $\left|F_{i} \cap F_{s}\right| \geq 2$ for some $1 \leq j<s$. Now let $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ be a maximal collection obtained in this way. This choice guarantees $\left|F \cap F^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F} \backslash\left(\mathcal{F}_{0} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1}\right), F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$.

Set $\mathcal{H}_{1}=\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{1}}\binom{F}{2}$. Our procedure guarantees

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right| \leq 1+\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|\left(\binom{k}{2}-1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We iterate this procedure. Once $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{p}$ and thereby $\mathcal{H}_{i}=\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{i}}\binom{F}{2}, 1 \leq i \leq p$ are chosen we have

$$
\left|F \cap F^{\prime}\right| \leq 1 \quad \text { for all } F \in \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{F}_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{F}_{p} \text { and } F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F} \backslash\left(\mathcal{F}_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{F}_{p}\right)
$$

As long as there are sets remaining in $\mathcal{F}$ we can define $\mathcal{F}_{p+1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p+1}$ in the above way.
Eventually we obtain a partition,

$$
\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{0} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{F}_{t}
$$

such that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{H}_{t}=\binom{[n]}{2} \backslash \mathcal{G}
$$

(here we used that $\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{F}$ is a dominating set). Moreover (6) holds for 1 replaced by $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{i}\right| \leq 1+\left|\mathcal{F}_{i}\right|\left(\binom{k}{2}-1\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for $i=0$ we do not need the extra 1 , we infer

$$
\binom{n}{2}-|\mathcal{G}| \leq t+|\mathcal{F}|\left(\binom{k}{2}-1\right)
$$

or equivalently

$$
|\mathcal{G}|+|\mathcal{F}| \geq \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{k}{2}-1}+|\mathcal{G}| \frac{\binom{k}{2}-2}{\binom{k}{2}-1}-\frac{t}{\binom{k}{2}-1} .
$$

Substituting $|\mathcal{G}|>\frac{1-\varepsilon}{k-1}\binom{n}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{G}|+|\mathcal{F}|>\frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{k}{2}-1}\left(1+\frac{\binom{k}{2}-2}{k-1}-\frac{\varepsilon}{k-1}\right)-\frac{t}{\binom{k}{2}-1} \\
& =\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{k+3}{k^{2}-1}-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(k^{2}-1\right)(k-2)}\right)-\frac{t}{\binom{k}{2}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To conclude the proof of the lower bound it is clearly more than sufficient to show that $\left.t=o\binom{n}{2}\right)$. To achieve this we will need the following extension of a celebrated result from [Ruzsa and Szemerédi 1978]:

Theorem 2.3 (Erdős, Frankl, Rödl [Erdős et al. 1986]). Suppose that $\mathcal{T} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ satisfies $\left|T \cap T^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ for all distinct $T, T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}$, moreover one cannot find a $k$-set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset[n]$ and $\binom{k}{2}$ distinct members $T(i, j) \in \mathcal{T}, 1 \leq i<j \leq k$, such that $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\} \subset T(i, j)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{T}|=o\left(\binom{n}{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply (8) we choose $F(i)$ as an arbitrary member of $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and define

$$
\mathcal{T}=\{F(i): 1 \leq i \leq t\}
$$

The condition $\left|T \cap T^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ is automatically satisfied. To prove the second condition we argue indirectly.
Suppose that we found $F=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ and $\binom{k}{2}$ members $T(i, j) \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\} \subset T(i, j)$. Since $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$ is a dominating set for $B$, either $F \in \mathcal{F}$ or $G \subset F$ for some $G \in \mathcal{G}$. In the latter case $G=\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ for some $1 \leq i<j \leq k$. I.e., $G \subset T(i, j)$. But this is impossible since we put all such $T(i, j)$ into $\mathcal{F}_{0}$. Suppose next $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Assume by symmetry $T(1,2) \in \mathcal{F}_{1}, T(1,3) \in \mathcal{F}_{2}$. From $|T(1, \ell) \cap F| \geq 2$ we infer $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1}$ for $\ell=2,3$. This is impossible because of $\mathcal{F}_{1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{2}=\varnothing$, giving the desired contradiction.

## 3. The proof of the upper bound

We give a probabilistic construction based on the following old result.
Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and consider an $r$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} \subset\binom{X}{r}$, where $|X|=m$. For $x \in X$ let $d(x)$ be the degree of $x$ in $\mathcal{H}$, that is, the number of $H \in \mathcal{H}$ containing $x$. The double degree $d(x, y)$ is defined analogously.

The covering index $b(\mathcal{H})$ is defined as the minimal number $b$ such that there exist $b$ edges in $\mathcal{H}$ whose union is equal to $X$. Obviously, $b(\mathcal{H}) \geq m / r$.

Theorem 3.1 [Frankl and Rödl 1985]. Let $\beta$, $\varepsilon$ be positive constants, $r \geq 2$ fixed. There exists $\delta=$ $\delta(r, \beta, \varepsilon)$ such that, for every $\mathcal{H} \subset\binom{X}{r}$ satisfying
(i) $|d(x)-|\mathcal{H}| r / m|<\delta|\mathcal{H}| / m \quad$ or
(ii) $d(x, y)<|\mathcal{H}| r / m^{1+\beta}$,
one has $b(\mathcal{H})<(1+\varepsilon) m / r$.
Now we are ready to explain the construction of a nearly optimal dominating set for $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, 2), k \geq 3$. (Badakhshian et al. [2019] use the same construction for the case $k=3$.)

Let $n=p(k-1)+q, 0 \leq q<k-1$ and let $[n]=X_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup X_{k-1}$ be a partition with $p \leq\left|X_{i}\right| \leq p+1$. Let $\mathcal{G}:=\bigcup_{1 \leq i<k}\binom{X_{i}}{2}$ be the so-called Turán graph. By the pigeonhole principle, $\mathcal{G}$ dominates all $k$-sets in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, 2)$.

Set $r=\binom{k}{2}-1$. We define an $r$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on the partite set $\binom{[n]}{2}$ from $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, 2)$. Note that for every $k$-set $F \subset[n]$ satisfying $F \cap X_{i} \neq \varnothing$ for $1 \leq i<k$ there is exactly one $j=j(F)$ such
that $\left|F \cap X_{j}\right|=2$. With such an $F$ we associate the $r$-set $H(F)=\binom{F}{2} \backslash\left\{F \cap X_{j}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $r$-graph formed by these $H(F)$. The actual vertex set of $\mathcal{H}$ is

$$
X=\binom{[n]}{2} \backslash\left(\binom{X_{1}}{2} \cup \ldots \cup\binom{X_{k-1}}{2}\right)
$$

that is, the number of vertices is $m \sim \frac{k-2}{k-1}\binom{n}{2}$.
If $\left|X_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|X_{k-1}\right|$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is regular but even in the general case it is nearly regular. That is, (i) holds for $m>m(\delta)$.

Since $|\mathcal{H}|=(k-1+o(1)) p^{k} / 2$ and $|\mathcal{H}(x, y)|<p^{k-3}$, (ii) is satisfied with e.g. $\beta=\frac{1}{3}$ if $m>m_{0}(k, \beta)$.
Applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain a covering of $X$ which is, say, formed by the edges $H\left(F_{1}\right), \ldots, H\left(F_{b}\right)$, $b<(1+\varepsilon) m / r$.

Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{b}\right\}$ be the corresponding family in $\binom{[n]}{k}$. Then $\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{F}$ is dominating set for $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, 2)$. Substituting $m=(1+o(1)) \frac{k-2}{k-1}\binom{n}{2}, r=\binom{k}{2}-1$, we infer

$$
|\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{F}| \leq\binom{ n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{k-1}+\frac{k-2}{k-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{k}{2}-1}+\varepsilon\right)=\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{k+3}{k^{2}-1}+\varepsilon\right)
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary, this concludes the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1.1.

## 4. The general problem

Let us say a few words about $\varrho\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(k, \ell)\right)$ in the case $\ell \geq 3$. One would imagine that to find a small dominating set imitating the strategy used for $\ell=2$ should be the best. However, that means that first we choose $\mathcal{G} \subset\binom{[n]}{\ell}$ covering the whole of $\binom{[n]}{k}$, that is, for every $F \in\binom{[n]}{k}$ there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with $G \subset F$.

The problem is that we do not know the minimal size, $|\mathcal{G}|$ for such families. It is the famous Turán's Problem (cf. [Turán 1961]) which is still open for all pairs $(k, \ell), k>\ell \geq 3$.

At the same time there are some plausible conjectures. For example Turán [Turán 1961] conjectured that in the case $k=5, \ell=3$ and $n>n_{0}(k, \ell)$ the best construction is $\mathcal{G}=\binom{X}{3} \cup\binom{Y}{3}$ where $X \cup Y=[n]$ is a partition and $|X|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. Using this $\mathcal{G}$ one can use the approach of Section 3 and show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(5,3)\right) \leq(1+o(1))\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\binom{5}{3}-1}\right)\binom{n}{3}=\left(\frac{1}{3}+o(1)\right)\binom{n}{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the results of [Frankl and Rödl 2002] one can prove the matching lower bound assuming that Turán's conjecture is true.

The situation is pretty much the same for other pairs $(k, \ell)$ whenever the conjectured optimal family for Turán's Problem is a "highly regular" $\ell$-graph.

Let us close this paper with a conjecture.
Conjecture 4.1. $\quad \varrho\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(2 \ell-1, \ell)\right)=(1+o(1))\left(\frac{1}{2^{\ell-1}}+\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{\ell-1}}\right) /\left(\binom{2 \ell-1}{\ell}-1\right)\right)\binom{n}{3}$.
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