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#### Abstract

We develop a new method to construct explicit regular minimal surfaces in Euclidean space that are defined on the entire complex plane with controlled geometry. More precisely, we show that for a large class of planar curves $(x(t), y(t))$, we can find a third coordinate $z(t)$ and normal fields $n(t)$ along the space curve $c(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ so that the Björling formula applied to $c(t)$ and $n(t)$ can be explicitly evaluated. We give many examples.


## 1. Introduction

In several recent papers (e.g. $[1 ; 3 ; 5 ; 8 ; 9]$ ), embedded minimal disks have been constructed that have the appearance of a coil. They contain a core curve along which the surface normal rotates in a controllable way. Increasing the rotational speed of the normal allows us to construct and study minimal limit foliations and their singular sets. The classical example is the helicoid. Here, increasing the (constant) rotational speed is equivalent to scaling the surface.

The next complicated case of minimal Möbius strips with core curve a circle and a normal field that rotates with constant speed was studied by Mira [9].

More recently, Meeks and the second author [8] have generalized this construction to surfaces with core curve any given compact $C^{1}$ curve. Instrumental for this construction was the possibility to explicitly control the model case of a circular core curve. A surprising byproduct of this investigation was that the "circular helicoids" where not only defined near the core circle, but were in fact finite total curvature minimal surfaces.

Our approach to construct new explicit and global examples of minimal surfaces where the normal rotates arbitrarily fast about the core curve utilizes the Björling formula. This is an integral formula (Section 2) that produces a minimal surface for any given real analytic space curve $c$ and normal field $n$ along $c$. Our first problem is that the integrals arising in this formula are rarely explicit. Using quaternions, we overcome this difficulty by constructing suitable curves in SO (3) that serve as frame fields (Section 3). While this alone gives us a plethora of new examples, we face a second problem: We would like (to some extent) control the geometry of the constructed surfaces.

[^0]This is achieved in Section 4, where we show that a large class of planar curves (containing many classical curves) admit lifts into Euclidean space that can be used as core curves for explicit minimal coils. For closed planar curves, the lifted curve is periodic, and its translational period can be controlled by a parameter in the construction. We show that for a generic choice of the parameter, the surface is defined in the entire complex plane and regular everywhere.

In Section 5, we give many new examples. For instance, the method is powerful enough to create an explicit knotted minimal Möbius band of finite total curvature.

## 2. Explicit Björling Surfaces

We begin by reviewing the Björling formula. Let $c: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a real analytic curve, called the core curve, and let $n$ be a real analytic unit vector field along $c$ with $\left\langle c^{\prime}(t), n(t)\right\rangle=0$ for every $t \in I$. By analyticity, the functions $c$ and $n$ have holomorphic extensions $c(z)$ and $n(z)$ to a simply connected domain $\Omega$ with $I \subset$ $\Omega$. Fix $t_{0} \in I$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(u, v)=X(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left(c(z)-i \int_{t_{0}}^{z} n(w) \wedge c^{\prime}(w) d w\right), \quad z=u+i v \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We point out that the integral in (1) is taken along an arbitrary path in $\Omega$ joining $t_{0}$ and $z$ and does not depend on the chosen path because $\Omega$ is simply connected. The surface $X(u, v)$ is the unique minimal surface such that the curve $c$ is the parameter curve $v=0$ and the unit normal field to the surface $X(u, v)$ coincides with $n$ along $c$ [4]. We say that $X(u, v)$ is the Björling surface with Björling data $\{c, n\}$.

As the parameterization given by the Björling formula is conformal, we can always find Weierstrass data $G$ and $d h$ such that

$$
c^{\prime}-i \cdot n \wedge c^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G}-G\right) d h  \tag{2}\\
\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{1}{G}+G\right) d h \\
d h
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $G$ denotes the stereographic projection of the Gauss map, and $d h$ the height differential as usual. In terms of these Weierstrass data, the conformal factor of the Riemannian metric of $X(u, v)$ is given by

$$
|d X|=\frac{1}{2}\left(|G|+\frac{1}{|G|}\right)|d h| ;
$$

see [6]. This expression will allow us to determine when our surfaces are regular.
To construct minimal surfaces with arbitrarily fast rotating normal, we would like to begin with a real analytic space curve $c$ and two unit normal fields $n_{1}(t)$ and $n_{2}(t)$ such that $c^{\prime}(t), n_{1}(t)$, and $n_{2}(t)$ are an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ for each $t$. Then we form a spinning normal relative to $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ by writing

$$
n(t)=\cos (\alpha(t)) \cdot n_{1}(t)+\sin (\alpha(t)) \cdot n_{2}(t)
$$

for a suitable rotation angle function $\alpha(t)$, and use $c$ and $n$ as Björling data.

Our construction of globally defined and explicit examples is based on the following idea. If we could choose $c, n_{1}$, and $n_{2}$ such that the matrix $\left(c^{\prime}(t), n_{1}(t)\right.$, $\left.n_{2}(t)\right)$ is a curve in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ with entries given as trigonometric polynomials, then the integral in the Björling formula can be explicitly evaluated for any linear function $\alpha(t)=a t+b$. Note that both the helicoid and the circular helicoid in [8] are of this form.

We can in fact do somewhat better than that, both relaxing the requirements on the matrix entries and on the matrix itself. We begin by formalizing which functions we allow as coordinate functions.

Definition 2.1. We call a real-valued function of a real variable $t$ polyexp if it is a linear combination of functions of the form $t^{n} e^{k t}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence polynomials, exponentials, and trigonometric functions are all polyexp. Using integration by parts and induction, we obtain the following simple observation.

Lemma 2.2. The products, integrals, and derivatives of polyexp functions are again polyexp.

In fact, based on the formulas that follow, we could allow any class of analytic functions that is closed under sums, products, derivatives, and integration. For instance, if we are not interested in the explicit nature of new examples but rather in their global features, we could allow all entire functions that are real valued on the real axis.

As a consequence of this definition, we obtain the following corollary, which is the basis for our construction.

Theorem 2.3. Given polyexp vectors $e_{1}(t), e_{2}(t), e_{3}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and a nonvanishing polyexp function $\mu(t)$ such that $\frac{1}{\mu}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$, we can explicitly find $a$ curve $c(t)$ with $c^{\prime}(t)=e_{1}(t)$. Then, the curve $c(t)$ and the rotating normal

$$
n(t)=\frac{1}{\mu(t)}\left(\cos (a t+b) e_{2}(t)+\sin (a t+b) e_{3}(t)\right)
$$

provide Björling data that can be explicitly integrated. Moreover, the resulting Björling surface is defined on the entire complex plane.

Proof. Note that in the Björling formula, the integrand $c^{\prime} \wedge n$ is polyexp because the factor $\mu$ cancels.

## 3. The Quaternion Method

To apply the method from the previous section, we need to produce examples of polyexp curves in $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathrm{SO}(3)$. Our first approach utilizes quaternions. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the real algebra of quaternions that we write as usual as $q_{1} \mathbf{1}+q_{2} \mathbf{i}+q_{3} \mathbf{j}+q_{4} \mathbf{k}$,
where $\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{H}$, and $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$. For nonzero $q \in \mathbb{H}$, the linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{q}: \mathbb{H} & \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \\
\rho_{q}(v) & \mapsto q v \bar{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

acts on the imaginary quaternions as an element $\Phi(q) \in \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathrm{SO}(3)$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}-q_{3}^{2}-q_{4}^{2} & 2 q_{2} q_{3}-2 q_{1} q_{4} & 2 q_{1} q_{3}+2 q_{2} q_{4} \\
2 q_{1} q_{4}+2 q_{2} q_{3} & q_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2}+q_{3}^{2}-q_{4}^{2} & 2 q_{3} q_{4}-2 q_{1} q_{2} \\
2 q_{2} q_{4}-2 q_{1} q_{3} & 2 q_{1} q_{2}+2 q_{3} q_{4} & q_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2}-q_{3}^{2}+q_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we have the following:
Lemma 3.1. Let $q(t)$ be a polyexp curve in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Let $\mu(t)=|q(t)|^{2}$. Then both $\mu$ and $\Phi(q)$ are polyexp, and $\frac{1}{\mu} \Phi(q) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$. In particular, Theorem 2.3 applies.

This lemma allows us to find algebraically simple explicit Björling surfaces with arbitrarily fast rotating normal. We conclude this section with examples.

### 3.1. Circular Helicoids

For our first example, let $q(t)=(\cos (t / 2), 0,0,-\sin (t / 2))$ be a great circle in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. Let $Q(t)=\Phi(q(t))$. Then

$$
Q(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos (t) & \sin (t) & 0 \\
-\sin (t) & \cos (t) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Integrating the first column gives the core curve

$$
c(t)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sin (t) \\
\cos (t) \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

a circle in the $x y$-plane. The rotating normal is given as a linear combination of the second and third column as

$$
n(t)=\cos (a t+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sin (t) \\
\cos (t) \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\sin (a t+b)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can assume that $b=0$ as other choices of $b$ will only rotate the surface about the $z$-axis, unless $a=0$, in which case the surface will be a plane or catenoid. These are the Björling data of the bent helicoids studied in [8]. Note that when $a \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$, the surface is nonorientable. The case of $a=\frac{1}{2}$ is Meeks' minimal Möbius strip [7], and the surfaces we obtain for general $a$ were first described in [9]. See Figure 1(b) when $a=7 / 2$. In Figure 1(a), the choice is $a=2$.


Figure 1 Björling surfaces with circular core

The Weierstrass data of these surfaces are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(z) & =(\sin (z)+i \cos (z)) \frac{\cos (a z)}{1-\sin (a z)} \\
d h & =i \cos (a z) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

After the substitution $w=e^{i z}$ this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{1}{w} \frac{w^{a}+i}{w^{a}-i} \\
d h & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{w^{2 a}+1}{w^{a+1}} d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the surface is (for $a$ a positive integer) defined on $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ is regular, the Gauss map has degree $a+1$, and hence the surface has finite total curvature $-4 \pi(a+1)$.

### 3.2. Torus Knots

As a second simple example, we apply the quaternion method to torus knots in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Let

$$
q_{0}(t)=\cos (A t) \mathbf{1}+\cos (B t) \mathbf{i}+\sin (B t) \mathbf{j}+\sin (A t) \mathbf{k}
$$

and define

$$
q(t)=\frac{1}{2} q_{0}(t) \cdot(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k})
$$

in order to move $q_{0}$ away from a standard position and to eventually simplify the Weierstrass representation of the minimal surfaces we obtain. Then

$$
Q(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin (2 B t)-\sin (2 A t) & 2 \sin ((A+B) t) & \cos (2 A t)+\cos (2 B t) \\
\cos (2 A t)-\cos (2 B t) & -2 \cos ((A+B) t) & \sin (2 A t)+\sin (2 B t) \\
2 \cos ((A-B) t) & 0 & 2 \sin ((A-B) t)
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure 2 Björling surfaces related to Enneper's surface

Integrating the first column gives the space curve

$$
c(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\cos (2 A t)}{A}-\frac{\cos (2 B t)}{B} \\
\frac{\sin (2 A t)}{A}-\frac{\sin (2 B t)}{B} \\
\frac{4 \sin ((A-B) t)}{A-B}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Observe that although the curve we begin with is a torus knot, the resulting space curve has no reason to be knotted. The rotating normal is given as the normalized linear combination of the second and third columns as

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(t)= & \cos (a t+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sin ((A+B) t) \\
-\cos ((A+B) t) \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sin (a t+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos (2 A t)+\cos (2 B t) \\
\sin (2 A t)+\sin (2 B t) \\
2 \sin ((A-B) t)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the simplest case of $a=0$ and $b=0$, the resulting Björling surfaces are generalized Enneper surfaces. For instance, for $A=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $B=\frac{3}{2}$, we obtain the standard Enneper surface as shown in Figure 2(a). The "hole" in the center will eventually close. If we rotate the normal by $90^{\circ}$ by letting $b=\pi / 2$, then we obtain the surface in Figure 2(b) with two ends.

Increasing $a$ creates helicoidal surfaces along the core curve, as in Figure 4(a) for $a=20$. Enneper surfaces with $k$-fold dihedral symmetry can be obtained by using $A=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $B=\frac{1}{2}(2 k-1)$. The planar Enneper surfaces with $k$-fold dihedral symmetry [6] arise if we choose $A=+1 / 2$ and $B=\frac{1}{2}(2 k+1)$. Examples with 3-fold dihedral symmetry and no twist are shown in Figure 3, and a version with the same core curve but faster rotating normal appears in Figure 4(b).

For other (rational) choices of $A$ and $B$, the surfaces will be immersed with two ends, regular, and of finite total curvature. To see this, we compute from the unintegrated Björling formula the Gauss map and height differential (using


Figure 3 Björling surfaces related to planar Enneper's surface


Figure 4 Björling surfaces based on Enneper core curves
equation (2)) in the coordinate $w$ given by $z=e^{i w}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =-\frac{i w^{A+B}\left(e^{i b} w^{a+A}+e^{i b} w^{a+B}+w^{A}-w^{B}\right)}{e^{i b} w^{a+A}-e^{i b} w^{a+B}+w^{A}+w^{B}} \\
d h & =\frac{i}{2} \frac{w^{2 B}\left(e^{i b} w^{a}-1\right)^{2}-w^{2 A}\left(e^{i b} w^{a}+1\right)^{2}}{e^{i b} w^{a+A+B+1}} d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $a=b=0$, this simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =-i w^{A+B} \\
d h & =-2 i w^{A-B-1} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

which are the Weierstrass data of the generalized Enneper surfaces (see [6]), as claimed.

For integer $a>0$, we limit the regularity discussion to the standard Enneper case where $A=-\frac{1}{2}, B=\frac{3}{2}$, and $b=0$ to keep the formulas simple. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(w)=i w\left(w^{a+2}+w^{a}-w^{2}+1\right) \\
& Q(w)=w^{a+2}-w^{a}-w^{2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{P(w)}{Q(w)} \\
d h & =\frac{1}{2 w^{a+4}} P(w) Q(w) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

To see that the surface is regular in all of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$, we need to show that the conformal factor does not vanish and has no singularities. This is equivalent to $P$ and $Q$ having no common roots. Suppose that $w$ is a common root of $P$ and $Q$. Then also $0=P(w)-i w Q(w)=2 i w\left(w^{a}+1\right)$. Thus $w=0$ or $w^{a}+1=0$. But $Q(0)=1$, and if $w^{a}=-1$, then $Q(w)=-2 w^{2}$. This implies that in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ the height differential has a zero if and only if the Gauss map has a zero or pole of matching order. This in turn implies that the surface is regular.

We also see that the Gauss map has degree $a+3$ when $a>0$. This degree drops to 1 if $a=0$ due to cancellations.

### 3.3. Periodic Surfaces

So far, the core curves of the examples we have considered have been closed curves. This is in general not the case. As an example, we consider the entry curve $q(t)$ given as the quaternion product of two great circles of $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}(t)=\cos (t / 2) \mathbf{j}+\sin (t / 2) \mathbf{k} \\
& q_{2}(t)=-\cos (t / 2) \mathbf{1}+\sin (t / 2) \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t) & =q_{1}(t) \cdot q_{2}(t) \\
& =-\sin (t / 2)^{2} \mathbf{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sin (t) \mathbf{i}-\cos (t / 2)^{2} \mathbf{j}-\frac{1}{2} \sin (t) \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
Q(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\cos (t) & -\sin (t) & 0 \\
-\cos (t) \sin (t) & \cos ^{2}(t) & \sin (t) \\
-\sin ^{2}(t) & \cos (t) \sin (t) & -\cos (t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that the core curve becomes $c(t)=\left(-\sin (t), \frac{1}{2} \cos ^{2}(t), \frac{1}{4} \sin (2 t)-\frac{t}{2}\right)$, and the rotating normal is given by

$$
n(t)=\cos (a t+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin (t) \\
\cos ^{2}(t) \\
\cos (t) \sin (t)
\end{array}\right)+\sin (a t+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\sin (t) \\
-\cos (t)
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure 5 Periodic Björling surfaces based on the quaternion product of two great circles

Note that this curve is periodic in the $z$-direction and projects onto the $x y$ plane as a singular piece of the parabola $y=2\left(1-x^{2}\right)$. We will come back to this example from a different point of view in Section 5.3.

Using the coordinate $w$ on $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ with $z=e^{i w}$, we obtain as the Weierstrass representation of the surface divided by its translational symmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{P(w)}{Q(w)} \\
d h & =\frac{e^{-i b}}{8 w^{a+3}} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(w)=e^{i b}\left(w^{2}+1\right) w^{a}-i(w+2) w+i \\
& Q(w)=e^{i b}((w-2) w-1) w^{a}-i\left(w^{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, the degree of the Gauss map is $a+2$ except when $a=0$ and $b=\pi / 2$ (see Figure 5(a)), when the degree is 1 . In this case, the Weierstrass representation simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{w-1}{w+1} \\
d h & =\frac{i}{2 w^{3}}\left(w^{2}-1\right) d w,
\end{aligned}
$$

with an annular Scherk end at 0 and a higher-order end at $\infty$. In any case, the surfaces are regular everywhere in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. This can for instance be seen by computing the resultant of $P(w)$ and $Q(w)$ as

$$
\operatorname{Res}(P, Q)=-8^{a+1} i^{a} e^{(a+2) i b}
$$

which never vanishes.

## 4. Lifting Plane Curves

The major drawback of the the quaternion method is that it gives little control over the geometry of the core curve. In the translation invariant examples that we created with the quaternion method we noticed that the core curve often had a simple projection onto the plane perpendicular to the translation. This suggested
the question whether one could prescribe a planar curve $(x(t), y(t))$ and lift it to a space curve $c(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ such that $c^{\prime}(t)$ is the first column of a matrix $Q(t)=\Phi(q(t))$ for a suitable curve $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Of course, all this should happen in the realm of polyexp functions.

To our delight, this is indeed possible. Moreover, the matrices $\Phi(q(t))$ we obtained this way turned out to be rather special elements of $\mathbb{R} \cdot \operatorname{SO}(3)$, namely $180^{\circ}$ rotations followed by scalings. Although this does not achieve full generality, it allows for a very simple description and a highly effective method.

More precisely, we have the following:
Theorem 4.1. Given a planar polyexp curve $(x(t), y(t))$, there is a family of explicit polyexp curves

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(t) \in \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathrm{SO}(3),
$$

depending on a parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that the projection of the integral $c(t)$ of the first column of $\Psi_{\lambda}(t)$ onto the xy-plane is the curve $(x(t), y(t))$.

Proof. Recall that for a (column) vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the symmetric matrix

$$
R(v)=2 v \cdot v^{t}-v^{t} v I_{n}
$$

is the $180^{\circ}$ degree rotation about the line in the direction of $v$, followed by a scaling by $|v|^{2}$. Explicitly, in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, for $v=(x, y, z)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(x, y, z) & =\Phi(x \mathbf{i}+y \mathbf{j}+z \mathbf{k}) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{2}-y^{2}-z^{2} & 2 x y & 2 x z \\
2 x y & -x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2} & 2 y z \\
2 x z & 2 y z & -x^{2}-y^{2}+z^{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $R(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathrm{SO}(3)$, and when $x, y$, and $z$ are polyexp in $t$, then so is $R(x, y, z)$. Note that this matrix is also symmetric, which implies that it represents a $180^{\circ}$ rotation followed by a scaling.

Now let a polyexp planar curve $(x(t), y(t))$ be given, and fix a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the polyexp curve

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda} R\left(x^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime}(t), \lambda\right)
$$

Then $\Psi_{\lambda}(t)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 with

$$
\mu(t)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}+x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)
$$

and hence its columns can be used to find an explicit Björling surface. We intentionally choose the third column of $\Psi$ as $c^{\prime}$, namely

$$
c^{\prime}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime}(t) \\
y^{\prime}(t) \\
\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}-x^{\prime}(t)^{2}-y^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is dictated by the desire to lift a curve in the $x y$-plane and to use symmetric matrices. With the appropriate integration constants, the space curve $c$ then projects onto the $x y$-plane as the given curve $(x(t), y(t))$.

Remark 4.2. We note that for a closed planar curve $(x(t), y(t))$ defined on an interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, the constructed lifts will in general not be closed but periodic with a translational period in the $z$-direction given by

$$
T=\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t
$$

This shows, however, that for a suitable choice of $\lambda$, we can always obtain closed lifts.

Remark 4.3. We can carry out this construction also for nonconstant $\lambda$ as long as the function $\left(x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right) / \lambda(t)$ is polyexp. We will see an example in Section 5.3.

To define a rotating normal along $c$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\lambda^{2}+x^{\prime}(t)^{2}-y^{\prime}(t)^{2} \\
2 x^{\prime}(t) y^{\prime}(t) \\
2 \lambda x^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right), \\
& 2 x^{\prime}(t) y^{\prime}(t) \\
& n_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\binom{\lambda^{2}-x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{2 \lambda y^{\prime}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

be the first two columns of $\Psi_{\lambda}(t)$. Then define for real parameters $a$ and $b$ the normal

$$
n(t)=\frac{2 \lambda}{\lambda^{2}+x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}}\left(\cos (a t+b) n_{1}(t)+\sin (a t+b) n_{2}(t)\right)
$$

We use the pair $\{c, n\}$ as Björling data. As both $c(t)$ and $n(t)$ are polyexp, the corresponding Björling surface will be explicit.

We will show next that the surfaces constructed this way are almost always regular.

ThEOREM 4.4. Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be a polyexp plane curve, let $c(t)$ be the polyexp curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ constructed in Theorem 4.1, and let $n(t)$ be the normal defined as before. Assume that $\cos (a t+b) x^{\prime}(t)+\sin (a t+b) y^{\prime}(t)$ is not identically equal to 0 ; this will be true for all but at most one choice of real numbers $(a, b)$. Then the Björling surface given by these data is defined in the entire complex plane and regular for a generic choice of $\lambda$.

Proof. We introduce the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(w)=\frac{i e^{-\frac{1}{2} i(a w+b)}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\lambda}}\left(x^{\prime}(w)+i y^{\prime}(w)+\lambda e^{i(a w+b)}\right) \\
& Q(w)=\frac{i e^{-\frac{1}{2} i(a w+b)}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\lambda}}\left(e^{i(a w+b)}\left(x^{\prime}(w)-i y^{\prime}(w)\right)-\lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which we will express the Weierstrass data of the Björling surface. The unintegrated Björling formula gives us the Weierstrass representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{\prime}(w)-i \cdot c^{\prime}(w) \wedge n(w)= & \left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime}(w) \\
y^{\prime}(w) \\
\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}-x^{\prime}(w)^{2}-y^{\prime}(w)^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& +i \cos (a w+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} x^{\prime}(w) y^{\prime}(w) \\
-\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}+x^{\prime}(w)^{2}-y^{\prime}(w)^{2}\right) \\
y^{\prime}(w)
\end{array}\right) \\
& +i \sin (a w+b)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}-x^{\prime}(w)^{2}+y^{\prime}(w)^{2}\right) \\
-\frac{1}{\lambda} x^{\prime}(w) y^{\prime}(w) \\
-x^{\prime}(w)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving equation (2) for the Weierstrass data $G$ and $d h$ yields (after a tedious computation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{P(w)}{Q(w)} \\
d h & =P(w) Q(w) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $P$ and $Q$ are entire functions. If they do not vanish simultaneously at a point $w$, then whenever $d h$ vanishes at $w, G$ must have a zero or pole of the same order at $w$, which implies that the surface is regular at $w$. So we need to show that for a generic choice of $\lambda, P$ and $Q$ do never vanish simultaneously.

Solving both equations $P(w)=0$ and $Q(w)=0$ for $\lambda$, we obtain

$$
\lambda=-e^{-i(a w+b)}\left(x^{\prime}(w)+i y^{\prime}(w)\right)=e^{i(a w+b)}\left(x^{\prime}(w)-i y^{\prime}(w)\right)
$$

By the identity theorem, the set of points $w$ where the second of these two equations is satisfied will either be a discrete subset of the complex plane, or the entire complex plane. In the first case, we just avoid the discrete set of values where the two expressions agree. In the second case, we note that the second equation is equivalent to

$$
\cos (a w+b) x^{\prime}(w)+\sin (a w+b) y^{\prime}(w)=0
$$

which must now hold for all $w$, violating our assumption.
Remark 4.5. We briefly discuss the condition on $a$ and $b$. In the case that $\cos (a w+b) x^{\prime}(w)+\sin (a w+b) y^{\prime}(w)=0$ for all $w$, we necessarily have

$$
\binom{x^{\prime}(w)}{y^{\prime}(w)}=r(w) \cdot\binom{-\sin (a w+b)}{\cos (a w+b)}
$$

for a polyexp function $r(w)$. In this case, we obtain $\lambda=-i \cdot r(w)$. This means that if we choose $w$ so that $\lambda=-i \cdot r(w)$ is real, then the polynomials $P$ and $Q$ will have a common root at $w$ for the choice of $a, b$, and $\lambda$, and hence the minimal surface will be singular at $w$. In other words, for this choice of $a$ and $b$, for no choice of $\lambda$ the surface will be regular in the entire complex plane. In Section 5.4, we will give an example for this behavior.

Similarly, in Section 5.2, we will give an example where isolated choices of $\lambda$ lead to surfaces with singularities.

Remark 4.6. The formula for the Gauss map $G$ in the proof can also be used to determine the total curvature in case the plane curve is trigonometric.

## 5. Examples

In this section, we will apply Theorem 4.1 to some classical planar curves. Except for the first example, all the surfaces we obtain appear here for the first time.

### 5.1. Circles

The lifts of circles, parameterized by arc length, will either be circles or helices.
Let $x(t)=\cos (t)$ and $y(t)=\sin (t)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\lambda}(t) & =\frac{1}{2 \lambda} R\left(x^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime}(t), \lambda\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\lambda^{2}-\cos (2 t) & -\sin (2 t) & -2 \lambda \sin (t) \\
-\sin (2 t) & -\lambda^{2}+\cos (2 t) & 2 \lambda \cos (t) \\
-2 \lambda \sin (t) & 2 \lambda \cos (t) & \lambda^{2}-1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral of the third column gives the core curve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x(t) \\
y(t) \\
z(t)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos (t) \\
\sin (t) \\
\frac{t\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)}{2 \lambda}
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is a circle (if $|\lambda|=1$ ) or a helix. We have discussed the circular case in Section 3.1. The images of Björling surfaces based on a helix are in Figure 6.

Using the first two columns, we can form and simplify the rotating normal

$$
n(t)=\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\cos ((a-2) t+b)-\cos (a t+b) \lambda^{2} \\
\sin ((a-2) t+b)-\sin (a t+b) \lambda^{2} \\
2 \sin ((a-1) t+b) \lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Björling integral can easily and explicitly be evaluated, but the equations are not illuminating. More interesting are the Weierstrass data, which can be written after the substitution $w=-i \log (z)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{P(w)}{Q(w)} \\
d h & =-\frac{i e^{-i b}}{2 \lambda w^{a+1}} P(w) Q(w) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(w)=w-i e^{i b} \lambda w^{a} \\
& Q(w)=i \lambda-e^{i b} w^{a-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that for a positive integer $a$, the Weierstrass data of the surface are defined on the punctured plane $\mathbb{C}^{*}$, and the Gauss map has degree $a$. Furthermore,


Figure 6 Björling surfaces based on a helix
$P$ and $Q$ do not have a root in common, because otherwise $P-i \lambda w Q=w\left(\lambda^{2}+\right.$ 1) would have a root at the same point $w$. This would mean that $w=0$, but $Q(0) \neq 0$. This implies that the surface is regular everywhere in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$.

In case where $a=0$ or $a=1$, the degree of the Gauss map is 1 , and the surface is in the family of associated surfaces of the catenoid.

These Björling surfaces based on helices can also be easily obtained using the quaternion method, starting with a circle of $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ that is not a great circle.

With a free parameter $\sigma \in(0, \pi / 2)$, let

$$
q(t)=\sin (\sigma) \mathbf{1}+\cos (\sigma) \cos (t) \mathbf{j}+\cos (\sigma) \sin (t) \mathbf{k}
$$

We obtain

$$
Q(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\cos (2 \sigma) & -\sin (t) \sin (2 \sigma) & \cos (t) \sin (2 \sigma) \\
\sin (t) \sin (2 \sigma) & \cos (2 t) \cos ^{2}(\sigma)+\sin ^{2}(\sigma) & \cos ^{2}(\sigma) \sin (2 t) \\
-\cos (t) \sin (2 \sigma) & \cos ^{2}(\sigma) \sin (2 t) & \sin ^{2}(\sigma)-\cos (2 t) \cos ^{2}(\sigma)
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the core curve becomes the (horizontal) helix

$$
c(t)=-(\cos (2 \sigma) t, \sin (2 \sigma) \cos (t), \sin (2 \sigma) \sin (t))
$$

Using this curve together with the normal vector (assuming $b=0$ without loss of generality thanks to the screw motion invariance of helices)

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(t)= & \cos (a t)\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin (t) \sin (2 \sigma) \\
\cos (2 t) \cos ^{2}(\sigma)+\sin ^{2}(\sigma) \\
\cos ^{2}(\sigma) \sin (2 t)
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\sin (a t)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos (t) \sin (2 \sigma) \\
\cos ^{2}(\sigma) \sin (2 t) \\
\sin ^{2}(\sigma)-\cos (2 t) \cos ^{2}(\sigma)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain the same Björling surfaces as before, rotated by $90^{\circ}$.

(a) $\lambda=\sqrt{5}$

(b) $\lambda=1$

Figure 7 Björling surfaces based on an ellipse

### 5.2. Ellipses

Creating an explicit Björling surface with normal rotating along a planar ellipse leads to elliptical integrals. Our lifting method avoids this problem by slightly bending the ellipse into a spatial curve. We illustrate this with the ellipse $x(t)=$ $\cos (t)$ and $y(t)=3 \sin (t)$. This will also serve as an example where a particular choice of $\lambda$ can lead to a minimal surface with singularities.

We compute the third coordinate as

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(\left(\lambda^{2}-5\right) t-2 \sin (2 t)\right)
$$

This curve closes when $\lambda=\sqrt{5}$. We use the curve $c(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ as a core curve and chose the rotating normal with $a=2$ and $b=0$ so that it is given by

$$
n(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}+4 \cos (2 t)+5}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\left(\lambda^{2}+4\right) \cos (2 t)-\cos (4 t)-4 \\
\left(4-\lambda^{2}\right) \sin (2 t)+\sin (4 t) \\
2 \lambda(2 \sin (t)+\sin (3 t))
\end{array}\right)
$$

After changing the coordinate $z$ to $w$ using $w=e^{i z}$, the Weierstrass data of the resulting Björling surface are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{-1+w^{2}(-2+i \lambda w)}{w\left(-i \lambda+w^{3}+2 w\right)} \\
d h & =\frac{\left(-i \lambda+w^{3}+2 w\right)\left(w^{2}(\lambda w+2 i)+i\right)}{2 \lambda w^{4}} d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the surface is defined and regular in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ unless the numerator and denominator of $G$ have a common root. This happens when $|\lambda|=1$. In case $\lambda=1$, the common roots are at $w=\frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$ and $w=-\frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{5}+1)$. In Figure 7, we show the regular surface for $\lambda=\sqrt{5}$ (when the core curve is closed) on the left and the singular periodic surface with $\lambda=1$ on the right.


Figure 8 Two Lissajous curves


Figure 9 Björling surfaces for the (1,2)-Lissajous curve with $a=1$

### 5.3. Lissajous Curves

As another class of trigonometric curves, we can consider the $(\xi, \eta)$-Lissajous curves (Figure 8 ) given by $x(t)=\cos (\xi t)$ and $y(t)=\sin (\eta t)$ with integer parameters $\xi$ and $\eta$ (Figure 8). Their lifts have as $z$ coordinate

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\left(-2\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}-2 \lambda^{2}\right) t+\xi \sin (2 \xi t)-\eta \sin (2 \beta t)\right) .
$$

We can, as observed before, make this a closed curve by choosing $\lambda$ such that

$$
2 \lambda^{2}=\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}
$$

By changing the value of $b$ in the rotating normal

$$
n(t)=\frac{2 \lambda}{\lambda^{2}+x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}}\left(\cos (a t+b) n_{1}(t)+\sin (a t+b) n_{2}(t)\right)
$$

we can uniformly rotate the normal about the core curve. For lines, circles, or helices as core curves, the effect is just a translation, rotation, or screw motion of the surface, but for other curves, the appearance can change significantly.

In Figure 9, we show the Björling surfaces for the lift of the (1, 2)-Lissajous curves with $\lambda=2, a=1$, and two different values of $b$.


Figure 10 Two cycloids

In Section 3.3, we used the quaternion method to construct a Björling surface with core curve

$$
c(t)=\left(-\sin (t), \frac{\cos ^{2}(t)}{2}, \frac{1}{4} \sin (2 t)-\frac{t}{2}\right)
$$

This core curve projects onto the $x y$-plane as the curve

$$
(x(t), y(t))=\left(-\sin (t), \frac{\cos ^{2}(t)}{2}\right)=\left(-\sin (t), \frac{1}{4}(\cos (2 t)+1)\right)
$$

which essentially is a Lissajous curve. Applying the lifting method to this curve gives the $z$ coordinate as

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{64 \lambda}\left(4 t\left(8 \lambda^{2}-5\right)-8 \sin (2 t)+\sin (4 t)\right)
$$

which is significantly more complicated than what we obtained with the quaternion method. Following Remark 4.3, we notice that we may chose as $\lambda=\lambda(t)$ any factor of

$$
x^{\prime}(t)^{2}+y^{\prime}(t)^{2}=\left(\sin ^{2}(t)+1\right) \cos ^{2}(t)
$$

and we will still obtain integrable Björling data. In fact, using $\lambda(t)=-\left(\sin (t)^{2}+\right.$ 1) produces exactly the same core curve as in Section 3.3. The quaternion method is still more general, because the curves in $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathrm{SO}(3)$ produced by the lifting method are always multiples of $180^{\circ}$ rotations.

### 5.4. Cycloids

The general cycloid is the trace of a chosen point in the plane of a circle that rolls along another fixed circle. If the fixed circle has radius $R$, the rolling circle radius $r$, and the tracing point in the plane of the rolling circle has distance $s$ from the center of the rolling circle, then the cycloid can be parameterized as

$$
\binom{x(t)}{y(t)}=(R+r)\binom{\cos (t)}{\sin (t)}-s\binom{\cos ((1+R / r) t)}{\sin ((1+R / r) t)} .
$$



Figure 11 Björling surfaces based on a lifted cycloid

We will discuss two special cases. The order 2 cyloid in Figure 10(a) is given by

$$
(x(t), y(t))=(3 \cos (t)-2 \cos (3 t), 3 \sin (t)-2 \sin (3 t)) .
$$

The $z$-coordinate of the lifted curve becomes

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}\left(t\left(\lambda^{2}-45\right)+18 \sin (2 t)\right)
$$

so that the lifted curve is closed when $\lambda=3 \sqrt{5}$. Observe that the self-intersections disappear in the lift. This is a common but not universal phenomenon-for instance, the closed lifts of Lissajous curves still have self-intersections. We show the resulting Björling surface in the closed case with $a=20$ in Figure 11(b), and in Figure 11(a), a periodic surface obtained with $\lambda=6$ and $a=2$. We have chosen $b$ such that large parts of the surface are embedded.

Another simple example of a cycloid is the deltoid (Figure 10 (b)), given by

$$
(x(t), y(t))=(-2 \cos (t)-\cos (2 t), \sin (2 t)-2 \sin (t))
$$

The $z$-coordinate of the lift becomes

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{6 \lambda}\left(3\left(\lambda^{2}-8\right) t+8 \sin (3 t)\right)
$$

Observe that the singularity of the plane deltoid disappears in the lifted curve. For $\lambda=2 \sqrt{2}$, the lift is closed.

For $a=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $b=\frac{\pi}{2}$, the Björling surface based on the deltoid exhibits the behavior explained in Remark 4.5. To see this, we note that the tangent vector of the deltoid can be written as

$$
\binom{x^{\prime}(w)}{y^{\prime}(w)}=r(w) \cdot\binom{-\sin (a w+b)}{\cos (a w+b)}
$$

with $a=-\frac{1}{2}, b=\frac{\pi}{2}$, and $r(w)=-4 \sin (3 w / 2)$, so that $w$ will be a singularity if we choose $\lambda=4 i \cdot \sin (3 w / 2)$. This becomes apparent in the Weierstrass data given by

$$
G(w)=-e^{-i w / 2}
$$



Figure 12 Björling surfaces based on the closed lift of the deltoid


Figure 13 Trefoil curves

$$
d h=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}(\lambda-4 i \cdot \sin (3 w / 2))^{2} d w .
$$

We show this singular Björling surface for $\lambda=2 \sqrt{2}$ when the lifted curve closes in Figure 12(b). Incidentally, this surface is also a Möbius strip.

### 5.5. Trefoil Curves

In this section, we construct a knotted Björling surface. The basis of this construction is the following family of curves, which we call trefoil curves:

$$
(x(t), y(t))=((\cos (t)-\xi) \cos (t),(\cos (t)+\xi) \sin (t))
$$

for a real parameter $\xi$ : see Figure 13.


Figure 14 Björling surfaces based on the trefoil curve with $\xi=\frac{1}{4}$

The $z$-coordinate of the lift becomes

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{6 \lambda}\left(3 t\left(\xi^{2}+1-\lambda^{2}\right)+2 \xi \sin (3 t)\right)
$$

which is closed for $\lambda=\sqrt{\xi^{2}+1}$. Moreover, the lift is knotted for $0<\xi<\frac{1}{2}$. Choosing $a=\frac{1}{2}$ and $b=\frac{\pi}{2}$ results in a Björling surface that is an almost horizontal knotted minimal Möbius strip shown in Figure 14(a).

The Weierstrass data of the oriented cover of the Björling surface for $a=\frac{1}{2}$ and $b=\frac{\pi}{2}$ are given in the coordinate $w=e^{i z / 2}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\frac{i w\left(\xi+w^{6}+\lambda w^{3}\right)}{\xi w^{6}-\lambda w^{3}+1} \\
d h & =\frac{i\left(\xi+w^{6}+\lambda w^{3}\right)\left(\xi w^{6}-\lambda w^{3}+1\right)}{\lambda w^{7}} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

We can show that numerator and denominator of $G(w)$ have no common roots in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$, which implies that the nonoriented surface is complete, regular, and of finite total curvature $-14 \pi$.

### 5.6. Spirals

As examples for nontrigonometric polyexp curves, we consider logarithmic and Archimedean spirals (Figure 15).

Logarithmic spirals are given by

$$
(x(t), y(t))=\rho^{t}(\cos (t), \sin (t))
$$

Here, $z(t)$ becomes

$$
z(t)=\frac{1}{2} \lambda t-\frac{\left(\log ^{2}(\rho)+1\right)}{4 \lambda \log (\rho)} \rho^{2 t}
$$



Figure 15 Two spirals


Figure 16 Björling surfaces based on a spirals

Observe that the linear term in $t$ guarantees that the space curve becomes proper; see Figure 16(b).

For the Archimedean spiral

$$
(x(t), y(t))=t(\cos (t), \sin (t))
$$

we obtain the cubic polynomial

$$
z(t)=-\frac{1}{6 \lambda} t\left(t^{2}+3-3 \lambda^{2}\right)
$$

For $|\lambda|>1$, this leads to core curves whose $z$-coordinates have two local extrema, well visible in Figure 16(a).

As a variation of the logarithmic spiral, let

$$
(x(t), y(t))=\left(\rho^{t}+1\right)(\cos (t), \sin (t))
$$



Figure 17 Björling surface using a lifted curve with bounded height
which limits, as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, on the unit circle (see Figure 17(a)). We obtain the lift

$$
z(t)=-\frac{1}{4 \lambda \log (r)}\left(\left(1+\log ^{2}(\rho)\right) \rho^{2 t}+4 \rho^{t}-\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) t\right)
$$

This means that for $\lambda=1$, the lifted curve will, as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, limit on the unit circle at height 0 . If we choose, in addition, $a=3$ and $b=\frac{\pi}{2}$, then the closure of the surface becomes a minimal lamination in a cylinder about the vertical axis with two leaves: One is the Björling surface (see Figure 17(b)), and the other is a horizontal disk at height 0 . This example is very similar to that constructed in [2].

### 5.7. The Clothoid

In this last example, we discuss the possibility to design Björling surfaces based on curves that are not polyexp. Consider the clothoid given by

$$
(x(t), y(t))=(C(t), S(t)),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \cos \left(s^{2}\right) d s \\
& S(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sin \left(s^{2}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

are the Fresnel integrals.
The $z$-coordinate of the lift is given by

$$
z(t)=\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{2 \lambda} t
$$

As a rotating normal, we choose

$$
n(t)=\cos \left(t^{2}\right) n_{1}(t)+\sin \left(t^{2}\right) n_{2}(t)
$$



Figure 18 Björling surface based on a lifted clothoid

$$
=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) \cos \left(t^{2}\right) \\
\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) \sin \left(t^{2}\right) \\
2 \lambda
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that we are adapting the rotational speed to the parameterization of the clothoid. This results in very simple Weierstrass data

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} e^{i z^{2}}, \\
d h & =\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{2 \lambda} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

and in the almost horizontal Björling surface in Figure 18. The only nonelementary functions in the surface parameterization are the Fresnel integrals:

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \lambda C(z)+i\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) S(z) \\
-i\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) C(z)+2 \lambda S(z) \\
z\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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