# THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF CERTAIN LACUNARY FOURIER SERIES

## George Benke

Let G be a compact abelian group, and let  $\Gamma$  be its dual group. Suppose  $E \subset \Gamma$  and f is a function on G. The function f is called an E-function if  $\hat{f}(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \not\in E$  ( $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of f). By A(G) we denote the space of functions whose transforms belong to  $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ , and  $\|f\|_{A(G)}$  is defined to be  $\|\hat{f}\|_{\ell^1(\Gamma)}$ . For each set S(G) of functions defined on G, we denote by  $S_E(G)$  the E-functions in S(G). A set  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a Sidon set if  $A_E(G) = C_E(G)$ , where C(G) is the space of continuous functions on G. For  $2 , a set <math>E \subset \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set if  $L_E^2(G) = L_E^p(G)$ . A set  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set if it is a  $\Lambda(p)$ -set for all p and if in addition the inclusions  $L_E^2(G) \to L_E^p(G)$  have norm at most  $Cp^{1/2}$ , where C depends only on the set E.

It is known that every Sidon set is a  $\Lambda$ -set [9, p. 128], and that there exist sets that are  $\Lambda(p)$ -sets for all p but are not Sidon sets [2, p. 803]. Actually, in the light of results in [1, p. 131], the sets constructed in [2] are not  $\Lambda$ -sets. It is therefore natural to ask whether there exist  $\Lambda$ -sets that are not Sidon sets. In general, this is an open question, but in certain torsion groups every  $\Lambda$ -set is also a Sidon set [6]. That Sidon sets are close to  $\Lambda$ -sets from a structural standpoint was shown in [1]. In this paper, we show that in an analytical sense they are also close. In particular, we construct a Banach space B(G) of functions on G such that  $A(G) \hookrightarrow B(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow B(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  is motivated by the work in  $A(G) \hookrightarrow B(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  is analogous to the connection between  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  are also close. In particular, we construct a Banach space  $B(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  are also close. In particular, we construct a Banach space  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  are also close. In particular, we construct a Banach space  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  are also close. In particular, we construct a Banach space  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  are also close. In particular, we construct a Banach space  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  and  $A(G) \hookrightarrow C(G) \hookrightarrow C(G)$  are also close.

In Section 1 of this paper, we define two spaces K(G) and R(G) of functions on G that, (in the language of M. A. Rieffel [7]) are Banach modules. The space B(G) is then defined, and it turns out to be a realization of the Banach module tensor product  $K(G) \bigotimes_{L^1(G)} R(G)$ . In Section 2, we establish the connection between B(G) and  $\Lambda$ -sets.

### 1. DEFINITIONS AND PROPERTIES OF THE BASIC SPACES

For 
$$f \in \bigcap_{2 , let$$

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda} = \sup \{p^{-1/2} \|f\|_{p} | 2$$

and let K(G) be the set of all functions f on G for which  $\|f\|_{\Lambda}$  is finite. It is easy to verify that  $\|\ \|_{\Lambda}$  is a norm on K(G) and that, endowed with this norm, K(G) becomes a two-sided Banach L<sup>1</sup>(G)-module with respect to convolution. Next, we shall define a space R(G) of functions that is also a two-sided Banach L<sup>1</sup>(G)-module

Received June 6, 1973.

and whose dual is K(G). This is done as follows. Let R(G) be the closure in  $K(G)^*$  of the set of continuous functions on G that define in the natural way elements of  $K(G)^*$ . We shall see that every continuous function defines an element of  $K(G)^*$ . In the following proposition, we collect some relevant facts about K(G) and R(G).

PROPOSITION 1. Let K(G) and R(G) be defined as above, and denote by  $\| \|_R$  the norm dual to  $\| \|_{\Lambda}$ . The following hold.

(1) 
$$L^1(G) \supset R(G) \supset L^q(G)$$
 for all  $q$  (1  $< q \le 2$ ), and

$$2^{1/2} \| \|_1 \le \| \|_R \le (q/(q-1))^{1/2} \| \|_q$$
.

(2) 
$$L^{p}(G) \supset K(G) \supset L^{\infty}(G)$$
 for all  $p$  (2 <  $p$  <  $\infty$ ), and

$$p^{-1/2} \| \|_{p} \le \| \|_{\Lambda} \le 2^{-1/2} \| \|_{\infty}.$$

(3) 
$$(R(G)^*, \| \|_{R^*}) = (K(G), \| \|_{\Lambda}).$$

$$\text{(4) If } f \in K(G) \text{ and } g \in R(G), \text{ then } f * g \in C(G) \text{ and } \left\| f * g \right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| f \right\|_{\Lambda} \left\| g \right\|_{R}.$$

*Proof.* (2) follows immediately from the definition of K(G). To see that (1) holds, take  $g \in L^p(G)$  and  $f \in K(G)$ . Then, for p = q/(q-1),

$$\left| \int fg \right| \leq \|g\|_{q} \|f\|_{p} \leq (p^{1/2} \|g\|_{q}) \|f\|_{\Lambda}.$$

This shows that  $\|g\|_R \le (q/(q-1))^{1/2} \|g\|_q$  for all  $g \in L^q(G)$ . Next, consider the inclusion map  $i \colon C(G) \to K(G)$  and its adjoint  $i^* \colon K(G)^* \to M(G)$ . We have the relations

$$\|i^*\| = \|i\| = \sup \{\|f\|_{\Lambda} / \|f\|_{\infty} \mid f \in C(G)\},$$

and by (2) the last member is at most  $2^{-1/2}$ . Taking  $g \in C(G)$  and noting that  $i^*(g) = g$ , we see that  $\|g\|_1 \leq 2^{-1/2} \|g\|_R$ . Once we have shown that  $L^1(G) \supset R(G)$ , this norm inequality will hold for all  $g \in R(G)$ . We now show that  $L^1(G) \supset R(G)$ . Suppose  $\{g_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\| \|_R$  of continuous functions. Since  $\| \|_R$  is stronger than  $\| \|_1$ , the sequence  $\{g_n\}$  converges to some g in  $L^1(G)$ , and some subsequence  $\{g_n\}$  converges pointwise almost everywhere to g. Given  $g \in S(G)$ , take  $g \in S(G)$  is a converge  $g \in S(G)$ . Clearly,

$$\begin{split} \Big| \int (g - g_n) f \Big| / \|f\|_{\Lambda} & \leq \int \lim_{k \to \infty} |g_{n_k} - g_n| |f| / \|f\|_{\Lambda} \\ \\ & \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int |g_{n_k} - g_n| |f| / \|f\|_{\Lambda} \leq \liminf \|g_{n_k} - g_n\|_{R} \leq \epsilon \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore  $g - g_n \in R(G)$  and  $\|g - g_n\|_R \le \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $g \in R(G)$  and  $L^1(G) \supset R(G)$ .

Next, we show that  $R(G)^* = K(G)$ . Since the inclusion map i:  $L^q(G) \to R(G)$  is dense for all q > 1, the adjoint i\*:  $R(G)^* \to L^p(G)$  is one-to-one for all  $p < \infty$ . Therefore  $R(G)^* \subset \bigcap_{p < \infty} L^p(G)$ . Take any  $f \in R(G)^*$  and any  $p < \infty$ . Then there

exists a g  $\in$  L<sup>q</sup>(G) such that  $\left| \int fg \right| = \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ . Hence, by (1),

$$\|f\|_{R^*} \ge \left| \int fg \right| / \|g\|_{R} \ge \|f\|_{p} \|g\|_{q} / p^{1/2} \|g\|_{q}.$$

Therefore  $\|f\|_{R^*} \ge \|f\|_{\Lambda}$ , and  $R(G)^* \subset K(G)$ . On the other hand, for  $f \in K(G)$  and  $g \in R(G)$ , we have the inequality  $\left|\int fg\right| \le \|f\|_{\Lambda} \|g\|_{R}$ . Therefore  $\|f\|_{\Lambda} \ge \|f\|_{R^*}$  and  $K(G) \subset R(G)^*$ .

Finally, we show that (4) holds. For each g  $\epsilon$  R(G), the translation map  $\tau_g\colon G\to R(G)$  defined by  $(\tau_g(x))(y)=g(y-x)$  is continuous. This follows from the facts that  $\tau_f\colon G\to C(G)$  is continuous, that C(G) is dense in R(G), and that  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is a stronger norm than  $\|\cdot\|_R$ . Now suppose f  $\epsilon$  K(G) and g  $\epsilon$  R(G). Then (4) follows immediately from the translation invariance of the  $\|\cdot\|_{\Lambda^-}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_R$ -norms and the continuity of  $\tau_g\colon G\to R(G)$ .

We define B(G) to be the set of functions f on g with a representation

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_n * g_n(x),$$

where  $f_n(x) = f_n(-x)$ ,  $f_n \in K(G)$ ,  $g_n \in R(G)$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{\Lambda} \|g_n\|_{R} < \infty$ . In view of (4) of Proposition 1, the series for f converges uniformly. Therefore B(G) is a set of continuous functions. We give B(G) a norm by putting

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathbf{B}} = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{f}_n\|_{\Lambda} \|\mathbf{g}_n\|_{\mathbf{R}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of f. The features of B(G) that will concern us follow from some general theorems about tensor products of Banach modules (see [7] and [8]), once we have observed that B(G) is in fact such a tensor product. It is easily seen that K(G) is a (two-sided) Banach L<sup>1</sup>(G)-module with respect to convolution. That is, for  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  and  $f \in K(G)$ , the action of  $\phi$  on f is given by  $\phi * f$ . We see that R(G) is a (two-sided) Banach L<sup>1</sup>(G)-module, by letting the action of  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  on  $g \in R(G)$  be given by  $\phi * g$ . An almost word-for-word translation of [8, p. 76, Theorem 3.3] in terms of K(G) and R(G) shows that  $K(G) \bigotimes_{L^1(G)} R(G)$  is isometrically isomorphic to B(G), the mapping being such that  $f \bigotimes g$  corresponds to  $\widetilde{f} * g$ . Thus B(G) is a Banach space, and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{L}^{1}(G)}\left(K(G),\,\operatorname{R}(G)^{*}\right)\,\,\widetilde{=}\,\,\left(K(G)\bigotimes_{\operatorname{L}^{1}(G)}\operatorname{R}(G)\right)^{*}.$$

The importance of this result lies in the fact that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{L^1(G)}(K(G), R(G)^*)$  is the space of multipliers from K(G) to  $R(G)^*$ , and that  $R(G)^* = K(G)$ . Thus, denoting by M(K) the multipliers from K(G) to K(G), we see that

$$M(K) \cong B(G)^*$$
.

Moreover, this isomorphism is such that for each  $\nu \in B(G)^*$  and its corresponding element  $T_{\nu} \in M(K)$ ,

$$\langle g, T_{\nu} f \rangle = \langle \tilde{f} * g, \nu \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on the left denotes the dual pairing between R(G) and R(G)\* = K(G), and  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on the right denotes the dual pairing between B(G) and B(G)\*. Another way of expressing this correspondence is to say that, for  $\nu \in B(G)$ \*, the function on  $\Gamma$  associated with  $T_{\nu} \in M(K)$  is  $\hat{\nu}$ .

### 2. THE CONNECTION WITH $\Lambda$ -SETS

If  $E \subset \Gamma$ , then  $K_E(G)$ ,  $R_E(G)$ , and  $B_E(G)$  are all closed subspaces of K(G), R(G), and B(G), respectively. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that the norms on these spaces are stronger than the  $L^1(G)$ -norm.

PROPOSITION 2. If  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set, then

$$K_{-E}(G) \bigotimes_{L^1(G)} R_E(G) \cong B_E(G)$$
,

and the  $\|\ \|_B$  -norm is equivalent to the  $\|\ \|_{K_-E \bigotimes R_E}$  -norm on  $B_E(G),$  although not isometric to it.

$$\textit{Proof.} \quad \text{Clearly, } K_{-E}(G) \bigotimes_{\text{L}^1(G)} R_E(G) \subset B_E(G) \ \text{ and } \| \ \|_{K_{-E} \bigotimes R_E} \geq \| \ \|_B \ .$$

To prove the other inclusion, consider  $\chi_E$ , the characteristic function of E, which defines a multiplier T from  $L^2(G)$  to  $L^2_E(G)$  by the relation  $\widehat{Tf} = \chi_E \, \widehat{f}$ . Noting that E is a  $\Lambda$ -set if and only if  $L^2_E(G) = K_E(G)$ , we see, by restricting T to K(G), that T  $\epsilon$  M(K) and that the range of T is  $K_E(G)$ . To estimate the norm of T, take f  $\epsilon$  K(G), and suppose that C is the  $\Lambda$ -constant of E. Then

$$\|Tf\|_{\Lambda} \le C \|Tf\|_{2} \le C \|f\|_{2} \le C 2^{1/2} \|f\|_{\Lambda}$$

and therefore  $\|T\|_{M(K)} \leq 2^{1/2} C$ .

Suppose  $f \in B_E(G)$ , where  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_n * g_n$  is a typical representation. Then

$$f = T(f) = T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_n * g_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(\widetilde{f}_n * g_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (T\widetilde{f}_n) * g_n.$$

Note that  $T\widetilde{f}_n = \widetilde{h}_n$ , where  $h_n \in K_{-E}(G)$ . In fact,  $\widehat{h}_n = \chi_{-E} \widehat{f}_n$ . Moreover,  $\|h_n\|_{\Lambda} \leq 2^{1/2} C \|f_n\|_{\Lambda}$ .

Since  $S \in M(K)$ , it follows that the adjoint  $S^*$  belongs to  $M(K^*)$ . The restriction of  $S^*$  to R(G) yields a multiplier from R(G) to  $R_{\mathbb{E}}(G)$ . This follows easily from the facts that R(G) is closed in  $K(G)^*$  and that the continuous functions are dense in R(G) and are contained in K(G). Therefore

$$f = T^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_n * (T^*g_n),$$

where  $\|\mathbf{T}^*\mathbf{g}_n\|_R \leq C 2^{1/2} \|\mathbf{g}_n\|_R$ . Hence  $\mathbf{f} \in K_{-E}(G) \bigotimes_{L^1(G)} R_E(G)$  and  $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{K_{-E} \bigotimes_{R_E}} \leq 2 C^2 \|\mathbf{f}\|_B$ .

We remark that for each  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$A_{E}(G) \cong L_{-E}^{2}(G) \bigotimes_{L^{1}(G)} L_{E}^{2}(G)$$
 and  $\| \|_{A} = \| \|_{L_{-E}^{2} \bigotimes L_{E}^{2}}$ .

In preparation for the main theorem, we shall prove a probabilistic result, for which we need the following notation. Let  $\Omega$  be the interval [0,1], and let p denote Lebesgue measure. Consider the Rademacher functions  $\varepsilon_n$ :  $\Omega \to \{-1,1\}$  defined by  $\varepsilon_n(\omega) = (-1)^{m-1}$  if  $\omega \in [(m-1)2^{-n}, m2^{-n})$  and  $\varepsilon_n(1) = -1$ , where  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . For each  $f \in L^2(G)$ , select an enumeration  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\cdots$  of the support of  $\hat{f}$ , and define  $f_\omega \in L^2(G)$  by  $\hat{f}_\omega(\gamma_n) = \varepsilon_n(\omega) \hat{f}(\gamma_n)$ .

PROPOSITION 3. If  $f \in L^2(G)$ , then the following statements hold.

- (a)  $p\{\omega \in \Omega \mid f_{\omega} \in K(G)\} = 1$ .
- (b) For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N (depending on f and  $\epsilon$ ) such that  $\|f_{\omega}\|_{\Lambda} \leq N \|f\|_{2}$  for all  $\omega$  except possibly a set of measure less than  $\epsilon$ .
  - (c) For each  $f \in L^2(G)$ , there exists an  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\|f_{\omega}\|_{\Lambda} \leq 16 \|f\|_2$ .

Proof. We shall use the following well-known theorem [10, p. 214]. Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u_n|^2 = r^2 < \infty$ , and let  $g(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \epsilon_n(\omega)$ . Then  $\exp(\lambda |g(\cdot)|^2) \in L^1(\Omega)$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} \, \exp\left(\lambda \, \big| \, g(\omega) \big|^2 \right) d\omega \, = \, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \, \frac{\lambda^k}{k\,!} \, \int_{\Omega} \, \big| \, g(\omega) \big|^{2k} \, d\omega \, \leq \, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \, \frac{k^k}{k\,!} \, (4\lambda \, \mathbf{r}^2)^k \, \leq \, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \, (4e\lambda \, \mathbf{r}^2)^k \, \, .$$

Consider the series

(1) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\gamma_n) \langle x, \gamma_n \rangle \varepsilon_n(\omega) .$$

Since  $f \in L^2(G)$ , we can apply the theorem to the series (1) with  $e\lambda = (8r^2)^{-1}$ , and we obtain the inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \int_{\Omega} |f_{\omega}(x)|^{2k} d\omega \leq 2.$$

Integrating both sides over G and switching sums and integrals, we see that

(2) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} \left\| f_{\omega} \right\|_{2k}^{2k} \right) d\omega \leq 2.$$

Put  $E_n=\{\omega \mid \text{ integrand in (2) is at most } 2n\}$ ; then clearly  $p(\Omega \setminus E_n) \leq 1/n$  and  $p(E_n) \geq 1-1/n$ . If  $\omega \in E_n$ , then

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left\| f_{\omega} \right\|_{2k}^{2k} \leq 2n \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Using  $e\lambda$  =  $(8r^2)^{-1}$  and  $k\,! \le (k+1)^{k+1}\,/\,e^k$  , we see that

(3) 
$$(2k)^{-1/2} \|f_{\omega}\|_{2k} \le ((k+1)/k)^{1/2} (k+1)^{1/2k} (2n)^{1/2k} 2r.$$

Hence there exists a constant  $K_n$  such that

$$(2k)^{-1/2} \|f_{\omega}\|_{2k} \le K_n \|f\|_2$$
 for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Therefore  $\|f_{\omega}\|_{\Lambda} \leq 2^{1/2} K_n \|f\|_2$  for all  $\omega \in E_n$ ; this proves (a) and (b). As for (c), set n=2 in (3).

PROPOSITION 4. A(G)  $\subset$  B(G)  $\subset$  C(G) and  $2^{9/2} \parallel \parallel_A \geq \parallel \parallel_B \geq \parallel \parallel_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* Take  $f \in B(G)$ , where  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_n * g_n$ . Then

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\widetilde{f}_n * g_n\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{\Lambda} \|g_n\|_{R}.$$

Since this holds for all representations,  $\|f\|_{\infty} \le \|f\|_{B}$ . That  $B(G) \subset C(G)$  was observed earlier.

Now take  $h \in A(G)$ . Choose f and  $g \in L^2(G)$  so that  $\hat{f}\hat{g} = \hat{h}$  and  $|\hat{f}| = |\hat{g}| = |\hat{h}|^{1/2}$ . By Proposition 3, there exists a sign change  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\hat{f}_{\omega} \in K(G)$  and  $||\tilde{f}_{\omega}||_{\Lambda} \leq 16 ||\tilde{f}||_{2}$ . Note that  $\hat{f}_{\omega}\hat{g}_{\omega} = \hat{f}\hat{g}$  and hence  $\hat{f}_{\omega} * g_{\omega} = h$ . Thus  $\hat{f}_{\omega} * g_{\omega}$  is a representation of h as an element of B(G), and

$$\|h\|_{B} \leq \|\tilde{f}_{\omega}\|_{\Lambda} \|g_{\omega}\|_{R} \leq 16 \|\tilde{f}\|_{2} 2^{1/2} \|g_{\omega}\|_{2} = 2^{9/2} \|\hat{\tilde{f}}\|_{2} \|\hat{g}\|_{2} = 2^{9/2} \|\hat{h}\|_{1}.$$

Finally we prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM.  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set if and only if  $A_E(G) = B_E(G)$ .

*Proof.* We observe first that  $(L_E^2(G))^* = L_E^2(G)$ . Now suppose E is a  $\Lambda$ -set. Then, as we noted earlier,  $L_E^2(G) = K_E(G)$ . Take  $g \in R_E(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathbf{g} \right\|_{R} &\geq \sup_{\mathbf{f} \in K_{\mathbf{E}}(G)} \frac{\left| \left\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \right\rangle \right|}{\left\| \mathbf{f} \right\|_{\Lambda}} \geq \sup_{\mathbf{f} \in K_{\mathbf{E}}(G)} \frac{\left| \left\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \right\rangle \right|}{\left\| \mathbf{f} \right\|_{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \sup_{\mathbf{f} \in L_{\mathbf{E}}^{2}(G)} \frac{\left| \left\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \right\rangle \right|}{\left\| \mathbf{f} \right\|_{2}} = \frac{1}{C} \left\| \mathbf{g} \right\|_{(L_{\mathbf{E}}^{2}(G))^{*}} = \frac{1}{C} \left\| \mathbf{g} \right\|_{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence  $R_E(G) = L_E^2(G)$ ,  $K_{-E}(G) = L_{-E}^2(G)$ , and therefore

$$K_{-E}(G)\bigotimes_{L^1(G)}R_E(G) = L^2_{-E}(G)\bigotimes_{L^1(G)}L^2_E(G).$$

Using Proposition 2 and the fact that  $L_{-E}^2(G) \bigotimes_{L^1(G)} L_E^2(G)$  is isometrically equal to  $A_E(G)$ , we see that  $A_E(G) = B_E(G)$ .

Now suppose  $A_E(G) = B_E(G)$ . Then  $B_E(G)^* = A_E(G)^*$ . Since  $A_E(G)^*$  can be identified with  $\ell^\infty(E)$ , the relation  $B_E(G)^* = A_E(G)^*$  implies the existence of a constant C with the property that for each  $\phi \in \ell^\infty(E)$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\nu \in B(G)^*$  such that  $\widehat{\nu}(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in E$  and such that  $\|\nu\|_{B^*} \leq C \|\phi\|_\infty + \epsilon$ . Since  $B(G)^*$  can be identified with M(K), there exists a  $T \in M(K)$  such that  $\widehat{Tf} = \widehat{\nu}\widehat{f}$  and  $\|T_{\nu}\|_{M(K)} \leq C \|\phi\|_\infty + \epsilon$ .

Now suppose  $f \in L_E^2(G)$ . By Proposition 3, there exists a  $\pm 1$ -valued function  $\phi$  on E such that  $\phi \hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of a function in  $K_E(G)$ . Since  $\hat{f}(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \not\in E$ , we see that

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \phi(\phi \hat{\mathbf{f}}) = \hat{\nu}(\hat{\nu} \hat{\mathbf{f}}) = \hat{\nu}(\widehat{\mathbf{T}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}) = (\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}))^{\hat{}}$$

and therefore f = T(Tf). But Tf  $\epsilon$  K(G), by the choice of  $\phi$ ; moreover, T  $\epsilon$  M(K); therefore f  $\epsilon$  K<sub>E</sub>(G) and  $\|f\|_{\Lambda} \leq$  (C +  $\epsilon$ ) 16  $\|f\|_{2}$ . This shows that L<sup>2</sup><sub>E</sub>(G) = K<sub>E</sub>(G), and this is equivalent to the assertion that E is a  $\Lambda$ -set.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. G. Benke, Arithmetic structure and lacunary Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), 128-132.
- 2. R. E. Edwards, E. Hewitt, and K. A. Ross, Lacunarity for compact groups. I. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1971/72), 787-806.
- 3. A. Figà-Talamanca, Multipliers of p-integrable functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964), 666-669.
- 4. ——, Translation invariant operators in L<sup>p</sup>. Duke Math. J. 32 (1965), 495-501.
- 5. A. Figà-Talamanca and D. Rider, A theorem of Littlewood and lacunary series for compact groups. Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 505-514.
- 6. M.-P. Malliavin-Brameret and P. Malliavin, Caractérization arithmétique d'une classe d'ensembles de Helson. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 264 (1967), A192-A193.
- 7. M. A. Rieffel, *Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups*. J. Functional Analysis 1 (1967), 443-491.
- 8. ——, Multipliers and tensor products of L<sup>p</sup>-spaces of locally compact groups. Studia Math. 33 (1969), 71-82.
- 9. W. Rudin, Fourier analysis on groups. Interscience Publ., New York, 1962.
- 10. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*. Vol. I. Second edition. Cambridge Univ. Press, London-New York, 1968.

Georgetown University Washington, D.C. 20007