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#### Abstract

The elliptic quasi-map potential function is explicitly calculated for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in projective spaces in [13]. We extend this result to local Calabi-Yau varieties. Using this and the wall crossing formula in [5], we can calculate the elliptic Gromov-Witten potential function.


## 1. Introduction

For a nonsingular variety $X$ that has a GIT representation $W / / \theta \mathbf{G}$, we can define the moduli spaces of $\varepsilon$-stable quasi-maps with genus $g, k$-markings to $X$ with degree $\beta$, denoted by $Q_{g, k}^{\varepsilon}(X, \beta)$, for any $g$ and $k$ with $2 g-2+k \geq 0, \beta \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathbf{G}}(W), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ unless $2 g-2+k=0$ and $\beta=0$. For each $Q_{g, k}^{\varepsilon}(X, \beta)$, we can define the canonical virtual fundamental class

$$
\left[Q_{g, k}^{\varepsilon}(X, \beta)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_{*}\left(Q_{g, k}^{\varepsilon}(X, \beta)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}
$$

of degree

$$
c_{1}^{\mathbf{G}}(W) \cdot \beta+\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} X-3\right)(1-g)+k
$$

See [7] for details.
Especially, for a Calabi-Yau variety $X$, since $c_{1}^{\mathbf{G}}(W)=0$, every $\left[Q_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}(X, \beta)\right]^{\text {vir }}$ for any $\beta \neq 0$ has degree 0 . So, we can define the generating function

$$
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}:=\sum_{\beta \neq 0} q^{\beta} \operatorname{deg}\left[Q_{1,0}^{\varepsilon}(X, \beta)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}\right.
$$

for each $\varepsilon$. In particular, when $\varepsilon$ is small enough, that is, $\varepsilon=0+$, it is called the elliptic quasi-map potential function of $X$.

Throughout this paper, let $X$ be a total space of vector bundles

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{X^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(-l_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{X^{\prime}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(-l_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{X^{\prime}}
$$

over $X^{\prime}$, where $X^{\prime}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by deg $l_{i}$ polynomials for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$ and $l_{a}, l_{b}^{\prime}>0$ for all $a, b$. We assume the Calabi-Yau condition

$$
\sum_{a} l_{a}+\sum_{b} l_{b}^{\prime}=n
$$
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Note that $X$ has a natural GIT representation and is a Calabi-Yau variety. In this paper, we give an explicit formula of this elliptic quasi-map potential function for this $X$. Kim and Lho [13] already computed the elliptic quasi-map potential function in the case $m=0$. Basically, we follow their idea to prove the main theorem, which we introduce, except for the computational part. It is as follows: By the quantum Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem [12], quasi-map invariants of $X$ can be represented as twisted quasi-map invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, which also have natural GIT representations. Moreover, we apply the torus localization theorem for the latter since $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has a natural torus action.

To state the main theorem, we first need some preparations. Givental [8] introduced the equivariant I-function for $X$, which is an $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta)$-valued formal function in formal variables $q, z, t_{H}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathbf{T}}^{\zeta}(t, q) \\
& \quad:=e^{t_{H} H / z} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^{d} e^{t_{H} d} \\
& \\
& \quad \times \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{l_{j} d}\left(l_{j} H+k z\right) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{k=0}^{l_{j}^{\prime} d-1}\left(-l_{j}^{\prime} H-k z+\zeta\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(H-\lambda_{j}+k z\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ is the torus group acting on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are the $\mathbf{T}$ equivariant parameters, and $\zeta$ is the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-equivariant parameter for $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action acting diagonally on the fiber of $X$ over $X^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta)$ denotes the quotient field of the polynomial ring in $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \zeta, H$ is the hyperplane class, and $t=t_{H} H$. Denote by $I_{\mathbf{T}}$ the specialization of $I_{\mathbf{T}}^{\zeta}$ with

$$
\zeta=0
$$

Denote by $\underline{I}_{\mathbf{T}}$ the specialization of $I_{\mathbf{T}}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}=\exp (2 \pi i \sqrt{-1} / n), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the formal functions $B_{k}(q, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[H] /\left(H^{n}-1\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q, \frac{1}{z} \rrbracket$ and $C_{k}(q) \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q \rrbracket$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ inductively as follows. First, set $B_{0}:=$ $\underline{I}_{\mathbf{T}}(0, q)$ and choose $C_{0}(q)$ by a coefficient of $1=H^{0}$ in $B_{0}(q, z=\infty)$. Now, suppose $B_{k-1}(q, z)$ and $C_{k-1}(q)$ are defined. Then, define $B_{k}(q, z)$ by

$$
B_{k}:=\left(H+z q \frac{d}{d q}\right) \frac{B_{k-1}}{C_{k-1}(q)},
$$

and $C_{k}(q)$ by the coefficient of $H^{k}$ in $B_{k}(q, \infty)$. We can easily check that $C_{k}(q)$, $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, which are the so-called initial constants, are of the form $1+$ $O(q)$, and also that

$$
C_{k}(q) H^{k}=B_{k}(q, \infty), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Note that $\mathbb{Q}[H] /\left(H^{n}-1\right)$ is isomorphic to $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)$ modulo (1.1).
Now we are ready to state the main theorem.

Theorem 1.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}=\right. & -\frac{3(n-1-r-m)^{2}+n-r+m-3}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b=1}^{m}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $I_{0}^{\zeta}$ and $I_{1}^{\zeta}$ by the $1 / z$-expansion of

$$
\left.I_{\mathbf{T}}^{\zeta}\right|_{t=0}=I_{0}^{\zeta}+I_{1}^{\zeta} / z+O\left(1 / z^{2}\right) .
$$

Denote by $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ the specializations of $I_{0}^{\zeta}$ and $I_{1}^{\zeta}$ with $\zeta=0$. It is easy to check that $I_{0}^{\zeta}=I_{0}=C_{0}$. Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim [5] proved the wall-crossing formula.

Theorem 1.2 ([5]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}=\right. & -\frac{1}{24} \chi_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \log I_{0}-\frac{1}{24} \int_{X} \frac{I_{1}^{\zeta}}{I_{0}} c_{\operatorname{dim} X-1}\left(T_{X}\right) \\
& +\left.\langle \rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}\right|_{q^{d} \mapsto q^{d}} \exp \left(\int_{d[\text { line }]} I_{1} / I_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we consider $c_{\mathrm{dim} X-1}\left(T_{X}\right)$ as an equivariant Chern class to define integration on $X$ by localization. Combining these two theorems, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\rangle\left._{1,0}^{\infty}\right|_{q^{d} \mapsto q^{d}} \exp \left(\int_{d[\text { ine] }]} I_{1} / I_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad \frac{1}{24} \chi_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \log I_{0}+\frac{1}{24} \int_{X} \frac{I_{1}^{\zeta}}{I_{0}} c_{\operatorname{dim} X-1}\left(T_{X}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad-\frac{3(n-1-r-m)^{2}+n-r+m-3}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b=1}^{m}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \quad \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $m=0$, Theorem 1.3 gives another proof of the result in [13]. Also, when $r=0$, it gives another proof of the result in [11]. If both are nonzero, then this gives a new result.

## 2. Elliptic Quasimap Potential Function of $X$

In this section, we simplify the elliptic quasi-map potential function of $X$. We closely follow the notation in [13] and state the results in [13] without proof in this and next sections.

### 2.1. Quantum Lefschetz Theorem and Divisor Axiom

We will write elliptic quasi-map potential function as a generating function of quasi-map invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, which is much easier to deal with. Consider $Q_{g, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$. Here and further, since the degree of a quasi-map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ can be regarded as a nonnegative integer, we used the notation $d$ instead of $\beta$. Denote by $f$ the universal map from the universal curve $\mathcal{C}$ of $Q_{g, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ to the stack quotient $\left[\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right]$ :


Since the domain curves of objects in $Q_{g, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ have no rational tails for any $g$ and $k$, every irreducible component with genus 1 in the domain curves of objects in $Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ must have a positive degree if it exists. So, we can apply the quantum Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem in [12] to get following formula:

$$
\left(j_{g, k, d}\right)_{*}\left[Q_{g, k}^{0+}(X, d)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}=\mathrm{e}\left(E_{g, k, d} \oplus E_{g, k, d}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[Q_{g, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}
$$

for $g=0, k=2,3, \ldots$ and $g=1, k=0, d>0$. Here,

$$
j_{g, k, d}: Q_{g, k}^{0+}(X, d) \cong Q_{g, k}^{0+}\left(X^{\prime}, d\right) \hookrightarrow Q_{g, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)
$$

if $d>0$ and

$$
j_{0, k, 0}: Q_{0, k}^{0+}(X, 0) \cong X \times \bar{M}_{0, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \bar{M}_{0, k} \cong Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, 0\right)
$$

where $\bar{M}_{0, k}$ is the moduli stack of stable curves with genus 0 and $k$-markings. Also,

$$
E_{g, k, d}=R^{0} \pi_{*} f^{*}\left[\left(E \times \mathbb{C}^{n}\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right], E_{g, k, d}^{\prime}=R^{1} \pi_{*} f^{*}\left[\left(E^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right]
$$

where $E=\bigoplus_{a} E_{a}$ (resp. $E^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{b} E_{b}^{\prime}$ ), $E_{a}$ (resp. $E_{b}^{\prime}$ ) is the one-dimensional $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-representation space with weight $l_{a} \theta$ (resp. $-l_{b}^{\prime} \theta$ ), where $\theta: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is the identity map, and e stands for the Euler class. So, we can rewrite the potential function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}=\sum_{d \neq 0} q^{d} \operatorname{deg}\left(\mathrm{e}\left(E_{1,0, d} \oplus E_{1,0, d}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}\right)\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, denote by

$$
Q_{g, k \mid s}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)
$$

the moduli space of genus $g$, degree class $d$ stable quasi-maps to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with ordinary $k$ pointed markings and infinitesimally weighted $s$ pointed markings. We can also define their natural virtual fundamental classes

$$
\left[Q_{g, k \mid s}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}
$$

(see §2 of [4]). Using this, we define the invariants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}} ; \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{s}\right\rangle_{g, k \mid s, d}^{0+, 0+} \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathrm{e}\left(E_{g, k \mid s, d} \oplus E_{g, k \mid s, d}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[Q_{g, k \mid s}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right]^{\mathrm{ir}}} \prod_{i} e v_{i}^{*}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \psi_{i}^{a_{i}} \prod_{j} \hat{e} \hat{v}_{j}^{*}\left(\delta_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\gamma_{i} \in H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda), \delta_{j} \in H^{*}\left(\left[\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right], \mathbb{Q}\right)$, and for $g=0, k=2,3, \ldots$ and $g=1, k=0, d>0$, where $\psi_{i}$ is the psi-class associated with the $i$ th marking, and $e v_{i}$ (resp. $\hat{e v}{ }_{j}$ ) is the evaluation map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ (resp. $\left[\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right]$ ) at the $i$ th (resp. $j$ th) marking (resp. infinitesimally weighted marking); $E_{g, k \mid s, d}=R^{0} \pi_{*} f^{*}[(E \times$ $\left.\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right], E_{g, k \mid s, d}^{\prime}=R^{1} \pi_{*} f^{*}\left[\left(E^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right]$ with $f$ and $\pi$ defined as

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the universal curve. Note that in the definition of invariants, there is a constraint on $g$ and $k$ because the quantum Lefschetz theorem holds only in this case. So, in this case, we can interpret these invariants as invariants for $X$ that are basically defined without constraint on $g$ and $k$.

Here, we are focusing only on $Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$, which is isomorphic to the universal curve of $Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$. Define the generating function

$$
\langle\tilde{H}\rangle_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+}:=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^{d}\langle; \tilde{H}\rangle_{1,0 \mid 1, d}^{0+, 0+}
$$

where $\tilde{H} \in H^{2}\left(\left[\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right], \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is the hyperplane class. Then, by the divisor axiom we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \frac{d}{d q}\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}=\langle\tilde{H}\rangle_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+}\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. Localization

Now, we will calculate it by using T-equivariant quasi-map theory. Recall that $\mathbf{T}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ is the $n$-dimensional torus acting on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in a standard way. Let $\left\{p_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be the set of $\mathbf{T}$-fixed points of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. The $\mathbf{T}$-fixed loci of $Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ can be divided into two types according to whether the reduced image is a point in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ or not. A quasi-map is called a vertex type over $p_{i}$ if its regularization map is constant over $p_{i}$. For the definition of regularization map, see [7]. Otherwise, the quasi-map in $Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}}$ is said to be of loop type. A loop-type quasi-map is said to be of loop type over $p_{i}$ if the infinitesimally weighted marking of the quasi-map maps to $p_{i}$.

Define $Q_{\text {vert }, i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}$ to be the substack of $Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}}$ consisting of vertex type over $p_{i}$. Define $Q_{\text {loop }, i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}$ to be the substack of $Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}}$ consisting of loop type over $p_{i}$.

By the virtual localization theorem, $\langle\tilde{H}\rangle_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+}$ can be divided into the sum of the localization contribution Vert ${ }_{i}$ from all the vertex types over $p_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)^{\mathbf{T}}$ and the localization contribution $\mathbf{L o o p}$ from all the loop types over $p_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)^{\mathbf{T}}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\tilde{H}\rangle_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+}:=\sum_{i} \operatorname{Vert}_{i}+\sum_{i} \mathbf{L o o p}_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Vert}_{i}:=\sum_{d \neq 0} q^{d} \int_{\left[Q_{\mathrm{vert}, i, d}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]} \frac{\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(E_{1,0 \mid 1, d} \oplus E_{1,0 \mid 1, d}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Q_{\mathrm{verr}, i, d}^{\mathrm{T}}} \hat{e} \hat{v}_{1}^{*}(\tilde{H})}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(N_{Q_{\mathrm{vert}, i, d} / Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{\mathrm{vir}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)}^{0+, 0+}\right)}, \\
& \mathbf{L o o p}_{i}:=\sum_{d \neq 0} q^{d} \int_{\left[Q_{\text {loop }, i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}\right.} \frac{\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(E_{1,0 \mid 1, d} \oplus E_{1,0 \mid 1, d}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Q_{\text {loop }, i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}} \hat{e} \hat{v}_{1}^{*}(\tilde{H})}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(N_{Q_{\text {loop }, i, d}^{\mathrm{vir}} / Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{\mathrm{T}}}^{0+, 0+}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}$ stands for the $\mathbf{T}$-equivariant Euler class, and $N_{Q_{\text {vert }, i, d}}^{\mathrm{vir}} Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)}$ (resp. $\left.N_{Q_{\text {loop }, i, d}}^{\mathrm{vir}} / Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ ) is the virtual normal bundle of $Q_{\text {vert, } i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}$ (resp. $\left.Q_{\text {loop }, i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)$ into $Q_{1,0 \mid 1}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$. Here, we regard the hyperplane class $\tilde{H}$ as a T-equivariant class in $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2}\left(\left[\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right], \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

### 2.2.1. Vertex Term. Let

$$
Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}
$$

be the T-fixed part of $Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ the elements of which have domain components only over $p_{i}$ under the regularization map. Then, $Q_{\text {vert, } i, d}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is isomorphic to the universal curve of $Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}$. So, by the divisor axiom,

$$
\left.\operatorname{Vert}_{i}=q \frac{d}{d q} \sum_{d \neq 0} q^{d} \int_{\left[Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right.} \frac{\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}\right]\left.^{\mathrm{Tir}}\left(E_{1,0, d} \oplus E_{1,0, d}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(N_{Q_{1,0}^{\mathrm{vir}}}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}} / Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right.}\right) .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E_{1,0, d}\right)\right|_{Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)} ^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}} \\
& \quad=\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{a} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\right) \otimes E_{a}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\prod_{a} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}}\left(l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\right) \otimes E_{a}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\prod_{a} R \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes E_{a}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{a} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}}\left(l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\right) \otimes E_{a}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{a} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes E_{a}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{a} R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes E_{a}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is base loci on a universal curve $\mathcal{C}$, and $\pi$ is a projection from $\mathcal{C}$ to $Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}$. The first equality comes from the idea in [6], and the second equality comes from the long exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}}\left(l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$
\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E_{1,0, d}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{b} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{l_{b}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \otimes E_{b}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{b} R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes E_{b}^{\prime}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{b} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes E_{b}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Also, we can see that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(N_{Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)}^{\mathrm{vir}}{ }^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}} / Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}} \cong \bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d} / S_{d}
$$

where $S_{d}$ is the symmetric group of degree $d$ acting on $\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}$ by a permutation of infinitesimally weighted markings. Furthermore, $\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}$ is smooth, and

$$
\left[Q_{1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}=\frac{1}{d!}\left[\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}\right]
$$

under the isomorphism. Here, $\left[\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}\right]$ is the fundamental class of $\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}$. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Vert}_{i}=q \frac{d}{d q} \sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}}\left(1+c_{i}(\lambda) \mathrm{e}(\mathbb{E})\right) F_{i, d}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i, d}:=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\prod_{a} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}}\left(l_{a} \hat{\mathbf{x}}\right) \otimes E_{a}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\prod_{b} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{l_{b}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \otimes E_{b}^{\prime}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \otimes T_{p_{i}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{x}}:=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \hat{x}_{j}$, the sum of loci of infinitesimally weighted markings in the universal curve; $\mathbb{E}:=\left(R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{\vee}$ is the Hodge bundle on $\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}$, and $c_{i}(\lambda)$ is the element in $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ uniquely determined by

$$
1+c_{i}(\lambda) \mathrm{e}(\mathbb{E})=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\vee} \otimes T_{p_{i}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\left.\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}} \otimes E\right|_{p_{i}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\left.\mathbb{E}^{\vee} \otimes E^{\prime}\right|_{p_{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}} \otimes T_{p_{i}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\left.\mathbb{E}^{\vee} \otimes E\right|_{p_{i}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\left.\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}} \otimes E^{\prime}\right|_{p_{i}}\right)}
$$

So, by a simple computation we can check that

$$
c_{i}(\lambda)=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}}+\sum_{a} \frac{1}{l_{a} \lambda_{i}}+\sum_{b} \frac{1}{l_{b}^{\prime} \lambda_{i}} .
$$

Note that $c_{i}(\lambda)$ is independent of $d$ and that $\mathrm{e}(\mathbb{E})^{2}=0$ because $\mathbb{E}$ comes from the Hodge bundle on $\bar{M}_{1,1}$.

By the same argument as in [13], we can relate the genus one invariants with the genus zero invariants.

Proposition 2.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
24 \sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}} \mathrm{e}(\mathbb{E}) F_{i, d} & =\sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{0,2 \mid d}} F_{i, d} \\
e^{24 \sum_{d \neq 0} q^{d} / d!\int_{\bar{M}_{1,0 \mid d}} F_{i, d}} & =\sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{0,3 \mid d}} F_{i, d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the classes $F_{i, d}$ on $\bar{M}_{0,2 \mid d}$ and $\bar{M}_{0,3 \mid d}$ are defined in the same way as in (2.4).

In conclusion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vert}_{i}=\frac{q}{24} \frac{d}{d q}\left(c_{i}(\lambda) \sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{0,2 \mid d}} F_{i, d}+\log \left(\sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{0,3 \mid d}} F_{i, d}\right)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Localized Invariants

### 3.1. Localized Generating Functions in Genus Zero Theory

In order to do equivariant quasi-map theory of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ instead of that of $X$, we need to use $E \times E^{\prime}$-twisted Poincaré metric on $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$, that is, for $a, b \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$,

$$
\langle a, b\rangle^{E \times E^{\prime}}=\int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}(E) \cup a \cup b}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)}
$$

where $\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}(E)$ (resp. $\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ ) is the $\mathbf{T}$-equivariant Euler class of $E$ (resp. $E^{\prime}$ ). Here, we used the notation $E$ (resp. $E^{\prime}$ ) instead of $\left[\left(E \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right] \cong$ $\bigoplus_{a} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(l_{a}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left[\left(E^{\prime} \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right] \cong \bigoplus_{b} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by avoiding abuse of notation. Let $\phi_{i}$ be the basis of $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\left.\phi_{i}\right|_{p_{j}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=j \\ 0 & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

and let $\phi^{i}$ be its dual basis with respect to $E \times E^{\prime}$-twisted Poincaré metric.
Also, as in [13], we need to use the twisted virtual fundamental class

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E_{0, k, d} \oplus E_{0, k, d}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}
$$

in genus zero quasi-map theory. By using this, we will define local correlators. Let

$$
Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}
$$

be the $\mathbf{T}$-fixed part of $Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$ with elements having domain components only over $p_{i}$. By using the twisted virtual fundamental class

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E_{0, k, d} \oplus E_{0, k, d}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(N_{Q_{0, k}^{\mathrm{vir}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}}, p_{i}} / Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right.}\right) \mathrm{l}
$$

we define it as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}\right\rangle_{0, k, d}^{0+, p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0, k}^{0+, p_{i}} \\
& :=\sum_{s, d} \frac{q^{d}}{s!}\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}, t, \ldots, t\right\rangle_{0, k+s, d}^{0+p_{i}}, \quad \text { for } t \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi_{i}$ is the psi-class associated with the $i$ th marking, $e v_{i}$ is the $i$ th evaluation map, and $q$ is a formal Novikov variable. Here, we used the notation $E_{0, k, d}$ (resp. $\left.E_{0, k, d}^{\prime}\right)$ instead of $\left.E_{0, k, d}\right|_{Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}}$ (resp. $\left.\left.E_{0, k, d}^{\prime}\right|_{Q_{0, k}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}}, p_{i}}\right)$ by avoiding abuse of notation.

Let $z$ be a formal variable. We define the following T-local generating functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i} & :=e_{i}\langle\langle 1,1,1\rangle\rangle_{0,3}^{0+, p_{i}}=1+O(q), \\
u_{i} & :=e_{i}\langle\langle 1,1\rangle\rangle_{0,2}^{0+, p_{i}}=\left.t\right|_{p_{i}}+O(q), \\
S_{t}^{0+, p_{i}}(\gamma) & :=e_{i}\left\langle\left\langle\frac{1}{z-\psi}, \gamma\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0,2}^{0+, p_{i}} \\
& =\left.e^{t} \gamma\right|_{p_{i}}+O(q) \text { for } \gamma \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \llbracket q \rrbracket, \\
J^{0+, p_{i}} & :=e_{i}\left\langle\left\langle\frac{1}{z(z-\psi)}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0,1}^{0+, p_{i}}=\left.e^{t}\right|_{p_{i}}+O(q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the unstable terms of $S_{t}^{0+, p_{i}}(\gamma)$ and $J^{0+, p_{i}}$ are defined by using the quasimap graph spaces $Q G_{0,0, d}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)$ or $Q G_{0,1,0}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)$ as in [2;3]. Also, the unstable term of $u_{i}$ (this is the only case of $m=d=0$ ) is defined to be 0 . So, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J^{0+, p_{i}}\right|_{t=0}=\left.I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=0, p_{i}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the front terms $e_{i}$ are defined by the formulas $\phi^{i}=e_{i} \phi_{i}$. The parameter $z$ naturally appears as the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-equivariant parameter in the graph construction (see $\S 4$ of [3]). It is originated from the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

On the other hand, it is easy to check that

$$
\sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{0,2 \mid d}} F_{i, d}=\left.u_{i}\right|_{t=0}, \quad \sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{q^{d}}{d!} \int_{\bar{M}_{0,3 \mid d}} F_{i, d}=\left.D_{i}\right|_{t=0}
$$

So, applying it to (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Vert}_{i}= & \frac{q}{24} \frac{d}{d q}\left(\left.\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}}+\sum_{a} \frac{1}{l_{a} \lambda_{i}}+\sum_{b} \frac{1}{l_{b}^{\prime} \lambda_{i}}\right) u_{i}\right|_{t=0}\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\log D_{i}\right|_{t=0}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

To describe this by using $I$-function for $X$, we need more generating functions. Denote by $Q G_{0, k, d}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)$ the quasi-map graph spaces (see [3]) and by

$$
Q G_{0, k, d}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}
$$

the T-fixed part of $Q G_{0, k, d}^{0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)$ with elements having domain components only over $p_{i}$. As in [13], we define the invariants and generating functions on the graph spaces: for $\gamma_{i} \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^{*}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}\right\rangle_{k, d}^{Q G^{0+}, p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{k}^{Q G^{0+}, p_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{s, d} \frac{q^{d}}{s!}\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}, t, \ldots, t\right\rangle_{k+s, d}^{Q G^{0+}, p_{i}}, \quad \text { for } t \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we denote by $e v_{i}$ the $i$ th evaluation map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ from the quasi-map graph spaces and regard $t$ as the element $t \otimes 1$ in $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^{*}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. We used the notation $E$ (resp. $\left.E^{\prime}\right)$ instead of $\left[\left(E \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right]$ (resp. $\left[\left(E^{\prime} \times\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right]$ ) by avoiding abuse of notation. The maps $f$ and $\pi$ are defined as follows:


Here, $\mathcal{C}$ is the universal curve.
Let $\mathbf{p}_{\infty}$ be the equivariant cohomology class in $H_{\mathbb{C}^{*}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ defined by

$$
\left.\mathbf{p}_{\infty}\right|_{0}=0,\left.\quad \mathbf{p}_{\infty}\right|_{\infty}=-z .
$$

Exactly as in [13], we can have the following factorization.

Proposition 3.1.

$$
J^{0+, p_{i}}=S_{t}^{0+, p_{i}}\left(P^{0+, p_{i}}\right)
$$

where

$$
P^{0+, p_{i}}:=e_{i}\left\langle\left\langle 1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_{\infty}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{1}^{Q G^{0+}, p_{i}}
$$

By the uniqueness lemma in $\S 7.7$ of [3] we have

$$
S_{t}^{0+, p_{i}}(\gamma)=\left.e^{u_{i} / z} \gamma\right|_{p_{i}}
$$

Hence Proposition 3.1 gives the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{0+, p_{i}}=e^{u_{i} / z}\left(r_{i, 0}+O(z)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i, 0} \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \llbracket t, q \rrbracket$ is the constant term of $P^{0+, p_{i}}$ in $z$. By the following result we can easily see that expression (3.3) is unique.

Corollary 3.2. The equality

$$
\log J^{0+, p_{i}}=u_{i} / z+\log r_{i, 0}+O(z) \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)((z)) \llbracket t, q \rrbracket
$$

holds as Laurent series of $z$ over the coefficient ring $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ in each power expansion of $t$ and $q$, after regarding $t$ as a formal element.

Also, as in [13], we have the following result.
Corollary 3.3.

$$
\left.D_{i}\right|_{t=0}=\frac{1}{\left.r_{i, 0}\right|_{t=0}} .
$$

In conclusion, applying these to (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Vert}_{i}= & \frac{q}{24} \frac{d}{d q}\left(\left.\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}}+\sum_{a} \frac{1}{l_{a} \lambda_{i}}+\sum_{b} \frac{1}{l_{b}^{\prime} \lambda_{i}}\right) u_{i}\right|_{t=0}\right. \\
& \left.-\log \left(\left.r_{i, 0}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{i}$ and $r_{i, 0}$ are defined in terms of factors in $J^{0+, p_{i}}$ as in (3.3). Also, $\left.u_{i}\right|_{t=0}$ and $\left.r_{i, 0}\right|_{t=0}$ are related to the factors in $I_{\mathbf{T}}$ by (3.1).

To describe the vertex term more concretely, denote by

$$
Q_{g, k \mid s}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)^{\mathbf{T}, p_{i}}
$$

the $\mathbf{T}$-fixed part of $Q_{g, k \mid s}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)$, the domain components of which are only over $p_{i}$.

For $\gamma_{i} \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\lambda), \tilde{t}, \delta_{j} \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\left[\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{C}^{*}\right], \mathbb{Q}\right)$, denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}} ; \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{s}\right\rangle_{0, k \mid s, d}^{0+, 0+} \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(E_{0, k \mid s, d} \oplus E_{0, k \mid s, d}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left[Q_{0, k \mid s}^{0+, 0+}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d\right)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{i} e v_{i}^{*}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \psi_{i}^{a_{i}} \prod_{j} \hat{e} \hat{v}_{j}^{*}\left(\delta_{j}\right) ; \\
& \left\langle\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0, k}^{0+, 0+}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{s, d} \frac{q^{d}}{s!}\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}} ; \tilde{t}, \ldots, \tilde{t}\right\rangle_{0, k \mid s, d}^{0+, 0+} ; \\
& \left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}} ; \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{m}\right\rangle_{0, k \mid s, d}^{0+, 0+, p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0, k}^{0+, 0+, p_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{s, d} \frac{q^{d}}{s!}\left\langle\gamma_{1} \psi^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \psi^{a_{k}} ; \tilde{t}, \ldots, \tilde{t}\right\rangle_{0, k \mid s, d}^{0+, 0+, p_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}(\gamma) & :=\sum_{i} \phi^{i}\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\phi_{i}}{z-\psi}, \gamma\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0,2}^{0+, 0+} ; \\
\mathbb{V}_{i i}(x, y) & :=\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\phi_{i}}{x-\psi}, \frac{\phi_{i}}{y-\psi}\right)\right\rangle_{0,2}^{0+, 0+}=\frac{1}{e_{i}(x+y)}+O(q) ; \\
\mathbb{U}_{i} & :=e_{i}\langle\langle 1,1\rangle\rangle_{0,2}^{0+, 0+, p_{i}}=\left.\tilde{t}\right|_{p_{i}}+O(q) ; \\
\mathbb{S}_{i}^{0+, p_{i}}(\gamma) & :=e_{i}\left\langle\left\langle\frac{1}{z-\psi}, \gamma\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0,2}^{0+, 0+, p_{i}}=\left.e^{\tilde{t} / z} \gamma\right|_{p_{i}}+O(q) ; \\
\mathbb{J}^{0+, p_{i}} & :=e_{i}\left\langle\left\langle\frac{1}{z(z-\psi)}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{0,1}^{0+, 0+, p_{i}}=\left.e^{\tilde{t}}\right|_{p_{i}}+O(q)=\left.J^{0+, p_{i}}\right|_{t=0}+O(\tilde{t}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{S}_{i}^{0+, p_{i}}(\gamma) & =\left.e^{\mathbb{U}_{i} / z} \gamma\right|_{p_{i}} ; \\
\mathbb{J}^{0+, p_{i}} & =e^{\mathbb{U}_{i} / z}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathbb{R}_{i, k} z^{k}+O\left(z^{m+1}\right)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\mathbb{R}_{i, k} \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \llbracket \tilde{t}, q \rrbracket$ (after regarding $\tilde{t}$ as a formal element).
Denote by $\mathbb{I}$ the infinitesimal $I$-function $\mathbb{J}^{0+, 0+}$ defined and calculated explicitly in [4]:

$$
\mathbb{I}(\tilde{t})=\left.\left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t_{i}}{z}\left(z q \frac{d}{d q}+H\right)^{i}\right)\right) I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=0}
$$

By (3.5) and the fact that $\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{p_{i}}=\mathbb{J}^{0+, p_{i}}$ we have

$$
\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{p_{i}}=e^{\mathbb{U}_{i} / z}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathbb{R}_{i, k} z^{k}+O\left(z^{m+1}\right)\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0, p_{i}}=e^{\mathbb{U}_{i} \tilde{t}_{\tilde{t}=0} / z}\left(\left.\sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathbb{R}_{i, k}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0} z^{k}+O\left(z^{m+1}\right)\right)
$$

On the other hand, since $\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}=\left.I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=0} \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \llbracket q, \frac{1}{z} \rrbracket$ and $\left.I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=0}$ is homogeneous of degree 0 if we set

$$
\operatorname{deg} H=\operatorname{deg} \lambda_{i}=\operatorname{deg} z=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} q=0
$$

then after the specialization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{0} \exp (2 \pi i \sqrt{-1} / n), \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \operatorname{deg} \lambda_{0}=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0} \in \mathbb{Q}[H] /\left(H^{n}-\lambda_{0}^{n}\right) \llbracket q, \frac{1}{z} \rrbracket$ is also homogeneous of degree 0 . Since $\mathbb{I}_{\tilde{t}=0, p_{i}}=$ $\mathbb{I}_{\tilde{t}=0, H=\lambda_{i}}$ and $\lambda_{i}^{n}=\lambda_{0}^{n},\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0, p_{i}}$ modulo (3.6) is a series in $\mathbb{Q} \llbracket q, \lambda_{i} / z, \frac{z}{\lambda} \rrbracket$. So, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{I}_{\tilde{t}=0, p_{i}} \equiv e^{\mu(q) \lambda_{i} / z}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{k}(q)\left(z / \lambda_{i}\right)^{k}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu(q) \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q \rrbracket$ and $R_{k}(q) \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q \rrbracket$. Here, $\equiv$ means modulo (3.6). Even if we put $\lambda_{0}=1$ to match the specialization (3.6) with (1.1), then (3.7) still holds modulo (1.1). Since $\mu(q) \lambda_{i}=\left.\mathbb{U}_{i}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}$ modulo (3.6), $\mu(q) \in q \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q \rrbracket$. Note that $\mu(q)$ and $R_{k}(q)$ are independent of $i$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathbb{I}\right|_{\tilde{t}=t_{H} \tilde{H}, p_{i}} & =e^{\lambda_{i} t_{H} / z}\left(\left.I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=0, q \mapsto q e^{t} H, p_{i}}\right) \\
& \equiv e^{\lambda_{i} t_{H} / z} e^{\mu\left(q e^{t} H\right) \lambda_{i} / z}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{k}\left(q e^{t_{H}}\right)\left(z / \lambda_{i}\right)^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\mathbb{U}_{i}\right|_{\tilde{t}=t_{H} \tilde{H}} \equiv \lambda_{i}\left(t_{H}+\mu\left(q e^{t_{H}}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \\
&\left.\mathbb{R}_{i, k}\right|_{\tilde{t}=t_{H} \tilde{H}} \equiv R_{k}\left(q e^{t_{H}}\right) /\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{k} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.r_{i, 0}\right|_{t=0} & =\left.\mathbb{R}_{i, 0}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}, \\
\left.u_{i}\right|_{t=0} & =\left.\mathbb{U}_{i}\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}, \quad \text { and } \\
c_{i}(\lambda) & =\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}}\right)+\sum_{a} \frac{1}{l_{a} \lambda_{i}}+\sum_{b} \frac{1}{l_{b}^{\prime} \lambda_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} \operatorname{Vert}_{i} & =q \frac{q}{d q}\left(\sum_{i} \frac{-\left.\log r_{i, 0}\right|_{t=0}}{24}+\sum_{i} \frac{\left.c_{i}(\lambda) u_{i}\right|_{t=0}}{24}\right) \\
& \equiv q \frac{q}{d q}\left(\frac{-n \log R_{0}(q)}{24}+\frac{1}{24}\left(\sum_{a} \frac{n}{l_{a}}+\sum_{b} \frac{n}{l_{b}^{\prime}}-\binom{n}{2}\right) \mu(q)\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ and $R_{0}$ are defined in terms of factors in $I_{\mathbf{T}}$.

### 3.2. Loop Term

By the same argument as in [9], we can represent the loop term with genus zero invariants.

Proposition 3.4.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{L o o p}_{i}= & \left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t_{H}} \mathbb{U}_{i}\right|_{\tilde{t}=t_{H} \tilde{H}}\right)\right|_{t_{H}=0} \\
& \times\left.\lim _{x, y \mapsto 0}\left(e^{-\mathbb{U}_{i}(1 / x+1 / y)} e_{i} \mathbb{V}_{i i}(x, y)-\frac{1}{x+y}\right)\right|_{\tilde{t}=0} \\
\equiv & \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i}\left(1+q \mu^{\prime}(q)\right) \\
& \times \lim _{x, y \mapsto 0}\left(\left.e^{-\lambda_{i} \mu(q)(1 / x+1 / y)} e_{i} \mathbb{V}_{i i}(x, y)\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}-\frac{1}{x+y}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The second equivalence comes from (3.8).
Now consider the equivariant cohomology basis

$$
\left\{1, H:=c_{1}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{O}(1)), \ldots, H^{n-1}\right\}
$$

of the T-equivariant cohomology ring

$$
H_{\mathbf{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, h\right] /\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(h-\lambda_{i}\right)\right), \quad H \mapsto h
$$

There is the expression of $V$-correlators in terms of $S$-correlators by Theorem 3.2.1 of [6]:

$$
\left.e_{i} \mathbb{V}_{i i}(x, y)\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}=\frac{1}{e_{i}} \frac{\left.\left.\sum_{j} \mathbb{S}_{z=x, \tilde{t}=0}\left(H^{j}\right)\right|_{p_{i}} \mathbb{S}_{z=y, \tilde{t}=0}\left(H^{j \vee}\right)\right|_{p_{i}}}{x+y}
$$

where $H^{j \vee}$ is the dual of $H^{j}$ with respect to $E \times E^{\prime}$-twisted Poincaré metric $g_{i j}$ modulo relations (3.6);

$$
g_{i j}=\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{r}\left(l_{a}\right)}{\prod_{b=1}^{m}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \lambda_{0}^{n(k-1)} \delta_{r-m+i+j, n k-1} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1 .
$$

We can calculate the $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{t}=0}\left(H^{k}\right)$ in terms of $I_{\mathbf{T}}$ by the Birkhoff factorization method as in [13]. So, we can express $\left.e_{i} \mathbb{V}_{i i}(x, y)\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}$ and $\mathbf{L o o p}_{i}$ in terms of factors of $I_{\mathbf{T}}$.

Using the Birkhoff factorization method and the calculation in [14], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x, y \mapsto 0} & \left(\left.e^{-\lambda_{i} \mu(q)(1 / x+1 / y)} e_{i} \mathbb{V}_{i i}(x, y)\right|_{\tilde{t}=0}-\frac{1}{x+y}\right) \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{i}^{n-2}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(T_{p_{i}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) L(q)} q \frac{d}{d q} \operatorname{Loop}(q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(q)= & \left(1-q \prod_{a} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right)^{-1 / n}, \\
\operatorname{Loop}(q)= & \frac{n}{24}\left(n-1-2 \sum_{a=1}^{r} \frac{1}{l_{a}}-2 \sum_{b=1}^{m} \frac{1}{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right) \mu(q) \\
& -\frac{3(n-1-r-m)^{2}+(n-2)}{24} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\sum_{k=m}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L o o p}_{i} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i}\left(1+q \mu^{\prime}(q)\right) \frac{\lambda_{i}^{n-2}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(T_{p_{i}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right) L(q)} q \frac{d}{d q} \operatorname{Loop}(q) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that equivariant $I_{\mathbf{T}}$-function satisfies the Picard-Fuchs equation $\left.\operatorname{PF} I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=t_{H} \cdot H}=0$,

$$
\mathrm{PF}:=\left(z \frac{d}{d t}\right)^{n}-1-q \prod_{a} \prod_{k=1}^{l_{a}}\left(l_{a} z \frac{d}{d t}+k z\right) \prod_{b} \prod_{k=0}^{l_{b}^{\prime}-1}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime} z \frac{d}{d t}-k z\right)
$$

and the asymptotic form of

$$
\left.I_{\mathbf{T}}\right|_{t=t_{H} H, p_{i}}
$$

we can calculate $\mu$ and $R_{0}$ :

$$
\mu(q)=\int_{0}^{q} \frac{L(x)-1}{x} d x, \quad R_{0}(q)=L(q)^{(r-m+1) / 2}
$$

For calculations, see [14]. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} \mathbf{L o o p}_{i} & \equiv \frac{1}{2} q \frac{d}{d q} \operatorname{Loop}(q) \sum_{i} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(T_{p_{i}} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} q \frac{d}{d q} \operatorname{Loop}(q) \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} H^{n-1}=\frac{1}{2} q \frac{d}{d q} \operatorname{Loop}(q) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3. Proof of Main Theorem

By combining (3.9), (3.12), and (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d q}\left\{\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}+\frac{3(n-1-r-m)^{2}+n-r+m-3}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b=1}^{m}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q)\right\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

because of (2.3). Finally, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}+\frac{3(n-1-r-m)^{2}+n-r+m-3}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b=1}^{m}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q)\right\}\left.\right|_{q=0}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we are done.

### 3.4. Corollaries

First of all, if $m \geq 2$, then $I_{0}=1$ and $I_{1}^{\zeta}=0$. Thus, we have the following:
Corollary 3.5. If $m \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}=\right. & \left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}\right. \\
= & -\frac{3(n-1-r-m)^{2}+n-r+m-3}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \prod_{b=1}^{m}\left(-l_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{b}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=1$, then $I_{0}=1$ and

$$
\int_{X} H \cup c_{\mathrm{dim} X-1}\left(T_{X}\right)=\binom{n}{2}-\sum_{a=1}^{r} \frac{n}{l_{a}}-\frac{n}{l_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Thus, we have
Corollary 3.6. If $m=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\rangle\left._{1,0}^{\infty}\right|_{q \mapsto q e^{I_{1}(q)}}=\right. & \frac{I_{1}(q)}{24}\left(\binom{n}{2}-\sum_{a} \frac{n}{l_{a}}-\frac{n}{l_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\frac{3(n-r-2)^{2}+n-r-2}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}} \cdot\left(-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{l_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{1}(q) \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q \rrbracket$ is the coefficient of $H$ in $I_{1}^{\zeta}$.
If $m=0$, then

$$
\int_{X} H \cup c_{\operatorname{dim} X-1}\left(T_{X}\right)=\binom{n}{2}-\sum_{a=1}^{r} \frac{n}{l_{a}} .
$$

Thus, we have the following:

Corollary 3.7. If $m=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\rangle\left._{1,0}^{\infty}\right|_{q \mapsto q e^{I_{1}(q) / I_{0}(q)}}=\right. & \frac{1}{24} \chi_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \log I_{0}+\frac{1}{24} \frac{I_{1}(q)}{I_{0}(q)}\left(\binom{n}{2}-\sum_{a} \frac{n}{l_{a}}\right) \\
& -\frac{3(n-1-r)^{2}+n-r-3}{48} \log \left(1-q \prod_{a=1}^{r} l_{a}^{l_{a}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r-2}\binom{n-r-k}{2} \log C_{k}(q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{1}(q) \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket q \rrbracket$ is the coefficient of $H$ in $I_{1}^{\zeta}$.

## 4. Example

Let $X$ be the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then it can be obtained by pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ under Segre embedding $i: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Note that the image of $i$ is a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Using identification $H_{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, define the Gromov-Witten invariants

$$
N_{d}:=\sum_{d_{1}+d_{2}=d} \operatorname{deg}\left[\bar{M}_{1,0}\left(X,\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)\right)\right]^{\mathrm{vir}}
$$

for positive integer $d$. In this section, we 1 calculate $N_{d}, d>0$, explicitly by using main theorem.

First, we apply Theorem 1.1 to $X$ by putting $n=4, r=1, m=1$, and $l_{1}=$ $l_{1}^{\prime}=2$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{0+}=-\frac{1}{12} \log (1-16 q)-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+q \frac{q}{d q} \frac{I_{1}(q)}{I_{0}(q)}\right)\right. \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{0}(q)$ and $I_{1}(q)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{d} q^{d} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{2 d}(2 H+k z) \prod_{k=0}^{2 d-1}(-2 H-k z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4} \prod_{k=1}^{d}\left(H-\lambda_{i}+k z\right)} \equiv I_{0}(q)+I_{1}(q) \frac{H}{z}+O\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the left-hand side of (4.2) is $I_{\mathbf{T}}(0, q)$, and " $\equiv$ " means modulo (1.1). Precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(q)=1, \quad \text { and } \quad I_{1}(q)=\sum_{d>0} \frac{q^{d}}{d}\binom{2 d}{d}^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 3.6 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\rangle\left._{1,0}^{\infty}\right|_{q^{d} \mapsto q^{d}} \exp \left(d I_{1}(q)\right)=\frac{1}{12} I_{1}(q)+\langle \rangle_{1,0}^{0+}\right. \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define

$$
Q:=q \exp I_{1}(q) \quad \text { and } \quad T:=\log Q
$$

Then, combining (4.1), (4.3), and (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}(Q)\right. & =\frac{T}{12}+\frac{1}{2} \log \left((1-16 q)^{-1 / 6} q^{-7 / 6} \frac{d q}{d T}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& =-\frac{1}{3} q-\frac{11}{6} q^{2}-\frac{124}{9} q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

because

$$
I_{1}(q)=T-\log q=1+4 q+18 q^{2}+\frac{400}{3} q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
1+q \frac{d}{d q} I_{1}(q)=q \frac{d T}{d q}=1+4 q+36 q^{2}+400 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

We have to mention is that in [10] it is proved that

$$
-\frac{T}{12}+\langle \rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}(Q)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left((1-16 q)^{-1 / 6} q^{-7 / 6} \frac{d q}{d T}\right)
$$

which is exactly (4.5).
On the other hand, using

$$
Q=q \exp I_{1}(q)=q+4 q^{2}+26 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}(Q)\right. & =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_{d} Q^{d} \\
& =N_{1} q+\left(4 N_{1}+N_{2}\right) q^{2}+\left(26 N_{1}+8 N_{2}+N_{3}\right) q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, comparing (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$
N_{1}=-\frac{1}{3}, \quad N_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad N_{3}=-\frac{10}{9}
$$

Also, note that these numbers appeared in [1], where it is also shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}(Q)=\frac{T}{12}-\log \eta(\tau)\right. \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is the Dedekind eta function, and

$$
Q=p^{1 / 2}-4 p+6 p^{3 / 2}+\cdots, \quad p=e^{2 \pi i \tau}
$$

that is,

$$
\eta(\tau)=e^{\pi i \tau / 12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{2 n \pi i \tau}\right)=p^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-p^{n}\right) .
$$

Indeed, mirror curves of $X$ are a family of elliptic curves. If we regard $\tau$ as a parameter of a family of elliptic curves, which corresponds to

$$
\mathbb{C} /(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau \mathbb{Z})
$$

then they have shown (4.8) by modular properties and the behavior at the discriminant of the family of elliptic curves of partition function at genus 1 , which is defined exactly in the same way as $-\frac{T}{12}+\langle \rangle_{1,0}^{\infty}(Q)$.
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