ZEROS OF PARTIAL SUMS OF POWER SERIES

J. D. Buckholtz

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathscr{F} denote the family of functions that are analytic in the unit disk |z| < 1 but not in any disk $|z| < 1 + \epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$). If $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ belongs to \mathscr{F} , we write

$$S_n(z) = S_n(z; f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k,$$

and we denote by $\rho_n(\mathbf{f})$ the largest of the moduli of the zeros of the polynomial \mathbf{S}_n . We write

$$\rho(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \rho_n(f) \quad \text{and} \quad P = \sup_{f \in \mathscr{F}} \rho(f).$$

In 1906, M. B. Porter [3] proved that $1 \le \rho(f) \le 2$ for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$. Porter showed that his lower bound for $\rho(f)$ is best possible, but he made no similar claim for his upper bound. Quite recently, it has been shown that the constant 2 is *not* best possible. J. Clunie and P. Erdös [1] proved that P < 2. In the other direction, they constructed an example to show that $P > \sqrt{2}$. Determination of the exact value of P remains an open problem [2, Problem 7.7].

In the present paper, I prove that $1.7 < P \le 12^{1/4} = 1.861 \cdots$. The method used to obtain the upper bound is essentially a refinement of the method used by Clunie and Erdös. The lower bound is derived from the remarkably simple example

$$g(z) = \frac{1 + iz - iz^2 - z^3}{1 + z^4}.$$

In Section 3, I prove that $\rho(g) > 1.7$ and indicate why the choice of g is not entirely fortuitous.

2. THE UPPER BOUND

LEMMA. If $0 \le x < 12^{-1/4}$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x^{k+1}| |e^{ik\theta} - 1| < 1$$

for all real numbers θ .

Proof. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get the estimate

Received March 29, 1968.

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k+1} | e^{ik\theta} - 1 | \end{cases}^2 \leq \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \end{cases} \begin{cases} x^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2x^2)^k | e^{ik\theta} - 1 |^2 \end{cases}$$

$$= x^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2x^2)^k \{ 2 - 2\cos k\theta \}$$

$$= \frac{4x^4}{1 - 2x^2} - 2x^2 \Re \left\{ \frac{2x^2 e^{i\theta}}{1 - 2x^2 e^{i\theta}} \right\}.$$

This expression is largest at $\theta = \pi$; its value there is

$$\frac{4x^4}{1-2x^2} + \frac{4x^4}{1+2x^2} = \frac{8x^4}{1-4x^4} < 1,$$

since $x < 12^{-1/4}$.

THEOREM 1. If $\left\{A_k\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of complex numbers such that $\left|A_k\right|\leq 1$ for $k\geq 2,$ then either

$$1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \cdots$$
 or $A_1 + A_2 z + A_3 z^2 + \cdots$

does not vanish in the disk $|z| < 12^{-1/4}$.

Proof. Suppose max $\{|z_0|, |z_1|\} = x < 1$ and

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z_0^k = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z_1^{k-1} = 0.$$

If we multiply the second equation by \mathbf{z}_0 and subtract it from the first, we obtain the equation

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k (z_0^k - z_0 z_1^{k-1}) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{1} &= \left| \begin{array}{c} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \, A_{k+1} \, (z_0^{k+1} - z_0 \, z_1^k) \right| \, \leq \, \max_{\left| \, z \, \right| \, \leq \, x \, , \, \left| \, w \, \right| \, \leq \, x} \, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \, A_{k+1} \, (z^{k+1} - z w^k) \right| \\ &= \, \max_{\left| \, z \, \right| \, = \, \left| \, w \, \right| \, = \, x} \, \left| \, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \, A_{k+1} \, (z^{k+1} - z w^k) \, \right| \, , \end{split}$$

by a double application of the maximum modulus theorem. If we write $z/w = e^{i\,\theta}$ and make use of the triangle inequality and the inequalities $\left|A_{k+1}\right| \leq 1$, we obtain the inequality

$$1 \leq \max_{ heta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k+1} \left| e^{ik \, heta} - 1 \right|.$$

In view of the preceding lemma, this implies that $x \ge 12^{-1/4}$, which completes the proof.

COROLLARY. If $a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$ is a polynomial of degree n and r is a positive number such that

$$|a_n| r^n \ge |a_k| r^k$$
 (k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1),

then either $a_0+a_1z+\cdots+a_nz^n$ or $a_0+a_1z+\cdots+a_{n-1}z^{n-1}$ has all of its zeros in the disk $|z|\leq r\cdot 12^{1/4}$.

Proof. Write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k} = a_{n} z^{n} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k} r^{n-k}}{a_{n} r^{n}} \left(\frac{r}{z} \right)^{k} \right\}$$

and apply Theorem 1 to the function

$$T(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k} r^{n-k}}{a_{n} r^{n}} z^{k}.$$

THEOREM 2. $P \le 12^{1/4}$.

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ belongs to $\mathscr F$ and that r > 1. Then $\{ |a_n| r^n \}$ is unbounded, which implies that there exist infinitely many integers n such that

$$\max_{0 < k < n} |a_k| r^k \le |a_n| r^n.$$

The preceding corollary guarantees that

$$\min\left\{\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f}),\,\rho_{\mathrm{n-1}}\left(\mathrm{f}\right)\right\}\,\leq\,\mathrm{r}\cdot12^{\,1\,/\,4}$$

for such integers n. Therefore $\rho(f) \le r \cdot 12^{1/4}$, and consequently $P \le 12^{1/4}$, since f and r are arbitrary.

3. AN EXAMPLE

For a fixed complex number α ($|\alpha| = 1$), let

$$\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{k(k+1)/2} \mathbf{z}^{k},$$

and let $r_0(\alpha)$ denote the modulus of the zero (or zeros) of F_{α} nearest to the origin; in case F_{α} has no zero in the disk |z| < 1, take $r_0(\alpha)$ to be 1.

Let \mathbf{S}_n denote the nth partial sum of the power series of \mathbf{F}_α . It is easily verified that

(3.1)
$$\frac{\alpha^{n(n+1)/2}}{z^n} S_n\left(\frac{z}{\alpha^{n+1}}\right) = S_n\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The moduli of the zeros of the left member of (3.1) are the same as the moduli of the zeros of S_n ; furthermore, the sequence of functions on the right of (3.1) converges uniformly to $F_{\alpha}(1/z)$ on closed subsets of |z| > 1. The last observation, together with Hurwitz' theorem, determines the behavior of the zeros of (3.1) in |z| > 1 and allows us to conclude that

$$\rho(\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n(\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}) = 1/\mathbf{r}_0(\alpha).$$

The problem of determining $\rho(F_{\alpha})$ thus reduces to the problem of locating the zeros of F_{α} . This in turn is facilitated by the observation that if α is a root of unity, then F_{α} is a rational function. To see this, we first note the identity

$$\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{S}_{k-1}(\mathbf{z}) + \alpha^{k(k+1)/2} \mathbf{z}^{k} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha}(\alpha^{k} \mathbf{z}).$$

If $\alpha^k = 1$, then

$$F_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{S_{k-1}(z)}{1 - \beta z^k}, \quad \text{where } \beta = \alpha^{k(k+1)/2},$$

and in the special case where $\alpha = i$, we find (with k = 4) that

$$F_i(z) = \frac{1 + iz - iz^2 - z^3}{1 + z^4}$$
.

Now $1+iz-iz^2-z^3=(1-z)(1+(1+i)z+z^2)$, and an easy computation shows that one zero of the quadratic factor has modulus less than $(1.7)^{-1}$. Therefore $P \ge \rho(F_i) > 1.7$.

Since the above was written, considerably better numerical bounds for P have been obtained. J. L. Frank has shown that 1.7818 < P < 1.82. The lower bound was obtained by using an IBM 360 to compute values of $r_0(\alpha)$; the upper bound was obtained from a theorem which differs from Theorem 1 in that it involves zeros of $A_2 + A_3 z + A_4 z^2 + \cdots$ as well as those of the two functions in Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Clunie and P. Erdös, On the partial sums of power series. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 65 (1967), 113-123.
- 2. W. K. Hayman, Research problems in function theory. Athlone Press [University of London], London, 1967.
- 3. M. B. Porter, On the polynomial convergents of a power series. Ann. of Math. (2) 8 (1906-1907), 189-192.

The University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky 40506