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#### Abstract

The isoperimetric problem with a density or weighting seeks to enclose prescribed weighted volume with minimum weighted perimeter. According to Chambers' recent proof of the log-convex density conjecture, for many densities on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the answer is a sphere about the origin. We seek to generalize his results to some other spaces of revolution or to two different densities for volume and perimeter. We provide general results on existence and boundedness and a new approach to proving circles about the origin isoperimetric.


## 1. Introduction

The log-convex density theorem proved by Gregory Chambers [1] asserts that on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with log-convex density, an isoperimetric surface is a sphere centered at the origin. We seek to generalize his results to some other spaces of revolution and to two different densities for volume and perimeter.

Our Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 provide general results on existence and boundedness after Morgan and Pratelli [6]. The existence proof shows that in the limit, no volume is lost to infinity. The boundedness proof uses comparisons to derive a differential equation on volume growth.

Sections 4 and 5 focus on 2-dimensional surfaces of revolution with perimeter density and volume density equal. Our main Theorem 1.1 shows, under the assumption that the product of the density and the metric factor is eventually log-convex that, for large volumes, if the component farthest from the origin contains the origin, then an isoperimetric curve is a circle centered at the origin.

[^0]Theorem 1.1 (Corollary 5.8). Consider $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \theta^{2}
$$

and radial density $f(r)$. Suppose that $f h$ has positive derivatives and is eventually log-convex and $(\log f h)^{\prime}$ diverges to infinity. Then, for large volumes, if the origin is interior to the component farthest from the origin, an isoperimetric curve is a circle centered at the origin.

The idea of the proof, aided by Figure 1, is as follows. We first show that if an isoperimetric curve is not a circle centered at the origin, then it must go near the origin (Proposition 5.3). By using estimates on the generalized curvature formula, we prove that in the region where $f h$ is logconvex and nondecreasing, the angle $\alpha$ from the radial vector to the tangent vector at each point of the isoperimetric curve increases (Lemma 5.5) at an accelerating rate (Lemma 5.6). Then we observe that, in order for the isoperimetric curve to go near the origin, it must travel a long distance, and the angle $\alpha$ would have to increase too much by what we have shown. Putting these estimates together gives a contradiction (Theorem 5.7).


Figure 1. The angle $\alpha$ from the radial vector to the tangent vector along the isoperimetric curve $\gamma$ increases at an accelerating rate, leading to a contradiction.
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Similar results have been proven by Kolesnikov and Zhdanov [4] for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Euclidean metric and Howe [3] for a warped product of an interval with a Riemannian manifold, without assuming that the component of an isoperimetric region farthest from the origin contains the origin. For details see Remark 5.9.

Finally, unless otherwise specified, when we mention perimeter and volume, we mean perimeter and volume weighted by the density. We also adopt the convention that $A \lesssim B$ if there is some positive dimensiondependent constant $c_{n}$ such that $A \leq c_{n} B$.

## 2. Coordinates and First Variation

Let $H$ denote $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \Theta^{2}
$$

and radial density $f(r)=e^{\psi(r)}$. Define

$$
B(r):=\{x \in H:|x| \leq r\}
$$

as the ball of radius $r$. For any region $E \subseteq H$, let $|E|$ denote the measure of $E$.

The following first variation formula tells how perimeter varies as a region is deformed.

Proposition 2.1. (First Variation Formula [8, 3.1, 3.2]). Let $f=e^{\psi}$ be a $C^{1}$ density on $H$. Then the initial first derivatives of volume and perimeter of a $C^{1}$ region $E$ with boundary $\partial E$, outward unit normal $\nu$, and inward mean curvature $H_{0}$, moving each boundary point $x$ with continuous normal velocity $u(x)$, are given by

$$
V^{\prime}=\int_{\partial E} u, \quad P^{\prime}=\int_{\partial E} u H_{f}(x),
$$

where

$$
H_{f}(x)=H_{0}(x)+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}(x) .
$$

Consequently, for a smooth isoperimetric region, $H_{f}(x)$ is constant.
The quantity $H_{f}(x)$ is called the generalized mean curvature. By Proposition 2.1, it is the change of perimeter with respect to change in volume. (We are using the convention that the mean curvature is the sum rather than the mean of the principal curvatures.)

## 3. Existence and Boundedness

Existence and boundedness of isoperimetric regions for a single density for volume and perimeter on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ were treated by Morgan and Pratelli [6]. Separate radial densities for volume and perimeter were treated by Di Giosia et al. [2]. We further allow certain radial metrics. More recently, other metrics have been treated by Pratelli and Saracco [7].

To prove existence of isoperimetric regions, we begin with a lemma that puts a bound on the perimeter by using projection onto spheres.

Lemma 3.1. Let $H$ be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \Theta^{2}
$$

and with nondecreasing density $f(r)$, where $f h$ is nondecreasing. If $E \subset H$ has finite volume, then for all $r>0$,

$$
|\partial E \backslash B(r)| \geq S(r)
$$

where $S(r)$ is the area of the section of a sphere of radius $r$ sliced by the region $E, E \cap \partial B(r)$.

Proof. The idea of the proof is to use projection. Let $\pi: \partial E \backslash B(r) \rightarrow \partial B(r)$ be the radial projection of the boundary of $E$ outside of $B(r)$ onto the sphere $\partial B(r)$. Since $f h$ is nondecreasing, $\pi$ is measure nonincreasing, that is

$$
|\pi(\partial E \backslash B(r))| \leq|\partial E \backslash B(r)|
$$

It remains to show that the left-hand side is greater than or equal to $S(r)$. For this, it is sufficient to show that $\pi(\partial E \backslash B(r))$ covers $E \cap \partial B(r)$ up to a set of measure zero.

Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a subset $X \subseteq E \cap \partial B(r)$ of positive measure that is disjoint from $\pi(\partial E \backslash B(r))$. So the product of $(r, \infty) \times X$ in polar coordinates must be disjoint from the boundary $\partial E$. Since $X \subseteq E$, we must also have that $(r, \infty) \times X$ is contained in $E$. But this would imply that $|E|$ is infinite (because $X$ has positive measure and $f h$ is nondecreasing), which is a contradiction.

The following theorem shows the existence of isoperimetric regions by generalizing arguments of Morgan and Pratelli [6].

Theorem 3.2. Let $H$ be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \Theta^{2}
$$

volume density $f(r)$, and perimeter density $g(r)$. Suppose that $h$ is nondecreasing, $g$ diverges to infinity, and $f \leq c g$ for some constant $c$. Then an isoperimetric region exists for every positive volume less than the volume of the space $H$.
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Proof. The proof closely follows Morgan and Pratelli [6, Theorem 3.3]. The idea is to take a sequence of sets with perimeters converging to the infimum and extract a convergent subsequence. The concern is that in the limit, some volume may be lost to infinity. We suppose that there is some volume lost to infinity and show that it contradicts our assumption that perimeter density diverges to infinity.

Let $V$ be the prescribed (weighted) volume. Consider a sequence of smooth sets $E_{j}$ of volume $V$ and $\left|\partial E_{j}\right|$ converges to the infimum. By compactness, we may assume the sequence converges to a limit set $E$.

Suppose that some volume is lost to infinity. Then, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for all $R>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{j} \backslash B(R)\right| \geq \varepsilon \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j$ large enough. Inequality (3.1) then becomes

$$
\int_{R}^{\infty} S_{j}(r) f(r) d r \geq \varepsilon
$$

where $S_{j}(r)$ is the unweighted area under the metric $d s$ of the slice of $E_{j}$ by the sphere of radius $r$. Define

$$
M_{j}:=\sup _{r \geq R} S_{j}(r), \quad g_{-}:=\min _{r \geq R} g(r)
$$

Notice that $g_{-}$exists because $g$ is continuous and diverges to infinity. Then, by Lemma 3.1 (for unweighted volume), we have, for all $r \geq R$,

$$
\left|\partial E_{j}\right| \geq\left|\partial E_{j} \backslash B(r)\right| \geq\left|\partial E_{j} \backslash B(r)\right|_{0} g_{-} \geq S_{j}(r) g_{-}
$$

where the subscript 0 denotes the unweighted version. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial E_{j}\right| \geq M_{j} g_{-} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $R$, since $g$ diverges, $M_{j}$ is small (uniformly for all $j$ ), and hence, $S_{j}(r)$ is small. Thus, by the isoperimetric inequality on a sphere, for all $r \geq R$,

$$
p_{j}(r) \gtrsim S_{j}(r)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}
$$

## ISOPERIMETRY IN SURFACES OF REVOLUTION WITH DENSITY

where $p_{j}(r)$ is the unweighted perimeter of the slice of $E_{j}$ by the sphere of radius $r$. Therefore, by the coarea formula,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial E_{j}\right| & \geq \int_{R}^{\infty} p_{j}(r) g(r) d r \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_{R}^{\infty} p_{j}(r) f(r) d r \\
& \geq \int_{R}^{\infty} S_{j}(r)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} f(r) d r \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\left(M_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \int_{R}^{\infty} S_{j}(r) f(r) d r \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\left(M_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \varepsilon . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\left|\partial E_{j}\right|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \gtrsim \varepsilon g_{-}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}
$$

Since the left-hand side is uniformly bounded, $g_{-}$is bounded independent of $R$. This contradicts that assumption that $g$ goes to infinity.

Therefore, there is no volume lost to infinity and $E$ has the prescribed volume and realizes the infimum perimeter.

Remark 3.3. The argument used in Theorem 3.2 can be used to prove the existence of a perimeter-minimizing $n$-bubble for any $n$ given volumes. This can be shown by considering a sequence of $n$-bubbles with prescribed volumes whose perimeters tend towards the infimum. If some volume is lost to infinity in the limit of the sequence, then the same argument shows that the bubbles in the sequence have perimeters going to infinity, which cannot be the case.

Finally, by again generalizing arguments of Morgan and Pratelli [6], we prove boundedness of isoperimetric regions.

Theorem 3.4. Let $H$ be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \Theta^{2}
$$

volume density $f(r)$, and perimeter density $g(r)$. Suppose that $g h$ is nondecreasing, $g^{n /(n-1)} / f$ is nondecreasing, and $\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{1 / n}$ diverges. Then, every isoperimetric region is bounded.

Proof. This proof closely follows Morgan-Pratelli [6, Theorem 5.9]. We begin by supposing that an isoperimetric region is unbounded. Then, from the isoperimetric inequality and the coarea formula, we derive that the volume of the region outside the ball of radius $r$ decreases uniformly and hence, becomes negative as $r$ increases, which is a contradiction.

Suppose that an isoperimetric region $E$ is unbounded. Define

$$
E_{r}:=E \cap \partial B(r)
$$

$$
P(r):=|\partial E \backslash B(r)|_{g}, \quad V(r):=|E \backslash B(r)|_{f}
$$

where the subscript denotes the density for the measure. By Lemma 3.1, for density $g$, since $g h$ is nondecreasing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r) \geq\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r$ large, $P(r)$ is small and therefore, $\left|E_{r}\right|_{g}$ is small, while the $g$-weighted volume of the sphere of radius $r$ is not small because $g h$ is nondecreasing. So the isoperimetric inequality on a sphere applies. That is

$$
\left|\partial E_{r}\right|_{0} \gtrsim\left|E_{r}\right|_{0}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}
$$

where the subscript 0 indicates unweighted measure. Multiplying both sides by the density $g(r)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial E_{r}\right|_{g} \gtrsim g(r)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left|E_{r}\right|_{g}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) then imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial E_{r}\right|_{g} \gtrsim g(r)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} P(r)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the coarea formula $[5, \S 4.11]$, we can say that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-P^{\prime}(r) \geq\left|\partial E_{r}\right|_{g} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-V^{\prime}(r)=\left|E_{r}\right|_{f} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inequalities (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-P^{\prime}(r) & \gtrsim g(r)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} P(r)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \\
& =-\frac{g(r)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{f(r)} P(r)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} V^{\prime}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
-\frac{d}{d r}\left(P(r)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) \gtrsim-\frac{g(r)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{f(r)} \frac{d}{d r} V(r)
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a new dimensional constant. Since $E$ has finite perimeter and volume, $P(r)$ and $V(r)$ both go to zero as $r$ goes to infinity. Hence, integration of both sides of the previous inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
P(r)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} & \gtrsim-\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{g(t)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{f(t)} \frac{d}{d t} V(t) d t \\
& \geq-\frac{g(r)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{f(r)} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d t} V(t) d t \\
& =\frac{g(r)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{f(r)} V(r) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$
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because $g^{n /(n-1)} / f$ is nondecreasing (and the right-hand side is positive).
Choose $R$ so that the interior of the ball of radius $R$ contains part of the boundary of $E$. Then, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, we can define a set $E_{\varepsilon}$ by introducing a variation on the boundary of $E$ inside $B(R)$ to increase the weighted volume by $\varepsilon$. Since the (constant) generalized mean curvature $H(E)$ is $d P / d V$ (Proposition 2.1) we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\partial E_{\varepsilon}\right|_{g}-|\partial E|_{g}}{\varepsilon}=H(E)
$$

Therefore, for small $\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial E_{\varepsilon}\right|_{g} \leq|\partial E|_{g}+\varepsilon(H(E)+1) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $r>R$ large enough such that $\varepsilon=V(r)$ is small enough for this construction. If we replace $E_{\varepsilon}$ by $\widetilde{E}:=E_{\varepsilon} \cap B(r)$, discarding the volume $V(r)$, then $\widetilde{E}$ is back to the original volume of $E$. Since $E$ is isoperimetric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\partial \widetilde{E}|_{g} \geq|\partial E|_{g} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\widetilde{E}$ loses the perimeter $P(r)$ outside the ball and creates new perimeter $E_{r}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\partial \widetilde{E}|_{g} & =\left|\partial E_{\varepsilon}\right|_{g}-P(r)+\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \\
& \leq|\partial E|_{g}+\varepsilon(H(E)+1)-c_{n} \frac{g(r)}{f(r)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \varepsilon^{\frac{n-1}{n}}+\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

by inequalities (3.10) and (3.9), where $c_{n}$ is a dimension-dependent constant.

For $r$ large, $\varepsilon$ is small, and so $\varepsilon^{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ asymptotically dominates $\varepsilon$. From (3.11) and (3.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \gtrsim \frac{g(r)}{f(r)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \varepsilon^{\frac{n-1}{n}}=\frac{g(r)}{f(r)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} V(r)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|E_{r}\right|_{f}=\left|E_{r}\right|_{g} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)}
$$

Therefore, by (3.8) and (3.13), for $r$ sufficiently large,

$$
-V^{\prime}(r) \gtrsim f(r)^{\frac{1}{n}} V(r)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{d}{d r}\left(V(r)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \lesssim-f(r)^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

Integrating both sides and using the fact that $\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{1 / n}$ diverges, we find that $V(r) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $E$ is bounded.
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## 4. Constant Generalized Curvature Curves in 2D

In this section, we consider the 2 D case, $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \theta^{2}
$$

and radial density $f(r)=e^{\psi(r)}$. Following Chambers [1, Section 2], let $A$ be an isoperimetric set spherically symmetrized. Let $\gamma:[-\beta, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the arclength parameterization of the most distant component of the boundary of $A$ from the leftmost point on the $x$-axis back to itself, counterclockwise. Then $\gamma$ is symmetric about the $x$-axis, $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma( \pm \beta)$ are on the $x$-axis, $\gamma$ is above the $x$-axis on $(0, \beta)$, and $\gamma$ is below the $x$-axis on $(-\beta, 0)$. By known regularity [5], $\gamma$ is a smooth curve.

Let $\hat{r}(t)$ and $\hat{\theta}(t)$ be the orthonormal basis vectors of the tangent space at $\gamma(t)$ in the radial and tangential directions (unless $\gamma(t)$ is the origin). Let $\alpha(t)$ be the counter-clockwise angle measured from $\hat{r}(t)$ to $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$. Note that the angles are measured with respect to the defined metric and not the standard metric in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Observe that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma^{\prime}=r^{\prime} \hat{r}+h(r) \theta^{\prime} \hat{\theta} \\
r^{\prime}=\cos \alpha, \quad h(r) \theta^{\prime}=\sin \alpha \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\kappa(t)$ be the inward (leftward) curvature of $\gamma$ at $\gamma(t)$. The generalized curvature is

$$
\kappa_{f}(t)=\kappa(t)+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal at $\gamma(t)$. Recall that $f=e^{\psi}$. By the first variation formula (Proposition 2.1) and the fact that $A$ is an isoperimetric region, $\kappa_{f}(t)$ is constant for all $t$.

We seek to analyze the constant generalized curvature curve $\gamma$. First, we need an explicit formula for the curvature.

Lemma 4.1. The curvature of $\gamma$ at $t$ is

$$
\kappa(t)=h(r)^{2} h^{\prime}(r) \theta^{\prime 3}+2 h^{\prime}(r) r^{2} \theta^{\prime}+h(r)\left(r^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}-\theta^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where the polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ of $\gamma$ are functions of $t$.
Proof. In polar coordinates, $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the given metric has first fundamental form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & h(r)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The curvature of $\gamma$ at $t$ is the geodesic curvature, which is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa(t)= & \sqrt{E G-F^{2}}\left[\Gamma_{11}^{2} r^{\prime 3}-\Gamma_{22}^{1} \theta^{\prime 3}+\left(2 \Gamma_{12}^{2}-\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right) r^{\prime 2} \theta^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(2 \Gamma_{12}^{1}-\Gamma_{22}^{2}\right) r^{\prime} \theta^{\prime 2}-r^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime}+\theta^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime}\right] /\left(E r^{\prime 2}+2 F r^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}+G \theta^{\prime 2}\right)^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the second kind. Since $F=0$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{E_{r}}{2 E}=0, & \Gamma_{12}^{1}=\frac{E_{\theta}}{2 E}=0, & \Gamma_{22}^{1}=-\frac{G_{r}}{2 E}=-h(r) h^{\prime}(r), \\
\Gamma_{11}^{2}=-\frac{E_{\theta}}{2 G}=0, & \Gamma_{12}^{2}=\frac{G_{r}}{2 G}=\frac{h^{\prime}(r)}{h(r)}, & \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\frac{G_{\theta}}{2 G}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa(t) \\
& =\left[h(r)^{2} h^{\prime}(r) \theta^{\prime 3}+2 h^{\prime}(r) r^{\prime 2} \theta^{\prime}+h(r)\left(r^{\prime} \theta^{\prime \prime}-\theta^{\prime} r^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] /\left(r^{2}+h(r)^{2} \theta^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The denominator is 1 due to arclength parametrization, implying the desired formula.

By using $\alpha$ (the angle from $\hat{r}(t)$ to $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ ), the curvature formula can be further simplified.

Proposition 4.2. The curvature of $\gamma$ at $t$ is

$$
\kappa(t)=\frac{h^{\prime}(r)}{h(r)} \sin \alpha+\alpha^{\prime}
$$

Proof. Recall from (4.1) that $r^{\prime}=\cos \alpha$ and $\theta^{\prime}=\sin \alpha / h(r)$. The desired formula follows from Lemma 4.1 by direct computation.

The generalized curvature can now be explicitly computed.
Proposition 4.3. The generalized curvature of $\gamma$ at $t$ is

$$
\kappa_{f}(t)=(\log f h)^{\prime}(r) \sin \alpha+\alpha^{\prime} .
$$

Note that $f$ and $h$ are functions of $r$, but $\alpha$ is a function of $t$.
Proof. By Proposition 4.2 and the definition of generalized curvature, it suffices to prove that

$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}=\frac{f^{\prime}(r)}{f(r)} \sin \alpha=\psi^{\prime}(r) \sin \alpha
$$

The gradient of $\psi$ is

$$
\nabla \psi=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \hat{r}+\frac{1}{h(r)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \hat{\theta}=\psi^{\prime}(r) \hat{r}
$$

because $\psi$ is radial. The unit outward normal is

$$
\nu=h(r) \theta^{\prime} \hat{r}-r^{\prime} \hat{\theta}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}=\langle\nabla \psi, \nu\rangle=\psi^{\prime}(r) h(r) \theta^{\prime}=\psi^{\prime}(r) \sin \alpha
$$

as asserted.
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From spherical symmetrization, some properties of $\alpha$ can be deduced.
Lemma 4.4. Assuming $\gamma$ avoids the origin, the angle $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(0) & =\pi / 2 \\
\alpha(-\beta) & =\alpha(\beta)=\pi / 2 \text { or } 3 \pi / 2, \text { and } \\
\pi / 2 & \leq \alpha(t) \leq 3 \pi / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, \beta]$.
Proof. From spherical symmetrization, $\cos \alpha=r^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in[0, \beta]$, implying the third assertion. Because $r(0)$ is maximum, $\cos \alpha(0)=r^{\prime}(0)=$ 0 . So $\alpha(0)=\pi / 2$ because $\gamma$ has counter-clockwise parametrization. The second assertion follows from the fact that $r(\beta)$ is minimum, so $\cos \alpha(\beta)=$ $r^{\prime}(\beta)=0$.

Remark 4.5. The results of this section hold for any component of an isoperimetric region, not only for the farthest component $\gamma$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.1, the generalized curvature (Proposition 4.3) of each component has to be equal.

## 5. Circles Isoperimetric

In this section, with the assumption that the product $f h$ of the density and the metric factor is eventually log-convex, we will prove that for large volume, an isoperimetric curve whose farthest component $\gamma$ encloses the origin is a circle centered at the origin. The notation carries over from Section 4. In particular, $\alpha(t)$ denotes the angle from the radial to the tangent at $\gamma(t)$. First, we need a lemma.
Lemma 5.1. If $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0$, then $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin.
Proof. Notice that a circle centered at the origin satisfies the constant generalized curvature equation (Proposition 4.3) and has $\alpha(0)=\pi / 2$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0$. Therefore, by the uniqueness of solutions of ODEs, $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin.

The next lemma shows that the fact that $\gamma$ is a circle about the origin is enough to conclude that an isoperimetric curve has only one component.
Lemma 5.2. Suppose that fh has positive derivatives. If the farthest component $\gamma$ of an isoperimetric curve is a circle centered at the origin, then the whole isoperimetric curve is that circle centered at the origin.

Proof. The isoperimetric curve cannot have other components outside of its farthest component $\gamma$ because $\gamma$ is a circle about the origin. Suppose that there are other components inside $\gamma$; then some component $\bar{\gamma}$ must have clockwise orientation. By Proposition 4.3 and the hypothesis on $f h, \gamma$ has
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positive generalized curvature. Similarly, by Remark 4.5, the oppositelyoriented $\bar{\gamma}$ has negative generalized curvature. This contradicts the fact that an isoperimetric curve has constant generalized curvature (Proposition 2.1). Therefore, $\gamma$ is the whole isoperimetric curve.

The following proposition shows that, if $f h$ is eventually log-convex and $\gamma$ is not a circle centered at the origin, then it must go near the origin when it crosses the $x$-axis at $r(\beta)$. Recall that $r(t)$ is the distance from the origin to $\gamma(t)$.

Proposition 5.3. If $f h$ is log-convex on the interval $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$ and the origin is interior to $\gamma$, then either $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin or $r(\beta)<r_{0}$.

Proof. Suppose that $r(\beta) \geq r_{0}$. We must show that $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin. Since $\gamma$ encloses the origin, Lemma 4.4 applies, $\alpha(\beta)=\pi / 2$, and $\pi / 2 \leq \alpha(t) \leq 3 \pi / 2$ for all $t \in[0, \beta]$. Hence, $\alpha^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(\beta) \leq 0$. The generalized curvature formula (Proposition 4.3) implies that

$$
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))+\alpha^{\prime}(0)=(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(\beta))+\alpha^{\prime}(\beta)
$$

By spherical symmetrization, $r(0) \geq r(\beta) \geq r_{0}$, so by log-convexity of $f h$,

$$
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0)) \geq(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(\beta))
$$

This implies that $\alpha^{\prime}(0) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(\beta)$, so that $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=\alpha^{\prime}(\beta)=0$. Hence, by Lemma 5.1, $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin.

Lemma 5.4. If $f h$ is nondecreasing at $r(0)$ and the origin is interior to $\gamma$, then $\alpha(t) \in[\pi / 2, \pi]$ for all $t \in[0, \beta]$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\alpha(t)>\pi$ for some $t \in[0, \beta]$. By Lemma 4.4, $\alpha(0)=\pi / 2$ and, because $\gamma$ encloses the origin, $\alpha(\beta)=\pi / 2$. Thus, there are $t_{0}<t<t_{1}$ such that $\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)=\pi, \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$, and $\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq 0$. If $\gamma$ has constant generalized curvature $c$, then by the generalized curvature formula (Proposition 4.3)

$$
c=\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

so all three quantities have to be zero. So at $t=0$,

$$
0=(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))+\alpha^{\prime}(0) .
$$

The first term on the right-hand side is nonnegative by hypothesis, and the second term is nonnegative because $\alpha^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$ (Lemma 4.4). Therefore, $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0$. By Lemma 5.1, $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin, and $\alpha(t)=\pi / 2$ for all $t \in[0, \beta]$, a contradiction. Hence, $\alpha(t) \leq \pi$ for all $t \in[0, \beta]$.

We now show that $\alpha$ is nondecreasing (Lemma 5.5) and that its rate of increase is accelerating (Lemma 5.6) in the region where $f h$ is log-convex.

## E. BONGIOVANNI, A. DIAZ, A. KAKKAR, AND N. SOTHANAPHAN

Lemma 5.5. If $f h$ is nondecreasing and log-convex on the interval $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$, and the origin is interior to $\gamma$, then for any $t \in[0, \beta]$ such that $r(t) \geq r_{0}$, $\alpha^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume that $r(0) \geq r_{0}$, otherwise the statement is trivial. By Lemma 5.4, $\alpha(t) \in[\pi / 2, \pi]$ for all $t \in[0, \beta]$. By Lemma 4.4, $\alpha^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$. If $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0$, then Lemma 5.1 implies that $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin, and the lemma holds. So, suppose $\alpha^{\prime}(0)>0$. Assume for contradiction that there is a $t$ for which $r(t) \geq r_{0}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(t)<0$. Let $t_{0}>0$ be the smallest value of $t$ such that $r(t) \geq r_{0}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(t)=0$. For $t<t_{0}$, the generalized curvature formula (Proposition 4.3) gives

$$
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(t)) \sin \alpha(t)+\alpha^{\prime}(t)=(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \sin \alpha\left(t_{0}\right) .
$$

Because $\alpha^{\prime}(t)>0$, it must be that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(t)) \sin \alpha(t)<(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \sin \alpha\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\pi / 2 \leq \alpha(t)<\alpha\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \pi$ by construction, so $\sin \alpha(t)>\sin \alpha\left(t_{0}\right) \geq$ 0 . Moreover, because $r(t) \geq r\left(t_{0}\right) \geq r_{0}$, by hypothesis,

$$
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(t)) \geq(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

So the left-hand side of (5.1) is greater than or equal to its right-hand side, a contradiction. Therefore, the lemma holds.

Lemma 5.6. If fh is nondecreasing and log-convex on the interval $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$ and the origin is interior to $\gamma$, then for any $t \in[0, \beta]$ such that $r(t) \geq r_{0}$, $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$.

Proof. Fix $t \in[0, \beta]$ such that $r(t) \geq r_{0}$. By Lemma 5.5, $\alpha^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, so $\alpha$ is nondecreasing. By Lemma 5.4, $\alpha(t) \in[\pi / 2, \pi]$. Recall the generalized curvature formula (Proposition 4.3), that is

$$
\kappa_{f}(t)=(\log f h)^{\prime}(r) \sin \alpha+\alpha^{\prime} .
$$

Because $(\log f h)^{\prime}(r)$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing as a function of $t$ and $\sin \alpha$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing, $\alpha^{\prime}$ is nondecreasing. Hence, $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$.

The next theorem proves the circle isoperimetric, replacing the hypothesis on close approach to the origin of Proposition 5.3, with a lower bound $M$ on $(\log f h)^{\prime}$ at the point farthest from the origin.

Theorem 5.7. Consider $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+h(r)^{2} d \theta^{2}
$$
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and radial density $f(r)$. Suppose that fh has positive derivatives and that, on the interval $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$, it is log-convex. Let

$$
M=\inf _{r>r_{0}}\left[(\log f h)^{\prime}(r)+\frac{\pi}{2\left(r-r_{0}\right)}\right]
$$

Suppose that the origin is interior to the component of an isoperimetric curve farthest from the origin and the farthest distance from the origin $r_{\text {max }}$ satisfies

$$
r_{\max }>r_{0}, \quad(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r_{\max }\right)>M
$$

Then the isoperimetric curve is a circle centered at the origin.
Proof. The idea of the proof is that, if the farthest component $\gamma$ goes near the origin, then it has to travel a long distance to reach the region near the origin, and $\alpha$ would have to increase too much. See Figure 1.

By Lemma 5.2, it suffices to show that $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin. Suppose the contrary. By Proposition 5.3, $r(\beta)<r_{0}$. Since $r(0)>r_{0}$, there is a $t_{0}$ such that $r\left(t_{0}\right)=r_{0}$. By Lemmas 5.5 and $5.6, \alpha^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. Since $(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))>M$, there is an $r_{1}>r_{0}$ such that

$$
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))>(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)}
$$

Log-convexity of $f h$ implies that $r_{1}<r(0)$, so there is $t_{1}<t_{0}$ such that $r\left(t_{1}\right)=r_{1}$. Because we are using arclength parametrization, it must be that $t_{0}-t_{1} \geq r_{1}-r_{0}$. Because $\alpha\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \pi / 2$ and $\alpha\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \pi($ Lemma 5.4),

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} \geq \alpha\left(t_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{0}} \alpha^{\prime} \geq\left(t_{0}-t_{1}\right) \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right) \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)}
$$

By the constant generalized curvature formula (Proposition 4.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0)) & \leq(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))+\alpha^{\prime}(0) \\
& =(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right) \sin \alpha\left(t_{1}\right)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& \leq(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& \leq(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2\left(r_{1}-r_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin. By Lemma 5.2 , the whole isoperimetric curve is that circle centered at the origin.

The hypothesis of Theorem 5.7 can be satisfied for large volumes whenever $f h$ is eventually log-convex and $(\log f h)^{\prime}$ diverges to infinity, as shown in the following corollary.
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Corollary 5.8. Suppose that fh has positive derivatives and is eventually log-convex and $(\log f h)^{\prime}$ diverges to infinity. Then, for large volumes, if the origin is interior to the component farthest from the origin, an isoperimetric curve is a circle centered at the origin.

Proof. Apply Theorem 5.7. For large volumes, $r(0)$ is large, so $(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))>M$. Hence, $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin.

Remark 5.9. Similar results to Corollary 5.8 are proven by Kolesnikov and Zhdanov [4] and Howe [3], without assuming that the component farthest from the origin of an isoperimetric region contains the origin. Kolesnikov and Zhdanov use the divergence theorem to show that isoperimetric surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for large volumes are spheres about the origin [4, Proposition 6.7]. Howe uses vertical area to prove that isoperimetric regions in a warped product of an interval with a Riemannian manifold for large volumes are vertical fibers [3, Corollary 2.10].

The following corollary applies Theorem 5.7 to the example of the Borell density $e^{r^{2}}$ on the hyperbolic plane.
Corollary 5.10. Consider the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ with density $e^{r^{2}}$. Let $r_{0}=\sinh ^{-1}(1 / \sqrt{2}), M$ be as in Theorem 5.7, $r^{*}>r_{0}$ be such that

$$
(\log f h)^{\prime}\left(r^{*}\right)=M, \quad \text { and } \quad V_{0}=2 \pi\left(\cosh r^{*}-1\right) \approx 31.098
$$

Then for any volume larger than $V_{0}$, if the origin is interior to the component farthest from the origin, an isoperimetric curve is a circle centered at the origin.
Proof. The product $f h=e^{r^{2}} \sinh r$ is log-convex and nondecreasing on $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$, so we can apply Theorem 5.7. Since $V_{0}$ is the area of the hyperbolic circle with radius $r^{*}$, for any volume larger than $V_{0}, r(0)>r^{*}>r_{0}$, so that $(\log f h)^{\prime}(r(0))>M$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.7, $\gamma$ is a circle centered at the origin.
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