ORIENTATION-REVERSING PL INVOLUTIONS ON ORIENTABLE TORUS BUNDLES OVER S¹

Paik Kee Kim and Donald E. Sanderson

0. Introduction. In this paper we characterize the orientation-reversing PL involutions on orientable torus bundles over S^1 . Let M(b) denote the Seifert manifold of type $\{b; (n_2, 2)\}$ (see P. Orlik [12] or P. Conner and F. Raymond [3]). The space M(0) is the only Seifert manifold of this type which admits an orientation-reversing PL involution (see [11] or Theorem B below). We also obtain a complete classification of these involutions on M(0). Crucial to our study is the following, as well as of interest in its own right.

THEOREM A. (1) If an orientable torus bundle over S^1 admits a PL embedding of a Klein bottle K, then it is homeomorphic to a Seifert manifold M(b) for some b.

(2) A union of two twisted I-bundles over K (as an adjunction space) with infinite first homology group is homeomorphic to M(b) for some b.

We remark that each Seifert manifold M(b) contains a Klein bottle. Thus Theorem A shows that the family of orientable torus bundles over S^1 which contain Klein bottles is identified with the Seifert manifolds M(b). Recall that M(b) and M(b') are homeomorphic if and only if $b' = \pm b$.

In Theorems B, C we characterize the orientation-reversing PL involutions on orientable torus bundles over S^1 . In order to do this, we need to define some fibered 3-manifolds. Let \mathbf{R} be the set of real numbers and S^1 the set of complex numbers with norm 1. The 2-dimensional torus T^2 may be represented as $T^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid z_1, z_2 \in S^1\}$. Let φ be a homeomorphism of T^2 . We let $T^2 \times \mathbf{R}/\varphi$ denote the torus fiber bundle over S^1 obtained from $T^2 \times \mathbf{R}$ by identifying (x, t) with $(\varphi(x), t+1)$ for each $(x, t) \in T^2 \times \mathbf{R}$. The elements of $T^2 \times \mathbf{R}/\varphi$ are denoted by [x, t]. Define a homeomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ by $\bar{\varphi}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^{\lambda p+1} z_2^{\lambda q}, z_1^{\lambda r} z_2^{\lambda s+1})$ where p, q, r, s are integers with $\begin{vmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{vmatrix} = -1$ and $\lambda = p + s$. Observe that $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda p + 1 & \lambda q \\ \lambda r & \lambda s + 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We denote the space $T^2 \times \mathbf{R}/\bar{\varphi}$ by $\bar{M}\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$. Then two spaces $\bar{M}\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$ and $\bar{M}\begin{pmatrix} p' & q' \\ r' & s' \end{pmatrix}$, different from $T^2 \times S^1$, are homeomorphic if and only if the two matrices $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}^2$ and $\begin{pmatrix} p' & q' \\ r' & s' \end{pmatrix}^2$ are similar (see Lemma 4.1).

Observe that $\bar{M}_{0}^{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} \approx T^2 \times S^1$. All PL involutions on $T^2 \times S^1$ are known (see K. Kwun and J. Tollefson [8]). Theorem C provides a complete classification of the orientation-reversing PL involutions on M(0) (up to conjugation). Note that a space $\bar{M}_{r,s}^{(p,q)}$ is not homeomorphic to M(b) for any b (see Lemma 4.3).

Received September 25, 1979. Revision received March 17, 1981.

The first author was supported in part by Sciences and Humanities Research Institute, Iowa State University.

Michigan Math. J. 29 (1982).

THEOREM B. Suppose that a torus bundle $M = T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\varphi$ admits an orientation-reversing PL involution h. Then M is homeomorphic to either $\bar{M}^{p\,q}_{r\,s}$ or M(0). Furthermore, if $M \approx \bar{M}^{p\,q}_{r\,s}$ and $p+s \neq 0$ (if p+s=0, then $M \approx T^2 \times S^1$), then h is conjugate to a free PL involution \bar{h} on $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\varphi^2$ defined by

$$\bar{h}[x,\tau] = [\phi(x), \tau + \frac{1}{2}]$$
 for $(x,\tau) \in T^2 \times \mathbf{R}$

where ϕ is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of T^2 with ϕ^2 isotopic to a conjugate of φ .

THEOREM C. There exist exactly six orientation-reversing PL involutions on M(0), up to conjugation. These may be distinguished by the fixed-point sets: (1) empty set, (2) one Klein bottle, (3) two Klein bottles, (4) one torus, (5) four points, and (6) one torus plus four points.

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem B, and essentially classifies all orientation-reversing PL involutions on the orientable torus bundles over S^1 with nonempty fixed-point set (see Theorem C and [8]).

COROLLARY 1. The spaces $T^2 \times S^1$ and M(0) are the only orientable torus bundles over S^1 which admit orientation-reversing PL involutions with nonempty fixed-point set.

We divide the paper into four sections. In Section 1 we study representations of some fibered torus bundles. In Section 2 we give the proof of Theorem A. In Section 3 we list the (standard) orientation-reversing PL involutions on M(0), and in Section 4 prove Theorems B and C.

Throughout the paper we work in the PL category exclusively. We refer to J. Hempel [5] for standard 3-manifold terms.

1. Representations of some fibered torus bundles. We always reserve the notations ξ , ρ for the standard elements of $\pi_1(T^2)$ represented by the paths $(e^{2\pi t i}, 1)$ and $(1, e^{2\pi \tau i})$, $0 \le t$, $\tau \le 1$, respectively. Let $\varphi: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ be a homeomorphism. Then the map φ induces an automorphism φ_* on $\pi_1(T^2)$ such that $\varphi_*(\xi) = \xi^p \rho^r$ and $\varphi_*(\rho) = \xi^q \rho^s$ for suitable integers p, q, r, s. Recall that the isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of T^2 is a group isomorphic to the multiplicative group of unimodular 2×2 matrices (see [10]). The matrix $\binom{p-q}{t-s}$ shall be called the matrix of φ .

We shall denote the torus bundle $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\varphi$ by $M(\varphi)$. For each integer b we define a homeomorphism $\phi(b): T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ by $\phi(b)(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^{-1}, z_1^{-b} z_2^{-1})$. Then the Seifert manifold M(b) is homeomorphic to $M(\phi(b))$ (see [12]).

In the following we introduce a space $M^*(b)$ for each integer b which is a union of twisted I-bundles over K. We will see that these spaces can be also fibered over S^1 with fiber T^2 . We let γ always denote a map $\gamma: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ defined by $\gamma(z_1, z_2) = (-z_1, \bar{z}_2)$. Then the orbit space T^2/γ is a Klein bottle K. Let $N(\gamma)$ denote the twisted I-bundle over T^2/γ which is obtained from $T^2 \times I$ by identifying (x, 0) with $(\gamma(x), 0)$ for each $x \in T^2$. By a union of two twisted I-bundles over K we mean an adjunction space, denoted by $M^*(f)$, $M^*(f) = N(\gamma) \cup_f N(\gamma)$ where f is an attaching map of T^2 $(=\partial N(\gamma))$. We shall denote $M^*(f)$ by $M^*\binom{p}{f}$ in order to emphasize the matrix of f.

We may assume that $p \ge 0$ and $\binom{p-q}{r-s} = 1$. If $f: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ is defined by $f(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_1^b z_2)$, then $M^*(f)$ shall be simply denoted by $M^*(b)$. Let $g: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2/\gamma$ be the orbit map. We may assume $\pi_1(T^2/\gamma)$ is given as $\pi_1(T^2/\gamma) = \{\alpha, \beta \mid \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta = 1\}$ and $g_*(\xi) = \alpha^2$ and $g_*(\rho) = \beta$. Then, letting $M^* = M^*\binom{p-q}{r-s}$, it follows from Van Kampen Theorem that the fundamental group $\pi_1(M^*)$ is given as

$$\pi_1(M^*) = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \mid \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1 = 1, \alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2 = 1, \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^{2p}\beta_2^r, \beta_1 = \alpha_2^{2q}\beta_2^s\}$$

(here, corresponding to α , β are α_i , β_i , respectively). In the following we study some properties of $M^*(b)$ and M(b), which will be used in the later sections. For notations, if x is an element of a group G, then the image of x in G/[G, G] under the natural homomorphism shall be denoted by \bar{x} . The proof of the following Proposition 1.1 uses a similar technique to that used in [13].

PROPOSITION 1.1. Suppose that $M(\varphi)$ is homeomorphic to $M(\phi(b))$. Then φ is isotopic to a conjugate of $\phi(b)$.

Proof. Let $M=M(\phi(b))$ and $M'=M(\varphi)$. Then $\pi_1(M)$ can be represented by $\pi_1(M) = \{\xi, \rho, t \mid [\xi, \rho] = 1, t\xi t^{-1} = \xi^{-1}, t\rho t^{-1} = \xi^{-b}\rho^{-1}\}$ where t is generated by a simple closed curve which meets each fiber in a single point. Similarly,

$$\pi_1(M') = \{\xi', \rho', t' \mid [\xi', \rho'] = 1, \quad t'\xi't'^{-1} = \varphi_*(\xi'), t'\rho't'^{-1} = \varphi_*(\rho')\}.$$

Let $k: M \longrightarrow M'$ be a homeomorphism preserving the base points. Then k_* is an isomorphism of $\pi_1(M)$ to $\pi_1(M')$. Let J and J' be the subgroups of $\pi_1(M)$ and $\pi_1(M')$ generated by $\{\xi, \rho\}$ and $\{\xi', \rho'\}$, respectively. Let $w \in J$. Suppose that $k_*(w) = w't'^n$ for some $w' \in J'$. Observe that \bar{w} is an element of $\operatorname{Tor}(H_1(M))$. Thus we see that $\bar{w}'\bar{t}'^n \in \operatorname{Tor}(H_1(M'))$. Considering the group structure of $H_1(M')$, it is impossible unless n=0. Thus one sees that $k_*(J) = J'$. Now it is easy to show that $k_*(\phi(b))_*(w) = \varphi_*k_*(w)$, and therefore $\varphi_* = k_*(\phi(b))_*k_*^{-1}$. Thus φ must be isotopic to a conjugate of $\phi(b)$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let M^* be a union of two twisted I-bundles over K. If M^* can be fibered over S^1 , then M^* is homeomorphic to $M^*\binom{1}{b}$ for some b.

Proof. By the above, $\pi_1(M^*)$ may be represented as

$$\pi_1(M^*) = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \mid \alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1 = 1, \alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2 = 1, \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^{2p}\beta_2^r, \beta_1 = \alpha_2^{2q}\beta_2^s\}.$$

Abelianizing the group of $\pi_1(M^*)$, then

$$H_1(M^*) = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \mid \beta_1^2 = 1, \quad \beta_2^2 = 1, \quad \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^{2p} \beta_2^r, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_2^{2q} \beta_2^s,$$

$$[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = [\beta_1, \beta_2] = [\alpha_i, \beta_i] = 1\}$$

where i, j=1, 2. Since $H_1(M^*)$ is infinite, one can argue that q=0, and M^* is homeomorphic to $M^*\binom{1}{b}\binom{1}{1}$ for some b.

REMARK. It follows from the above proof that if $M^* = M^*\binom{p}{r}\binom{q}{s}$ is fibered over S^1 , then q = 0. We use this fact in Section 4.

PROPOSITION 1.3. $M^*\binom{1\ 0}{b\ 1}$ is homeomorphic to M(b).

Proof. The twisted I-bundle $N(\gamma)$ may be viewed as the orientable annulus bundle over S^1 with connected boundary. Let A be a fibered annulus. Observe that each boundary component of A is isotopic to the simple closed curve which generates $\rho \in \pi_1(T^2)$ ($T^2 = \partial N(\gamma)$). Let f be the attaching map $f: \partial N(\gamma) \longrightarrow \partial N(\gamma)$. Since $f_*(\rho) = \rho$, we see that the two simple closed curves of $f(\partial A)$ also bound a fibered annulus A' in the other $N(\gamma)$ in $M^* = M^*\binom{1}{b}$. Thus $S = A \cup A'$ is a nonseparating torus in M^* . Cut M^* along S. Let φ be the map of S repairing the cut. Observe that the fiber structures of the two $N(\gamma)$ (as annulus bundles) agree on the boundaries up to isotopy (note that $f_*(\rho) = \rho$), and therefore we see that $M^* \approx S \times I/\varphi$. The torus S may be parametrized in terms of T^2 , so that the generator $\rho \in \pi_1(T^2)$ can be represented by a boundary component of $A \subset S$ (with an orientation). Recall that since $\varphi \mid A : A \longrightarrow A$ is orientation-preserving and interchanges the two boundary components, ρ must be sent to ρ^{-1} under the automorphism $\varphi_* : \pi_1(T^2) \longrightarrow \pi_1(T^2)$. Thus the matrix of φ is given as $\binom{a'}{c'}$ for some a' and c'. Since $\varphi : S \longrightarrow S$ is orientation-preserving, we see that a' = -1. On the other hand, we see that

$$\pi_1(M^*) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta \mid \alpha_1 \beta \alpha_1^{-1} \beta = 1, \quad \alpha_2 \beta \alpha_2^{-1} \beta = 1, \quad \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 \beta^b \}.$$

Thus $\pi_1(M^*)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(M(b))$ (see [12]). Now we conclude that $c' = \pm b$, and therefore the result follows.

COROLLARY 1.4. (1) $M^*(b)$ is homeomorphic to M(b). (2) If $M^*(b')$ is homeomorphic to $M^*(b)$, then $b' = \pm b$.

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 1.3.

2. Proof of Theorem A. Let M be an orientable torus bundle over S^1 and K a Klein bottle in M. Crucial to the proof is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. There exists a nonseparating torus S in M such that $S \cap K$ is a simple closed curve which is two-sided and nonseparating in K.

LEMMA 2.2. [4]. There are exactly five isotopy classes of simple closed curves in K. If $\pi_1(K) = \{\alpha, \beta \mid \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta = 1\}$, then these can be represented by $\{1\}$, α , β , $\alpha\beta$, α^2 .

LEMMA 2.3. Let S be a nonseparating torus in M. Then S splits M into a space \bar{M} homeomorphic to $T^2 \times I$.

Proof. Since any compressible torus in an orientable irreducible 3-manifold is separating, S must be incompressible. Now it is not difficult to see that the inclusion $i: S \longrightarrow \bar{M}$ induces an isomorphism $i_*: \pi_1(S) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\bar{M})$ (view $S \subset \bar{M}$ in the obvious manner). Thus the result follows from [2].

The following must be well known (as the proof is easy). One may use a theorem of [2] as in Lemma 2.3.

LEMMA 2.4. Let A be an annulus properly embedded in $T^2 \times I$ such that the two boundary components are not contained in a single boundary component of $T^2 \times I$. If A is not contractible in $T^2 \times I$, then A splits $T^2 \times I$ into a space homeomorphic to $A \times I$.

Assume Theorem 2.1 which will be proved at the end of this section. We split M along S so as to obtain a space \overline{M} homeomorphic to $T^2 \times I$ (see Lemma 2.3). Let A be the annulus obviously obtained from K by the splitting.

LEMMA 2.5. Let N be a regular neighborhood of K in M. Then cl(M-N) is an orientable twisted I-bundle over K.

Proof. Take a regular neighborhood U of A in \overline{M} such that $U \cap \partial \overline{M}$ is a union of two annuli A_1 and A_2 . Since the two boundary components of A are the same in M after repairing the cut, we may assume that A_1 meets A_2 in this repairing process so as to obtain a regular neighborhood \overline{U} of K in M. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that the closure of the complement of \overline{U} in M must be homeomorphic to a space $B \times I/\varphi$ where B is an annulus and $\varphi: B \longrightarrow B$ is an attaching map. Since M is orientable, φ must be orientation-preserving. Since φ interchanges the two boundary components of B, we see that $B \times I/\varphi$ is homeomorphic to the orientable annulus bundle over S^1 with connected boundary. Thus the result follows.

Now it follows from Lemma 2.5 and Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 that the space M is homeomorphic to M(b) for some b. Thus the proof of Theorem A will follow by the completion of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let S be a fiber in M. Put S in general position with respect to K. Then the intersection curves are all simple closed curves without branch points. We let S be so chosen that the number of simple closed curves in $S \cap K$ is minimal among all such possible tori S. Let c(S) be the number of components of $S \cap K$. Since $T^2 \times I$ does not admit a Klein bottle, we see that $c(S) \neq 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.3). We divide the proof into several cases according to the types of simple closed curves in K and K. Let K be a simple closed curve in K and K.

Case 1). σ is one-sided in K. Since S is two-sided in M, this case cannot occur.

Case 2). σ bounds a disk in K or S. Since S and K are incompressible, σ bounds a disk in both S and K. Thus one may find another nonseparating torus S' with c(S') < c(S).

Thus $S \cap K$ consists of only two-sided noncontractible simple closed curves in both K and S. If $S \cap K$ contains two simple closed curves σ_1 and σ_2 , it follows from Lemma 2.2 that the two curves must be parallel in K (and also in S).

Case 3). The union $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ bounds an annulus A in K. Clearly $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ separates S into two annuli, say A_1, A_2 . Let $S_i = A \cup A_i$ (i = 1, 2). Since S is nonseparating in M, at least one of S_1 and S_2 cannot separate M, say S_1 . Let U be a small neighborhood of A in M such that $U \cap K$ is an annulus and $U \cap S$ is a regular neighborhood of $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ in S.

Subcase 1). $S_1 \cap U$ meets both sides of $U - (K \cap U)$. Let J, J' be the two components of $\partial U \cap S_1$. Let E be an annulus in U such that (i) $\partial E = J \cup J'$, (ii) Int $(E) \cap S = \emptyset$, and (iii) $E \cap K$ ($= E \cap A$) is a simple closed curve. Let $S' = (S_1 - (U \cap S_1)) \cup E$. Then we see that c(S') < c(S).

Subcase 2). $S_1 \cap U$ meets only one side of $U - (K \cap U)$. Pulling S_1 away in U from K, one may find a new nonseparating S' with c(S') < c(S).

Thus the only possibility compatible with our choice of S is that $S \cap K$ is a noncontractible two-sided simple closed curve σ in K.

Case 4). σ is separating in K. Let M' be the space obtained by splitting M along S. Then $M' \approx T^2 \times I$. Note that σ splits K into two möbius bands B_1, B_2 and each B_i (i=1,2) is properly embedded in M'. Let F_1 and F_2 be the two boundary components of M'. By using the identity maps of each F_i , we attach two copies of M' in the obvious manner so as to obtain a space \bar{M} homeomorphic to $T^2 \times S^1$. Then obviously \bar{M} contains two Klein bottles. However, $T^2 \times S^1$ does not admit an embedding of K (see [1], [9]).

The only remaining possibility is that σ be two-sided and nonseparating, which completes the proof.

- 3. Orientation-reversing involutions on M(0). We list the (standard) six orientation-reversing involutions on M(0) as claimed in Theorem C. In the following list we view M(0) as $T^2 \times I/\phi(0)$ (see Section 1 for $\phi(0)$). We denote by $[z_1, z_2, \tau]$ the image of $(z_1, z_2, \tau) \in T^2 \times I$ under the identification map of $T^2 \times I$ to $T^2 \times I/\varphi$. Let $F_i = \text{Fix}(h_i)$.
 - (1) $h_1[z_1, z_2, \tau] = [\bar{z}_1, -z_2, \tau]; F_1 = \phi,$
 - (2) $h_2[z_1, z_2, \tau] = [z_2, z_1, \tau]; F_2 = K,$
 - (3) $h_3[z_1, z_2, \tau] = [\bar{z}_1, z_2, \tau]; \quad F_3 = K \stackrel{\bullet}{\cup} K,$
 - (4) $h_4[z_1, z_2, \tau] = [z_1, z_2, 1 \tau]; \quad F_4 = T^2 \stackrel{\circ}{\cup} S^0 \stackrel{\circ}{\cup} S^0,$
 - (5) $h_5[z_1, z_2, \tau] = [-\bar{z}_1, z_2, \tau]; \quad F_5 = T^2,$
 - (6) $h_6[z_1, z_2, \tau] = [-\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, 1 \tau]; \quad F_6 = S^0 \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{U}} S^0.$
- **4. Proofs of Theorems B and C.** We use the same notations as in Section 1. Let ϕ be an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of T^2 . Then the matrix of ϕ may be given as $\binom{p}{r}\binom{q}{s}$ with $\binom{p}{r}\binom{q}{s}=-1$.
- LEMMA 4.1. If a torus bundle $M(\varphi)$ is homeomorphic to $M(\varphi^2)$ ($\neq T^2 \times S^1$), then φ is isotopic to a conjugate of φ^2 .

Proof. By computation, the matrix of ϕ^2 is given as $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda p+1 & \lambda q \\ \lambda r & \lambda s+1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\lambda=p+s$. Thus we see that $\pi_1(M(\phi^2))$ can be represented by

$$\pi_1(M(\phi^2)) = \{\xi, \rho, t \mid [\xi, \rho] = 1, \quad t\xi t^{-1} = \xi^{\lambda p + 1} \rho^{\lambda r}, \quad t\xi t^{-1} = \xi^{\lambda q} \rho^{\lambda s + 1}\}.$$

Observe that $\bar{\xi}^{\lambda} = 1 = \bar{\rho}^{\lambda}$ where $\bar{\xi}$, $\bar{\rho}$ are the images of ξ and ρ under the natural homomorphism of $\pi_1(M(\phi^2))$ to $H_1(M(\phi^2))$. Obviously if $\lambda = 0$, then $M(\phi^2) \approx T^2 \times S^1$. Thus $\lambda \neq 0$, and one completes the proof by doing in the similar manner to the proof of Proposition 1.1.

The proof of the following is elementary and is omitted.

LEMMA 4.2. Let A, B be any unimodular 2×2 matrices and $C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -b & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. If $A^2 = BCB^{-1}$, then b = 0.

LEMMA 4.3. $M(\phi^2)$ is not homeomorphic to M(b).

Proof. If $M(\phi^2) \approx T^2 \times S^1$, the result is obvious. So assume that $M(\phi^2) \not\approx T^2 \times S^1$. Suppose the contrary that they were homeomorphic. Then it follows from Lemma 4.1 that the matrix of ϕ^2 is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -b & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.2, we see that b=0. Thus $\binom{p-q}{r-s}^2 = \binom{-1}{0-1}$. Now one can easily argue that $\binom{p-q}{r-s} \neq -1$, which is a contradiction.

Let g be an involution on T^2 . Then g is conjugate to one of the following involutions (as is well-known):

$$g_1(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, -z_2), \quad g_2(z_1, z_2) = (-z_1, \bar{z}_2)$$

 $g_3(z_1, z_2) = (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2), \quad g_4(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, \bar{z}_2)$
 $g_5(z_1, z_2) = (z_2, z_1).$

The following lemma is a special case of [7].

LEMMA 4.4. Let k be an involution on $T^2 \times I$. Then there exists a product structure on $T^2 \times I$ and a map g with $g^2 = 1$ such that k is given by k(x, t) = (g(x), t) or (g(x), 1-t) for each $(x, t) \in T^2 \times I$.

LEMMA 4.5. Let h be an involution on M. Then there exists a nonseparating torus S in M such that either $h(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ or h(S) = S and S is in a general position with respect to Fix(h).

Proof. This essentially follows from the proof of Theorem B of [8] (also see [7]). Note that the torus in each construction in the proof there can be chosen to be nonseparating.

Let h be an orientation-reversing involution on $M=M(\varphi)$. If Fix $(h) \neq \emptyset$ then it follows from Lemmas 2.3, 4.4 and 4.5 that Fix (h) contains T^2 , K, isolated points, or their combinations. In case each component of Fix (h) is 2-dimensional, Fix (h) contains at most two components. We will prove Theorems B and C simultaneously. The proof will be divided into several cases according to the types of fixed-point sets.

Case 1). Fix (h) contains a separating torus in M. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that there exists a nonseparating torus S in M such that either $h(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ or h(S) = S and S is in general position with respect to Fix (h). Since Fix (h) contains a separating torus we must have h(S) = S. By Lemmas 2.3 and 4.4 there exists a fiber structure $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\varphi$ for some equivariant map φ such that h is given by $h[x, \tau] = [g(x), \tau]$ where g is an involution on T^2 . Observe that Fix (g) is invariant under φ . Since Fix (h) contains a separating torus, we see that Fix (g) contains two components, and g is equivalent to g_4 . Thus, we may assume that $M = T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\bar{\varphi}$ and $h[x, \tau] = [g_4(x), \tau]$ for a suitable equivariant map $\bar{\varphi}: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$. Let c_1, c_2 be the components of Fix (g_4) . Then $c_1 \cup c_2$ separates T^2 into two components A_1, A_2 (we view $c_1, c_2 \subset M$ in the obvious manner). Since Fix (h) contains a separating torus, we see that $\bar{\varphi}(c_1) = c_2$ (therefore, Fix (h) is the torus). By the same reason, one sees that $\bar{\varphi}(A_i) = A_i$ (i=1,2). Thus we may assume that $\bar{\varphi}_*(\rho) = \rho^{-1}$, and the matrix of $\bar{\varphi}$ is given as

 $\binom{-1}{b'-1}$ for some integer b'. On the other hand, since $g_4\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}g_4$ is required, one sees that b'=0. Now, given two such involutions, one may easily find an equivalence t between them by lifting a suitable homeomorphism between their orbit spaces in a usual way. Thus h is conjugate to h_5 .

Case 2). Fix $(h) \approx K$. Let N be a regular neighborhood of K. Then the complement N' of N in M is a twisted I-bundle over K (see Lemma 2.5). Then it may be assumed that $M = N(\gamma) \cup_{f_0} N(\gamma)$, where f_0 is an equivariant attaching map. Let $\bar{h}_1 = h \mid N(\gamma)$ (=N) and $\bar{h}_2 = h \mid N(\gamma)$ (=N'). We let $[x, \tau]$ denote the image of (x, τ) under the identification map of $T^2 \times I$ to $N(\gamma)$. Since Fix $(\bar{h}_1) = K$ and Fix $(\bar{h}_2) = \phi$, we may assume (see [6], [14]) that M and \bar{h}_i are given as $M = N(\gamma) \cup_f N(\gamma)$ and $\bar{h}_1[x,\tau] = [\gamma(x),\tau]$, $\bar{h}_2[x,\tau] = [\gamma g_1(x),\tau]$ where $f:T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ is a suitable equivariant map. Here, we may further assume that the matrix of f is given as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (see Section 1). Since f is an equivariant map, we see that f is given two such involutions, one may find an equivalence between them by lifting an appropriate homeomorphism between their orbit spaces. Therefore f is conjugate to f.

Case 3). Fix $(h) \approx K \dot{\cup} K$. As before, we may assume that M is given as $M = N(\gamma) \cup_f N(\gamma)$ and h is split into two involutions h_1 , h_2 on $N(\gamma)$ such that

$$\bar{h}_1[x,\tau] = [\gamma(x),\tau], \quad \bar{h}_2[x,\tau] = [\gamma(x),\tau]$$

where $f: T^2 \to T^2$ is a suitable equivariant map. Since $f\gamma = \gamma f$, we may assume that the matrix of f is given as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Now one sees that the orbit space is a product I-bundle whose boundary is the projection of Fix (h). Thus it is easy to show that any two involutions h on M with Fix $(h) \approx K \mathring{\cup} K$ are conjugate. Therefore h is conjugate to h_3 .

Case 4). Fix (h) contains either a nonseparating torus or isolated points (or both). In any case it follows from Lemmas 2.3, 4.4 and 4.5 that there exists a fiber structure $T^2 \times I/\varphi$ for an attaching map $\varphi: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ such that h is given by

$$h[x,\tau] = \begin{cases} [k_1(x), \frac{1}{2} - \tau] & 0 \le \tau \le \frac{1}{2} \\ [k_2(x), \frac{3}{2} - \tau] & \frac{1}{2} \le \tau \le 1 \end{cases}$$

where k_i (i=1,2) is an involution on T^2 (if Fix(h) contains a nonseparating torus T, then split M along T. Note that h interchanges the sides of T). Obviously $\varphi = k_2 k_1$. Since φ is orientation-preserving and h is orientation-reversing, we may assume that (1) Fix(k_1) = T^2 and Fix(k_2) = \emptyset , (2) Fix(k_1) = 4 points and Fix(k_2) = \emptyset , (3) Fix(k_1) = T^2 and Fix(k_2) = 4 points, or (4) Fix(k_1) = T^2 and Fix(k_2) = T^2 . Subcase 1). Fix(t_1) = t_1 and Fix(t_2) = t_2 . Since t_3 Since t_4 is see that the matrix of

Subcase 1). Fix $(k_1) = T^2$ and Fix $(k_2) = \emptyset$. Since $\varphi = k_2 k_1$, we see that the matrix of φ is given as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (note that k_1 is the identity and k_2 is conjugate to g_1). Thus the space M in this case is homeomorphic to $T^2 \times S^1$.

Subcase 2). Fix $(k_1) = 4$ points and Fix $(k_2) = \emptyset$. Since $\varphi = k_2 k_1$, one can compute to see that the matrix of φ is given as $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ (note that k_1, k_2 are conjugate to g_3, g_1 , respectively). By suitable choices of k_2 and φ , we may assume that $k_1 = g_3$ (observe that the matrix of φ does not change (see Proposition 1.1)). Since k_2 and g_1 are con-

jugate, there exists an equivalence $\beta: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ such that $k_2\beta = \beta g_1$. It is easy to find a homeomorphism $\beta': T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ isotopic to β such that β' commutes with g_1 . Let J be an ambient isotopy with $J_1 = \beta \beta'^{-1}$. We let M_0 denote the space $T^2 \times I/g_1g_3$, and h_0 the involution on M_0 defined by

$$h_0[x, \tau] = \begin{cases} [g_3(x), \frac{1}{2} - \tau] & 0 \le \tau \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ [g_1(x), \frac{3}{2} - \tau] & \frac{1}{2} \le \tau \le 1. \end{cases}$$

We define a homeomorphism $\Phi: M_0 \longrightarrow M$ by

$$\Phi[x,\tau] = \begin{cases} [x,\tau] & 0 \le \tau \le \frac{1}{2} \\ [J(x,4\tau-2),\tau] & \frac{1}{2} \le \tau \le \frac{3}{4} \\ [k_2J(g_1(x),4-4\tau),\tau] & \frac{3}{4} \le \tau \le 1. \end{cases}$$

It is checked that Φ is well defined and it is, in fact, an equivalence between h_0 and h (recall that $k_1 = g_3$). Therefore h is conjugate to h_6 . Subcase 3). Fix $(k_1) = T^2$ and Fix $(k_2) = 4$ points. By doing in the same spirit as in

Subcase 3). Fix $(k_1) = T^2$ and Fix $(k_2) = 4$ points. By doing in the same spirit as in the above (Subcase 2), one can show that the matrix of φ is given as $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and h is conjugate to h_4 .

Subcase 4). Fix $(k_1) = T^2$ and Fix $(k_2) = T^2$. Since $\varphi = k_2 k_1$, one sees that φ is isotopic to the identity, and M is homeomorphic to $T^2 \times S^1$.

Case 5). Fix $(h) = \emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that there exists a nonseparating torus S in M such that either $h(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ or h(S) = S.

Subcase 1). $h(S) \cap S = \emptyset$. Then $S \cup h(S)$ separates M into two components Q_1, Q_2 , each of which is homeomorphic to $T^2 \times I$. If $h(Q_1) = Q_2$, then we see that there exists a fiber structure $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\varphi$ for a map $\varphi: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ such that h is given by

$$h[x, \tau] = [k(x), \tau + \frac{1}{2}]$$
 for $(x, \tau) \in T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

where k is a map of T^2 with $k^2 = \varphi$. Since k is orientation-reversing, it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that M is homeomorphic to $\bar{M}\binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{s}$ for some integers p, q, r, s with $\binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{s} = -1$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $M \not= M(b)$ for any b in this case, and the Seifert manifolds M(b) do not admit orientation-reversing involutions of this type. Now we assume that $h(Q_i) = Q_i$ (i = 1, 2). We can treat this case as an additional subcase of Case 4. That is, $\text{Fix}(k_i) = \emptyset$. Since h is orientation-reversing and φ is orientation-preserving, one sees that $k_1 k_2$ are orientation-preserving. Thus the matrix of k_i can be given as $\binom{1}{0}$, and φ is isotopic to the identity, and M is homeomorphic to $T^2 \times S^1$.

Subcase 2). h(S) = S. We may assume that h does not interchange the sides of S (if so, one may return to the Subcase 1). By Lemma 4.4, one sees that there exists a fiber structure $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}/\varphi$ for an equivariant map $\varphi: T^2 \longrightarrow T^2$ such that h is given by $h[x, \tau] = [g(x), \tau]$ where g is an involution on T^2 . Furthermore, we may assume that g is given by $g(z_1, z_2) = (-z_1, \bar{z}_2)$ for each $(z_1, z_2) \in T^2$. Since $\varphi g = g\varphi$, we see that the matrix of φ must be given as $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, the classification of these involutions depends on the various possible equivariant maps φ . However, since the matrices of

such φ must be $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, any two such equivariant maps are isotopic. Thus it follows from [15] that h is conjugate to h_1 (note that Fix $(g) = \emptyset$).

Observe that if $M \approx \bar{M}\binom{p\ q}{r\ s}(p+s\neq 0)$, then subcase 1 of Case 5 can only occur. Consequently, the above completes the proofs of Theorems B and C.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. E. Bredon and J. W. Wood, *Non-orientable surfaces in orientable 3-manifolds*. Invent. Math. 7 (1969), 83-110.
- 2. E. M. Brown, Unkotting in $M^2 \times I$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 480-505.
- 3. P. E. Conner and F. Raymond, *Manifolds with few periodic homeomorphisms*. Proc. Second Conf. on Compact Transformation Groups (Univ. Massachusetts, Amherst, Mass., 1971), Part II, pp. 1-75. Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- 4. D. B. A. Epstein, Curves on 2-manifolds and isotopies. Acta Math. 155 (1966), 83-107.
- 5. J. Hempel, 3-manifolds. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 86, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1976.
- 6. P. K. Kim, Involutions on Klein spaces M(p,q). Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- 7. P. K. Kim and J. L. Tollefson, PL involutions of fibered 3-manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 232 (1977), 221-237.
- 8. K. W. Kwun and J. L. Tollefson, PL involutions of $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 203 (1975), 97-106.
- 9. W. Jaco, Surfaces embedded in $M^2 \times S^1$. Canad. J. Math. 22 (1970), 553-568.
- 10. W. Mangler, Die Klassen von Topologischen Abbildungen einer geschlossenen Fläche auf sich. Math. Z. 44 (1939), 541-554.
- W. D. Neumann and F. Raymond, Seifert manifolds, plumbing, μ-invariant and orientation reversing maps. Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Univ. California Santa Barbara, Calif., 1977), pp. 163-196, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- 12. P. Orlik, Seifert manifolds, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- 13. J. L. Tollefson, A 3-manifold admitting a unique periodic PL map. Michigan Math. J. 21 (1974), 7-12.
- 14. F. Waldhausen, *On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*. Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 56-88.
- 15. H. Zeischang, On the homeotopy groups of surfaces. Math. Ann. 206 (1973), 1-21.

Department of Mathematics Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011