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1. Introduction. In this note, we consider the following nonlinear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n-1}{r} u^{\prime}+\beta^{2} u+f(u)=0, \quad r>0  \tag{1}\\
u(r) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in C^{1, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right), \text { for some } \delta_{0}>0, \sigma>0 \text { and } f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main goal of this note is to show the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1) and improve the results in [6]. In [6], one of the following conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
&a): f(u) \in C^{1, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right), f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
& \sigma>\frac{2}{n-1} \text { if } n>3, \text { or } \\
&b): f(u) \in C^{2, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right), f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0 \\
& \sigma>0 \text { if } n=3, \text { or } \\
&c): f(u) \in C^{3, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right), f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=f^{(3)}(0)=0 \\
& \sigma>0 \text { if } n=2
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

is assumed, and the existence and asymptotic behavior of oscillatory radial solutions are proven. We replace the conditions by a more general condition (2), therefore equation (1) can be applied to Allen-Cahn equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle u+u-u^{3}=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 2$, and thin film problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n-1}{r} u^{\prime}=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad u(0)=\alpha>0, u^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C^{1}(0, \infty)$ satisfies the following general conditions:
(i) $f$ has a single zero $t_{0}$ in $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$;

[^0](ii) $f$ is nonincreasing near 0 and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t)=\infty$.

These two equations appear in several applications in mechanics and physics. Interested readers can refer [1], [2]-[4], [10], [13], [14], etc. for more detailed physics background. Some recent mathematical analysis can be found in $[5,6,7,8,9,11,12]$ and the references therein.

REMARK 1. We note that oscillating solutions to thin film problems may not always exist when $n=2$. It is shown in [9] that the unique solution either oscillates or increases to infinity as $r$ goes to infinity. The existence of non-blowup solution may depend on the initial value and the nonlinear term $f$.

In [9], a recent paper concerning thin film problems (see also [12]), it was proven that in dimension $N \geq 3$, for each $\alpha \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$, (4) has a unique positive solution $u_{\alpha}$. Moreover, $u_{\alpha}$ oscillates around the constant $t_{0}$. It is also shown that there exists a singular (or so-called rupture) radial solution $u_{0}(r)$ to (4) such that $u_{0} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $u_{0}(0)=0, u_{0}(r)>0$ for $r \in(0, \infty)$ and $f\left(u_{0}\right) \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, any singular radial solution to (4) is oscillatory around $t_{0}$ and converges to $t_{0}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

It is natural to ask whether we can obtain more accurate asymptotic behaviors of the radial solutions. The similar question also arises in the study of Allen-Cahn equation (3).

The main result in this note is stated below:
ThEOREM 1. Assume $f$ satisfies (2) and equation (1) has a solution $u(r)$, then $u(r)$ is oscillating and $|u(r)| \leq C r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}(A \sin (\beta r)+B \cos (\beta r)+o(1)) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants $A, B$.
This note is organized as follows: In Section 2, the proof of the main result will be given; Section 3 will be devoted to applying the main result to Allen-Cahn equations and thin film equations to get a more accurate asymptotic behaviors.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. First, we claim that the solution, if it converges to 0 as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, must be oscillatory.

Lemma 1. Assume that $f$ satisfies condition (2). Then the solution to equation (1) is oscillatory around 0.

Proof. Suppose that the solution is not oscillatory. Without loss of generality, we may assume $u(r)>0, r>\tilde{r}$, for some $\tilde{r}>0$. By (2), we may choose $\tilde{r}$ so large that $\frac{f(u(r))}{u(r)}>-\frac{\beta^{2}}{2}$, for $r>\tilde{r}$. Then $u(r)$ is decreasing for $r>\tilde{r}$, by the maximum principle. Then we define $\omega\left(r, r_{0}\right):=\frac{u\left(r+r_{0}\right)}{u\left(r_{0}\right)}$, for $r \geq 0$ and any fixed $r_{0}>\tilde{r}$. Then $\omega\left(r, r_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\omega_{r r}+\frac{n-1}{r+r_{0}} \omega_{r}+\beta^{2} \omega+\frac{f\left(u\left(r+r_{0}\right)\right)}{u\left(r_{0}\right)}=0
$$

for $r \geq 0$. Letting $r_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$, we know that $\omega\left(r, r_{0}\right) \rightarrow \omega(r, \infty)$ in $C^{2}[0, \infty)$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{r r}(r, \infty)+\beta^{2} \omega(r, \infty)=0 \\
\omega(0, \infty)=1,0 \leq \omega(r, \infty) \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $r>\tilde{r}$. This contradicts the Sturm-Liouville Theorem. Similarly we can exclude the case $u(r)<0$ for $r$ sufficiently large.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $|u(r)| \leq \delta_{0}, \forall r>0$. Let $s_{k}$ be the $k^{\text {th }}$ zero of $u(r)$ and $r_{k}$ is the maximum point of $|u|$ in $\left(s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$. Set $m_{k}:=\left|u\left(r_{k}\right)\right|$. We claim that $m_{k}$ is decreasing in $k$, when $k>\tilde{k}$, for some $\tilde{k}>0$, and tends to 0 as $k$ goes to infinity. In fact, on the one hand, we multiply $u^{\prime}$ on both sides of the first equation of (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} u^{2}+\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s\right\}^{\prime}=-\frac{n-1}{r} u^{\prime 2} \leq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} u^{2}+\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s$ is decreasing in $r>0$. Take $r=$ $r_{k}$ and $r_{k+1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} m_{k+1}^{2}+\int_{0}^{m_{k+1}} f(s) d s & \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} m_{k}^{2}+\int_{0}^{m_{k}} f(s) d s \\
\int_{m_{k}}^{m_{k+1}} f(s) d s & \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\left(m_{k}^{2}-m_{k+1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have $f \in C^{1, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right), \sigma>0$, then

$$
\left|\int_{m_{k}}^{m_{k+1}} f(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{m_{k}}^{m_{k+1}}|f(s)| d s \leq C \int_{m_{k}}^{m_{k+1}}|s|^{1+\sigma} d s \leq C\left(m_{k+1}^{\sigma+2}-m_{k}^{\sigma+2}\right)
$$

if $m_{k+1}>m_{k}$. Suppose that no matter how large $k$ is, there always exist some $k$ such that $m_{k+1}>m_{k}$, then

$$
C\left(m_{k}^{2+\sigma}-m_{k+1}^{2+\sigma}\right) \leq-\left|\int_{m_{k}}^{m_{k+1}} f(s) d s\right|<\int_{m_{k}}^{m_{k+1}} f(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\left(m_{k}^{2}-m_{k+1}^{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
m_{k+1}^{2}-m_{k}^{2} \leq C\left(m_{k+1}^{2+\sigma}-m_{k}^{2+\sigma}\right)
$$

where $C$ is independent of $k$. This contradicts with the fact that $m_{k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, $m_{k+1} \leq m_{k}$, for $k>\tilde{k}$.

Next, we state a simple fact as a lemma, which will be used later.
Lemma 2. The problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}(s)+p(s) \phi(s)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<a^{2}<p(s)<b^{2}<\infty, a, b$ are some constants, for $s \in I$, where $I$ is a finite or infinite interval, have solutions with

$$
\frac{\pi}{b} \leq s_{k+1}-s_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{a} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\pi}{b} \leq t_{k+1}-t_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{a}
$$

for $s_{k}, t_{k} \in I$, where $s_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ zero of $\phi$ in $I$, $t_{k}$ is the maximum point of $|\phi|$ in the interval $\left(s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$.

Proof. The lemma basically follows from the Sturm Comparison Theorem. For the convenience, we present a direct proof here. Without loss of generality, we may
assume $\phi\left(t_{k}\right)>0$. We claim $s_{k+1}-t_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 a}$. Choose a solution $v(r)=\cos \left(a\left(r-t_{k}\right)\right)$ of $v^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} v=0$. If $s_{k+1}>t_{k}+\frac{\pi}{2 a}$, then

$$
\int_{t_{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{2 a}+t_{k}}\left(v \phi^{\prime}-\phi v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d r=a \phi\left(t_{k}+\frac{\pi}{2 a}\right)>0
$$

On the other hand, by equations we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{2 a}+t_{k}}\left(v \phi^{\prime}-\phi v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d r=\int_{t_{k}}^{\frac{\pi}{2 a}+t_{k}}\left(a^{2}-p(r)\right) \phi v d r<0
$$

This is a contradiction and proves the claim. Similarly, we can show $t_{k}-s_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 a}$. Then $s_{k+1}-s_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{a}$ and $t_{k+1}-t_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{a}$. Similar arguments also show $s_{k+1}-s_{k} \geq \frac{\pi}{b}$ and $t_{k+1}-t_{k} \geq \frac{\pi}{b}$. $\square$

With the simple observation, we see that making the following transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=r^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \phi(r) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (1) can be rewritten as the equation of $\phi$ in the form of (7), where $p(s)=$ $\beta^{2}-\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4} s^{-2}+\frac{f(u)}{u}=\beta^{2}+O\left(s^{\alpha}\right)$, for some $\alpha<0$, when $s$ sufficiently large, since $f \in C^{1, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right)$, for some $\delta_{0}>0$ and $|u(r)|<C r^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\epsilon}$ in [6]. It is easy to see that the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ zero of $u$ is also that of $\phi$, denoted as $s_{k}$, but the maximum point $r_{k}$ of $|u|$ in $\left(s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$ are different from that $t_{k}$ of $|\phi|$.

Next lemma is devoted to estimate $\phi(s)$ and $\phi^{\prime}(s)$ in the interval $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$.
Lemma 3. Assume $p(s)=\beta^{2}+O\left(s^{\alpha}\right)$ for some $\alpha<0$ and $s_{k}, t_{k}$ are defined as before. Then for $k$ large enough, there holds

$$
t_{k+1}-t_{k}=\frac{\pi}{\beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right), \quad t_{k}-s_{k}=\frac{\pi}{2 \beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(t_{k}\right)}=\cos \left(\beta\left(s-t_{k}\right)\right)+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right), \quad t_{k}<s<t_{k+1}  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{\phi^{\prime}(s)}{\phi\left(t_{k}\right)}=-\beta \sin \left(\beta\left(s-t_{k}\right)\right)+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right), \quad t_{k}<s<t_{k+1} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. When $k$ is large enough, we choose $C$ so that $a^{2}=\beta^{2}-C k^{\alpha}>0$, $b^{2}=\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}>0$ and $a^{2}<p(s)<b^{2}, t_{k}<s<t_{k+1}$. By the Sturm Comparison Theorem or similar proof of Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(t_{k}\right)} \leq \cos \left(\sqrt{\beta^{2}-C k^{\alpha}}\left(s-t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t_{k}<s<s_{k+1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(t_{k}\right)} \geq \cos \left(\sqrt{\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}}\left(s-t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t_{k}<s<t_{k}+\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}}} \leq s_{k+1}-t_{k} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\beta^{2}-C k^{\alpha}}}$. Hence, $s_{k+1}-t_{k}=\frac{\pi}{2 \beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(t_{k}\right)} \leq \cos \left(\sqrt{\beta^{2}-C k^{\alpha}}\left(t_{k}-s\right)\right), \quad s_{k}<s<t_{k}  \tag{13}\\
& \frac{\phi(s)}{\phi\left(t_{k}\right)} \geq \cos \left(\sqrt{\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}}\left(t_{k}-s\right)\right), \quad t_{k}-\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}}}<s<t_{k} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and $t_{k}-s_{k}=\frac{\pi}{2 \beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)$. Hence, $t_{k+1}-t_{k}=\frac{\pi}{\beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)$.
On the other hand, multiply $\phi^{\prime}(s)$ on both sides of (7) and integrate from $t_{k}$ to $t_{k+1}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} p(s) \phi(s) \phi^{\prime}(s) d s=0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad \phi^{2}\left(t_{k+1}\right)=\phi^{2}\left(t_{k}\right)\left(1+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

since $p(s)=\beta^{2}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)>0$, for $k$ sufficiently large. Combining (11)-(15) for the interval $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)$, we conclude (9).

To conclude (10), we multiply the equation (7) by $\phi^{\prime}(s)$ and integrate from $t_{k}$ to $s$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}+\int_{t_{k}}^{s} p(s) \phi^{\prime}(s) \phi(s) d r=0, \quad t_{k}<s<s_{k+1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $\phi(s)>0, s \in\left(t_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$. By (16), we have $\phi^{\prime}(s)<$ 0 , for $s \in\left(t_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} & \leq\left(\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}\right) \int_{t_{k}}^{s}\left(-\phi^{\prime}(s)\right) \phi(s) d s \\
& =\left(\beta^{2}+C k^{\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi^{2}\left(t_{k}\right)-\phi^{2}(s)\right), \quad t_{k}<s<s_{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} \phi^{2}\left(t_{k}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\beta\left(s-t_{k}\right)\right)+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right), t_{k}<s<s_{k+1}$, by using the lower bound of $p(s)$ together. Combining the equality and its counterpart for $\left(s_{k+1}, t_{k+1}\right)$, we get (10).

Following is a refined version of Lemma 4.3 in [6], which is key to our proof of the main result.

Lemma 4. Let $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonincreasing positive numbers satisfing

$$
a_{k} \geq p \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i} h(i)
$$

for some positive $p$, where $h(i)=i^{-1}\left(1+O\left(i^{\gamma}\right)\right), \gamma<0$. Then, for some positive constant $C$, there holds

$$
a_{k} \leq C k^{-p}
$$

for $k$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Define $A_{k}:=\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i} h(i)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k}-A_{k+1}=a_{k} h(k) \geq p h(k) A_{k} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \frac{A_{k}}{A_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{1-p h(k)} \geq 1+p h(k),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k$ large enough. Let $N_{0}$ large fixed. For any integer $N>N_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A_{N_{0}}}{A_{N}} \geq \prod_{k=N_{0}}^{N-1}(1+p h(k)) \\
\Rightarrow & \ln \left(A_{N_{0}}\right)-\ln \left(A_{N}\right) \geq \sum_{k=N_{0}}^{N-1}\left(p h(k)+O\left(h^{2}(k)\right)\right) \geq p \ln N+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $h(i)=i^{-1}\left(1+O\left(i^{\gamma}\right)\right), \gamma<0$. Therefore, $A_{N}<C N^{-p}$. With this fact that $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ is a nonincreasing positive sequence, we obtain

$$
A_{k} \geq A_{k}-A_{2 k+1}=p \sum_{i=k}^{2 k} a_{i} h(i) \geq p a_{2 k} \sum_{i=k}^{2 k} h(i) \geq \frac{p \ln 2}{2} a_{2 k}
$$

since $\sum_{i=k}^{2 k} h(i) \rightarrow \ln 2$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $h(i)>0$, for $i$ large enough. Therefore, $a_{2 k} \leq$ $C A_{k}<C k^{-p}$, for $k$ sufficiently large, where $C>0$ is just dependent of $p$.

Now it's time to refine the decay rate of $u(r)$ as $O\left(r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}\right)$ with Lemma 4 and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{m}_{k}:=u\left(t_{k}\right)$, while $m_{k}:=u\left(r_{k}\right)$, where $t_{k}, r_{k}$ are the maximum points of $|\phi|,|u|$ in $\left(s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$, respectively. First, with Lemma 4, we could get a sharper estimate of $\tilde{m_{k}}$.

Denote $F(u)=-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} u^{2}-\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
F(0)-F\left(\tilde{m_{k}}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} \tilde{m}_{k}^{2}+\int_{0}^{\tilde{m_{k}}} f(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} \tilde{m}_{k}^{2}+C \tilde{m}_{k}^{2+\sigma} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} \tilde{m}_{k}^{2}+C k^{\tilde{\delta}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\delta}=(2+\sigma)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}+\epsilon\right)<0$, for $k$ large enough. In fact, the inequality above follows by $f \in C^{1, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right)$ and the fact shown in [6] that $|u(r)|<C r^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\epsilon}$, for any $\epsilon>0$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
F(0)-F\left(\tilde{m_{k}}\right) & =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[F\left(\tilde{m_{N}}\right)-F\left(\tilde{m}_{k}\right)\right] \\
& =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime 2}\left(t_{N}\right)-u^{\prime 2}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{N}} \frac{n-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2} d r\right], \text { by }(6) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}\left(t_{k}\right)+\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{N}} \frac{n-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2} d r \\
& \geq \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{N}} \frac{n-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2} d r . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

With the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1-n}{2} r^{-\frac{1+n}{2}} \phi(r)+r^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \phi^{\prime}(r), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{n-1}{r}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2} d r \\
= & \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)} \frac{n-1}{\frac{k \pi}{\beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)} \\
= & \cdot\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\left(t_{k}+x\right)^{-\frac{1+n}{2}} \phi\left(t_{k}+x\right)+\left(t_{k}+x\right)^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \phi^{\prime}\left(t_{k}+x\right)\right)^{2} d x \\
= & \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)} \frac{n-1}{\frac{k \pi}{\beta}+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)} \tilde{m}_{k}^{2} \beta^{2} \sin ^{2}(\beta x)\left(1+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)\right) d x \\
= & (n-1) \tilde{m}_{k}^{2} \beta^{2} \frac{1}{2 k}\left(1+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)\right), \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

by (9), (10) and (19). Here $\alpha<0$ changes from line to line. Combining (17), (18) and (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \tilde{m}_{i}{ }^{2} h(i)-C k^{\tilde{\delta}} \leq \tilde{m}_{k}{ }^{2}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(i)=\frac{1}{i}\left(1+O\left(i^{\alpha}\right)\right)$.
Now, we apply Lemma 4 to (21) to get a more accurate decay rate of $\tilde{m_{k}}$. We claim that there exists $\tilde{C}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}+b_{k} \geq(n-1) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right) h(i), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k}=\tilde{m_{k}}{ }^{2}, b_{k}=\tilde{C} k \delta^{\tilde{\delta}}$. Indeed, from (21), we know that (22) is true, as long as there exists $\tilde{C}>0$ such that

$$
-C k^{\tilde{\delta}} \geq(n-1) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_{i} h(i)-b_{k},
$$

where $b_{k}=\tilde{C} k^{\tilde{\delta}}$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} b_{i} h(i)-b_{k} & =\tilde{C}\left[(n-1) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} i^{\tilde{\delta}} h(i)-k^{\tilde{\delta}}\right] \\
& =\tilde{C}\left[(n-1) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} i^{-1+\tilde{\delta}}\left(1+O\left(i^{\alpha}\right)\right)-k^{\tilde{\delta}}\right] \\
& =\tilde{C}\left(\frac{1-n}{\tilde{\delta}}-1+o(1)\right) k^{\tilde{\delta}} \\
& \leq-C k^{\tilde{\delta}},
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\frac{1-n}{\delta}-1<0$, i.e. $\tilde{\delta}:=(2+\sigma)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}+\epsilon\right)<1-n$. Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, then we can choose $\epsilon$ small enough, such that $\tilde{\delta}<1-n$. Now, we let $\left\{\tilde{a}_{k}\right\}$, where $\tilde{a_{k}}=a_{k}+b_{k}$, be the nonincreasing sequence in Lemma 4. By Lemma 4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{a_{k}}
\end{aligned} \leq C k^{1-n} .
$$

since $\tilde{\delta}<1-n$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{m_{k}} \leq C k^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m_{k}=O\left(m_{k}\right)$, we obtain $m_{k}<C k^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$, moreover, $|u(r)|<C r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$. Then (5) follows immediately. प
3. Applications to Allen-Cahn equations and thin film equations. Finally, we apply the main theorem to two typical problems, namely Allen-Cahn equation and thin film problems, which have been investigated in [9], [6], [12], etc.

We first consider the radial solution to Allen-Cahn equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n-1}{r} u^{\prime}-F^{\prime}(u)=0, \quad r=|x|, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},  \tag{24}\\
u(0)=u_{0},\left|u_{0}\right|<1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $n \geq 2, F(u) \in C^{2, \sigma}\left(-\delta_{0}, \delta_{0}\right)$, for some $\delta_{0}>0, \sigma>0$, and satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F^{\prime}(1)=F^{\prime}(-1)=0, F(1)=F(-1)=0 \\
F(u)>0 \quad \text { if }|u|<1 \\
F^{\prime}(0)=0, F^{\prime \prime}(0)<0 \\
F^{\prime}(u)<0 \quad \text { if } 0<u<1, F^{\prime}(u)>0 \quad \text { if }-1<u<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The existence of the oscillatory radial solution to Allen-Cahn Equation with initial value $\left|u_{0}\right|<1$ has been shown in Prop 3.1 and Prop 3.2, [5]. We can obtain the asymptotic behavior of the solution as follows.

Theorem 2. Assume $f(u)=F^{\prime \prime}(0) u-F^{\prime}(u)$ satisfies condition (2). Then when $\left|u_{0}\right|<1$, the solution $u(r)$ satisfies $|u(r)| \leq C r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$. Furthermore,

$$
u(r)=r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}\left(A \sin \left(\sqrt{-F^{\prime \prime}(0)} r\right)+B \cos \left(\sqrt{-F^{\prime \prime}(0)} r\right)+o(1)\right)
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants $A, B$.
In particular, for the typical Allen-Cahn equation $u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1-n}{r} u^{\prime}+u-u^{3}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have $|u(r)| \leq C r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $s_{k+1}-s_{k}=\pi+O\left(k^{\alpha}\right)$, for some $\alpha<0$, where $s_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ zero of the solution.

Now, we consider the thin film problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n-1}{r} u^{\prime}=g(u) \quad r>0  \tag{25}\\
u(0)=u_{0}>0, u^{\prime}(0)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the nonlinear term $g(u)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g^{\prime}(1)<0, g(1)=0,  \tag{26}\\
g(u)>0 \text { for } 0<u<1, g(u)<0 \text { for } u>1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $v(r)=u(r)-1$, then it satisfies

$$
v^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n-1}{r} v^{\prime}-g^{\prime}(1) v+f(v)=0, r>0
$$

where $f(v)=-g(1+v)+g^{\prime}(1) v$.
From [6], we know that when $n \geq 3$, there always exists a radial solution, for $u_{0} \in(0,1)$, which oscillates around 1 . However, when $n=2$, we have the solution either asymptotic to 1 or blow up to $+\infty$ as $r$ tends to $\infty$.

Theorem 3. Assume $g(u)$ satisfies condition (26) and $f(v)=-g(1+v)+g^{\prime}(1) v$ satisfies condition (2). For $n \geq 3$, when $u_{0} \in(0,1)$, the solution $u(r)$ to (25) satisfies $|u(r)| \leq C r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$. Furthermore,

$$
u(r)=r^{\frac{1-n}{2}}\left(A \sin \left(\sqrt{-g^{\prime}(1)} r\right)+B \cos \left(\sqrt{-g^{\prime}(1)} r\right)+o(1)\right)
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants $A, B$.
When it comes to $n=2$, we have to pose an extra condition on $g$ to guarantee the existence of oscillatory solutions. Let $G(u)=\int_{1}^{u} g(s) d s$, then $G(u)$ is nonincreasing for $u>1$ and nondecreasing for $u<1$.

ThEOREM 4. When $n=2, u_{0} \in(0,1)$, assume $g$ satisfies condition (26) and $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} G(u)=-\infty$, additionally, $f(v)$ satisfies condition (2). Then there exists an oscillatory radial solution $u(r)$ with $|u(r)| \leq C r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Furthermore,

$$
u(r)=r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(A \sin \left(\sqrt{-g^{\prime}(1)} r\right)+B \cos \left(\sqrt{-g^{\prime}(1)} r\right)+o(1)\right)
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants $A, B$.
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