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#### Abstract

By utilizing Nevanlinna's value distribution theory, we find the meromorphic solutions of the functional equations of the type $P(f) P(g)=1$, where $P$ is a polynomial with three distinct zeros at least.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{C}$ denote the complex plane and $f(z)$ a nonconstant function meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}$. The value distribution theory was derived and developed by R. Nevanlinna in 1925, with the well-known Jensen formula as the starting point. The theory mainly consists of the so-called first and second fundamental theorems, expressed in terms of the quantities $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f)$ and $\bar{N}(r, f)$; they are called characteristic function, proximate function, counting function and reduced counting function (see, e.g., [4]). We use $S(r, f)$ to denote the quantity $o(T(r, f)),(r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E)$, here and in sequel, the letter $E$ is a set of $r \in(0, \infty)$ with finite linear measure not necessarily the same at each occurrence. A meromorphic function $a(z)(\not \equiv \infty)$ is called a small function of $f(z)$ provided that $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and $c$ a finite complex number. If $f(z)-c$ and $g(z)-c$ have the same zeros counting multiplicity, then we say that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share the value $c \mathrm{CM}$. Let $a, b$ be two constants. We recall the definition (see, e.g., [5]) on $f$ and $g$ which share a value $a \mathrm{CM}^{*}$, which means that

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)-\bar{N}(r, f=a, g=a)=S(r, f),
$$

and

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-a}\right)-\bar{N}(r, f=a, g=a)=S(r, g),
$$

[^0]where $\bar{N}(r, f=a, g=b)$ denote the reduced counting function of the common zeros of $f-a$ and $g-b$. It is obvious that $f$ and $g$ share a value $a$ CM implies that $f$ and $g$ share $a \mathrm{CM}^{*}$.

Nevanlinna's value distribution theory has been used to study the Fermat type of equations of meromorphic functions since 1960s (see [2], [8]). And we refer the reader to [3] for some recent developments of value sharing and more general type equation $P(f)=Q(g)$ of meromorphic functions, where $P, Q$ are two polynomials in $\mathbf{C}[z]$, see [3], [10].

In 1997, C.-C. Yang and X.-H. Hua [9] proved the following theorem.
Theorem A. Suppose that $f, g$ are two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $n \geq 6$ is an integer. If $f^{n} f^{\prime} g^{n} g^{\prime}=1$, then $g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$ and $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

This theorem is also true for $n \geq 2$ (see [5]). It is nature to ask what will happen when $f^{n}$ and $g^{n}$ in Theorem A are replaced by general polynomials in $f$ and $g$, respectively. In another paper [11], we have studied the existence or solvability of meromorphic solutions of the functional equations of the type $P(f) f^{\prime} P(g) g^{\prime}=1$, where $P$ is a polynomial with two distinct zeros at least, and obtain some results. In this paper, by using Nevanlinna's value distribution theory, we further study the existence or solvability of meromorphic solutions of the functional equations of the type $P(f) P(g)=1$, where $P$ is a polynomial with three distinct zeros at least, and prove the following results.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $P(z)$ is a complex polynomial having at least three distinct zeros $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$. Let $P(z)=\left(z-r_{1}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(z-r_{2}\right)^{k_{2}}\left(z-r_{3}\right)^{k_{3}} Q(z)$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are three positive integers, $Q(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ and $Q\left(r_{i}\right) \neq 0, i=1,2,3$, the constant term of $Q(z)$ is $D$. If $(f, g)$ is a pair of nonconstant meromorphic solutions of the functional equation $P(f) P(g)=1$, then $(f, g)$ must satisfy one of the following three equations:
(i) $\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)\left(f-r_{3}\right)\left(g-r_{1}\right)\left(g-r_{2}\right)\left(g-r_{3}\right)=d$;
(ii) $\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{2}\left(f-r_{2}\right)\left(f-r_{3}\right)\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{2}\left(g-r_{2}\right)\left(g-r_{3}\right)=d$;
(iii) $\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{3}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{2}\left(f-r_{3}\right)\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{3}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{2}\left(g-r_{3}\right)=d$, where $d$ is a nonzero constant.

Obviously, we can assume that one of those three numbers is zero in Theorem 1 by parallel moving: $\quad r_{1} \rightarrow 0, r_{2} \rightarrow r_{2}-r_{1}$ (denoted by $r_{1}$ ), $r_{3} \rightarrow r_{3}-r_{1}$ (denoted by $r_{2}$ ), so we only need to solve the the following three equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right) g\left(g-r_{1}\right)\left(g-r_{2}\right)=d  \tag{1.1}\\
f^{2}\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right) g^{2}\left(g-r_{1}\right)\left(g-r_{2}\right)=d  \tag{1.2}\\
f^{3}\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{2}\left(f-r_{2}\right) g^{3}\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{2}\left(g-r_{2}\right)=d \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $d$ be nonzero constants, and $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$. Then the functional equations (1.2) and (1.3) have no nonconstant meromorphic solutions; the functional equation (1.1) has nonconstant meromorphic solutions, if and only if $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $r_{1}, r_{2}$ satisfy (1.4), the pair of nonconstant meromorphic solution $(f, g)$ of equation (1.1) must satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=r-\frac{r c\left(\sqrt{3}-\wp^{\prime}(W)\right)}{2 \wp(W)}  \tag{1.5}\\
g=r-\frac{r^{2} f^{\prime}(f-r)}{\sqrt{3} c W^{\prime} f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}, \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $W$ is an entire function of $z, \wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying $\left(\wp^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \wp^{3}-1, r=\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}}{3}$ and $c$ is a cube root of unity.

Corollary 1. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are two nonconstant meromorphic functions. Let $m$, $n$ be two positive integers satisfying $m+n \geq 14$, and $a, b, c$ three distinct constants. Let $H(z)=(z-a)(z-b)^{m}(z-c)^{n}$. If $H(f)$ and $H(g)$ share $1 C M$, then $H(f)=H(g)$.

## 2. Some lemmas

The following lemmas will be used in the proof of our theorems. Lemma 1 is obvious by the lemma of logarithmic derivative, i.e., $m\left(r, f^{\prime} / f\right)=S(r, f)$ (see e.g. [4]). Lemma 3 is well-known.

Lemma 1. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let $P_{l}(f)$ be a polynomial in $f$ of degree $l$, and $a_{i}, i=1,2 \ldots, n$ be distinct complex numbers in $\mathbf{C}$, and $j$ be a natural number. Let

$$
g=\frac{P_{l}(f) f^{(j)}}{\left(f-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(f-a_{n}\right)} .
$$

If $l<n$, then $m(r, g)=S(r, f)$.
Lemma $2([1,2])$. Any functions $F(z), G(z)$, which are meromorphic in the plane and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{3}+G^{3}=1, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f(W(z)), \quad G=c g(W(z))=c f(-W(z))=f\left(-c^{2} W(z)\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are the following functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{3+\sqrt{3} \wp^{\prime}(z)}{6 \wp(z)}, \quad g(z)=\frac{3-\sqrt{3} \wp^{\prime}(z)}{6 \wp(z)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is an entire function of $z, \wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying $\left(\wp^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \wp^{3}-1$ and $c$ is a cube-root of unity.

Lemma 3 ([7]). Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function. If

$$
R(f)=\frac{P_{1}(f)}{Q_{1}(f)}=\frac{a_{p} f^{p}+a_{p-1} f^{p-1}+\cdots+a_{0}}{b_{q} f^{q}+b_{q-1} f^{q-1}+\cdots+b_{0}}
$$

where $P_{1}(f)$ and $Q_{1}(f)$ are two relatively prime polynomials of degree $p$ and $q$, respectively, and the coefficients $a_{i}(z)$ and $b_{j}(z)$ are all small functions of $f(z)$ with $a_{p}(z) \not \equiv 0, b_{q}(z) \not \equiv 0, i=1,2 \ldots, p, j=1,2 \ldots, q$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, R(f))=\max \{p, q\} T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 ([5] or [6]). Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are two nonconstant meromorphic functions sharing the value 1 CM. If $f \neq g$ and $f g \neq 1$, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f) \leq N_{2}(r, f)+N_{2}(r, g)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation $N_{2}(r, f)=\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}_{(2}(r, f)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that $(f, g)$ is a pair of nonconstant meromorphic solution of the functional equation $P(f) P(g)=1$, where $P(z)$ is a polynomial having $k(k \geq 3)$ distinct roots $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}$. By Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem and Lemma 3, we have $T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r)$, where $S(r):=S(r, f)=S(r, g)$. It is obvious that any $r_{j}$ point of $f$ is a pole of $g$. If $k \geq 4$, then by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
2 T(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{j}}\right)+S(r) \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+S(r) \leq T(r, g)+S(r)
$$

which implies $T(r, f) \leq S(r)$, a contradiction. Hence equation $P(z)=0$ only has three distinct roots $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$, and $Q(z)$ is constant. We write $Q(z)$ as $D$. Suppose that $z$ is a $r_{i}$ point of $f$ with multiplicity $n_{i}$, and also a pole $f$ with multiplicity $p$. Then $n_{i} k_{i}=p\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)$. Therefore, $n_{i} \geq m_{i}:=$ $\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right) / k_{i}$. This means that the multiplicities of all $r_{i}$ points of $f$ are at least $m_{i}, i=1,2,3$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m_{1}}+\frac{1}{m_{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{3}}=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{1}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{2}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{3}}\right)+S(r) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m_{1}} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{m_{2}} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{m_{3}} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{3}}\right)+S(r) \\
& \leq T(r, f)+S(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{i}}\right)=m_{i} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-r_{i}}\right)+S(r) \neq S(r), \quad i=1,2,3 .
$$

Therefore, "almost all" $r_{i}$ points of $f$ have multiplicity $m_{i}$, and thus "almost all" poles of $g$ are simple. Symmetrically, we see that "almost all" $r_{i}$ points of $g$ have multiplicity $m_{i}$, and "almost all" poles of $f$ are simple. For convenience, we assume $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq m_{3}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}=m_{i} k_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $3\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right) \geq m_{1}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right)$, that is $m_{1} \leq 3$. By (3.1), we have $m_{1}>1$. Therefore, $m_{1}=2$ or $m_{1}=3$.

Now we distinguish two cases below.
If $m_{1}=3$, note $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq m_{3}$, by (3.1) we get $m_{1}=m_{2}=m_{3}=3$, obviously there exists a natural number $k$ such that $k_{i}=k, i=1,2,3$.

If $m_{1}=2$, then we have $k_{1}=k_{2}+k_{3}$, by (3.2) and $m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq m_{3}$, we get $2\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}\right) \geq m_{2}\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)$. Therefore, $4\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right) \geq m_{2}\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)$, and thus $m_{2} \leq 4$. Obviously by (3.1), we have $m_{2} \neq 2$. Hence we have $m_{2}=3$ or $m_{2}=4$. If $m_{2}=3$, then we have $m_{3}=6$. Thus there exists a natural number $k$ such that $k_{1}=3 k, k_{2}=2 k, k_{3}=k$. If $m_{2}=4$, then we have $m_{3}=4$, thus there exists a natural number $k$ such that $k_{1}=2 k, k_{2}=k, k_{3}=k$. Therefore, the equation $P(f) P(g)=1$ can be reduced to the following three equations:
(i) $\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{k}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{k}\left(f-r_{3}\right)^{k}\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{k}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{k}\left(g-r_{3}\right)^{k}=1 / D$,
(ii) $\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{2 k}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{k}\left(f-r_{3}\right)^{k}\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{2 k}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{k}\left(g-r_{3}\right)^{k}=1 / D$,
(iii) $\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{3 k}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{2 k}\left(f-r_{3}\right)^{k}\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{3 k}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{2 k}\left(g-r_{3}\right)^{k}=1 / D$.

The conclusion of Theorem 1 follows.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying one of the equations (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3). Then the 0 points, $r_{1}$ points, and $r_{2}$ points of $f$ are poles of $g$. By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we have $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)+S(r, f)$. Symmetrically, we have $T(r, g) \leq T(r, f)+S(r, g)$.

Hence $T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r)$, where $S(r):=S(r, f)=S(r, g)$. We shall consider the three functional equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), respectively.

### 4.1. Solution of equation (1.1)

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying equation (1.1). In this case, by the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that the multiplicities of 0 points, $r_{1}$ points and $r_{2}$ points of $f$ or $g$ are almost all 3, the poles of $f$ or $g$ are almost all simple. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}=\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{f^{2}\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{2}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \varphi_{2}=\frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{g^{2}\left(f-g_{1}\right)^{2}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $\varphi_{i} \not \equiv 0$ and $N\left(r, \varphi_{i}\right)=S(r), i=1,2$. From the expression

$$
\varphi_{1}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f\left(f-r_{1}\right)} \cdot \frac{f^{\prime}}{f\left(f-r_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{f^{\prime}}{\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}
$$

and by Lemma 1, we get $m\left(r, \varphi_{1}\right)=S(r)$. Therefore, $T\left(r, \varphi_{1}\right)=S(r)$. Similarly, we have $T\left(r, \varphi_{2}\right)=S(r)$. By the first equation in (4.1), we get

$$
f=\frac{1}{\varphi_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

then we have $m(r, f)=S(r)$, similarly we have $m(r, g)=S(r)$.
Suppose that $z_{1}$ is a zero of $g$ of multiplicity of 3 . Then it is a simple pole of $f$, we have the following Laurent expansions in a neighborhood of $z_{1}$,

$$
f(z)=\frac{A_{1}}{z-z_{1}}+O(1), \quad g(z)=A_{2}\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{4}\right)
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are nonzero constant. Then we get

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{-A_{1}}{\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{2}}+O(1), \quad g^{\prime}(z)=3 A_{2}\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

Substitute the above two equations into (1.1) and (4.1), respectively, we get $d=A_{1}^{3} A_{2} r_{1} r_{2}, \varphi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)=-1 / A_{1}^{3}, \varphi_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)=27 A_{2} /\left(r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)$. And thus

$$
\frac{\varphi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)}{\varphi_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)}=-\frac{r_{1}^{3} r_{2}^{3}}{27 d}
$$

Note that $N(r, 1 / g) \neq S(r, g)$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{1}}{\varphi_{2}}=-\frac{r_{1}^{3} r_{2}^{3}}{27 d} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{2}}{\varphi_{1}}=-\frac{r_{1}^{3} r_{2}^{3}}{27 d} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we get $\varphi_{1} \equiv \varphi_{2}$ or $\varphi_{1} \equiv-\varphi_{2}$.
Taking the derivative in equation (1.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime} L(f)}{f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}+\frac{g^{\prime} L(g)}{g\left(g-r_{1}\right)\left(g-r_{2}\right)}=0, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(z)$ is a polynomial defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=3\left(z^{2}-\frac{2}{3}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) z+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{3}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the zeros of $f^{\prime}$ are the poles of $g$ and the zeros of $g^{\prime}$ are the poles of $f$, hence by (4.4), we see that $L(f)$ and $L(g)$ share 0 CM .

We divide our argument into two cases below:
Case (a): $\quad r_{1}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}=0$.
In this case, the equation $L(z)=0$ has a multiple root $r=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) / 3$, hence we have $L(z)=3(z-r)^{2}$. So, $r$ is a shared value of $f$ and $g$. By (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(r\left(r-r_{1}\right)\left(r-r_{2}\right)\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{3}\right)^{3}=r^{6} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combine this with (4.2), we obtain $\varphi_{2}=-\varphi_{1}$. By (4.1) and (4.4), we get

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}}{(L(f))^{2}}=-\frac{g^{\prime}}{(L(g))^{2}}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{(g-r)^{2}}{(f-r)^{2}} f^{\prime} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $d=r^{6}$ and $z\left(z-r_{1}\right)\left(z-r_{2}\right)=(z-r)^{3}+r^{3}$. (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(g-r)^{3}}=-\frac{1}{r^{3}} \frac{f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}{(f-r)^{3}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we get $A^{3}=r^{6} \varphi_{1}$. From (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=r-\frac{r^{3} f^{\prime}(f-r)}{A f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the first equation in (4.1), $A^{3}=r^{6} \varphi_{1}$ and $z\left(z-r_{1}\right)\left(z-r_{2}\right)=(z-r)^{3}+r^{3}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f^{\prime} r^{2}}{A}\right)^{3}=\left((f-r)^{3}+r^{3}\right)^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G=f^{\prime} r^{2} / A$ and $F=(f-r)^{3}+r^{3}$. Then the above equation yields $G=h^{2}$ and $F=h^{3}$, where $h=F / G$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3}+\left(1-\frac{f}{r}\right)^{3}=1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{r}=\frac{3+\sqrt{3} \wp^{\prime}(W)}{6 \wp(W)}, \quad \frac{r-f}{r}=c \frac{3-\sqrt{3} \wp^{\prime}(W)}{6 \wp(W)}, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is an entire function of $z, \wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying $\left(\wp^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \wp^{3}-1$ and $c$ is a cube root of unity.

Taking the derivative of the both sides in the second equation of (4.12) and deducing, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}}{r}=\frac{c W^{\prime}}{2} \frac{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \wp^{3}(W)+\wp^{\prime}(W)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}{\wp^{2}(W)} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the first equation of (4.12), combined with $G=f^{\prime} r^{2} / A$ and $G=h^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=A\left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \wp^{\prime}(W)}{2 \wp(W)}\right)^{2} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above two equations yield

$$
\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}-\frac{2 r c W^{\prime}}{A}\right) \wp^{\prime}(W)=\left(\frac{4 r c W^{\prime}}{\sqrt{3} A}-\frac{4}{3}\right) \wp^{3}(W)+\frac{2 r c W^{\prime}}{\sqrt{3} A}-\frac{2}{3} .
$$

Note that $\left(\wp^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \wp^{3}-1$, we know $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}-\frac{2 r c W^{\prime}}{A} \equiv 0$, hence $A=\sqrt{3} r c W^{\prime}$, combined with (4.9) and the second equation in (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=r-\frac{r c\left(\sqrt{3}-\wp^{\prime}(W)\right)}{2 \wp(W)} \\
g=r-\frac{r^{2} f^{\prime}(f-r)}{\sqrt{3} c W^{\prime} f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we proved the result of Theorem (2) in this case.
Case (b): $r_{1}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2} \neq 0$.
In this case, the equation $L(z)=0$ has two distinct roots denoted by $a_{1}$, $a_{2}$. (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$
L(z)=3\left(z-a_{1}\right)\left(z-a_{2}\right)
$$

By (4.4), we know that $f$ and $g$ share the set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ CM. (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}\left(f-a_{1}\right)\left(f-a_{2}\right)}{f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}+\frac{g^{\prime}\left(g-a_{1}\right)\left(g-a_{2}\right)}{g\left(g-r_{1}\right)\left(g-r_{2}\right)}=0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}\left(a_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-r_{2}\right) & =a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2}-\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) a_{1}+r_{1} r_{2}\right) \\
& =a_{1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) a_{1}-\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{3}-\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) a_{1}+r_{1} r_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{3} r_{1} r_{2} a_{1}-\frac{1}{3}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) a_{1}^{2} \\
& =\frac{2}{3} r_{1} r_{2} a_{1}-\frac{1}{3}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) a_{1}-\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}\left(a_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-r_{2}\right)=-\frac{2}{9}\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) a_{1}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)}{9} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}\left(a_{2}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-r_{2}\right)=-\frac{2}{9}\left(r_{1}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right) a_{2}+\frac{r_{1} r_{2}\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)}{9} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{1}, g=a_{1}\right) \neq S(r)$ and $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{1}, g=a_{2}\right) \neq S(r)$ occur at the same time, by (1.1), we have

$$
d=\left(a_{1}\left(a_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad d=a_{1}\left(a_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-r_{2}\right) a_{2}\left(a_{2}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-r_{2}\right),
$$

thus we get

$$
a_{1}\left(a_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-r_{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(a_{2}-r_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-r_{2}\right) .
$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), we get $a_{1}=a_{2}$, a contradiction. Similarly $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{1}, g=a_{1}\right) \neq S(r)$ and $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{2}, g=a_{1}\right) \neq S(r)$ cannot occur at the same time. Hence when $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{1}, g=a_{1}\right) \neq S(r)$, we have $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{1}\right.$, $\left.g=a_{2}\right)=S(r)$ and $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{2}, g=a_{1}\right)=S(r)$, thus $f$ and $g$ share $a_{1}, a_{2} \mathrm{CM}^{*}$. Let

$$
\alpha=\frac{f-a_{1}}{g-a_{1}} \frac{g-a_{2}}{f-a_{2}} .
$$

Obviously, we have $T(r, \alpha)=S(r)$ and $\alpha \not \equiv 0$, thus we get

$$
g=a_{1}+\frac{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(f-a_{1}\right)}{(\alpha-1) f+a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}}=\frac{\left(\alpha a_{1}-a_{2}\right) f+(1-\alpha) a_{1} a_{2}}{(\alpha-1) f+a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
g-r_{i}=\frac{\left(\alpha a_{1}-a_{2}-(\alpha-1) r_{i}\right) f+(1-\alpha) a_{1} a_{2}-r_{i}\left(a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}\right)}{(\alpha-1) f+a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

From (1.1) and the above equation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d= & f\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\alpha a_{1}-a_{2}\right) f+(1-\alpha) a_{1} a_{2}}{(\alpha-1) f+a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}} \\
& . \frac{\left(\alpha a_{1}-a_{2}-(\alpha-1) r_{1}\right) f+(1-\alpha) a_{1} a_{2}-r_{1}\left(a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}\right)}{(\alpha-1) f+a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}} \\
& \cdot \frac{\left(\alpha a_{1}-a_{2}-(\alpha-1) r_{2}\right) f+(1-\alpha) a_{1} a_{2}-r_{2}\left(a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}\right)}{(\alpha-1) f+a_{1}-\alpha a_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3 and the above equation, we deduce that $d$ is not a constant, a contradiction.

Similarly when $\bar{N}\left(r, f=a_{2}, g=a_{2}\right) \neq S(r)$, we can deduce that $f-a_{1}$ and $g-a_{2}$ share $0 \mathrm{CM}^{*}$, and that $f-a_{2}$ and $g-a_{1}$ share $0 \mathrm{CM}^{*}$. Let

$$
\beta=\frac{f-a_{1}}{g-a_{2}} \frac{g-a_{1}}{f-a_{2}} .
$$

Obviously, we have $T(r, \beta)=S(r)$ and $\beta \not \equiv 0$. By a similar argument as the above, we can also deduce a contradiction. Hence equation (1.1) has no nonconstant meromorphic solutions in Case (b), which completes the proof about solutions of equation (1.1).

### 4.2. Solution of equation (1.2)

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying equation (1.2). In this case, the multiplicities of 0 points of $f, g$ are almost all 2, the multiplicities of $r_{1}$ points, $r_{2}$ points of $f, g$ are almost all 4 , their poles are almost all simple. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}}{f^{2}\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{3}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{3}}, \quad \phi_{2}=\frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{4}}{g^{2}\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{3}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously we have $T\left(r, \phi_{i}\right)=S(r)$ and $\phi_{i} \not \equiv 0, i=1,2$. By the first equation in (4.18), we get

$$
f=\frac{1}{\phi_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{\left(f-r_{1}\right)\left(f-r_{2}\right)}\right)^{3}
$$

and by Lemma 1, we have $m(r, f)=S(r)$, similarly we have $m(r, g)=S(r)$.
By considering the Laurent expansion in the neighborhood of a zero with multiplicity 2 of $f$ and $g$, respectively, we can obtain $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ or $\phi_{1}=-\phi_{2}$, and by (1.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)^{4}}{16} \frac{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by considering the Laurent expansions in the neighborhood of a $r_{1}$ point with multiplicity 4 of $f$, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}=\frac{256 d}{\left(r_{1}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)^{4}}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

combined with (4.19) and by the symmetry of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, we get $r_{1}=-r_{2}$. Let $r=r_{1}=-r_{2}$. Hence $d=r^{8} / 16$ or $d=-r^{8} / 16$. If $d=r^{8} / 16$, then by (1.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{2}\left(f^{2}-r^{2}\right) g^{2}\left(g^{2}-r^{2}\right)=\frac{r^{8}}{16} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h_{1}=f g$. Then by (4.21), we get

$$
f^{2}+g^{2}=\frac{h_{1}^{4}-r^{4} h_{1}^{2}-\frac{r^{8}}{16}}{r^{2} h_{1}^{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& (f+g)^{2}=\frac{h_{1}^{4}+2 r^{2} h_{1}^{3}-r^{4} h_{1}^{2}-\frac{r^{8}}{16}}{r^{2} h_{1}^{2}}  \tag{4.22}\\
& (f-g)^{2}=\frac{h_{1}^{4}-2 r^{2} h_{1}^{3}-r^{4} h_{1}^{2}-\frac{r^{8}}{16}}{r^{2} h_{1}^{2}} \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Note $r \neq 0$, either the equation $z^{4}+2 r^{2} z^{3}-r^{4} z^{2}-r^{8} / 16=0$ or the equation $z^{4}-2 r^{2} z^{3}-r^{4} z^{2}-r^{8} / 16=0$ have no multiple roots. All of the roots of the two equations are pairwise distinct, thus by (4.22) and (4.23), we deduce that $h_{1}$ has eight multiple value points. By Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, we know that a nonconstant meromorphic function has four multiple value points at most, thus $h_{1}$ is a constant, hence $f+g$ and $f-g$ are also constants, which implies that $f$ and $g$ are constants, a contradiction. If $d=-r^{8} / 16$, then we can also get a contradiction by using the similar argument as the above. Hence equation (1.2) has no nonconstant meromorphic solutions.

### 4.3. Solution of equation (1.3)

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying equation (1.3). In this case, the multiplicities of 0 points of $f, g$ are almost all 2, the multiplicities of $r_{1}$ points of $f, g$ are almost all 3, the multiplicities of $r_{2}$ points of $f, g$ are almost all 6 , their poles are almost all simple. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}=\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{6}}{f^{3}\left(f-r_{1}\right)^{4}\left(f-r_{2}\right)^{5}}, \quad \psi_{2}=\frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{6}}{g^{3}\left(g-r_{1}\right)^{4}\left(g-r_{2}\right)^{5}} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously we have $T\left(r, \psi_{i}\right)=S(r)$ and $\psi_{i} \not \equiv 0, i=1,2$. Simultaneously we have $m(r, f)=S(r)$ and $m(r, g)=S(r)$.

Suppose that $z_{2}$ is a zero point of $f$ of multiplicity 2 . Then it is the simple pole of $g$. By considering the Laurent expansions of $f$ and $g$ in a neighborhood of $z_{2}$, we can prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{2}\right)^{6} \frac{\psi_{1}}{\psi_{2}} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\psi_{1}=\psi_{2}$ or $\psi_{1}=-\psi_{2}$.
Suppose that $z_{3}$ is a $r_{1}$-point of $f$ of multiplicity 3 . Then it is the simple pole of $g$. By considering the Laurent expansions of $f$ and $g$ in a neighborhood of $z_{3}$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\left(\frac{r_{1}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}{3}\right)^{6} \frac{\psi_{1}}{\psi_{2}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with (4.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{r_{2}}{2}\right)^{6}=\left(\frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{3}\right)^{6} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $z_{4}$ is a $r_{2}$-point of $f$ of multiplicity 6 . Then it is the simple pole of $g$. By considering the Laurent expansions of $f$ and $g$ in a neighborhood of $z_{4}$, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{6^{6}}{r_{2}^{6}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)^{6}} \frac{\psi_{1}}{\psi_{2}} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with (4.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{r_{1}}{2}\right)^{6}=\left(\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{6}\right)^{6} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.27) and (4.29), we can get $r_{2}^{3}+8 r_{1}^{3}=0$ or $r_{2}^{3}-8 r_{1}^{3}=0$. When $r_{2}^{3}+8 r_{1}^{3}=0$, we have $r_{2}=-2 r_{1}$ or $r_{2}^{2}=2 r_{1} r_{2}-4 r_{1}^{2}$.

If $r_{2}=-2 r_{1}$. Taking it into (4.27), we get $r_{1}^{3}=0$, hence $r_{1}=0$, a contradiction.

If $r_{2}^{2}=2 r_{1} r_{2}-4 r_{1}^{2}$, then combining with (4.27), we still get $r_{1}=0$, a contradiction. When $r_{2}^{3}-8 r_{1}^{3}=0$, we can still get a contradiction by using a similar argument as the above. Hence equation (1.3) has no nonconstant solutions. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

## 5. Proof of Corollary 1

Let

$$
F=H(f)=(f-a)(f-b)^{m}(f-c)^{n}
$$

and

$$
G=H(g)=(g-a)(g-b)^{m}(g-c)^{n} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
N_{2}(r, F)=2 \bar{N}(r, f) \leq 2 T(r, f), & N_{2}(r, G)=2 \bar{N}(r, g) \leq 2 T(r, g), \\
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq 5 T(r, f)+O(1), & N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq 5 T(r, g)+O(1) . \tag{5.2}
\end{array}
$$

If $F \neq G$ and $F G \neq 1$, then by Lemma 4 and inequalities (5.1), (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \leq 7 T(r, f)+7 T(r, g)+S(r) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(r)=S(r, F)+S(r, G)=S(r, f)+S(r, g)$. Since

$$
T(r, F)=(m+n+1) T(r, f)+O(1)
$$

and by (5.3), we get

$$
(m+n-6) T(r, f) \leq 7 T(r, g)+S(r)
$$

Symmetrically, we have

$$
(m+n-6) T(r, g) \leq 7 T(r, f)+S(r) .
$$

These two inequalities yield

$$
(m+n-13)(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq S(r)
$$

which is impossible for $m+n \geq 14$ and nonconstant meromorphic functions $f$ and $g$.

When $m+n \geq 14$, we can rule out the case $F G=1$ by Theorem 2 . Therefore, we have $F=G$, i.e., $H(f)=H(g)$, which completes the proof of Corollary 1.
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